Brothers, Sheila C.

From:	Vincent, Leslie H.
Sent:	Friday, November 15, 2019 2:30 PM
То:	Bird-Pollan, Jennifer; Brothers, Sheila C.; Woolery, Stephanie L.; Ett-Mims, Joanie;
	Cramer, Aaron M.
Cc:	Raphael Finkel
Subject:	NEW MS: Computer Engineering

Proposed New MS in Computer Engineering

This is a recommendation that the University Senate approve, for submission to the Board of Trustees, the establishment of a new MS in Computer Engineering, in the Department of Computer Science, in the College of Engineering.

Rationale:

The proposed program will provide an advanced degree in the area of Computer Engineering, an area in which we already have a successful undergraduate program and successful faculty research. The field of computer engineering integrates expertise from both electrical engineering and computer science, emphasizing an understanding of computer architecture, hardware/software interface, and the integration of computers into products and systems at a larger scale. The program would include faculty from both the ECE and CS departments working collaboratively with the program being housed in the Department of Computer Science. The proposed program will support the College of Engineering's mission "to provide education, research, and service in a scholarly environment in a way that prepares our students for successful professional careers, addresses the changing needs of our other constituents, and responds to the technological challenges facing the Commonwealth and the Nation." Development of graduate programs in Computer Engineering will further enhance the College's ability to pursue its "Top 50" vision of being internationally recognized and ranked as one of the top 50 colleges of engineering in the United States. Demand and salaries in computer engineering continue to be strong. Enrollment of 10-20 new students per year is anticipated.

SAPC Statement: SAPC considered the required collaboration between the Department of ECE and CS extensively as indicated within the proposal. Throughout the review process the committee asked for a formal MOU between the departments. Furthermore, we asked the proposer to document faculty support for the MOU developed and the response was that faculty did not vote on the MOU. There was concern among the SAPC regarding the potential for differing levels of faculty support from both departments. The proposal was evaluated based on SAPC's charge to consider programs based on academic excellence, need, and impact, desirability, and priority of the new academic program in relation to other programs, and its recommendation to approve the program was based on a vote of: 2 in favor, 1 opposed, and 3 abstaining.

Leslie H. Vincent, PhD

Department of Marketing & Supply Chain Gatton College of Business & Economics University of Kentucky leslie.vincent@uky.edu

- 1. This form has two sections. Section A contains information required by the University Senate and Registrar's office and Section B contains information required by two external entities, the CPE (Council on Postsecondary Education) and SACS-COC (Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges). Although only Section A is required for University Senate approval, every question must be answered to receive CPE approval. Please write "not applicable" wherever that is the appropriate response, leaving no area blank.
- 2. The CPE requires that a pre-proposal and full proposal be submitted. The pre-proposal is submitted after a proposed program has received college-level approval. Answers to questions identified with a * by the question number on this form should be used for the CPE's pre-proposal. Such questions are in both Section A and Section B. Please email institutional effectiveness@uky.edu for more information about the CPE's pre-proposal process. The CPE's full proposal requires completion of both Sections A and B of this form and is submitted after approval by UK's Board of Trustees.
- 3.—Once approved at the college level, your college will send the proposal to the appropriate Senate academic council (HCCC and/or GC) for review and approval. Once approved at the academic council level, the academic council will send your proposal to the Senate Council office for additional review via a committee and then to the Senate for approval. Once approved by the Senate, the Senate Council office will send the proposal to the appropriate entities for it to be placed on an agenda for the Board of Trustees. The contact person listed on the form will be informed when the proposal has been sent to committee and other times as appropriate.

1a	Date of contact with Institutional Effectiveness ¹ :	July 16, 2018	
	Appended to the end of this form is a PDF of the re	ply from Institutional Effectivene	SS.
1b	Home College: Engineering		
1c	Home Educational Unit (school, department, college ²):	Computer Science	
	d CS are collaborating for this joint program. About half		
	me department for the undergraduate program; for bala	-	
	department for the graduate programs (MS and PhD). Bo ograms are intellectually sound.	oth departments will work closely	y together to make sure
1d*		Administration ato): MC	
10	Degree Type (Master's of Science, Master's of Business	administration, etc.): MS	
1e*	Duarter Nama (Dialary Finance ata), Commuter Finance		
Te	Program Name (Biology, Finance, etc.): Computer English	ineering	
1f*	CIP Code (provided by Institutional Effectiveness): 14.0	0 01	
-11	en coue (provided by <u>institutional Encetiveness</u>). 14.0		
1g	Is there a specialized accrediting agency related to this	program?	Yes No X
0	If "Yes," name:		
	The ABET and CSAB agencies only accredit undergrad	duate programs.	
1h	Was this particular program ever previously offered at	UK but subsequently	Yes No 🔀
1			/057 0070 or
1		l Effectiveness by phone or email	(257-2873 Or
	titutionaleffectiveness@uky.edu).		
2	Only interdisciplinary grad	uate degrees may be homed at the	ne college level.

_		
NEW	MASTER'S	DEGREE

Only interdisciplinary graduate degrees may be homed at the college level.

	suspended?
	If "Yes," describe. (300 word limit)
1i*	Requested effective date: Fall semester following approval. OR Specific Date ³ : <i>Fall 20</i>
1j*	Anticipated date for granting first degree(s): Spring 2020
1k*	Contact person name: Raphael Finkel Email: raphael@cs.uky.edu Phone: 257-3885
	gen Kelverviten.
2a*	 Provide a brief description of the proposed program. (300 word limit) The proposed Master's program in Computer Engineering (MS-CompE) will offer both a Plan A Thesis Option
	(24 hours of coursework plus a 6-credit thesis) and a Plan B Non-Thesis (30 hours of coursework which may include a 3-credit project) option. The proposed program will provide an advanced degree in the area of Computer Engineering, an area in which we already have a successful undergraduate program and successful faculty research. The field of computer engineering integrates expertise from both electrical engineering and computer science, emphasizing an understanding of computer architecture, hardware/software interface, and the integration of computers into products and systems at a larger scale. It involves developing technical skills in traditional areas of electrical engineering, such as analog and digital circuit design and communications systems, as well as in areas related to computer science, such as software development and operating systems. Sub-disciplines within Computer Engineering include Computer Software Engineering and Computer Hardware Engineering, which emphasize the software and hardware sides of computer systems, respectively. As might be expected in such a broad field, there are a great many specialty areas as well, which change regularly to match the needs of the job market. The proposed program will support the College of Engineering's mission "to provide education, research, and service in a scholarly environment in a way that prepares our students for successful professional careers, addresses the changing needs of our other constituents, and responds to the technological challenges facing the Commonwealth and the Nation." Development of graduate programs in Computer Engineering will further enhance the College's ability to pursue its "Top 50" vision of being internationally recognized and ranked as one of the top 50 colleges of engineering in the United States.
	(cimilar to 12a) What is the need for the proposed program? For example, is there a shortage of trained
	(<i>similar to 13a</i>) What is the need for the proposed program? For example, is there a shortage of trained professionals or has an accrediting/professional/government body expressed a need for this type of program?
2b	Provide justification and evidence to support the need and demand for this proposed program. Include any data
20	on student demand; career opportunities at the regional, state, and national levels; and any changes or trends in
	the discipline(s) that necessitate a new program. (300 word limit)
	Strong motivating factors support the need to implement graduate programs in the area of Computer
	Engineering. This program will enable us to:
	 Provide advanced training in the areas of computer hardware and software engineering needed to
	support continued regional and national workforce demands.
	Improve our ability to recruit and retain faculty in this area, in support of not only the CompE
	undergraduate program but the CS and EE undergraduate and graduate programs as well.
	Improve our ability to recruit qualified graduate Teaching Assistants with backgrounds in Computer
	Engineering, in support of CompE, CS, and EE programs.
	Create an appropriate curriculum and program infrastructure for those faculty and graduate students
3	Programs are effective the semester following approval. No program will be

made effective unless all approvals, up through and including Board of Trustees and CPE approval, are received.
NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 2 of 30

	 who are already doing research in the area of Computer Engineering. (Currently graduate students doing work in this area must identify as either CS or EE and fulfill those program requirements.) Strengthen our research infrastructure by providing much-needed research and technical support for the many other disciplines and projects throughout UK who rely on expertise in Computer Engineering to support and carry out their scholarly work. The need for advanced knowledge in high-performance computing systems is growing across nearly all branches of scholarship.
	Demand and salaries in computer engineering continue to be strong. According to Bureau of Labor Statistics estimates, employment in computer occupations is projected to increase by 12.5 percent from 2014 to 2024; this growth is expected to result in nearly half a million new jobs, far more than in any other STEM field. Currently, the median annual wage for Computer Engineers ranges from \$107K to \$150K depending on factors such as employment sector (industry, academia, government) and geographical location.(Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2015)
2c*	(<i>similar to 11a</i>) List the program objectives. These objectives should deal with how students will benefit from the program, both tangibly and intangibly. Give evidence that they will benefit. (300 word limit)
	The goal of this program is to provide students advanced training in the areas of computer hardware and software engineering needed to support continued regional and national workforce demands. Specific program objectives, reflecting expectations for accomplishments of our students in the years following
	graduation, are that graduates of our program will:
	1. Obtain employment and advance in careers appropriate to an advanced technical degree, through technical and
	<i>leadership in the industrial sector, entrepreneurship and business development, or pursuit of further graduate study.</i>
	2. Use their technical and professional skills to make a positive impact on society and the world.
	3. Engage in continued professional development and life-long learning.
2d*	List the student learning outcomes (SLOs) for the proposed program. (300 word limit) (More detailed information will be addressed in Section A, part 5.)
	Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) for the proposed program, reflecting skills and abilities that students are expected to possess by the time they graduate, include the ability to: 1. Identify, analyze and solve technical problems related to computer engineering.
	2. Design and conduct experiments and detailed data analysis.
	3. Participate and make contributions to scholarly research activities.
	4. Communicate technical concepts effectively, both orally and in writing.
2e	Provide the rationale and motivation for the program. Give reference to national context, including equivalents
20	at benchmark institutions. (150 word limit)
	The number of undergraduate and graduate programs in the area of Computer Engineering is growing rapidly nationally. The fastest growth in STEM fields in recent years has been in fields related to computer engineering
	nationally. The fastest growth in STEM fields in recent years has been in fields related to computer engineering. For example, from the most recent ASEE data, growth in Bachelor's degrees in Computer Engineering from 2014 to 2015 was 16.2% while combined Electrical and Computer Engineering Bachelor's grew 21.3%. At the
	graduate level, computer engineering-related programs had some of the largest percentage increases among all
	engineering fields. The number of MS degrees granted in Computer Engineering has grown more than 30% over
	the past 15 years to more than 2,000 nationally (Yoder, 2016). Expressing this discipline as a program of its own
	brings us into conformity with the trend in our 23 benchmark institutions. At the Master's level, 11 of the 23
	programs (48%) offer separate MS EE and MS CpE degrees, 8 (35%) offer an MS in ECE, and 4 (17%) offer just

	an MS EE degree option.		
2f	Describe the proposed program's uniqueness within UK. (250 word limit)The proposed Computer Engineering program does not duplicate existing programs.		
	First, Computer Engineering is a well-established discipline, separate from either Electric Computer Science. The undergraduate engineering accrediting organization, ABET, rec these as three separate disciplines (Electrical Engineering, Computer Science, Computer R1 institutions offers all three as separate undergraduate degrees. At the graduate level, common structure is also to have a separately named degree program, as we propose. A the discipline and benchmark programs is included in Section 5 of the full proposal docu senate forms.	ognizes and Engineerin the trend an complete a	l accredits gg). Nearly all nd most liscussion of
	Second, Computer Engineering fits in the intersection between the CS and the ECE prog and EE areas that lie outside the scope of the proposed program. CS MS students are rec take at least two of CS515 (Algorithms), CS537 (Numerical), CS575 (Theory). These co Computer Engineering. EE MS students are required to take at least three of EE611 (De EE621 (Electromagnetic Fields), EE640 (Stochastic Systems), EE661 (Solid State Electric Computer Structure), EE641 (Advanced Power Systems). Of these only EE661 and EE6 Computer Engineering.	quired, for i ourses are i terministic ronics), EE	instance, to rrelevant for Systems), 685 (Digital
	Third, neither ECE nor CS provides the full scope of the proposed program. An MS stud concentrate in Computer Engineering would not be able to do that in either program with amount of outside coursework.		
2g	Describe the target audience. (150 word limit)		
-8	The target audience includes strong undergraduate students in Computer Engineering, En Computer Science wishing to pursue graduate studies. It includes students wishing to con- studies and pursue a PhD as well as students wishing to get jobs in the industrial sector.		
~ • •			
2h*	Does the program allow for any concentrations? If "Yes," name the concentration(s). (Specific course requirements will be described in Second	Yes	No 🔀
	If fes, frame the concentration(s). (specific course requirements will be described in se	ετιοπ Α, ρα	11 7.)
2j*	Are necessary resources available for the proposed new program? (A more detailed answer is requested in Section A, part 4.)	Yes	No
2k	Describe how the proposed program will be administered, including admissions, studen	t advising,	retention, etc
	 (150 word limit) The Computer Engineering MS program will be administered by the CS department The of advising and retention. The DGS will chair a Computer Engineering Graduate Commmembers of the faculty of record, appointed by the Chairs of CS (3 members, including a members). 	ittee consis	sting of 6
21	Are multiple units/programs collaborating to offer this program? If "Yes," please discuss the resource contribution(s) from each participating unit/progra	Yes X	No

	will be a CS member of the faculty of record. (Details are in Question 2n.)		
2m	Are there any UK programs, which the proposed program could be perceived as replicating?	Yes	Νο
	If "Yes," give a rationale for why this is not duplication, or is a necessary duplication. (250) word lim	it)
	See description of proposed program for summary of field and how it is different from Ele	ectrical En	gineering and
	Computer Science		
	If "Yes," two pieces of supporting documentation are required.		
	Check to confirm that appended to the end of this form is a letter of support from the	e unit chai	r/director
	who may perceive this program as a replicate.		,
	Check to confirm that appended to the end of this form is verification that the chair/c		
	unit has agreement from the faculty members of the unit. This typically takes the form of	meeting	minutes.
	Will the faculty of record for the proposed new master's degree be the graduate		
2n	faculty of the department/school offering the proposed new degree?	Yes	No 🔀
	If "No," please describe the faculty of record for the proposed master's program, includir	ng∙selectio	on criteria:
	term of service; and method for adding/removing members. Will the existing director of	-	
	in the department/school be the DGS for this proposed master's degree?	Siddude .	
	The faculty of record will include a subset of the graduate faculty from the CS and ECE dep	partments	. The
	selection criteria will be the vote of the faculty of each of CS and ECE for their respective n		
	service will be unlimited; faculty may be added and removed by vote of the CS or ECE facu		
	respective members. The DGS will be a CS member of the faculty of record of the propose	-	-
	the chair of the CS department, subject to the approval of the chair of the ECE departmen		, ,
20	Will the program have an advisory board ⁴ ?	Yes	No
	If "Yes," please describe the standards by which the faculty of record will select members	s of the ad	visory board,
	the duration of service on the board, and criteria for removal. (150 word limit)		
	Both the CS and ECE departments have advisory boards that include alumni who are in th	-	
	Engineering discipline. Rather than form a separate advisory board, we plan to have each		kisting
	advisory boards provide input and feedback into the new Computer Engineering MS prog		1
	If "Yes," please list below the number of each type of individual (as applicable) who will be	e invoived	a in the
	advisory board.		
	Faculty within the college who are within the home educational unit. Faculty within the college who are outside the home educational unit.		
	Faculty outside the college who are within the University.		
	Faculty outside the college and outside the University who are within the United	d States.	
	Faculty outside the college and outside the University who are outside the Unite		
	Students who are currently in the program.		
	Students who recently graduated from the program.		
	Members of industry.		
	Community volunteers.		
	Other. Please explain:		
	Total Number of Advisory Board Members		

4

advise the faculty of record on matters related to the program, e.g. national trends and industry expectations of graduates.

An advisory board includes both faculty and non-faculty who are expected to

Selector	UK DLP and eLearning Office
3a*	Initially, will any portion of the proposed program's core courses be offered via distance learning ⁶ ? Yes No
	If "Yes," please indicate below the percentage of core courses that will be offered via distance learning.
(check one)	1% - 24% 25% - 49% 50% - 74% 75 - 99% 100%
	NOTE: Programs in which 25% or more of the program will be offered via distance learning may need to submit
	substantive change prospectus to SACS. Please contact institutionaleffectiveness@uky.edu for assistance. The
	prospectus is required by SACS, but it is NOT required for Senate review.
b*	If <i>any</i> percentage of the program will be offered via the alternative learning formats below, check all that apply below.
	Distance learning.
	Courses that combine various modes of interaction, such as face-to-face, videoconferencing, audio- conferencing, mail, telephone, fax, email, interactive television, or World Wide Web.
	Technology-enhanced instruction.
	Evening/weekend/early morning classes.
	Accelerated courses.
	Instruction at nontraditional locations, such as employer worksite.
	Courses with multiple entry, exit, and reentry points.
	Modularized courses.
3c	 Give pedagogical rationale for the use of alternative delivery modes in the proposed program. Consider the aspects below and elaborate as appropriate. (200 word limit) Synchronous and asynchronous components.
3c	
3C	 aspects below and elaborate as appropriate. (200 word limit) Synchronous and asynchronous components. Balance between traditional and non-traditional aspects.
SC	 aspects below and elaborate as appropriate. (200 word limit) Synchronous and asynchronous components. Balance between traditional and non-traditional aspects. Hybrid elements.
}c	 aspects below and elaborate as appropriate. (200 word limit) Synchronous and asynchronous components. Balance between traditional and non-traditional aspects. Hybrid elements.
	 aspects below and elaborate as appropriate. (200 word limit) Synchronous and asynchronous components. Balance between traditional and non-traditional aspects. Hybrid elements. None of the existing courses for the program include these alternative learning formats at this time. Will the program's home educational unit require new or additional faculty? Yes No If "Yes," provide a plan to ensure that appropriate faculty resources are available, either within UK or externally
	 aspects below and elaborate as appropriate. (200 word limit) Synchronous and asynchronous components. Balance between traditional and non-traditional aspects. Hybrid elements. None of the existing courses for the program include these alternative learning formats at this time. Will the program's home educational unit require new or additional faculty? Yes No If "Yes," provide a plan to ensure that appropriate faculty resources are available, either within UK or externally to support the program. Note whether the new and additional faculty will be part-time or full-time faculty. If
	 aspects below and elaborate as appropriate. (200 word limit) Synchronous and asynchronous components. Balance between traditional and non-traditional aspects. Hybrid elements. None of the existing courses for the program include these alternative learning formats at this time. Will the program's home educational unit require new or additional faculty? Yes No If "Yes," provide a plan to ensure that appropriate faculty resources are available, either within UK or externally to support the program. Note whether the new and additional faculty will be part-time or full-time faculty. If "No," explain why. (150 word limit)
	 aspects below and elaborate as appropriate. (200 word limit) Synchronous and asynchronous components. Balance between traditional and non-traditional aspects. Hybrid elements. None of the existing courses for the program include these alternative learning formats at this time. Will the program's home educational unit require new or additional faculty? Yes No If "Yes," provide a plan to ensure that appropriate faculty resources are available, either within UK or externally to support the program. Note whether the new and additional faculty will be part-time or full-time faculty. If "No," explain why. (150 word limit) We currently have enough faculty to support the core MS program as laid out in this proposal. See attached
	 aspects below and elaborate as appropriate. (200 word limit) Synchronous and asynchronous components. Balance between traditional and non-traditional aspects. Hybrid elements. None of the existing courses for the program include these alternative learning formats at this time. Will the program's home educational unit require new or additional faculty? Yes No If "Yes," provide a plan to ensure that appropriate faculty resources are available, either within UK or externally to support the program. Note whether the new and additional faculty will be part-time or full-time faculty. If "No," explain why. (150 word limit) We currently have enough faculty to support the core MS program as laid out in this proposal. See attached proposal for full discussion.
	 aspects below and elaborate as appropriate. (200 word limit) Synchronous and asynchronous components. Balance between traditional and non-traditional aspects. Hybrid elements. None of the existing courses for the program include these alternative learning formats at this time. Will the program's home educational unit require new or additional faculty? Yes No If "Yes," provide a plan to ensure that appropriate faculty resources are available, either within UK or externally to support the program. Note whether the new and additional faculty will be part-time or full-time faculty. If "No," explain why. (150 word limit) We currently have enough faculty to support the core MS program as laid out in this proposal. See attached
a*	 aspects below and elaborate as appropriate. (200 word limit) Synchronous and asynchronous components. Balance between traditional and non-traditional aspects. Hybrid elements. None of the existing courses for the program include these alternative learning formats at this time. Will the program's home educational unit require new or additional faculty? Yes No If "Yes," provide a plan to ensure that appropriate faculty resources are available, either within UK or externally to support the program. Note whether the new and additional faculty will be part-time or full-time faculty. If "No," explain why. (150 word limit) We currently have enough faculty to support the core MS program as laid out in this proposal. See attached proposal for full discussion.
	aspects below and elaborate as appropriate. (200 word limit) • Synchronous and asynchronous components. • Balance between traditional and non-traditional aspects. • Hybrid elements. None of the existing courses for the program include these alternative learning formats at this time. Will the program's home educational unit require new or additional faculty? Yes No If "Yes," provide a plan to ensure that appropriate faculty resources are available, either within UK or externally to support the program. Note whether the new and additional faculty will be part-time or full-time faculty. If "No," explain why. (150 word limit) We currently have enough faculty to support the core MS program as laid out in this proposal. See attached proposal for full discussion. If "Yes," when will the faculty be appointed? (150 word limit) Will the program's home educational unit require additional non-faculty resources, e.g. Yes No If "Yes," provide a brief summary of additional non-faculty resources that will be needed to implement this
a*	aspects below and elaborate as appropriate. (200 word limit) • Synchronous and asynchronous components. • Balance between traditional and non-traditional aspects. • Hybrid elements. None of the existing courses for the program include these alternative learning formats at this time. Will the program's home educational unit require new or additional faculty? Yes No If "Yes," provide a plan to ensure that appropriate faculty resources are available, either within UK or externally to support the program. Note whether the new and additional faculty will be part-time or full-time faculty. If "No," explain why. (150 word limit) We currently have enough faculty to support the core MS program as laid out in this proposal. See attached proposal for full discussion. If "Yes," when will the faculty be appointed? (150 word limit) Will the program's home educational unit require additional non-faculty resources, e.g. Is a proposal for full discussion. If "Yes," and the faculty be appointed? (150 word limit) Will the program's home educational unit require additional non-faculty resources, e.g. Yes No

Learning Programs and e-Learning office (<u>http://www.uky.edu/DistanceLearning/</u>).

6 Per the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACS) definition of distance education, distance education is a formal educational process in which the majority of the instruction (interaction between students and instructors and among students) in a course occurs when students and instructors are not in the same place. Instruction may be synchronous or asynchronous.

 NEW
 MASTER'S DEGREE
 Page 6 of 30

4c	Will the program include courses from another educational unit(s)? Yes Ves No
	If "Yes," list the courses and identify the other educational units and subunits that have approved the inclusion
	of their courses. (150 word limit)
	ECE and CS will provide all primary required courses. There will be some electives permitted that could include
	courses from other educational units as appropriate, but there is no requirement to do so.
	If "Yes," two pieces of supporting documentation are required.
	Check to confirm that appended to the end of this form is a letter of support from the other units' chair/director from which individual courses will be used. The letter must include demonstration of true collaboration between multiple units ⁷ and impact on the course's use on the home educational unit.
	Check to confirm that appended to the end of this form is verification that the chair/director of the other unit has consent from the faculty members of the unit. This typically takes the form of meeting minutes.

Show evidence of detailed collaborative consultation with such units early in the

4d	similar to qu naster's pro		e faculty roster below for full-time and part-tin	ne faculty teaching major core courses in the proposed new
NAME		FACULTY CIP CODE ⁸	MAJOR CORE COURSES IN THE PROGRAM	OTHER QUALIFICATIONS
List name & identi member as FT (full- (part-time	time) or PT	List the applicable CIP Code for the faculty member.	List the major core courses in the program that the faculty member will teach and the frequency of the offering (e.g. "every spring")	<i>If applicable</i> , list any other qualifications and comment on how they pertain to the courses in the program the faculty member will teach. <i>If not applicable</i> , mark with "n/a."
Dakshramoorthy M FT	lanivannan	11.07	CS570 Operating Systems, every fall	N/A unless indicated otherwise
Raphael Finkel FT		11.07	<i>CS541 Compiler Design, every second year;</i> <i>CS655 Programming Language Design,</i> <i>every Fall.</i>	
James Lumpp FT		14.10	<i>EE585 Fault Tolerant Computing, every</i> <i>other spring; EE580 Adv Em Sys, EE588</i> <i>RT OS, occasional</i>	
Himanshu Thapliya	al FT	14.10	EE599 Nanocomputing, every fall	
Henry Dietz FT		14.10	<i>EE599 Camera computing, every fall,</i> <i>EE599 Cluster computing, occasional spring</i>	
Sen-ching Cheung	FT	14.10	EE599 Cybersecurity, every spring	
Kenneth Calvert FT	Γ	11.07	CS585 Network security, occasional	
Mirek Truszczynsk	i FT	11.07	CS515 Algorithms, every fall	
Nathan Jacobs FT		11.07	CS636 Computer Vision, every other spring, CS460G Machine Learning, every fall	
Lircng Cui FT		11.07	CS585 Advanced Data Science, every fall	
Joseph Elias PT		14.10	EE584 VLSI Design, every semester; EE589 advancd VLSI, occasional	

Consult your college's associate dean for faculty affairs for specific assistance with Classification of Instructional Programs codes

(CIP codes).

8

assessment tools, and the plan of action if the program does not meet its objectives. (250 word limit) The graduate committee for the program will meet annually to review the program benchmark data and SLO assessment data and to assess the overall program quality and success. Primary program benchmarks include FT and PT enrollment numbers, GPA, time to graduation statistics, student placement data including job placement and continued graduate study, and the results of alumni surveys (planned for every 3-5 years). 5b (related to 2d and 14.c) Based on the SLOs from question 2c, append a PDF of the program's curriculum map ⁶ to the end of this form. 5c Append an assessment plan ¹⁰ for the SLOs to the end of this form. 6a Will the program require completion of a bachelor's degree from a fully accredited institution of higher learning? No 1f "No," explain below. (150 word limit) The Graduate School requires applicants to have an overall GPA of 2.75 on undergraduate work. Will the program have a higher undergraduate GPA test and computer Engineering. (These agencies only accredited program in Computer Engineering, Computer Science, or Electrical Engineering. (These agencies only accredit undergraduate programs.) 6c Will the proposed program include requirements for testing (e.g. GRE, GMAT, test, "No Yes No 6c Will the program have and describe the specific requirements, scores, etc. below. (150 word limit) 6c Will the program include requirements for testing (e.g. GRE, GMAT, test," name each test and describe the specific requirements, scores, etc. below. (150 word limit)	5a	Referring to program objectives, student benefits, and the target audience (question the <i>program</i> will be assessed, which is different from assessing student learning out faculty of record will determine whether the program is a success or a failure. List the	comes. Inclue ne benchma	ude how the rks, the
3D the end of this form. 5c Append an assessment plan ¹⁰ for the SLOs to the end of this form. 6a Will the program require completion of a bachelor's degree from a fully accredited institution of higher learning? Yes No 6a If "No," explain below. (150 word limit) If "No," explain below. (150 word limit) No 6b The Graduate School requires applicants to have an overall GPA of 2.75 on undergraduate work. Will the program have a higher undergraduate GPA requirement? Yes No If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit) Admission requirements include a minimum GPA of 3.0 in an ABET or CSAB accredited program in Computer Engineering, Computer Science, or Electrical Engineering. (These agencies only accredit undergraduate programs.) No 6c Will the proposed program include requirements for testing (e.g. GRE, GMAT, Yes No No 6f "Yes," name each test and describe the specific requirements, scores, etc. below. (150 word limit) GRE quantitative and verbal tests are required, as well as TOEFL or IELTS for international or ESL applicants. There are no explicit minimum scores beyond any criteria established by the UK graduate school, but the overall strength of the application package including standardized test scores is considered in its entirety. 6d Will the program have a world language requirement? Yes No		The graduate committee for the program will meet annually to review the program be assessment data and to assess the overall program quality and success. Primary program and PT enrollment numbers, GPA, time to graduation statistics, student placement data	enchmark da ram benchn nta including	ata and SLO narks include FT
6a Will the program require completion of a bachelor's degree from a fully accredited institution of higher learning? Yes No 1f "No," explain below. (150 word limit) If "No," explain below. (150 word limit) No 6b The Graduate School requires applicants to have an overall GPA of 2.75 on undergraduate work. Will the program have a higher undergraduate GPA requirement? Yes No 1f "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit) Admission requirements include a minimum GPA of 3.0 in an ABET or CSAB accredited program in Computer Engineering, Computer Science, or Electrical Engineering. (These agencies only accredit undergraduate programs.) No 6c Will the proposed program include requirements for testing (e.g. GRE, GMAT, TOEFL) to be considered for admission? Yes No 1f "Yes," name each test and describe the specific requirements, scores, etc. below. (150 word limit) GRE quantitative and verbal tests are required, as well as TOEFL or IELTS for international or ESL applicants. There are no explicit minimum scores beyond any criteria established by the UK graduate school, but the overall strength of the application package including standardized test scores is considered in its entirety. 6d Will the program have a world language requirement? Yes No If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit) If a entirety. If a entirety.	5b		ogram's cu	rriculum map ⁹ to
6a institution of higher learning? YesNo _ if "No," explain below. (150 word limit)	5c	Append an assessment plan ¹⁰ for the SLOs to the end of this form.		
6a institution of higher learning? YesNo _ if "No," explain below. (150 word limit)				
6b The Graduate School requires applicants to have an overall GPA of 2.75 on undergraduate work. Will the program have a higher undergraduate GPA Yes No 6b requirement? If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit) Admission requirements include a minimum GPA of 3.0 in an ABET or CSAB accredited program in Computer Engineering, Computer Science, or Electrical Engineering. (These agencies only accredit undergraduate programs.) 6c Will the proposed program include requirements for testing (e.g. GRE, GMAT, TOEFL) to be considered for admission? Yes No If "Yes," name each test and describe the specific requirements, scores, etc. below. (150 word limit) GRE quantitative and verbal tests are required, as well as TOEFL or IELTS for international or ESL applicants. There are no explicit minimum scores beyond any criteria established by the UK graduate school, but the overall strength of the application package including standardized test scores is considered in its entirety. 6d Will the program have a world language requirement? Yes No	6a	institution of higher learning?	Yes	No
6b undergraduate work. Will the program have a higher undergraduate GPA Yes No requirement? If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit) Admission requirements include a minimum GPA of 3.0 in an ABET or CSAB accredited program in Computer Engineering, Computer Science, or Electrical Engineering. (These agencies only accredit undergraduate programs.) 6c Will the proposed program include requirements for testing (e.g. GRE, GMAT, TOEFL) to be considered for admission? Yes No 1f "Yes," name each test and describe the specific requirements, scores, etc. below. (150 word limit) GRE quantitative and verbal tests are required, as well as TOEFL or IELTS for international or ESL applicants. There are no explicit minimum scores beyond any criteria established by the UK graduate school, but the overall strength of the application package including standardized test scores is considered in its entirety. 6d Will the program have a world language requirement? Yes No 6d Will the program have a world language requirement? Yes No If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit) If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit)		If "No," explain below. (150 word limit)		
6b undergraduate work. Will the program have a higher undergraduate GPA Yes No requirement? If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit) Admission requirements include a minimum GPA of 3.0 in an ABET or CSAB accredited program in Computer Engineering, Computer Science, or Electrical Engineering. (These agencies only accredit undergraduate programs.) 6c Will the proposed program include requirements for testing (e.g. GRE, GMAT, TOEFL) to be considered for admission? Yes No 1f "Yes," name each test and describe the specific requirements, scores, etc. below. (150 word limit) GRE quantitative and verbal tests are required, as well as TOEFL or IELTS for international or ESL applicants. There are no explicit minimum scores beyond any criteria established by the UK graduate school, but the overall strength of the application package including standardized test scores is considered in its entirety. 6d Will the program have a world language requirement? Yes No 6d Will the program have a world language requirement? Yes No If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit) If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit)				
If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit) Admission requirements include a minimum GPA of 3.0 in an ABET or CSAB accredited program in Computer Engineering, Computer Science, or Electrical Engineering. (These agencies only accredit undergraduate programs.) 6c Will the proposed program include requirements for testing (e.g. GRE, GMAT, TOEFL) to be considered for admission? If "Yes," name each test and describe the specific requirements, scores, etc. below. (150 word limit) <i>GRE quantitative and verbal tests are required, as well as TOEFL or IELTS for international or ESL applicants.</i> There are no explicit minimum scores beyond any criteria established by the UK graduate school, but the overall strength of the application package including standardized test scores is considered in its entirety. 6d Will the program have a world language requirement? Yes No If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit) If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit)	6b	undergraduate work. Will the program have a higher undergraduate GPA	Yes 🔀	No
Engineering, Computer Science, or Electrical Engineering. (These agencies only accredit undergraduate programs.) 6c Will the proposed program include requirements for testing (e.g. GRE, GMAT, TOEFL) to be considered for admission? Yes No If "Yes," name each test and describe the specific requirements, scores, etc. below. (150 word limit) GRE quantitative and verbal tests are required, as well as TOEFL or IELTS for international or ESL applicants. There are no explicit minimum scores beyond any criteria established by the UK graduate school, but the overall strength of the application package including standardized test scores is considered in its entirety. 6d Will the program have a world language requirement? Yes No If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit) If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit)		If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit)	1	
6c TOEFL) to be considered for admission? Yes No If "Yes," name each test and describe the specific requirements, scores, etc. below. (150 word limit) GRE quantitative and verbal tests are required, as well as TOEFL or IELTS for international or ESL applicants. There are no explicit minimum scores beyond any criteria established by the UK graduate school, but the overall strength of the application package including standardized test scores is considered in its entirety. 6d Will the program have a world language requirement? Yes No If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit) If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit)		Engineering, Computer Science, or Electrical Engineering. (These agencies only accre		-
GRE quantitative and verbal tests are required, as well as TOEFL or IELTS for international or ESL applicants. There are no explicit minimum scores beyond any criteria established by the UK graduate school, but the overall strength of the application package including standardized test scores is considered in its entirety. 6d Will the program have a world language requirement? Yes No If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit)	6с	TOEFL) to be considered for admission?		
There are no explicit minimum scores beyond any criteria established by the UK graduate school, but the overall strength of the application package including standardized test scores is considered in its entirety. 6d Will the program have a world language requirement? Yes No If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit)				
strength of the application package including standardized test scores is considered in its entirety. 6d Will the program have a world language requirement? Yes No If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit)				
If "Yes," describe below. (150 word limit)				
	6d		Yes	Νο
9 Course mapping (or "curricular mapping") is a representation of how faculty				

9 Course mapping (or "curricular mapping") is a representation of how faculty intend to approach and assess each of the student learning outcomes identified for the courses for the degree program, with an emphasis on only those courses required for all degree candidates. It is a master chart that indicates which objectives are being met, to what extent, and how often. This identifies whether an objective is "introduced," "developed," and/or "mastered" within a given course; it may be helpful also to chart any classroom-based assessment measures used to demonstrate that claim.

- 10An assessment plan is typically a tabular grid that illustrates the artifacts, rubrics,assessment team, and periods of assessment for the SLOs.
- NEW MASTER'S DEGREE

6e	The Graduate School allows transfer of up to nine credits or 25% of course work. Ple	ease describ	e transfer credit
	limitations below for the proposed program. (150 word limit) None other than the established graduate school limitations.		
	None other than the established graduate school initiations.		
6f	Will the program have a thesis requirement (Plan A)? (If "Yes," explain the requirements below. If "No," proceed to question 6g)	Yes	No
	24 credits of course work plus a 6-credit thesis advised by a primary advisor and the outlined in attached program proposal.	sis committe	e. Full details
6g	Will the program have a non-thesis requirement (Plan B)? (If "Yes," explain the requirements below. If "No," proceed to question 6h)	Yes	No
	If "Yes," explain the requirements below. 30 credits of course work that may include a 3-credit project course. The non-thesis smaller scale than a full thesis), also advised by a primary advisor and thesis commit attached program proposal.		
6h	Provide the final examination criteria.	Yes	No
	Both thesis and non-thesis students have an oral thesis/project defense as well as a w		
	members examine the technical competency of students at the oral defense, which ac		
		1	0
6i	Describe termination criteria.	Yes	No
		· ·	A student
	Students must maintain a GPA of 3.0 to be considered as making satisfactory academ	nic progress.	. I Student
	Students must maintain a GPA of 3.0 to be considered as making satisfactory academ whose GPA is less than 3.0 at the end of a semester is on probation; if the GPA is still		
	whose GPA is less than 3.0 at the end of a semester is on probation; if the GPA is sti		
	whose GPA is less than 3.0 at the end of a semester is on probation; if the GPA is sti subsequent regular semester (Spring or Fall), the DGS may dismiss the student.	ll below 3.0	at the end of the
	 whose GPA is less than 3.0 at the end of a semester is on probation; if the GPA is still subsequent regular semester (Spring or Fall), the DGS may dismiss the student. Document the total credit hours required by level below. At least two-thirds of the result of the second secon	<i>II below 3.0</i> minimum re	<i>at the end of the</i> quirements for
7 a	 whose GPA is less than 3.0 at the end of a semester is on probation; if the GPA is still subsequent regular semester (Spring or Fall), the DGS may dismiss the student. Document the total credit hours required by level below. At least two-thirds of the master's or specialist degree must be in regular courses, and at least half of the 	<i>Il below 3.0</i> minimum re minimum co	<i>at the end of the</i> quirements for purse
7 a	whose GPA is less than 3.0 at the end of a semester is on probation; if the GPA is sti subsequent regular semester (Spring or Fall), the DGS may dismiss the student. Document the total credit hours required by level below. At least two-thirds of the the master's or specialist degree must be in regular courses, and at least half of the requirements (excluding thesis, practicum, or internship credit) must be in 600- or 7	<i>Il below 3.0</i> minimum re minimum co '00-level cou	<i>at the end of the</i> quirements for purse urses.
7a	 whose GPA is less than 3.0 at the end of a semester is on probation; if the GPA is still subsequent regular semester (Spring or Fall), the DGS may dismiss the student. Document the total credit hours required by level below. At least two-thirds of the master's or specialist degree must be in regular courses, and at least half of the 	<i>Il below 3.0</i> minimum re minimum co '00-level cou	<i>at the end of the</i> quirements for purse
	whose GPA is less than 3.0 at the end of a semester is on probation; if the GPA is stillsubsequent regular semester (Spring or Fall), the DGS may dismiss the student.Document the total credit hours required by level below. At least two-thirds of the requirements or specialist degree must be in regular courses, and at least half of the requirements (excluding thesis, practicum, or internship credit) must be in 600- or 7400G-level: See below500-level: See below600-level: See below	<i>Il below 3.0</i> minimum re minimum co '00-level cou	at the end of the quirements for purse urses. : See below
7a 7b*	whose GPA is less than 3.0 at the end of a semester is on probation; if the GPA is still subsequent regular semester (Spring or Fall), the DGS may dismiss the student. Document the total credit hours required by level below. At least two-thirds of the requirements (excluding thesis, practicum, or internship credit) must be in 600- or 7 400G-level: See below 500-level: See below 600-level: See below 600-level: See below What is the total number of credit hours required for the degree? ¹¹ (e.g. 24, 32)	<i>Il below 3.0</i> minimum re minimum co '00-level cou 700-level	at the end of the quirements for purse urses. : See below 30
	whose GPA is less than 3.0 at the end of a semester is on probation; if the GPA is stillsubsequent regular semester (Spring or Fall), the DGS may dismiss the student.Document the total credit hours required by level below. At least two-thirds of the requirements or specialist degree must be in regular courses, and at least half of the requirements (excluding thesis, practicum, or internship credit) must be in 600- or 7400G-level: See below500-level: See below600-level: See below	Il below 3.0 minimum re minimum co 700-level cou 700-level 0 word limit ining 24 req of the total c or 700 level. in CS, EE, o	at the end of the quirements for purse urses. : See below 30) urired coursework coursework, r CPE. At least
	whose GPA is less than 3.0 at the end of a semester is on probation; if the GPA is still subsequent regular semester (Spring or Fall), the DGS may dismiss the student.Document the total credit hours required by level below. At least two-thirds of the requirements (excluding thesis, practicum, or internship credit) must be in 600- or 7 400G-level: See belowWhat is the total number of credit hours required for the degree?11 (e.g. 24, 32) If an explanation about the total credit hours is necessary, use the space below. (15 Thesis option: The student may take up to 6 credits of Thesis Research. Of the rema credits, at least 18 credits must be in courses in CS, EE, or CPE. At least 12 credits of Non-thesis option: Of the 30 coursework credits, at least 21 credits must be courses in 15 credits of thee total coursework, including at least 12 credits of the CS/EE/CPE coursework the 600 or 700 level.	Il below 3.0 minimum re minimum co 700-level cou 700-level 0 word limit ining 24 req of the total c or 700 level. in CS, EE, o oursework ,	at the end of the quirements for purse urses. : See below 30) urired coursework coursework, r CPE. At least
	whose GPA is less than 3.0 at the end of a semester is on probation; if the GPA is still subsequent regular semester (Spring or Fall), the DGS may dismiss the student.Document the total credit hours required by level below. At least two-thirds of the requirements (excluding thesis, practicum, or internship credit) must be in 600- or 7400G-level: See below500-level: See below600-level: See below600-level: See belowWhat is the total number of credit hours required for the degree? ¹¹ (e.g. 24, 32)If an explanation about the total credit hours is necessary, use the space below. (15Thesis option: The student may take up to 6 credits of Thesis Research. Of the remacredits, at least 18 credits must be in courses in CS, EE, or CPE. At least 12 credits of including at least 9 credits of the CS/EE/CPE coursework, must be taken at the 600 or Non-thesis option: Of the 30 coursework credits, at least 12 credits must be courses in 15 credits of thee total coursework, including at least 12 credits of the CS/EE/CPE coursework, including at least 12 credits of the CS/EE/CPE coursework, sourses for a concentredUse the grids below to list core courses, electives, courses for a concentred	Il below 3.0 minimum re minimum co 700-level cou 700-level 0 word limit ining 24 req of the total c or 700 level. in CS, EE, o oursework ,	at the end of the quirements for purse urses. : See below 30 :) uired coursework coursework, r CPE. At least must be taken at
	whose GPA is less than 3.0 at the end of a semester is on probation; if the GPA is still subsequent regular semester (Spring or Fall), the DGS may dismiss the student.Document the total credit hours required by level below. At least two-thirds of the requirements (excluding thesis, practicum, or internship credit) must be in 600- or 7 400G-level: See belowWhat is the total number of credit hours required for the degree?11 (e.g. 24, 32) If an explanation about the total credit hours is necessary, use the space below. (15 Thesis option: The student may take up to 6 credits of Thesis Research. Of the rema credits, at least 18 credits must be in courses in CS, EE, or CPE. At least 12 credits of Non-thesis option: Of the 30 coursework credits, at least 21 credits must be courses in 15 credits of thee total coursework, including at least 12 credits of the CS/EE/CPE coursework the 600 or 700 level.	Il below 3.0 minimum re minimum co 700-level cou 700-level 0 word limit ining 24 req of the total c or 700 level. in CS, EE, o oursework ,	at the end of the quirements for purse urses. : See below 30 :) uired coursework coursework, r CPE. At least must be taken at
	whose GPA is less than 3.0 at the end of a semester is on probation; if the GPA is still subsequent regular semester (Spring or Fall), the DGS may dismiss the student.Document the total credit hours required by level below. At least two-thirds of the requirements (excluding thesis, practicum, or internship credit) must be in 600- or 7400G-level: See below500-level: See below600-level: See below600-level: See belowWhat is the total number of credit hours required for the degree? ¹¹ (e.g. 24, 32)If an explanation about the total credit hours is necessary, use the space below. (15Thesis option: The student may take up to 6 credits of Thesis Research. Of the remacredits, at least 18 credits must be in courses in CS, EE, or CPE. At least 12 credits of including at least 9 credits of the CS/EE/CPE coursework, must be taken at the 600 or Non-thesis option: Of the 30 coursework credits, at least 12 credits must be courses in 15 credits of thee total coursework, including at least 12 credits of the CS/EE/CPE coursework, including at least 12 credits of the CS/EE/CPE coursework, sourses for a concentredUse the grids below to list core courses, electives, courses for a concentred	Il below 3.0 minimum re minimum co 700-level cou 700-level cou 700-level 0 word limit ining 24 req of the total c or 700 level. in CS, EE, on oursework , ation, etc. course form. gram and in	at the end of the quirements for purse irses. : See below 30 :) uired coursework coursework, r CPE. At least must be taken at

11A non-thesis option (Plan B) requires that six or more graduate credit hours ofcoursework be submitted in lieu of a thesis.Page 10 of 30NEW MASTER'S DEGREEPage 10 of 30

"µ	prerequisite."					
Prefix & Number	Course Title	-	Type of Course	Credit Hrs	Cour	rse Status ¹²
CS315	Algorithm Design, or equivalent		Pgm Core Prerequisite	3	No Chang	e
CS275	Discrete Mathematics, or equivalent		Pgm Core Prerequisite	3	No Chang	e
EE280	Digital Logic, or equivalent		Pgm Core Prerequisite	3	No Chang	e
CPE287	Embedded Systems, or equivalent		Pgm Core Prerequisite	3	No Chang	e
CPE380	Computer Architecture, or equivalent		Pgm Core Prerequisite	3	No Chang	e
CS570	Operating Systems		Pgm Core Prerequisite	3	No Chang	e
EE685	Digital Computer Structure		Pgm Core Prerequisite	3	No Chang	e
CS541	Compiler Design		Pgm Core Prerequisite	3	No Chang	e
EE580	Embedded Systems		Pgm Core Prerequisite	3	No Chang	e
	Total Core C	Cours	ses Credit Hours:	12 ((9 required)	
7d Is	there any narrative about prerequisite courses for the	e pro	ogram that should	be	Yes 🖂	No
in 70	cluded in the Bulletin? If "Yes," note below. (150 word	d lim	it)		res	
Sj	pecific MS prerequisites include a programming backg	groui	nd (equivalent to C	CS215 o	r higher) and	l at least 3 of
th	e following 5 undergraduate courses: Algorithm Desig	gn (C	CS315 or equivalen	nt), Disc	crete Mathen	natics (CS275
01	equivalent), digital logic (EE280 or equivalent), Emb	edde	ed Systems (CPE2)	87 or eq	<i>uivalent), an</i>	nd Computer
A	rchitecture (CPE380 or equivalent)					
70	there any narrative about core courses for the progra the Bulletin? If "Yes," note below.	m tł	nat should be inclu	ded	Yes	No
	tudents are required to take 9 credits of core coursewor	rk, to	o include 3 of the f	ollowin	g 4 courses:	
C	S570 Operating Systems				-	
E	E685 Digital Computer Structure					
C	S541 Compiler Design					
E	E580 Embedded Systems					
<i>C</i>	S612/EE612 Independent work (6 credits, for thesis op	otion	only)			
	ogram Guided Electives ¹³ (Guided electives for <u>all</u> stu					
/t*	pes the program include any guided electives? (If "Yes pecific courses in the grid below. If "No," indicate and (Yes	Νο 🔀
12	Use the drop-down list to ir	ndica	ate if the course is	a new d	course ("new	/"), an
existin	g course that will change ("change"), or if the course i					
change			_			

13

Guided electives are available to all students in the program and are organized as groups of elective courses, from which a student chooses one (or two, or three, etc.).

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE

7g*	Using the grid provided, list the guided electives below.			
Prefix Numbe	Course Title	Credit Hrs	Co	urse Status ¹⁴
			Select o	ne
			Select o	ne
			Select o	ne
			Select o	ne
			Select o	ne
			Select o	ne
			Select o	ne
			Select o	ne
			Select o	ne
			Select o	ne
	Total Credit Hours as Guided Electives:			
7h	Is there any narrative about guided electives courses that should be included Bulletin? If "Yes," note below. (150 word limit)	in the	Yes	No
	Program Free Electives ¹⁵ . (Free electives for <u>all</u> students in the program.)			
7i*	Does the program include any free electives? (If "Yes," indicate and proceed to question 7j. If "No," indicate and proceed to 7l.)	O Y	′es 🔀	No
7j*	What is the total number of credit hours in free electives? 15 (thesis), 9	(non-the	esis)	
7k	Provide the free electives courses language that will be included in the Gradua	ate Scho	ol Bulletin	. (150 word limit)
	For the thesis option, aside from the 6 thesis-course credits and the 9 core-course	se credit	ts, the stud	lent may select
	the remaining 15 credits from any graduate course in CS/EE/CPE. For the nor	<i>thesis c</i>	ption, asie	de from the 9
	core-course credits, the student may select the remaining 21 credits from any g	raduate	course in (CS/EE/CPE.
	Courses outside these departments may also count to the requirement of 30 cre	dits with	the appro	val of the DGS.
			**	
	Courses for a program's concentration(s).			
	Click <u>HERE</u> for a template for additional concentrations ¹⁶ .			
71	Does the program include any concentrations? (If "Yes," indicate and proceed	to 、	(<u>)</u>	
71	question 7m. If "No," indicate and proceed to 7p.)	Y	/es	Νο

16

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE

Append a PDF with each concentration's courses to the end of this form.

¹⁴

Use the drop-down list to indicate if the course is a new course ("new"), an existing course that will change ("change"), or if the course is an existing course that will not change ("no change").

Program free electives are available to all students in the program (regardless of 15 any concentration(s)) and the choice of which course(s) to take is up to the student. Courses are not grouped but can be described as "student must take three courses at the 600-level or above."

Prefix &		Course Title		Credit	
	(Check the apr	propriate box to describe the course	e as "a core course for	•	Course Status ¹⁷
Numbe	the concent	tration" or "an elective course for t	he concentration.")	Hrs	
			Core		
			Elective		Select one
			Core		_
			Elective		Select one
			Core		
					Select one
7n	Provide concentra	tion-related language that should b	e included in the Grad	luate Schoo	Bulletin (150 word limit)
711					
	Does the program	have an additional concentration?	(If "Yes " indicate and		
70		on 7p. If "No," indicate and proceed			Yes No 🖂
	proceed to questic	fill p. II No, indicate and proceed	110 /1.)		
7.5	Concentration #21				
7р	Concentration #2 I				
Prefix &	x	Course Title	"	Credit	10
Numbe	r	propriate box to describe the cours	Hrs	Course Status ¹⁸	
	the concent	tration" or "an elective course for t	he concentration.")		
			Core		Select one
			Elective		
			Core		Select one
			Elective		Select one
		Total Credit H	ours, Concentration #2	2:	
7~	Provide concentra	tion-related language that should b	e included in the Grad	duate Schoo	l Bulletin for the second
7q	concentration. (15	0 word limit)			
7r	Is there anything e	lse about the proposed program th	nat should be mention	ed? (150 wo	ord limit)
	e Plan				
	Create a degree pl	an for the proposed program by lis	ting in the table below	/ the course	s that a typical student
8a		emester. Use the spaces for "Year 3	-		
0u		mplate for additional concentration		-	
					initiation's semester-by-
		of study to the end of this form.		Elective	
	YEAR 1 - FALL:	Thesis plan	YEAR 1 - SPRING:	Elective	
				Elective	
		CS570 Operating Systems		Elective	
		EE685 Digital Computer			
17		Use the drop-down list to	indicate if the course	is a new co	urse ("new"), an
	ting course that wil	I change ("change"), or if the cours			
	nge").				
40	ige J.	Lloc the dual datum Pater	indicate if the second		("pour") ==
18		Use the drop-down list to			
exis		Use the drop-down list to I change ("change"), or if the cours			

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE

		Structure			
		Elective			
	YEAR 2 - FALL :	<i>CS541 Compiler Design</i> <i>Elective</i>	YEAR 2 -	SPRING:	(MS Thesis work)
	YEAR 3 - FALL:		YEAR 3 -	SPRING:	
	With reference to	the degree plan above evo	lain how there is n	rogression	in rigor and complexity in the course
8b	that make up the	program. (150 word limit)	-	-	
	Students in the pr	ogram typically start with the	e core courses mos	t connecte	d to their area of interest, then progres
				1 2	early in their program students find a
					ork through the process of problem
		•			lution and detailed experimental
	design, study imp	lementation, data analysis an	d dissemination of	work. St	udents work closely with their thesis of
	project advisor to	understand and move throug	this process.		
	pirovalis/Reviews				
	Information below d	oes not supersede the requi	rement for individ	ual letters	of support from educational unit
	administrato	rs and verification of faculty	support (typically t	akes the f	orm of meeting minutes).
	Reviewing Gro	oun Date			
	-	CO	ntact Person Nam	e/Phone/	Email
	Name	Approved			
	Name (Within College) I	Approved	h below, attach doo	umentatio	on of department and college
92	(Within College) I	Approved n addition to the informatior			on of department and college
9a	(Within College) I approval. This typ	Approved n addition to the information ically takes the form of meet			-
9a	(Within College) I approval. This typ	Approved n addition to the informatior			-
9a	(Within College) I approval. This typ	Approved n addition to the information ically takes the form of meet			-
9a	(Within College) I approval. This typ	Approved n addition to the information ically takes the form of meet			-
9a	(Within College) I approval. This typ	Approved n addition to the information ically takes the form of meet			
9a	(Within College) I approval. This typ	Approved n addition to the information ically takes the form of meet			-
	(Within College) I approval. This typ department- and	Approved n addition to the information ically takes the form of meet			-
9a 9b	(Within College) I approval. This typ department- and	Approved n addition to the information pically takes the form of meet college-level votes.			
	(Within College) I approval. This typ department- and	Approved n addition to the information pically takes the form of meet college-level votes.			
	(Within College) I approval. This typ department- and	Approved n addition to the information pically takes the form of meet college-level votes.			
	(Within College) I approval. This typ department- and	Approved n addition to the information pically takes the form of meet college-level votes.			
	(Within College) I approval. This typ department- and	Approved n addition to the information pically takes the form of meet college-level votes.			
	(Within College) I approval. This typ department- and	Approved n addition to the information pically takes the form of meet college-level votes.			on of department and college an email from the unit head reporting
	(Within College) I approval. This typ department- and	Approved n addition to the information pically takes the form of meet college-level votes.			
	(Within College) I approval. This typ department- and	Approved n addition to the information pically takes the form of meet college-level votes.			
	(Within College) I approval. This typ department- and	Approved n addition to the information pically takes the form of meet college-level votes.			
9b	(Within College) I approval. This typ department- and (Collaborating and	Approved n addition to the information sically takes the form of meet college-level votes. d/or Affected Units)	ting minutes but m	ay also be	an email from the unit head reportin
9b	(Within College) I approval. This typ department- and (Collaborating and (Senate Academic	Approved n addition to the information ically takes the form of meet college-level votes. d/or Affected Units) d/or Affected Units) college college <td< td=""><td>ting minutes but m</td><td>ay also be</td><td>an email from the unit head reportin</td></td<>	ting minutes but m	ay also be	an email from the unit head reportin
	(Within College) I approval. This typ department- and (Collaborating and (Senate Academic	Approved n addition to the information ically takes the form of meet college-level votes. d/or Affected Units) d/or Affected Units) council indication indin </td <td>ting minutes but m</td> <td>ay also be</td> <td>an email from the unit head reportin</td>	ting minutes but m	ay also be	an email from the unit head reportin

Brothers, Sheila C.

From:	Nikou, Roshan
Sent:	Tuesday, February 26, 2019 9:19 AM
То:	Bird-Pollan, Jennifer; Jackson, Brian; Brothers, Sheila; Ett-Mims, Joanie; Price, Cleophus;
	Congleton, Nathan; Nikou, Roshan
Cc:	Finkel, Raphael; Truszczynski, Miroslaw
Subject:	Transmittals
Attachments:	MS in Computer Enginnering.pdf; PhD in Computer Engineering.pdf; MS in Data
	Science.pdf

TO: Jennifer Bird-Pollan, Chair and Sheila Brothers, Coordinator

FROM: Brian Jackson, Chair and Roshan Nikou, Coordinator Graduate Council

The Graduate Council approved the following proposals and is now forwarding them to the Senate Council to approve.

Programs:

MS in Computer Engineering PhD in Computer Engineering MS in Data Science



Roshan Nikou, MA

Graduate Council Coordinator 101 Ezra Gillis Building | Lexington, KY 40506-0033 (859)257-1457 | www.gradschool.uky.edu roshan.nikou@uky.edu



University of Kentucky

College of Engineering Office of the Dean

353 Ralph G. Anderson Bldg.

Lexington, KY 40506 P: 859-257-1687 F: 859-257-5727 www.uky.edu

February 25, 2019

Brian Jackson Interim Dean, Graduate School University of Kentucky Graduate School Ezra Gillis Building 502 Administration Drive Lexington, KY 40506-0033

Dear Dean Jackson,

The College of Engineering has reviewed the proposal for the MS in Computer Engineering. This review included the educational aspects and administrative feasibility of the proposed structure. I confirm that the proposal is administratively feasible, and it has the support of our college.

Sincerely,

RGBuckhik

Rudolph G. Buchheit Dean, College of Engineering Professor, Chemical and Materials Engineering



An Equal Opportunity University

	ON B - INFORMATION REQUIRED BY CPE AND SACS
10. Pro	ogram Overview – Program Quality and Student Success
10a*	 Highlight any distinctive qualities of the proposed program. Are any faculty nationally or internationally recognized for expertise in this field? Does this program build on the expertise of an existing locally, nationally, or internationally recognized program at UK? (300 word limit) This program is a logical continuation of the undergraduate program in Computer Engineering, which started in
	2006. The original proposal for that program, approved at the department and college levels, included both MS and PhD programs. The undergraduate program has grown from zero to over 200 students in the past 10 years, and CS and ECE departments have multiple faculty who do research in areas related to Computer Engineering. These faculty regularly bring in funding and carry out research in this area, but it is challenging to recruit graduate students (as well as TAs to support the undergraduate program) given the lack of a graduate program in this area.
10b*	(<i>similar to 2b</i>) What are the intended student learning outcomes (SLOs) of the proposed program? Address one or more of the five areas of learning – broad, integrative knowledge; specialized knowledge; intellectual skills; applied learning; and civic learning. (<i>300 word limit</i>)
	 Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) for the proposed program, reflecting skills and abilities that students are expected to possess by the time they graduate, include that our graduates will be able to: 1. Identify, analyze and solve technical problems related to computer engineering. 2. Design and conduct experiments and detailed data analysis. 3. Participate and make contributions to scholarly research activities.
	4. Communicate technical concepts effectively, both orally and in writing.
10c	Clearly state the student admission, retention, and completion standards designed to encourage high quality. (300 words)
	Applicants to the MS program in Computer Engineering are expected to have an ABET or CSAB accredited undergraduate degree in Computer Engineering, Computer Science, or Electrical Engineering. (These agencies only accredit undergraduate programs.) In addition to the graduate school admissions criteria, specific MS prerequisites include a programming background (equivalent to CS215 or higher) and at least 3 of the following a undergraduate courses: Algorithm Design (CS315 or equivalent), Discrete Mathematics (CS275 or equivalent), Digital Logic (EE280 or equivalent), Embedded Systems (CPE287 or equivalent), and Computer Architecture (CPE380 or equivalent).
	Students may also be admitted to the program through the University Scholars Program, including the BSCPE- MSCPE, BSCS-MSCPE, and BSEE-MSCPE scholars programs. Students must meet the published GPA and status requirements to apply for this program. Students must maintain a 3.0 or better GPA across all CS and ECI courses, and they must have an overall GPA of 3.0 or better to complete the degree.
10d*	Describe how the proposed program will articulate with related programs in the state. Include the extent to which student transfer has been explored and coordinated with other institutions. Note: Convert all draft articulation agreements related to this proposed program to PDF and append to the end of this form. (300 word limit)
	The University of Louisville is currently the only university in Kentucky with graduate programs that include the area of Computer Engineering, offering both MS and PhD degrees in "Computer Engineering and Computer Science". (However, they do not have standalone Computer Engineering degrees.) In addition, U of L, UK, and Western Kentucky have accredited undergrad EE programs, and U of L, UK, and Eastern Kentucky have accredited undergrad From any of those programs would be eligible to apply to the proposed MS program.
11. Mi 11a*	ission: Centrality to the Institution's Mission and Consistency with State's Goals (similar to question 2c) List the objectives of the proposed program? These objectives should deal with the
IId	(similar to question 2c) List the objectives of the proposed program? These objectives should deal with the specific institutional and societal needs that the program will address. (300 word limit)
	The goal of this program is to provide students advanced training in the areas of computer hardware and software

The goal of this program is to provide students advanced training in the areas of computer hardware and software engineering needed to support continued regional and national workforce demands. Specific program objectives include that graduates of our program will:

1. Obtain employment and advance in careers appropriate to an advanced technical degree

2. Use their technical and professional skills to make a positive impact on society and the world.

3. Engage in continued professional development and life-long learnin

Strong motivating factors support the need to implement graduate programs in the area of Computer Engineering. This program will enable us to:

• Provide advanced training in the areas of computer hardware and software engineering needed to support continued regional and national workforce demands.

• Improve our ability to recruit and retain faculty in this area, in support of not only the CompE undergraduate program but the CS and EE undergraduate and graduate programs as well.

• Improve our ability to recruit qualified graduate Teaching Assistants with backgrounds in Computer Engineering, in support of CompE, CS, and EE programs.

• Create an appropriate curriculum and program infrastructure for those faculty and graduate students who are already doing research work in the area of Computer Engineering. (Currently graduate students doing work in this area must identify as either CS or EE and fulfill those program requirements.)

• Strengthen our research infrastructure by providing much-needed research and technical support for the many other disciplines and projects throughout UK who rely on expertise in Computer Engineering to support and carry out their scholarly work.

Demand and salaries in computer engineering continue to be strong. According to Bureau of Labor Statistics estimates, employment in computer occupations is projected to increase by 12.5 percent from 2014 to 2024; this growth is expected to result in nearly half a million new jobs, far more than in any other STEM field.

11b* Explain how the program objectives above in item 11a support at least two aspects of <u>UK's institutional mission</u> and academic strategic plan? (150 word limit)

As a key area of national growth and prominence with great cross-disciplinary impact, Computer Engineering supports UK's dedication to "improving people's lives through excellence in education, research and creative work, service, and health care" through facilitating learning, expanding knowledge, and serving as a global community for dissemination of knowledge.

Similarly, the proposed program will support the College of Engineering's mission "to provide education, research, and service in a scholarly environment in a way that prepares our students for successful professional careers, addresses the changing needs of our other of our other constituents, and responds to the technological challenges facing the Commonwealth and the Nation."

 11c*
 How do the program objectives above in item 11a support at least two aspects of the Council on Postsecondary Education's (CPE) Strategic Agenda and the statewide implementation plan? (300 word limit)

 This program will support the CPE priority to "Increase degree and certificate completion, fill workforce shortages, and guide more graduates to a career path" as well as to "Create economic growth and development and make our state more prosperous". As noted above, demand and salaries in the area of computer engineering are a strong long-term growth area.

11d* If an approval letter from an Education Professional Standards Board (EPSB) is required, check the box below and append a PDF version of the letter to this form. (E.g. any program leading to teacher, principal, or superintendent certification, rank change, etc.)

12. Resources

12a* How will the program support or be supported by other programs within the institution? For example, shared faculty, shared courses, collaborative research, etc. (300 word limit)

In addition to the value to the CS and ECE programs, Computer Engineering has tremendous positive impact on numerous other disciplines, including health sciences, bioinformatics, biomedical engineering, information technology, and many others. Growth in this area will lead to further opportunities for collaborative research and shared curriculum with other colleges and units.

12b	What will be the projected "faculty-to-student in major" ratio? (150 word limit)
	We expect a steady state of approximately 20 students in this program. A proximately 10 faculty members will be involved in presenting classes and supervising projects and theses at any time pertaining to this program. So the faculty-to-student ratio will be 1:2. However, this program is administered by the faculty of two departmental units, who already support multiple undergraduate programs and graduate programs, so this measure is misleading.

Describe the library resources available¹⁹ to support this program. Access to the qualitative and quantitative library resources must be appropriate for the proposed program and should meet recognized standards for study at a particular level or in a particular field where such standards are available. Adequacy of electronic access, library facilities, and human resources to service the proposed program in terms of students and faculty will be considered. (300 word limit)

UK library resources are already sufficient to support this program.

12dDescribe the physical facilities and instructional equipment available to support this program. Physical facilities
and instructional equipment must be adequate to support a high-quality program. Address the availability of
classroom, laboratory, and office space, as well as any equipment needs. (300 word limit)Physical facilities and instructional resources are already available to support this program. The addition of this
MS program is not likely to place undue pressure on those resources.

13. Demand and Unnecessary Duplication

Provide justification and evidence to support the need and demand for this proposed program. Include any data on student demand, employer demand, career opportunities at any level, or any recent trends in the discipline that necessitate a new program. (300 word limit)

13a*

• This evidence is typically in the form of surveys of potential students, enrollments in related programs at the institution, employer surveys, and current labor market analyses.

- Anecdotal evidence is insufficient. Demonstrate a systematic collection of data, thorough study of the data, and a reasonably estimated student demand for the program.
- Provide evidence of student demand at state and national levels.

STEM fields continue their upward growth in undergraduate and graduate degrees awarded. Of those degrees, the largest percentage increases in recent years have been in fields related to computer engineering. For example, from the most recent ASEE data, growth in Bachelor's degrees in Computer Engineering from 2014 to 2015 was 16.2% while combined Electrical and Computer Engineering Bachelor's grew 21.3%. At the graduate level as well, computer engineering-related programs had some of the largest percentage increases among all engineering fields. Nationally, MS degrees in Computer Engineering have grown more than 40% in the past 15 years (Yoder, 2016).

Salary growth in computer engineering continues to be strong. According to Bureau of Labor Statistics estimates, employment in computer occupations is projected to increase by 12.5 percent from 2014 to 2024; this growth is expected to result in nearly half a million new jobs, far more than in any other STEM field. Currently, the median annual wage for Computer Engineers ranges from \$107K to \$150K depending on factors like employment sector (industry, academia, government) and geographical location.(Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2015)

In fact, nearly all of the 10 fastest growing STEM occupations that require a bachelor's or higher degree are in the computer and mathematics groups. Some of the fastest growing of these are in computer systems design and related services. The rapid growth projected is due in large part to the projected growth for the industry of 23 percent. (Fayer, 2017)

The following table shows a 5-year prediction of number of jobs and average salary (or salary range) for several job categories related to this degree program. The data come from the Kentucky Future Skills Report at <u>kcews.ky.gov</u> and the Federal Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics at <u>www.bls.gov</u>. The categories do not exactly fit the range of jobs that graduates of this program will seek.

Inch category Regional State National	Job category	Regional	State	National
	JOD Category	Regional	State	National

Please contact Institutional Effectiveness (institutionaleffectiveness@uky.edu) for

	Network and Computer Systems administrator	85 (\$61K)	395 (\$53K-\$77K)	1,956,500	(\$81K)
	Computer Systems Analyst	193 (\$76K)	973 (\$66K-\$80k)	3,002,500	(\$88K)
	Software Developers, Systems Software	107 (\$84K)	341 (\$72K-\$91K)	6,281,000	(\$104K)
13b	Thesis option:24 credit hour listed core courses. Of the 2- coursework (minimum 12 cr or 700 level. Thesis defense Non-thesis option: 30 credit credits, 21 credits must be co	s of courses plus a Mas 4 course credits, 2/3 (m redits) and half of the C and document are com hours of courses. Stud ourses in CS, EE, or Cl oursework must be at th	for the proposed program. (15 ster's thesis. Students take 9 cm inimum 18 credits) must in CS CS/EE/CPE coursework (minin pleted per graduate school req ents take 9 credits of core cour PE. Half of the total coursewon the 600 or 700 level. Students m	edits of core co S, EE, or CPE. I num 9 credits) 1 uirements. rsework. Of the rk (minimum 1.	Half of the total nust be at the 600 30 course 5 credits) and 12
13c*	Will this program replace or concentrations or specializa If "Yes," explain:		program(s) or tracks (or g program? (300 word limit)	Yes	Νο
13d	Identify the primary feeders	for the program. (150	word limit)		
	All state and national underg	graduate programs in E	E, CS, or Computer Engineerin luate students in specific resear		ders are UK
13e	students will be considered a faculty in both CS and EE w	rough our own CS, EE, for TAs in the CS or EC vill recruit applicants fo	ocess. (300 word limit) or Computer Engineering und CE departments to support Con or RA positions as well. The gra- uitment and selection process for	nputer Enginee. aduate committ	ring courses, and
13f*	 Does this program b program at your ins 	Ity nationally or internous of the expertise of the titution?	ogram. (300 word limit) ationally recognized for expert f an existing locally, nationally ties or equipment that are unio	, or internation	ally recognized
	Professor Hank Dietz is inte cluster computing. Professo	rnationally known for l or James Griffioen is int	his work on compiler construct ternationally known for his wo	tion, optimizing	compilers, and
			ed. Our specialized equipment uting equipment in the Univers		AOS clusters
13g	managed by Dr. Dietz and h Computational Sciences.	<i>igh-performance comp</i> rojected net increase ir		sity of Kentuck	AOS clusters y Center for
13g	managed by Dr. Dietz and h Computational Sciences. Provide any evidence of a pr proposed program. (300 wo We estimate 20-25 MS study of the undergraduate popula	<i>igh-performance comp</i> rojected net increase ir rd limit) <i>ents in this program (10</i> <i>tion and of enrollments</i> <i>ing work in this area bu</i>	<i>uting equipment in the Univers</i> to total student enrollments to 0-12 new students per academi is in the CS and EE graduate pro ut are forced to choose either C	sity of Kentuck the campus as c year) based o ograms. (A few	AOS clusters y Center for a result of the n the current size y of these may be

	Academic Year	# Degrees Conferred	Majors (headcou Fall Semester	int)
	2018-2019	0	10	
	2019-2020	5	15	
	20 <i>20</i> - 20 <i>21</i>	10	20	
	20 <i>21</i> - 20 <i>22</i>	10	20	
	2022 - 2023	10	20	
	2022 2023		20	
13i	Clearly describe all evidence justify academic reasons. (300 word limit, <i>(See question 13a)</i>	ing a new program based on changes in th)	ie academic discip	line or other
	(See question Isu)			
13j	Has the Council on Postsecondary E	Education identified similar programs? ²⁰	Yes	No
	If "Yes," the following questions (5)			
	, 0 , , ,	· ·		
(1)	Does the program differ from exis objectives, etc.? (150 word limit)	ting programs in terms of curriculum, focu	IS, Yes	No
	If "Yes," explain: The University o	f Louisville has an <u>MS program i</u> n "Comp	uter Science and	Computer
		strictly Computer Science, however, requir		
		ndational (theory) and analytic (modeling)		
		ling embedded systems, digital computer s		
	· · ·	nm is therefore "classical" computer science	e. The focus of t	he proposed
	program is the intersection of com	puter science and electrical engineering.		
	Does the proposed program serve	e a different student population (e.g., stud	onts	
(2)		nontraditional students) from existing	Yes	No
(2)	programs? (150 word limit)			
		ogram will in particular better serve the UI	K undergraduate v	opulation. It will
		<i>E</i> , and Computer Engineering disciplines.		1
	C i			
(3)	Is access to existing programs limi	ted? (150 word limit)	Yes 🔀	No
	If "Yes," explain: Geographically,	students from the central and eastern parts	of the state have	better access to
	UK.			
(4)	Is there excess demand for existin		Yes 🔀	No
		ndous need and growth in this discipline, n		
		are currently at least 86 U.S. universities w		in Computer
	Engineering (per ASEE data 2016	i), and more than 200 undergraduate progra	ams in the field.	
(5)		en the proposed program and existing	Yes 🔀	No
	programs? (150 word limit)	annon a standith a viation and an an of "		-have is no
	collaboration with existing progra	arrangements with existing programs. If "	no, explain why	
		boration between Louisville and UK engir	peering programs	undergraduate
		eligible and welcome to apply to the other		
		this field that having two programs within	• • •	
	-	ents. Faculty at the two institutions have co		-
		m and Ragade at UofL; Dr. Farag of UofL		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		ed an EPSCoR proposal that involves UKY		
	One aspect is called Collaborative	Human Machine interfaces, involving new	w types of comput	ational hardware

	aro	chitectures. We expect that the proposed program will enhance such collaborative	e ventures.	
13k*		e there similar programs in other <u>Southern Regional Education Board (SREB)</u> ates in the nation?	Yes	No
		'Yes," please answer the questions below to demonstrate why this proposed pro the one(s) currently in existence.	ogram is need	ded in addition
13k.		Identify similar programs in other SREB states and in the nation.		
		There are currently at least 86 U.S. universities with MS programs in Computer I	Engineering (per ASEE data
		2016).		
13k.	.ii*	Does the program differ from existing programs in terms of curriculum, focus, objectives, etc.?	Yes	No
		If "Yes," explain. (300 word limit)		
		Our program is at the intersection of two fields. Its focus, therefore, is on the "s science and the "computer" side of Electrical Engineering.	ystems" side	of computer
		Does the proposed program serve a different student population (e.g.,		
13k.i	iii*	students in a different geographic area and non-traditional students) from existing programs?	Yes	No
		If "Yes," explain. (300 word limit)		
		There are many diverse student populations served, particularly students from (Central Kenti	icky.
13k.	iv*	Is access to existing programs limited?	Yes	No
		If "Yes," explain. (300 word limit)		
		There are many regional and access differences across programs.		
13k.	.v*	Is there excess demand for existing similar programs?	Yes 🔀	No
		If "Yes," explain. (300 word limit)		
		The number of total degrees being granted and the number of job opportunities grow both regionally and nationally.	in the field o	continues to
13k.v	vi*	Will there be collaboration between the proposed program and existing programs?	Yes	No
		If "No," explain. (300 word limit)	in any family	, and all all an arts
		There is no need for explicit collaboration between existing programs, although across universities and share curricular and other teaching resources to avoid developing such materials.		
13		ould your institution like to make this program available through the <u>Academic</u> mmon Market ²¹ ?	Yes	Νο
	Cle	early describe evidence of employer demand. Such evidence may include employ	er surveys	urrent labor
		arket analyses, and future human resources projections. Where appropriate, evid	-	
13m	cre	nployers' preferences for graduates of the proposed program over persons havir edentials and employers' willingness to pay higher salaries to graduates of the pr nit)	-	-
		ee question 13a.)		
	Sa	lary growth in computer engineering continues to be strong. According to Bureau timates, employment in computer occupations is projected to increase by 12.5 per		

	CS541 Co • A Masters	ompiler Design, EE580 s Thesis (thesis option) o ritten report, and oral do	or project (non-thesis opt	Contribution to Scholarly Work Introduce Introduce Introduce	mentored scholarly Effective Communication Dev Dev Dev Dev Dev Dev
	CS541 Co • A Masters project, w SLO Curriculum m CS570 EE685	Design, EE580 S Thesis (thesis option) of the second sec	er project (non-thesis opt efense Experimental Design	Contribution to Scholarly Work Introduce Introduce	Effective Communication Dev Dev
	CS541 Co • A Masters project, w SLO Curriculum m CS570	pompiler Design, EE580 s Thesis (thesis option) of ritten report, and oral de napping Problem Solving Dev	er project (non-thesis opt efense Experimental Design	Contribution to Scholarly Work Introduce	Effective Communication Dev
	CS541 Co • A Masters project, w SLO Curriculum m	ompiler Design, EE580 s Thesis (thesis option) of ritten report, and oral do napping Problem Solving	or project (non-thesis opt efense	Contribution to Scholarly Work	Effective Communication
	CS541 Cc • A Masters project, w	ompiler Design, EE580 s Thesis (thesis option) o ritten report, and oral do napping	or project (non-thesis opt efense	Contribution to	Effective
	CS541 Cc • A Masters project, w	ompiler Design, EE580 s Thesis (thesis option) o ritten report, and oral do	or project (non-thesis opt	tion) that involves a	mentored scholarly
Ass *	be used to improve Student Learning (<i>Student Learning (</i> <i>are expected to pos</i> 1. <i>Identify, a.</i> 2. <i>Design and</i> 3. <i>Participate</i> 4. <i>Communic</i>	n program-level student e the program. (250 wo Dutcomes Dutcomes (SLOs) for the ssess by the time they gunalyze and solve technic d conduct experiments a e and make contributions cate technical concepts of r program elements incl	e proposed program, refl raduate, include the abilit cal problems related to co and detailed data analysis s to scholarly research ac effectively, both orally an ude: CS570 Operating System	ecting skills and abinaty to: computer engineering computers. tivities. and in writing.	<i>lities that students</i>
	(See previous ques Computer Enginee significantly affect	tion.) pring graduates work in a	onal, state, and national l a wide variety of jobs and f industry. Areas include y, and other fields.	d disciplines, since t	
k			aduates, average wages f		he number of antici
	the computer and n related services. Th percent. (Fayer, 20 Starting salaries fo	nathematics groups. Sou he rapid growth projecte 217) r a graduate with a MS	TSTEM occupations that me of the fastest growing ed is due in large part to t in Computer Engineering g (NACA salary report, s	g of these are in com the projected growth g have a median of \$	puter systems design for the industry of 2
	employment sector 2015)	e 1 e	gineers ranges from \$107 overnment) and geograph	*	•

SLOs are assessed through SLO-targeted assignments and test questions in each of the core courses, as well as through the written and oral components of the Master's thesis/project.

	 Specifically, CS570 and CS441 will include assessment of SLO 1 and 3 in the developing phase. CS685 and EE580 will include assessment of SLO2 and 4 in the developing phase. A rubric with separate sub-elements for each of the 4 SLOs will be filled out by committee members at the time of the thesis or project oral defense, assessing both the written report document and the oral defense as primary assessment artifacts. The DGS for the program will collect this data from course instructors and student committee members. The program graduate curriculum committee will meet annually to review this data, identify any concerns or weaknesses, and recommend course or program changes to address those concerns.
14b*	Describe <i>program</i> evaluation procedures for the proposed program. These procedures may include evaluation of courses and faculty by students, administrators, and departmental personnel as appropriate. Program review procedures shall include standards and guidelines for the assessment of student outcomes implied by the program objectives and consistent with the institutional mission. (300 word limit) (See question 5a.)
	The graduate committee for the program will meet annually to review the program benchmark data and SLO assessment data, and assess the overall program quality and success. Primary program benchmarks include FT and PT enrollment numbers, GPA, time to graduation statistics, student placement data including job placement and continued graduate study, and the results of alumni surveys (planned for every 3-5 years).
14c	Identify both the direct and indirect methods by which the intended student learning outcomes (SLOs) will be assessed. (300 word limit)
	(See question 14a, as well as attached curriculum map and assessment plan.) All currently planned SLO assessment is through direct methods. We will likely include some additional indirect assessment, especially early in the program implementation, in the form of student surveys that include questions about their opinions of SLO achievement through the program.
	SLOs are assessed through SLO-targeted assignments and test questions in each of the core courses, as well as through the written and oral components of the Master's thesis/project.
	Specifically, CS570 and CS441 will include assessment of SLO 1 and 3 in the developing phase. CS685 and EE580 will include assessment of SLO2 and 4 in the developing phase. A rubric with separate sub-elements for each of the 4 SLOs will be filled out by committee members at the time of the thesis or project oral defense, assessing both the written report document and the oral defense as primary assessment artifacts.
	need was for Course Mouring of Cl Os (valated to question Ch)
14d P 14d.i	rocedures for Course Mapping of SLOs (<i>related to question 5b</i>) Which components will be evaluated, i.e. course mapping? (<i>300 word limit</i>)
140.1	Instructors will select specific assignment components and test questions to assess the desired SLO for the course. Specifically, CS570 And CS541 will include assessment of SLO 1 And 3; EE685 and EE580. will include assessment of SSLO 2 And 4.
	A standard rubric will cover all 4 SLOs for the thesis or project defense and report document.
14d.ii	When will components be evaluated? (150 word limit)
	Instructors will be contacted by the DGS and Computer Engineering graduate committee regarding the needed assessment, and the committee will review the selected components on a semi-annual basis.
14d.iii	When will the data be collected? (150 word limit)
	Course-based assessment will be assessed by instructors during the course. All 4 SLOs will be assessed at the time of the thesis/project defense.
14d.iv	How will the data be collected? (150 word limit)

	For course assessment as well as for thesis/project assessment, instructors will assess the applicable SLO via a standardized rubric.	
14d.v	What will be the benchmarks and/or targets to be achieved? (150 word limit)	
170.0	The rubrics will include sub-elements with categories of "below expectations"=1, "meets expectations"=2, and "exceeds expectations"=3. The mean of these sub-elements will be computed, with a benchmark of a mean value of at least 2 for each SLO.	
14d.vi	What individuals or groups will be responsible for data collection? (150 word limit)	
140.01	Course instructors and faculty committee members will collect the data and give it to the DGS for aggregation and review by the graduate committee.	
14d.vii	How will the data and findings be shared with faculty? (150 word limit)	
140.00	The graduate committee will review and make recommendations for action items, which will be distributed to faculty in ECE and CS departments for approval at faculty assessment meetings held each fall semester.	
14d.viii	How will the data be used for making programmatic improvements? (150 word limit)	
110.011	The graduate committee will make recommendations for programmatic improvements based on the assessment results.	
14d.ix	What are the measures of teaching effectiveness? (150 word limit)	
	 Evaluation of teaching effectiveness is separate from program evaluation or evaluation of SLOs. Instructors in the program will be individually assessed for teaching effectiveness using TCE as well as peer review and other measures in accordance with the performance evaluation standards used in each instructors home department. If SLO assessment indicates problems with teaching effectiveness within specific core courses, that information will be shared with the department chair for discussion and follow up with instructors as a part of the regular performance evaluation process. 	
14d.x	What efforts to improve teaching effectiveness will be pursued based on these measures? (150 word limit)	
	Efforts to improve teaching effectiveness will be pursued on a case-by-case basis with individual faculty involved with the program.	
14d.xi	What are the plans to evaluate students' post-graduate success? (150 word limit)	
	We plan to conduct an alumni survey approximately every 3-5 years (more frequently in the first few years after the program has started operating).	
15 Cook	and Funding of the Drongered Droggeres ²²	
15. Cost 15a	and Funding of the Proposed Program ²² Will this program require additional resources? Yes Ves No	
150	If "Yes," please provide a brief summary of additional resources that will be needed to implement this program over the next five years. (300 word limit)	
	The primary need is for additional faculty to support this program while still supporting the undergraduate program in Computer Engineering, which has grown from 0 to over 200 students in the past 10 years without any increase in the number of faculty in that area. The additional faculty will provide sufficient curricular and research strength and diversity to support both the undergraduate and graduate programs. However, we currently have adequate faculty to support the core MS program as laid out in this proposal. A secondary need is for additional TAs to support the undergraduate program.	
15b	Will this program impact existing programs and/or organizational units within your institution? (300 word limit) Yes No	
22	For questions about cost and funding of the program, please contact your	

department chair, business officer, or associate dean for academic affairs. **NEW <u>MASTER'S DEGREE</u>** If "Yes, briefly describe.

The ECE and CS departments will administer this program jointly, as they do the undergraduate Computer Engineering program. The DGS and graduate committee will come from the faculty of those departments. All faculty with research related to this area will be positively affected by the addition of graduate students and programs that better align to their field.

15c Provide adequate documentation to demonstrate sufficient return on investment to the state to offset new costs and justify approval for the proposed program. (300 word limit)

See question 2e and 13a.

There is minimal new investment required for implementing either the MS or PhD programs in this area. The benefit is significant — implementing these graduate programs is a top priority of the CS and ECE faculty, because lack of the programs has impeded our ability to recruit both faculty and graduate students and restricts our ability to perform cutting edge research in this important growth area.

Computer Engineering is an area of national need, rapid workforce development, and one in which we already have an undergraduate program but not the graduate programs that are necessary to recruit faculty, support research work, or recruit TAs for those undergraduate programs. Since the undergraduate program and departmental support structure is already in place, the cost of adding the proposed program is minimal.

16.* Budget Funding Sources, by Year of Program

All the fields in number 16 are required for the CPE's pre-proposal form. Estimate the level of new and existing resources that will be required to implement and sustain the program using the spreadsheet below. Please answer in terms of dollar amounts. All narratives have a 100-word limit.

terms of dollar amounts. All narrati	ves have a 100-w	ord limit.			
Total Resources Available from					
Federal Sources (Federal sources	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year
include grants, earmarks, etc.)					
New	0	0	0	0	0
Existing	0	0	0	0	0
Narrative/Explanation:	No known feder	al resources for cr	reation of new pro	gram in this area	

Total Resources Available from Other Non-State Sources (Non- state sources include philanthropies, foundations, individual donors, etc.)	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year
New	0	0	0	0	0
Existing	0	0	0	0	0
	NT / 11' 1 1 1	1			

No established donors identified for this effort.

Narrative/Explanation:

Initial creation of Computer Engineering program was aided by \$650k grant from

Lexmark, which at that time was intended to fund undergraduate and graduate programs in this area.

State Resources (State sources include general fund revenue, grants, pass-thru funds, etc.)	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year
New	0	0	0	0	0
Existing	0	0	0	0	0
Narrative/Explanation:	No specific state	allocations have	been made.		
Internal (The source and process	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE

of allocation and reallocation

should be detailed, including an analysis of the impact of the reduction on existing programs and/or organization units.) ²³ :					
(New) Allocated Resources	0	0	0	0	0
(Existing) Reallocated Resources	0	0	0	0	0
Narrative/Explanation:	together, and an e and ECE. Becau differentiation be	existing departme se budgeting is a tween resources a ergraduate and g	ental structure with the unit level and at the programma raduate programs)	rea operated by Ca h allocated faculty d there is no budge tic level (i.e. speci), there is no need n.	lines in CS eting fic allocations
	Estimated enrolln students who wo	nents in program uld otherwise be , 6, 6) Approxim	of 10, 15, 20, 20, in either CS or EC ately 50% of our o	ocked to numeric 20, with about 1/. CE. (New = 4, 9, 1 current student bas	3 of those 4, 14, 14,
Student Tuition (Describe the	Estimated enrollin students who wou Existing = 6, 6, 6	nents in program uld otherwise be , 6, 6) Approxim	of 10, 15, 20, 20, in either CS or EC ately 50% of our o	20, with about 1/. CE. (New = 4, 9, 1 current student bas	3 of those 4, 14, 14,
Student Tuition (Describe the impact of this program on	Estimated enrollin students who wou Existing = 6, 6, 6	nents in program uld otherwise be , 6, 6) Approxim	of 10, 15, 20, 20, in either CS or EC ately 50% of our o	20, with about 1/. CE. (New = 4, 9, 1 current student bas	3 of those 4, 14, 14,
·	Estimated enrollt students who wou Existing = 6, 6, 6 so a 50/50 balanc	ments in program uld otherwise be , 6, 6) Approxim re has been assum	of 10, 15, 20, 20, in either CS or EC ately 50% of our o ned for tuition rate	20, with about 1/. CE. (New = 4, 9, 1 current student bas es	3 of those 4, 14, 14, se are in-state,
impact of this program on	Estimated enrollt students who wou Existing = 6, 6, 6 so a 50/50 balanc	ments in program uld otherwise be , 6, 6) Approxim re has been assum	of 10, 15, 20, 20, in either CS or EC ately 50% of our o ned for tuition rate	20, with about 1/. CE. (New = 4, 9, 1 current student bas es	3 of those 4, 14, 14, se are in-state,
impact of this program on enrollment, tuition, and fees.)	Estimated enrollt students who wou Existing = 6, 6, 6 so a 50/50 balance 1 st Year	nents in program uld otherwise be (, 6, 6) Approxim re has been assum 2 nd Year	of 10, 15, 20, 20, in either CS or EC ately 50% of our o ned for tuition rate 3 rd Year	20, with about 1/. CE. (New = 4, 9, 1 current student bas es 4 th Year	3 of those 4, 14, 14, se are in-state, 5 th Year
impact of this program on enrollment, tuition, and fees.) New	Estimated enrolling students who work Existing = 6, 6, 6 so a 50/50 balance 1^{st} Year 40600	ments in program uld otherwise be (, 6, 6) Approxim e has been assum 2 nd Year <i>91400</i>	of 10, 15, 20, 20, in either CS or EC ately 50% of our o ned for tuition rate 3 rd Year 142200	20, with about 1/. CE. (New = 4, 9, 1 current student bas es 4 th Year 142200	3 of those 4, 14, 14, se are in-state, 5 th Year 142200
impact of this program on enrollment, tuition, and fees.) New Existing Narrative/Explanation:	Estimated enrolling students who work Existing = 6, 6, 6 so a 50/50 balance 1^{st} Year 40600 60900	ments in program uld otherwise be , 6, 6) Approxim e has been assum 2 nd Year 91400 60900	of 10, 15, 20, 20, in either CS or EC ately 50% of our o ned for tuition rate 3 rd Year 142200 60900	20, with about 1/. CE. (New = 4, 9, 1 current student bas es 4 th Year 142200 60900	3 of those 4, 14, 14, se are in-state, 5 th Year 142200 60900
impact of this program on enrollment, tuition, and fees.) New Existing	Estimated enrolling students who work Existing = 6, 6, 6 so a 50/50 balance 1^{st} Year 40600	ments in program uld otherwise be (, 6, 6) Approxim e has been assum 2 nd Year <i>91400</i>	of 10, 15, 20, 20, in either CS or EC ately 50% of our o ned for tuition rate 3 rd Year 142200	20, with about 1/. CE. (New = 4, 9, 1 current student bas es 4 th Year 142200 60900 4 th Year	3 of those 4, 14, 14, se are in-state, 5 th Year 142200
impact of this program on enrollment, tuition, and fees.) New Existing Narrative/Explanation:	Estimated enrolling students who work Existing = 6, 6, 6 so a 50/50 balance 1^{st} Year 40600 60900 1^{st} Year 40600	nents in program uld otherwise be (, 6, 6) Approxim e has been assum 2 nd Year 91400 60900 2 nd Year 91400	of 10, 15, 20, 20, in either CS or EC ately 50% of our of hed for tuition rate 3 rd Year 142200 60900 3 rd Year 142200	20, with about 1/. CE. (New = 4, 9, 1 current student bas es 4 th Year 142200 60900	3 of those 4, 14, 14, se are in-state, 5 th Year 142200 60900
impact of this program on enrollment, tuition, and fees.) New Existing Narrative/Explanation: Total Funding Sources	Estimated enrolling students who would be existing = 6, 6, 6 so a 50/50 balance 1^{st} Year 40600 60900 1^{st} Year	nents in program uld otherwise be (, 6, 6) Approxim e has been assum 2 nd Year 91400 60900 2 nd Year	of 10, 15, 20, 20, in either CS or EC ately 50% of our o ned for tuition rate 3 rd Year 142200 60900 3 rd Year	20, with about 1/. CE. (New = 4, 9, 1 current student bas es 4 th Year 142200 60900 4 th Year	3 of those 4, 14, 14, se are in-state, 5 th Year 142200 60900 5 th Year

17. Breakdown of Program Expenses/Requirements ⁴							
(Please note – all the fields in number 17 are required for the CPE's pre-proposal form.)							
Staff: Executive, Administrative &							
Managerial (Include salaries and	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year		
whether new hires will be part	1" Year	2 ^m year	3 Year	4 th Year	5" Year		
time or full time.)							
New	7500	7500	7500	7500	7500		
Existing	3250	3250	3250	3250	3250		
Narrative/Explanation ²⁴ :	Primary adminis	trative and logistic	cal support is alrea	ndy in place in CS	S and ECE		
	departments. Ne	ew cost is reflectiv	e of the need for a	n new DGS position	on, including 1		
	month of summe	er salary, which we	ould support both	proposed MS and	l PhD programs		
	(so 1/2 of positio	on included in this	proposal). Existin	ng cost is reflectiv	ve of		
	administrative su	pport within depa	ertments for additi	onal students. Cu	rrently grad		
	student logistics	represents less that	nn 1/4 time of a sta	aff member, estim	nate is that		

The source and process of allocation and reallocation should be detailed, including an analysis of the impact of the reduction on existing programs and/or organizational units.

24 **NEW** <u>MASTER'S DEGREE</u>

	additional student	s causes increase	in workload by les	ss than 50%, so eq	<i>quivalent to</i>
	1/8 of a staff perso	on. As with DGS,	1/2 of this include	ed in this proposa	l, 1/2 in the
	PhD program prop	posal.			
Other Professional (Include					
salaries.)	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year
New	0	0	0	0	(
Existing	0	0	0	0	(
Narrative/Explanation:		U	U	0	
Faculty (Include salaries and					
whether new hires will be part	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year
time or full time.)					
New	0	0	0	0	
Existing	0	0	0	0	
Narrative/Explanation ²⁵ :		5	~	~	
Graduate Assistants (Include	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year
salaries and/or stipends.) ²⁶					
New	27000	27000	27000	27000	2700
Evicting	0	0	0	0	
Existing					
	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 54	—			
Narrative Explanation/Justification:	Budgeting 1 addit	k per year includi	ng 30k stipends ar	nd 24k tuition. As	with other
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 54 costs, 1/2 of this i	k per year includin Included in this pro	ng 30k stipends ar oposal and 1/2 in t	nd 24k tuition. As the PhD proposal.	with other
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.)	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 54 costs, 1/2 of this in 1 st Year	k per year includin ncluded in this pro 2 nd Year	ng 30k stipends an oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year	nd 24k tuition. As the PhD proposal. 4 th Year	with other
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 54 costs, 1/2 of this in 1 st Year 0	k per year includin included in this pro 2 nd Year 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year 0	nd 24k tuition. As the PhD proposal.	with other 5 th Year
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 54 costs, 1/2 of this in 1 st Year	k per year includin ncluded in this pro 2 nd Year	ng 30k stipends an oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year	nd 24k tuition. As the PhD proposal. 4 th Year	with other 5 th Year
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 54 costs, 1/2 of this in 1 st Year 0	k per year includin included in this pro 2 nd Year 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year 0	nd 24k tuition. As the PhD proposal. 4 th Year 0	s with other
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification:	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 54 costs, 1/2 of this in 1 st Year 0 0	k per year includin ncluded in this pro 2 nd Year 0 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in t 3 rd Year 0 0	nd 24k tuition. As the PhD proposal. 4 th Year 0 0	5 th Year
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Equipment and Instructional	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 54 costs, 1/2 of this in 1 st Year 0	k per year includin included in this pro 2 nd Year 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year 0	nd 24k tuition. As the PhD proposal. 4 th Year 0	5 th Year
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Equipment and Instructional Materials	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 544 costs, 1/2 of this in 1 st Year 0 0 1 st Year	k per year includin included in this pro 2 nd Year 0 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year 0 0 3 rd Year	ad 24k tuition. As the PhD proposal. 4 th Year 0 0	5 th Year
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Equipment and Instructional Materials New	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 54 costs, 1/2 of this is 1 st Year 0 0 1 st Year 1 st Year 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	k per year includin included in this pro 2 nd Year 0 0 2 nd Year 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year 0 0 3 rd Year 0	ad 24k tuition. As the PhD proposal. 4 th Year 0 0 4 th Year 0	5 th Year
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Equipment and Instructional Materials New Existing	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 544 costs, 1/2 of this in 1 st Year 0 0 1 st Year	k per year includin included in this pro 2 nd Year 0 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year 0 0 3 rd Year	ad 24k tuition. As the PhD proposal. 4 th Year 0 0	5 th Year
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Equipment and Instructional Materials New Existing	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 54 costs, 1/2 of this is 1 st Year 0 0 1 st Year 1 st Year 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	k per year includin included in this pro 2 nd Year 0 0 2 nd Year 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year 0 0 3 rd Year 0	ad 24k tuition. As the PhD proposal. 4 th Year 0 0 4 th Year 0	5 th Year
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Equipment and Instructional Materials New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification:	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 54 costs, 1/2 of this is 1 st Year 0 0 1 st Year 1 st Year 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	k per year includin included in this pro 2 nd Year 0 0 2 nd Year 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year 0 0 3 rd Year 0	ad 24k tuition. As the PhD proposal. 4 th Year 0 0 4 th Year 0	5 th Year
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Equipment and Instructional Materials New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Library (Include new journal	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 544 costs, 1/2 of this in 1 st Year 0 0 1 st Year 0 0	k per year includin included in this pro 2 nd Year 0 0 2 nd Year 0 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year 0 3 rd Year 0 0	ad 24k tuition. As the PhD proposal. 4 th Year 0 0 4 th Year 0 0	5 th Year
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Equipment and Instructional Materials New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Library (Include new journal subscriptions, collections, and	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 54 costs, 1/2 of this is 1 st Year 0 0 1 st Year 1 st Year 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	k per year includin included in this pro 2 nd Year 0 0 2 nd Year 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year 0 0 3 rd Year 0	ad 24k tuition. As the PhD proposal. 4 th Year 0 0 4 th Year 0	5 th Year
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Equipment and Instructional Materials New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Library (Include new journal subscriptions, collections, and electronic access.)	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 544 costs, 1/2 of this in 1 st Year 0 0 1 st Year 0 0 0	k per year includin included in this pro- 2 nd Year 0 0 2 nd Year 0 0 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year 0 0 3 rd Year 0 0 0	ad 24k tuition. As the PhD proposal. 4 th Year 0 0 4 th Year 0 0	5 th Year
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Equipment and Instructional Materials New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Library (Include new journal subscriptions, collections, and electronic access.) New	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 544 costs, 1/2 of this is 1 st Year 0 0 0 1 st Year 0 0 0	k per year includin included in this pro- 2 nd Year 2 nd Year 0 0 2 nd Year 0 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year 0 0 3 rd Year 0 0 3 rd Year 0 0	Ath Year 4 th Year 4 th Year 0 0 4 th Year 0 0 0 0	5 th Year 5 th Year
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Equipment and Instructional Materials New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Library (Include new journal subscriptions, collections, and electronic access.) New Existing	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 544 costs, 1/2 of this in 1 st Year 0 0 1 st Year 0 0 0	k per year includin included in this pro- 2 nd Year 0 0 2 nd Year 0 0 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year 0 0 3 rd Year 0 0 0	ad 24k tuition. As the PhD proposal. 4 th Year 0 0 4 th Year 0 0	5 th Year 5 th Year
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Equipment and Instructional Materials New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Library (Include new journal subscriptions, collections, and electronic access.) New Existing	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 544 costs, 1/2 of this is 1 st Year 0 0 0 1 st Year 0 0 0	k per year includin included in this pro- 2 nd Year 2 nd Year 0 0 2 nd Year 0 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year 0 0 3 rd Year 0 0 3 rd Year 0 0	Ath Year 4 th Year 4 th Year 0 0 4 th Year 0 0 0 0	5 th Year 5 th Year
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Equipment and Instructional Materials New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Library (Include new journal subscriptions, collections, and electronic access.) New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification:	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 544 costs, 1/2 of this is 1 st Year 0 0 0 1 st Year 0 0 0	k per year includin included in this pro- 2 nd Year 2 nd Year 0 0 2 nd Year 0 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year 0 0 3 rd Year 0 0 3 rd Year 0 0	Ath Year 4 th Year 4 th Year 0 0 4 th Year 0 0 0 0	5 th Year 5 th Year
Narrative Explanation/Justification: Student Employees (Include salaries and/or stipends.) New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Equipment and Instructional Materials New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification: Library (Include new journal subscriptions, collections, and electronic access.) New	Budgeting 1 addit approximately 544 costs, 1/2 of this in 1 st Year 0 0 1 st Year 0 0 0	k per year includin included in this pro- 2 nd Year 0 0 2 nd Year 0 0 0	ng 30k stipends at oposal and 1/2 in a 3 rd Year 0 0 3 rd Year 0 0 0 3 rd Year 0 0	Ath Year 4 th Year 4 th Year 0 0 4 th Year 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	s with other 5 th Year 5 th Year 5 th Year

If new hires are involved, explain whether new hires will be full-time or part-time.

Identify the number of assistantships/stipends to be provided; Include the level of support for each.

Narrative Explanation/Justification:					
Academic and/or Student Services	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year
New	0	0	0	0	0
Existing	0	0	0	0	0
Narrative Explanation/Justification:			Ū	Ŭ	Ū
Other Support Services	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year
New	0	0	0	0	0
Existing	0	0	0	0	0
Narrative					
Explanation/Justification:					
·					
Faculty Development (Include					
travel, conference fees,	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year
consultants, etc.)					
New	0	0	0	0	0
Existing	0	0	0	0	0
Narrative Explanation/Justification:					
Assessment (Include personnel,					
software tools, data collection	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year
tools, survey administration,	1 ICal	2 ICal	5 1041	- ICal	Jical
outside consulting services, etc.)					
New	0	0	0	0	0
Existing	0	0	0	0	0
Narrative Explanation/Justification:	Both departments	s already have asso	essment plans and	l processes in plac	e, negligible
	new cost to imple	ement this process	2		
	. et	- nd		, the s	
Student Space and Equipment	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year
New	0	0	0	0	0
Existing	0	0		0	0
	^		•	bs. This is a press	•
Narrative Explanation/Justification:	C C		t and the college,	but will be neglig	ibly impacted
	by this new progr	am.			
Other	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year
New	0		0 3 Teal	4 Tean 0	
Existing	0	0	0	0	0
Narrative Explanation/Justification:	U	U	U	U	U
Total Expenses/Requirements	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year
New	34500	34500	34500	34500	34500
Existing	3250	3250	3250	3250	3250
TOTAL Program Budgeted	27750	,· · ,			
Expenses/Requirements:	37750 annual con	tinuing cost			
GRAND TOTAL	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year
Total Funding Sources	101500	152300	203100	203100	203100
	101000		200100		

Total E	xpenses/Requirements	<u>37750</u>	<u>37750</u>	<u>37750</u>	<u>37750</u>	<u>37750</u>
	TOTAL NET COST:	<u>-63750</u>	<u>-63750</u>	<u>-63750</u>	<u>-63750</u>	<u>-63750</u>
	Descriptions rogram Core Courses (includ	les pre-maior and	nre-professional	courses)		
Prefix &						
Number	Course Descri	ption (from the B	ulletin or the mos	st recent new/cha	nge course form)	
<i>CS570</i>	Modern Operating System Brief review of classical of coordination, device drive structured file systems, dis (monolithic, communication (scheduling, synchronization modification of actual ope	perating system c rs, file systems, su stributed file syste on-kernel, extensu ion, IPC), security	tarvation/deadlock ms, memory-base ble/adaptable, dis (Internet attacks,	(). Modern topics ed file systems), op tributed shared mo	of files systems (le perating system de emory), multiproc	esign ressor issues
<i>EE580</i>	Embedded System Design Embedded System Design computer systems. Topics and digital I/O, real-time p time operating systems. Pr instructor.	covers the design include architecto processing design	n and implementat ural support for en constraints and th	nbedded systems, e design of embed	power manageme Ided systems using	ent, analog g a real-
CS541	Compiler Design Intermediate aspects of a c compiler writing tools. Co parameter passing). Symbo compilers and translators of	de generation for ol tables, runtime	expressions, control organization for s	rol statements and simple and structu	l procedures (inclu	ıding
EE685	Digital Computer Structur Study of fundamental con- system modeling based on design and algorithms for point arithmetic, cache des 380 and EE 581 or consen	e cepts in digital co instruction set pi ALU, processor, sign, pipeline desi	mputer system str rocessor (ISP) and control unit and m	ucture and design processor-memor nemory system. Sp	ry-switch (PMS) n pecial topics includ	nodels, de floating-
18b P	rogram Guided Electives Cou	urses (for the me				
Prefix &						
Number	Course Descri	ption (from the B	ulletin or the mos	st recent new/cha	nge course form)	

40-	Due		
18c	Pro	gram Free Electives (Lourses
Prefix &		Course [Description (from the Bulletin or the most recent new/change course form)
Number			
		Free electives includ	e all CS, EE, and CPE 500 or 600 level courses. (More than 100 courses.)
	Co	irses for a Track . (If n	nultiple tracks are available, click HERE for a template for additional tracks. Append a
18d			rm with each track's courses and descriptions.
Prefix &			
Number		Course Type	Course Description (from the Bulletin or the most recent new/change course form)
Number		Track Core	
		Track Elective	
		Track Elective	
		Track Elective	
		Track Core	
		Track Elective	
		Track Core	
		Track Elective	

(similar to question 4d) Fill out the SACS-required faculty roster below, for full-time and part-time faculty teaching in the program. Abbreviations for the NAME and COURSES TAUGHT columns are below the table. *Please contact Institutional Effectiveness* (<u>institutionaleffectiveness@uky.edu</u>) for help with this question.

NAME	COURSES TAUGHT	ACADEMIC DEGREES COURSEWORK		OTHER QUALIFICATIONS	NEW COURSES
List name & Identify faculty member as F or P.	Include term; course prefix, number and title; & credit	List relevant courses t including institution and	aught,	AND COMMENTS	Include course prefix number, and title.
member as F or P.	hours. (D, UN, UT, G)	List specific graduate cou if needed	ırsework,	Note qualifications and comments as they pertain to course taught.	
Dakshramoorthy Manivannan F	CS570 Operating Systems G	PhD CS Engineering Ohi 1997	io State		
Raphael Finkel F	CS541 Compiler Design G	PhD CS Stanford 1976			
James Lumpp F	EE585 Fault Tolerant Computing G EE580 Advanced Embedded Systems G EE588 Realt Time Operating Systems G	<i>PhD EE Purdue 1988</i>			
Himanshu Thapliyal F	<i>EE599 Nanocomputing</i> <i>Circuits G</i>	PhD CS Engineering U of Florida 2011	of S		
Henry Dietz F	<i>EE599 Cameras as a Computing System G EE599 Cluster Computing G</i>	PhD CS Polytechnic U 1	987		
Sen-ching Cheung F	EE599 Cybersecurity G	PhD EE U Cal Berkeley	2002		
Kenneth Calvert F	CS585 Network Security G	PhD CS UT Austin 1991			
Mirek Truszczynski F	CS515 Algorithm Design G	PhD Mathematics Warsa 1980	w U		
Nathan Jacobs F	CS460G Machine Learning G CS636 Computer Vision G	PhD CS Washington U 2	010		
Lirong Cui F	*	PHD CS Case Western U 2014			
Joseph Elias P	EE584 VLSI Design G EE589 Advanced VLSI G	PhD EE Rice U 1990			
(Other CS and ECE faculty)	(Numerous elective courses)				
FT = full time	D = developmental		UT = unde	rgraduate transferable	
PT= part time	UN = undergraduate nontransfe	rable	G = gradua	ate	

Brandenburg, Barbara

From:	Raphael Finkel <raphael@cs.uky.edu></raphael@cs.uky.edu>
Sent:	Wednesday, August 1, 2018 2:30 PM
То:	Brandenburg, Barbara
Subject:	[raeanne.pearson@uky.edu: Substantive Change-Computer Engineering, MS]

Barbara,

Here are the mails from RaeAnne.

Raphael

----- Forwarded message from "Pearson, RaeAnne" <raeanne.pearson@uky.edu> -----

Date: Mon, 16 Jul 2018 17:28:24 +0000 From: "Pearson, RaeAnne" <raeanne.pearson@uky.edu> To: Raphael Finkel <raphael@cs.uky.edu> Subject: Substantive Change-Computer Engineering, MS

Dear Dr. Finkel, Thank you for submitting a NOI regarding the proposed program, Computer Engineering, MS (14.0901).

My email will serve 2 purposes: 1.) Next steps for SACSCOC, and 2.) Verification and notification that you have contacted OSPIE-a Senate requirement for proposal approval.

1. Next steps for SACSCOC: None required

2. Verification that OSPIE has reviewed the proposal: Based on the proposed documentation presented and the Substantive Change Checklist, the proposed program does not constitute a substantive change as defined by the University or SACSCOC, the university's regional accreditor. Therefore, no additional information is required by the Office of Strategic Planning & Institutional Effectiveness at this time. The proposed program may move forward in accordance with college and university-level approval processes.

Should you have questions or concerns about UK's substantive change policy and its procedures, please do not hesitate contacting me.

RaeAnne Pearson, PhD Office of Strategic Planning & Institutional Effectiveness University of Kentucky Phone: 859-218-4009 Fax: 859-323-8688 Visit the Institutional Effectiveness Website: https://na01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.uky.edu%2Fie&data=02%7C01%7Cbarb ara.brandenburg%40uky.edu%7Cd56491f67b354541a08208d5f7dcd181%7C2b30530b69b64457b818481cb53d42ae%7C 0%7C0%7C636687450146311034&sdata=T4Ve%2BVOe5g1q6RP2PXoVtsxwU0WPK478YBpxjn%2BenZg%3D&r eserved=0

[eeblue60_100_286]

Brandenburg, Barbara

From:		
Sent:		
To:		
Cc:		
Subject:		

Johnson, Michael Thursday, September 13, 2018 1:29 PM Brandenburg, Barbara Finkel, Raphael ECE approval of MS and PhD Computer Engineering proposal

Hi BJ,

The ECE department voted last Friday to approve the proposal for MS and PhD programs in Computer Engineering. There are a few minor wording changes, but from discussing with Raphael none of these will require revoting of CS or ECE, so it can be considered approved by both departments. Raphael can get you an updated version.

1

Mike

Dr. Michael T. Johnson Professor and Chair, Electrical and Computer Engineering FPAT 453, (859) 257-0717 University of Kentucky http://johnson.engineering.uky.edu/

Brandenburg, Barbara

From:	Brent Seales <seales@netlab.uky.edu></seales@netlab.uky.edu>
Sent:	Wednesday, November 7, 2018 1:30 AM
То:	Brandenburg, Barbara
Subject:	Re: MS/PhD Computer Engineering

Barbara,

The faculty voted unanimously on October 18 to approve the proposal with its final changes. Brent

On Mon, Nov 5, 2018 at 11:23 PM Brandenburg, Barbara <<u>barbara.brandenburg@uky.edu</u>> wrote:

Dr. Seales,

I was getting ready to send these out of the College, but I can't find a date on the form where the CS faculty voted to approve it. Will you please send it to me via email?

Thank you,

BJ

BJ Brandenburg

College of Engineering

Director of Student Records

355-S F. Paul Anderson Tower

University of Kentucky

Lexington, KY 40506-0046

Tel: (859) 257-7978

Fax: (859) 257-5727



University of Kentucky College of Engineering Office of the Dean

351 Ralph G. Anderson Bldg. Lexington, KY 40506-0503 P: 859-257-1687 F: 859-257-5727 www.engr.uky.edu

November 13, 2018

To Whom It May Concern:

The College of Engineering faculty reviewed the new master's degree program for Computer Engineering via email. There were no concerns or objections raised.

Sincerely,

M

Kimberly Anderson, Ph.D. Associate Dean for Administration and Academic Affairs



An Equal Opportunity University