### **Brothers, Sheila**

From: Cramer, Aaron

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 05, 2019 6:52 PM **To:** Bird-Pollan, Jennifer; Brothers, Sheila

Cc: Vincelli, Paul

**Subject:** NEW MS: Science Translation and Outreach

**Attachments:** STO curricular proposal, full submission to UK Senate, 25 Feb 2019.pdf

Proposed New MS in Science Translation and Outreach

This is a recommendation that the University Senate approve, for submission to the Board of Trustees, the establishment of a new MS degree: Science Translation and Outreach, in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment.

Rationale: The Cooperative Extension Service has been engaged in science translation for more than 100 years. Extension agents work directly with local leaders and the public to address diverse public needs in different communities. Rapid scientific progress and increasing complexity have created a need for transdisciplinary education in science translation. The proposed program addresses this need through a set of required courses focusing on program development and evaluation, science literacy and translation, and research methods, elective courses allowing specialization, and a capstone course. While not exclusively targeted to such individuals, the proposal notes that nearly 100 college employees are enrolled in master's programs at other institutions. Many of these individuals are extension agents, a population for whom earning a master's degree opens up career advancement possibilities. The proposed online degree program will address the need of such extension agents. A cohort of 10 students per year is anticipated.

#### Aaron

Aaron M. Cramer
Associate Professor, Electrical and Computer Engineering
Director of Graduate Studies, Electrical Engineering
Chair, Senate's Academic Programs Committee
University of Kentucky
859-257-9113
aaron.cramer@uky.edu

Office of Strategic Planning and Institutional Effectiveness (OSPIE). The new program approval process begins when a contact persons submits a "Notification of Intent" (NOI) and substantive change checklist (available HERE) to OSPIE. Units have six months from the point of NOI submission to the time when the completed proposal is approved by Senate. After the NOI is submitted, a contact person should begin working to complete this form. Contact persons should work with OSPIE to identify the program's degree designation and CIP, as well as to solicit a letter of administrative feasibility from the Provost (per SR 3.2.3.A.2).

**Pre-proposal.** The CPE requires that a pre-proposal be submitted after a proposed program has achieved approval at the college level. Answers to questions identified with a \* by the question number on this form will be used by OSPIE staff to submit the pre-proposal to the CPE (Council on Postsecondary Education).

**Form structure.** This form has two sections. The first half (white background) contains information required by the University Senate and Registrar's office and the second half (beige/brown background) contains information required by two external entities, the CPE and SACSCOC (Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges). Although only the first half is required for University Senate approval, every question must be answered to receive CPE approval. Please do not leave any area blank, but instead write "not applicable" wherever that is the appropriate response.

Approval process. Once approved at the college level, your college will send the proposal to the appropriate Senate academic council (possibly HCCC and/or GC) for review and approval. Once approved at the academic council level, the academic council will send your proposal to the Senate Council office for additional review via a committee and then to the SC and University Senate. (The contact person listed on the form will be informed when the proposal has been sent to committee and other times as appropriate.) Once approved by the Senate, the Senate Council office will submit the proposal for it to be placed on an agenda for the Board of Trustees. After approval by the Board, OSPIE will ensure the proposal is submitted to the CPE for final approval. Generally, a new program proposal must have received approval from the Senate by early spring (February or March) in order for the new program to be effective for the following fall semester.

1. Bas	ic Information: Program Background and Overview
1a	Home College: Agriculture, Food and Environment
1b	Home Educational Unit (school, department, college¹): College of Agriculture, Food and Environment
1c*	Office of Strategic Planning and Institutional Effectiveness (OSPIE) (Please contact OSPIE (OSPIE@L.uky.edu) for help with questions in this section.)
	Date of Contact with OSPIE: 26 Jul 2018
	Appended to the end of this form is a PDF of the reply from OSPIE.
	Appended to the end of this form is a letter of administrative feasibility from the Provost.
	Appended to the end of this form is a letter(s) of administrative feasibility from the dean(s) of the college(s) offering the degree.
	CIP Code (confirmed by OSPIE): 01.0801

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only interdisciplinary graduate degrees may be homed at the college level.

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 1 of 33

	Degree Type (MA, MS, etc.) <sup>2</sup> : MS						
	Little day of the CDT/Little ( Lance Latin 22 November						
	Is this degree designation on the CPE's list of degree designations <sup>2</sup> ? Yes No						
	If "No," please provide an explanation for OSPIE's use in external reporting purposes.						
	The proposed M.S. program is novel, to the best of our knowledge						
4 14	NA N /D: .		\ G : T 1 ::	10			
1d*	Major Name (Bio	ology, Finance,	, etc.): Science Translatio	on and Outreach			
1.0	la thana a anasial	l: d d:±:-			Vac D Na M		
1e	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lized accreditir	ng agency related to this	program:	Yes No No		
	If "Yes," name:		estion from this occupa.		Vac D Na M		
	Do you intend to	зеек асстеин	ation from this agency?		Yes No No		
1f	Was this particul suspended?	lar program ev	ver previously offered at	UK but subsequently	Yes No No		
	If "Yes," describe	e. (300 word lii	mit)				
1g*	Requested effect	tive date:	Fall semester follo	wing approval. 📗 OR 📗 🔀 Spe	ecific Date <sup>3</sup> :Fall 20 19		
	I						
1h*	Anticipated date	for granting f	irst degree(s): Spring 202	24			
4 0 str		D 117	11.	- " ' ' ' ' ' '	050 010 0700		
1i*	Contact person r	name: Paul Vii			Phone: <i>859-218-0722</i>		
2 Drog	ram Overview	idilic. I dat i ti	псеш	Email: pvincell@uky.edu	1 Hone. 039 210 0722		
	ram Overview			, , ,	THORE: 037 210 0722		
<b>2. Prog</b> 2a*	Provide a brief d	escription of t	he proposed program. (3	300 word limit)			
	Provide a brief de Most Americans	escription of t	he proposed program. (3 stitution of science but ar	300 word limit) re uncertain how to capitalize on s	scientific knowledge for		
	Provide a brief do Most Americans the public good.	escription of t respect the ins Our digital w	he proposed program. (3 stitution of science but ar world overwhelms us with	300 word limit) re uncertain how to capitalize on s information, so much so that the	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific	escription of t respect the ins Our digital w cally valid info	he proposed program. (3 stitution of science but ar world overwhelms us with rmation and, most impor	300 word limit) The uncertain how to capitalize on some information, so much so that the part of the information, to effectively use that information.	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives.		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary	escription of t respect the ins Our digital w cally valid info y thinking is re	he proposed program. (3 stitution of science but ar world overwhelms us with ormation and, most impor ecognized by the Nationa	300 word limit) re uncertain how to capitalize on s information, so much so that the	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary breakthroughs in	escription of t respect the ins Our digital w cally valid info y thinking is re n food and agri	he proposed program. (3 stitution of science but ar corld overwhelms us with ermation and, most impor ecognized by the Nationa icultural sciences. An exc	300 word limit) The uncertain how to capitalize on some information, so much so that the part tantly, to effectively use that information of Sciences as fundaments.	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving asion program could be		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary breakthroughs in the development transdisciplinary	escription of t respect the ins Our digital w cally valid info y thinking is re n food and agra of locally rele y programming	he proposed program. (3 stitution of science but ar world overwhelms us with trmation and, most impor ecognized by the Nationa icultural sciences. An exc want programming on the g would be grounded in n	BOO word limit) The uncertain how to capitalize on some information, so much so that the patantly, to effectively use that information of Sciences as fundamentally of a transdisciplinary Extended topic of climinality, relevant natural sciences	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving asion program could be ate change. Successful , but it would also		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary breakthroughs in the development transdisciplinary	escription of t respect the ins Our digital w cally valid info y thinking is re n food and agra of locally rele y programming	he proposed program. (3 stitution of science but ar world overwhelms us with trmation and, most impor ecognized by the Nationa icultural sciences. An exc want programming on the g would be grounded in n	BOO word limit)  The uncertain how to capitalize on some information, so much so that the part of the information of Sciences as fundamed ample of a transdisciplinary Extended topic of climes.	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving asion program could be ate change. Successful , but it would also		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary breakthroughs in the development transdisciplinary benefit by being g	escription of t respect the ins Our digital w cally valid info by thinking is re in food and agra of locally rele of programming grounded in th	he proposed program. (3 stitution of science but ar corld overwhelms us with rmation and, most impor ecognized by the National icultural sciences. An exc vant programming on the g would be grounded in m	BOO word limit) The uncertain how to capitalize on some information, so much so that the part of the stantly, to effectively use that information of Sciences as fundamed ample of a transdisciplinary Extended politically charged topic of climically charge	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving asion program could be ate change. Successful, but it would also		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary breakthroughs in the development transdisciplinary benefit by being g	escription of t respect the ins Our digital w cally valid info by thinking is re a food and agra of locally rele programming grounded in the	the proposed program. (3 stitution of science but are world overwhelms us with armation and, most import ecognized by the National icultural sciences. An example and programming on the social sciences, in ordery Master of Science degrees.	BOO word limit)  The uncertain how to capitalize on some information, so much so that the part of the stantly, to effectively use that information of Sciences as fundamentation and the standard of a transdisciplinary Extense politically charged topic of climically charged topic of the successful dialogue the program that builds student contents to the student contents to the successful dialogue the program that builds student contents to the successful dialogue the successf	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving asion program could be ate change. Successful but it would also entacts to:		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary breakthroughs in the development transdisciplinary benefit by being good We will offer a transdisciplinary for the development transdisciplinary benefit by being good we will offer a transdisciplinary benefit by being good we will offer a transdisciplinary benefit by being good we will offer a transdisciplinary benefit by being good we will offer a transdisciplinary benefit by being good will offer a transdisciplinary benefit by being good will offer a transdisciplinary be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will be will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will be will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will b	escription of t respect the ins Our digital we cally valid inform thinking is read to food and agratically relegation of locally relegation of the programming grounded in the cansdisciplinary	the proposed program. (3 stitution of science but are world overwhelms us with a mation and, most import ecognized by the National icultural sciences. An exceptant programming on the gwould be grounded in mate social sciences, in ordery Master of Science degreed interests with respect to	BOO word limit) The uncertain how to capitalize on so information, so much so that the patantly, to effectively use that information of Sciences as fundamentation at the politically charged topic of climically charged to facilitate successful dialogue agriculture, food and environments	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving asion program could be ate change. Successful, but it would also e.		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary breakthroughs in the development transdisciplinary benefit by being good We will offer a transdisciplinary for the development transdisciplinary benefit by being good we will offer a transdisciplinary benefit by being good we will offer a transdisciplinary benefit by being good we will offer a transdisciplinary benefit by being good we will offer a transdisciplinary benefit by being good will offer a transdisciplinary benefit by being good will offer a transdisciplinary be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will be will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will be will be will offer a transdisciplinary by being good will be will b	escription of t respect the ins Our digital we cally valid inform thinking is read to food and agratically relegation of locally relegation of the programming grounded in the cansdisciplinary	the proposed program. (3 stitution of science but are world overwhelms us with a mation and, most import ecognized by the National icultural sciences. An exceptant programming on the gwould be grounded in mate social sciences, in ordery Master of Science degreed interests with respect to	BOO word limit)  The uncertain how to capitalize on some information, so much so that the part of the stantly, to effectively use that information of Sciences as fundamentation and the standard of a transdisciplinary Extense politically charged topic of climically charged topic of the successful dialogue the program that builds student contents to the student contents to the successful dialogue the program that builds student contents to the successful dialogue the successf	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving asion program could be ate change. Successful, but it would also e.		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary breakthroughs in the development transdisciplinary benefit by being good we will offer a transdisciplinary and,	escription of t respect the ins Our digital we cally valid infort thinking is read to flocally relewant programming grounded in the cansdisciplinary which needs and sort and interpose.	he proposed program. (3 stitution of science but are corld overwhelms us with armation and, most import ecognized by the National icultural sciences. An except and programming on the social sciences, in order of Master of Science degree the credible scientific in pret credible scientific in	BOO word limit) The uncertain how to capitalize on so information, so much so that the patantly, to effectively use that information of Sciences as fundamentation at the politically charged topic of climically charged to facilitate successful dialogue agriculture, food and environments	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving asion program could be ate change. Successful, but it would also content; ent; evant to public concerns;		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary breakthroughs in the development transdisciplinary benefit by being good we will offer a transdisciplinary and,	escription of te respect the instance of the cally valid infoct thinking is read to food and agration of locally relegations of the cansdisciplinate whice the control of t	the proposed program. (3 stitution of science but are world overwhelms us with a mation and, most import ecognized by the National icultural sciences. An example and programming on the social sciences, in order of Science degree of the interests with respect the pret credible scientific in the control of the scientific in the credible scientif	BOO word limit) The uncertain how to capitalize on some information, so much so that the part of the stantly, to effectively use that information of Sciences as fundamed ample of a transdisciplinary Extended politically charged topic of climically charge	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving asion program could be ate change. Successful, but it would also content; ent; evant to public concerns;		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary breakthroughs in the development transdisciplinary benefit by being the will offer a transdisciplinary benefit by being the Me will offer a transdisciplinary benefit by being the We will offer a transdisciplinary benefit by being the We will offer a transdisciplinary benefit by being the We will offer a transdisciplinary and the Messess paragraph of the Me	escription of tespect the instance of locally valid information of locally relevant of	he proposed program. (3 stitution of science but are orld overwhelms us with armation and, most import ecognized by the National icultural sciences. An exceptant programming on the gwould be grounded in many Master of Science degreed interests with respect to pret credible scientific in the create successful perns.	B00 word limit) The uncertain how to capitalize on so information, so much so that the patantly, to effectively use that information, to effectively use that information of Sciences as fundamentally of a transdisciplinary Extended topic of climically charged topic of climically cha	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving asion program could be ate change. Successful, but it would also entate to public concerns; want to public concerns;		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary breakthroughs in the development transdisciplinary benefit by being good we will offer a transdisciplinary. I Assess por a land, and, and, and, and, and, and, and,	escription of the respect the instance of locally valid information of locally relevant of locally relevan	the proposed program. (3 stitution of science but are sorld overwhelms us with armation and, most import ecognized by the National icultural sciences. An exceptant programming on the gwould be grounded in most esocial sciences, in order y Master of Science degreed interests with respect the pret credible scientific in the create successful perns.	se uncertain how to capitalize on so information, so much so that the patantly, to effectively use that information, to effectively use that information of Sciences as fundamentally of a transdisciplinary Extense politically charged topic of climinalityle, relevant natural sciences are to facilitate successful dialogue ree program that builds student conformation from diverse fields relevant and programs in applied research and quires 12 credits (four 3-credit conformation)	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving asion program could be ate change. Successful, but it would also concerns; ent; evant to public concerns; toutreach which		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary breakthroughs in the development transdisciplinary benefit by being government. Assess por 2. Identify, and, 3. Use scient effectively address the program, defend 18 credits of	escription of telegraphy thinking is really valid infoct thinking is really thinking is really relegation of locally relegation of l	the proposed program. (3 stitution of science but are corld overwhelms us with armation and, most import ecognized by the National icultural sciences. An except and programming on the social sciences, in order of Science degree the scientific interests with respect the pret credible scientific interests.  There in this document, recourses approved by the scientific but the scientific interests approved by the scients.	BOO word limit)  The uncertain how to capitalize on some information, so much so that the part of the part of the stantly, to effectively use that information of Sciences as fundamental and the politically charged topic of climal control of the politically charged topic of climal tiple, relevant natural sciences are to facilitate successful dialogue agriculture, food and environment formation from diverse fields relevant and programs in applied research and quires 12 credits (four 3-credit constudent's advisory committee. The	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving asion program could be ate change. Successful, but it would also e.  apacity to: ent; evant to public concerns; evant to public descriptions.		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary breakthroughs in the development transdisciplinary benefit by being government. Assess por 2. Identify, and, 3. Use scientification of the program, defectively address of housed in the Co	escription of telegraphic respect the instance of the instance	the proposed program. (3 stitution of science but are world overwhelms us with a mation and, most import ecognized by the National icultural sciences. An except and programming on the social sciences, in orders and interests with respect to pret credible scientific in the create successful perns.  There in this document, recourses approved by the sulture, Food and Environal and interests with respect to the scientific in the courses approved by the sulture, Food and Environal courses.	BOO word limit)  The uncertain how to capitalize on some information, so much so that the part of the part of the stantly, to effectively use that information, to effectively use that information of Sciences as fundamentation of a transdisciplinary Exters politically charged topic of climinality of the successful dialogue are program that builds student conformation from diverse fields relessons of the successful dialogue for the successful dialo	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving usion program could be ate change. Successful, but it would also e.  Inpacity to: ent; evant to public concerns; evant to public descriptions.  In outreach which exprogram will be so program is Extension		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary breakthroughs in the development transdisciplinary benefit by being government. Assess postal. Assess postal. Assess postal. Assess postal. Assess postal. Use scientify, and, 3. Use scientification of the program, defectively address the program, defended in the Coagents who are residentification.	escription of terespect the instance of locally valid information of locally relevant of locally expedite of Agricularly expedite of lege of Agricularly expedites	the proposed program. (3 stitution of science but are world overwhelms us with a mation and, most import ecognized by the National icultural sciences. An except and programming on the social sciences, in orders and interests with respect to pret credible scientific in the create successful perns.  There in this document, recourses approved by the sulture, Food and Environal and interests with respect to the scientific in the courses approved by the sulture, Food and Environal courses.	BOO word limit) The uncertain how to capitalize on so information, so much so that the part of the property of Sciences as fundamentally, to effectively use that information, to effectively use that information of a transdisciplinary Extense politically charged topic of climinality of capitally charged topic of climinality, relevant natural sciences are to facilitate successful dialogue are program that builds student conformation from diverse fields relevant and programs in applied research and quires 12 credits (four 3-credit constudent's advisory committee. The tement. A primary audience for this convolledge directly to their stakes.	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving usion program could be ate change. Successful, but it would also e.  Inpacity to: ent; evant to public concerns; evant to public descriptions.  In outreach which exprogram will be so program is Extension		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary breakthroughs in the development transdisciplinary benefit by being government. Assess postal. Assess postal. Assess postal. Assess postal. Assess postal. Use scientify, and, 3. Use scientification of the program, defectively address the program, defended in the Coagents who are residentification.	escription of terespect the instance of locally valid information of locally relevant of locally expedite of Agricularly expedite of lege of Agricularly expedites	the proposed program. (3 stitution of science but are world overwhelms us with a mation and, most import ecognized by the National icultural sciences. An except and programming on the gwould be grounded in material sciences, in orderly Master of Science degree of interests with respect to pret credible scientific interests. There in this document, recourses approved by the scientific to translate scientificated to translate scientificated to translate scientificated.	BOO word limit) The uncertain how to capitalize on so information, so much so that the part of the property of Sciences as fundamentally, to effectively use that information, to effectively use that information of a transdisciplinary Extense politically charged topic of climinality of capitally charged topic of climinality, relevant natural sciences are to facilitate successful dialogue are program that builds student conformation from diverse fields relevant and programs in applied research and quires 12 credits (four 3-credit constudent's advisory committee. The tement. A primary audience for this convolledge directly to their stakes.	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving usion program could be ate change. Successful, but it would also e.  Inpacity to: ent; evant to public concerns; evant to public descriptions.  In outreach which exprogram will be so program is Extension		
	Provide a brief de Most Americans the public good. discern scientific Transdisciplinary breakthroughs in the development transdisciplinary benefit by being government. Assess postal. Assess postal. Assess postal. Assess postal. Assess postal. Use scientify, and, 3. Use scientification of the program, defectively address the program, defended in the Coagents who are residentification.	escription of terespect the instance of locally valid information of locally relevant of locally expedite of Agricularly expedite of lege of Agricularly expedites	the proposed program. (3 stitution of science but are world overwhelms us with a mation and, most import ecognized by the National icultural sciences. An except and programming on the gwould be grounded in material sciences, in orderly Master of Science degree of interests with respect to pret credible scientific interests. There in this document, recourses approved by the scientific to translate scientificated to translate scientificated to translate scientificated.	BOO word limit) The uncertain how to capitalize on so information, so much so that the part of the property of Sciences as fundamentally, to effectively use that information, to effectively use that information of a transdisciplinary Extense politically charged topic of climinality of capitally charged topic of climinality, relevant natural sciences are to facilitate successful dialogue are program that builds student conformation from diverse fields relevant and programs in applied research and quires 12 credits (four 3-credit constudent's advisory committee. The tement. A primary audience for this convolledge directly to their stakes.	scientific knowledge for public is often unable to mation to improve lives. ental to achieving usion program could be ate change. Successful, but it would also e.  Inpacity to: ent; evant to public concerns; evant to public descriptions.  In outreach which exprogram will be so program is Extension		

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 2 of 33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Visit <a href="http://dataportal.cpe.ky.gov/cpedegreedesignations.aspx">http://dataportal.cpe.ky.gov/cpedegreedesignations.aspx</a> for the CPE's list of approved degree designations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Programs are effective the semester following approval. No program will be made effective unless all approvals, up through and including Board of Trustees and CPE approval, are received.

List the program objectives of the proposed program. These objectives should deal with the specific institutional and societal needs that the program will address, such as how students will benefit from the program, both 2b tangibly and intangibly. (Please note that "program objectives" are different from "student learning outcomes.") (300 word limit) Most Americans respect the institution of science but often are unsure as to how to capitalize on scientific knowledge for the good of the public. This program will: 1. Address the need among Kentucky Extension agents for M.S.-level graduate education. 2. Respond to society's need for skill in identifying credible scientific information that is relevant to important public issues relating to agriculture, food, and the environment; and, 3. Empower graduates to build, execute, and evaluate science-based programs that address such issues. As of May 2018, 93 employees of the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment were enrolled in Masters programs of study at other institutions. This included 33 Extension agents and 28 Extension support staff. In order to progress above Grade Level II in the Kentucky Cooperative Extension Service, Extension agents must obtain an M.S. degree. The proposed program will help to fill that need. In addition, we expect that the program will be of interest to some Extension agents from beyond Kentucky's borders, as well as to students drawn from other populations. List the intended student learning outcomes (SLOs) for the proposed program. Address one or more of the five 2c\* areas of learning: 1. broad, integrative knowledge; 2. specialized knowledge; 3. intellectual skills; 4. applied learning; and 5. civic learning. (300 word limit) (More detailed information will be addressed in a subsequent question.) Engage with diverse audiences and explore information sources to ascertain issues of concern relating to 1. agriculture, food and environment and their transdisciplinary relationships Locate, identify and evaluate the credibility of relevant scientific information in agriculture, food and environment, and distinguish it from non-scientific information 3. Develop and assess evidence-based outreach programs that focus on issues of public concern in agriculture, food and environment Describe the rationale and motivation for the program. Give reference to national context, including equivalents 2d in benchmark institutions. (150 word limit) The Cooperative Service has been effectively engaged in science translation since passage of the Smith-Lever Act in 1914. Extension agents throughout the country have worked directly with local leaders and the public at large to address diverse public needs. Given the increasingly complex world we live in, and the rapid rate of scientific progress, expanded and more formalized transdisciplinary education in science translation seems critical. Courses--and less commonly, curricula--in translational science exist elsewhere, but these often focus on human health care. To our knowledge, formal curricula on translational science do not exist among colleges of agriculture and related areas of study. As of May 2018, 93 employees of the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment were enrolled in Masters

2e

Describe the proposed program's uniqueness within UK. (250 word limit)

Extension agents in Kentucky as well for some Extension agents beyond Kentucky.

programs of study at other institutions. Many of these employees are Extension agents. In order to progress above Grade Level II in the Kentucky Cooperative Extension Service, Extension agents must obtain an M.S. degree. The proposed online program will help to fill that need, making graduate education more accessible for

	There is no graduate program at UK with an explicit focus on translational science in agriculture, food,					
	environment, or related disciplines. Furthermore, the UK College of Agriculture, Food and Environment's focus					
	on agricultural and related sciences leaves opportunities for other colleges to develop their own graduate					
	programs in translational science without intercollegiate competition.					
2.0	Described to the description of the second Court					
2f	Describe the target audience. (150 word limit)	1 1.1	1 , ,1			
	An importantthough not exclusiveaudience is expected to be Extension agents in Kentu	•	-			
	region. Conservatively, we anticipate the Science Translation and Outreach (STO) progre Extension professionals annually who would be new students to UK. This estimate is base					
	Section 2d of this form, plus a survey of Extension Directors executed by the UK CAFE A.		-			
	Extension, executed expressly to help us gauge regional interest in the STO program. We					
	anticipate an additional six students new to UK each year in two embedded graduate cert					
	review; namely, Positive Youth Development (PYD) and Family and Consumer Sciences (	-	· ·			
	Kentuckians with new opportunities for life-long learning. Some students are also expect		_			
	populations. UK marketing efforts likely will help expand the potential pool of students.	ca ji oni oi.	iici			
	populations. CIT mannering egyot is timely with neith expande the potential poor of statements.					
2g*	Does the program allow for any concentrations?	Yes	No 🔀			
<del>-</del> 8	If "Yes," name the concentration(s). (Specific course requirements will be described in Sec					
	Concentration #1:	2001171, pa	,,,			
	Concentration #2:					
	Concentration #3:					
	Concentration #3.					
	Are necessary resources available for the proposed new program? (A more detailed					
2h	answer is requested in Section A, part 4.)	Yes 🖂	No 🗌			
	unswer is requested in Section A, part 4.)					
	Describe how the proposed program will be administered including admissions student	advicing	rotontion			
2i	Describe how the proposed program will be administered, including admissions, student etc. (150 word limit)	. auvising, i	retention,			
	•		a admission			
	A Director of Graduate Studies will administer the program. Admission to the STO program to the Graduate School and approval of the STO faculty and the DGS. In addition to fulfil					
	Graduate School, prospective students will submit an up-to-date curriculum vita as well a					
	Students will select an advisor by the completion of six credits. Students will form a grad	•				
	by the completion of nine credits. Composition of advisory committees will meet requirem					
	Graduate Bulletin. Students will have considerable latitude in selecting members of their					
	providing individuals selected have some relevance to the student's professional interests	•				
	committees will convene at least annually to advise the student in course selection and to		•			
	academic progress. Completion of program requirements includes passing a final oral Ex		-			
	by the student's graduate advisory committee.					
	,					
		Yes	$\Box$			
2j	Are multiple units/programs collaborating to offer this program?		No 🔛			
	If "Yes," please discuss the resource contribution(s) from each participating unit/program		rd limit)			
	(Letters of support will be addressed in Part A, section 7.)		,			
	This program depends on instructional units throughout the college for the development of	and offerin	g of elective			
	courses. See the document, "Plan for Development of Electives for the Online M.S. Curri					
	Translation and Outreach in the College of Agriculture. Food and Environment"					

**NEW** MASTER'S DEGREE Page 4 of 33

2k	List all UK programs <sup>4</sup> that the proposed program could be perceived as replicating. Give a is not duplication, or is a necessary duplication. (250 word limit)	a rationale	for why this					
	There are no graduate programs at UK that expicitly focus on science translation and outreach in food, agriculture, and environment. This new program is a natural fit for the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment, given that "science translation" has been the central focus of our country's 104-year-old Cooperative Extension Service. Please note, appended to this proposal, communications from the UK Center for Clinical and Translational Science and from the UK Martin School of Public Policy and Administration, expressing agreement as to the absence of overlapping academic programs.							
	Will the faculty of record for the proposed new master's degree be the graduate							
21	faculty of the department/school offering the proposed new degree?	Yes 🗌	No 🔀					
	If "No," please describe the faculty of record for the proposed master's program, including	ng: selecti	on criteria;					
	term of service; and method for adding/removing members. Will the existing director of	graduate	studies (DGS)					
	in the department/school be the DGS for this proposed master's degree?							
	See appendix entitled, "List of Proposed Graduate Faculty in the Online M.S. Program in	Science Tr	anslation and					
	Outreach (STO) in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment "							
	ANGULA I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	V 🗀	<b> </b>					
2m	Will the program have an advisory board <sup>5</sup> ?	Yes	No 🔀					
	If "Yes," please describe the standards by which the faculty of record will select member the duration of service on the board, and criteria for removal. (150 word limit)	s or the at	ivisory board,					
	the duration of service on the board, and criteria for removal. (130 word innit)							
	If "Yes," please list below the number of each type of individual (as applicable) who will be advisory board.	oe involve	d in the					
	Faculty within the college who are within the home educational unit.							
	Faculty within the college who are outside the home educational unit.							
	Faculty outside the college who are within the University.							
	Faculty outside the college and outside the University who are within the Unite	d States.						
	Faculty outside the college and outside the University who are outside the Unit	ed States.						
	Students who are currently in the program.							
	Students who recently graduated from the program.							
	Members of industry.							
	Community volunteers.							
	Other. Please explain:							
	Total Number of Advisory Board Members							
			• 066					
3. Del	-	P and eLe	arning Office 6					
3a*	Initially, will any portion of the proposed program's core courses be offered via distance learning <sup>7</sup> ?	Yes 🔀	No 🗌					

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 5 of 33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> You must include a letter of support from any other program's home unit. Please convert the letter to a PDF and append to the end of this form.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> An advisory board includes both faculty and non-faculty who are expected to advise the faculty of record on matters related to the program, e.g. national trends and industry expectations of graduates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For questions about alternative delivery modes, please contact UK's Distance Learning Programs and e-Learning office (http://www.uky.edu/DistanceLearning/).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Per the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACS) definition of distance education, distance education is a formal educational process in which the majority of the instruction (interaction between students and

	If "Yes," please indicate below the percentage of core courses that will be offered via distance learning.					ance learning.			
(check one)	1% - 24	4% 🗌	25% - 49% 🗌	50% - 74%	75 - 99% 🗌	100% 🔀			
	NOTE: Programs in which 25% or more of the program will be offered via distance learning may need to submit a <u>substantive change prospectus</u> to SACS. Please contact <u>institutionaleffectiveness@uky.edu</u> for assistance. <i>The prospectus is required by SACS, but it is NOT required for Senate review.</i>								
3b*	If any below.		program will be offere	d via the alternative le	arning formats belo	w, check all that apply,			
		Distance learning							
				f interaction, such as fa ail, interactive televisio					
		Technology-enha	nced instruction.						
			d/early morning classe	S.					
	<u> </u>	Accelerated cour							
	<u> </u>			such as employer work	site.				
			tiple entry, exit, and re	eentry points.					
		Modularized cou	ses.						
	Give n	edagogical rationa	e for the use of altern	ative delivery modes in	the proposed prop	ram. Consider the			
	•	<b>.</b> .	rate as appropriate. (2	•	. the proposed prog	grann consider the			
3c			asynchronous compo	•					
	•	Balance between	traditional and non-ti	raditional aspects.					
	•	Hybrid elements.							
	A motivating factor for the development of this new program is to provide Extension agents in all 120 Kentucky counties the opportunity for graduate studies in content that is relevant to their occupation. As of May 2018, 93 employees of the CAFÉ were enrolled in Masters programs of study at other institutions. With recent legislative changes, study at other institutions is no longer covered by the UK Employee Education Program. Therefore, in order to provide opportunities for graduate study to this population, the proposed program is being developed with a priority in fully distance-learning modalities. All core courses will be entirely distance-based. With respect to electives, fully online courses will provide opportunities for students at substantial distances to complete degree requirements, although students may choose to take approved courses with an on-campus requirement, subject to approval by the DGS (and by their advisory committee, once formed). Both synchronous and asynchronous courses will be employed. Asynchronous courses offer the advantage of flexibility for busy people, but synchronous offerings may be initially more suitable for those teachers new to online learning.								
4. UK R	esource	es							
4a*			educational unit requ	ire new or additional fa	aculty?	Yes No No			
	If "Yes," provide a plan to ensure that appropriate faculty resources are available, either within UK or externally, to support the program. Note whether the new and additional faculty will be part-time or full-time faculty. If								
	"No," explain why. (150 word limit)  New faculty appointments are not anticipated. The program will draw largely on the resources of existing faculty. For selected courses, part-time, Ph.Dlevel instructors will be recruited and compensated for their services (overload assignments). The College of Agriculture, Food and Environmentand the UK Provost have committed to providing funds for monetary incentives for development and initial delivery of the program's core courses. Funds were also provided for development and initial delivery of a set of elective courses. Once financially stable, funds from tuition return will be used to continue to incentivize college-wide development and delivery of suitable electives. Please see the document, "Plan for Development of Electives for the Online M.S. Curriculum in Science Translation and Outreach in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment," appended to this proposal.								

instructors and among students) in a course occurs when students and instructors are not in the same place. Instruction may be synchronous or asynchronous.

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 6 of 33

	If "Yes," when will the faculty be appointed? (150 word limit)					
4b	Will the program's home educational unit require additional non-faculty resources, e.g. classroom space, lab space, or equipment?	Yes 🗌	No 🔀			
	If "Yes," provide a brief summary of additional non-faculty resources that will be needed program over the next five (5) years. If "No," explain why. (150 word limit)	to implen	nent this			
	Courses in the program will be 100% online, so that the degree program is available to Extension agents seeking appropriate professional development from anywhere in Kentucky and beyond. Courses will be taught using existing laptop/desktop computers. As needed, instructors will take advantage of AV services available at Young Library and of technical and curricular support from the Office of Distance Learning. Both of the embedded certificate programs will use start-up funds to provide overload support for course development and delivery for six instructors (three in FCS and three in PYD). Once the programs become financially self-sustaining, tuition revenue will be used to support the course offerings of several instructors.					
4c	Will the program include courses from another educational unit(s)?	Yes 🖂	No 🗌			
	If "Yes," list the courses and identify the other educational units and subunits that have a of their courses. (150 word limit)	approved t	the inclusion			
	Units throughout the College are expected to offer courses that can serve as electives in the incentive fundsand eventually, tuition revenuebecome available for course development see the document, "Plan for Development of Electives for the Online M.S. Curriculum in Surface Outreach in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment," appended to this propose	nt and deli Science Tr al.	very. Please anslation and			
	If "Yes," append to the end of this form a letter of support from the appropriate education from whose unit individual courses will be used. A letter must include the following:	onal unit c	hair/director			
	Demonstration of true collaboration between multiple units <sup>8</sup> ;					
	Impact on the course's use on the home educational unit; and	. • •				
	<ul> <li>Verification that the chair/director has consent from the faculty members of the</li> </ul>	unit.				

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 7 of 33

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 8}$  Show evidence of detailed collaborative consultation with such units early in the process.

2012025	ACADEMIC DEGREES AND	OTHER QUALIFICATIONS AND COMMENTS  Note qualifications and comments as they pertain to course taught.	
COURSES TAUGHT	COURSEWORK		
Include term; course prefix, number and title; & credit hours. Identify courses as D, UN, UT or G.	List relevant courses taught, including institution and major.		
Spring, STO 650, 3 cr, G	PPA 620, UK, Plant Pathology	Thirty years of experience in academic instruction at the university level	
Summer, STO 601, 3 c, G		Offers graduate courses at UK, including a course in program development and evaluation; serves as Director of the CAFÉ Office of Extension Program and Staff Development	
Spring, STO 602, 3 cr, G		Several decades of professorial experience and scientific leadership at universities, the American Phytopathological Society, and the federal government	
Fall, STO 603, 3 cr, G		Senior Extension Specialist for Parenting and Child Adolescence Education, Ph.D. in Family Sciences Research interests focus on the family process, family protective factors, parenting, and healthy communication.	
	and title; & credit hours. Identify courses as D, UN, UT or G.  Spring, STO 650, 3 cr, G  Summer, STO 601, 3 c, G  Spring, STO 602, 3 cr, G	Include term; course prefix, number and title; & credit hours. Identify courses as D, UN, UT or G.  Spring, STO 650, 3 cr, G  Summer, STO 601, 3 c, G  Spring, STO 602, 3 cr, G	

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 8 of 33

	D = d	evelopmental	
FT = full time	UN =	undergraduate nontransferable	
PT= part time		undergraduate transferable	
	G = gı	raduate	

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 9 of 33

# 5. Assessment – Program Assessment and Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) Referring to program objectives, student benefits, and the target audience (questions 2b and 2f), explain how the program will be assessed, which is different from assessing student learning outcomes. Include how the 5a faculty of record will determine whether the program is a success or a failure. List the benchmarks, the assessment tools, and the plan of action if the program does not meet its objectives. (250 word limit) This program will: 1. Address the need among Kentucky Extension agents for M.S.-level graduate education; 2. Respond to society's need for skill in identifying credible scientific information that is relevant to important public issues relating to agriculture, food, and the environment; and, 3. Empower graduates to build, execute, and evaluate science-based programs that address such issues. The STO DGS, academic staff, and STO Graduate Faculty will monitor student inquiries, applications and acceptances in order to assess whether suitably qualified students from Kentucky and beyond are being recruited to the program. For Program Objectives listed in section 2b: Program Objective #1 will be monitored through admissions of Extension agents and other qualified students; degrees awarded; time to degree completion; student grades, and through direct communications with Extension agents, Extension administrators, and students. Program Objective #2 will be evaluated through survey responses from program graduates one year postgraduation. Questions will help to identify strengths, limitations, and opportunities for improvement. Additional assessment data will include retention rates and overall financial sustainability of the program. Program Objective #3 will be evaluated through survey responses as mentioned for Program Objective #2, and through direct communications with Extension agents, Extension administrators, and students. (related to section 15) Append an assessment plan<sup>9</sup> for the SLOs to the end of this form. (Click HERE for a sample 5b assessment plan.) Explain how the curriculum achieves the program level student learning outcomes by describing the relationship 5c between the overall curriculum or the major curricular components and the program objectives. (300 word limit) Three core courses (STO 601, STO 602, and STO 603) directly provide the conceptual learning undergirding the student learning outcomes (SLOs) in this program. The capstone course (STO 650) provides the opportunity to reinforce and emphasize these concepts by putting them into practice. The curriculum map (provided in the document named "ASSESSMENT PLAN: STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES" and appended to this proposal) visually illustrates the correspondence of the four core courses with the program's SLOs. The electives are comprised of a minimum of 18 credits. Electives will serve multiple purposes: (1) to reinforce core concepts of science literacy and scientific practice within the context of students' disciplinary interests; (2) to expand students' scientific and disciplinary literacy through exposure to diverse disciplines; and (3) to expand their capacity to analyze and communicate diverse scientific content to lay audiences. Advisory committees will have the responsibility to ensure that students enroll in electives representing disciplinary interests as well as electives outside of the student's principle disciplinary education/experiences. Such flexibility reflects the great

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 10 of 33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> An assessment plan is typically a tabular grid that illustrates the artifacts, rubrics, assessment team, and periods of assessment for the SLOs.

	diversity of programmatic challenges our students will encounter over their careers, particularly Extension agents.
5d	Append a PDF of the program's course map <sup>10</sup> to the end of this form. (Click <u>HERE</u> for a sample curricular map.)
5e	(related to 2c) Based on the SLOs from question 2c, which components will be evaluated, i.e. course mapping? For each student learning outcome identify in which courses it is covered in the curriculum and note whether employers, students, alumni, and/or faculty outside of the program were involved in the development of student learning outcomes. (300 word limit).
	For details of the assessment plan, see "ASSESSMENT PLAN: STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES," appended to this proposal.
	• SLO #1: Representative student learning will be evaluated in STO 601 (rubric included in ASSESSMENT PLAN). Representative student learning will be evaluated in elective courses using an assessment rubric common across elective courses. The written report in STO 650 and the oral exit exam will also provide opportunities for evaluation of student learning. For assessing learning in the oral exam at the conclusion of the program, see the rubric entitled, "Scoring Rubric for Overall Performance" in the ASSESSMENT PLAN.
	SLO #2: Representative student learning will be evaluated in STO 602 (see ASSESSMENT PLAN).  Representative student learning in elective courses, and elements of STO 650, will be assessed as described
	<ul> <li>SLO #3: Representative student learning will be evaluated in STO 603 (see ASSESSMENT PLAN).</li> <li>Representative student learning in elective courses, and elements of STO 650, will be assessed as described above.</li> </ul>
5f	When will components be evaluated? Identify the review cycle for each student learning outcome. (e.g, every semester or each year) (150 word limit)
	Program SLOs will be assessed every three years, one per year, in order. See "ASSESSMENT PLAN: STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES," appended to this proposal.
5g	When will the data be collected? (This may or may not be different from when the assessment is <i>conducted</i> .) (150 word limit)
	At least annually.
5h	How will the data be collected? (150 word limit)
	See "ASSESSMENT PLAN: STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES," appended to this form.
5i	What will be the benchmarks and/or targets to be achieved? (150 word limit)  90% of students achieve a level of "Accomplished" for each performance criterion assessed in rubric (see
	"ASSESSMENT PLAN: STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES," appended to this proposal). 90% of students achieve an "Accomplished" rating for each SLO scored at the conclusion of the student's program of study (see ASSESSMENT PLAN).
5j	What individuals or groups will be responsible for data collection? (150 word limit)
- ,	The program DGS will be responsible for data collection but will have the assistance of course instructors and the data analyst for the CAFE Center for Student Success.
5k	How will the data and findings be shared with faculty? (150 word limit)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Course mapping (or "curricular mapping") is a representation of how faculty intend to approach and assess each of the student learning outcomes identified for the courses for the degree program, with an emphasis on courses required for all degree candidates. It is a master chart that indicates which objectives are being met, to what extent, and how often. This identifies whether an objective is "introduced," "developed," and/or "mastered" within a given course; it may be helpful also to chart any classroom-based assessment measures used to demonstrate that claim.

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 11 of 33

	Data and findings will be shared in an annual report via email with the administration of the College, with the						
	Graduate Faculty of the program, and with other interested and appropriate individuals.						
51	How will the data be used for making programmatic improvements? (150 word limit	·)					
	The STO Graduate Faculty will meet at least annually to consider assessment results and to make changes to the program, as appropriate. Courses are commonly revised for a variety of reasons in order to improve instructional outcomes, especially when first offered. Instructors of individual courses will be supported and encouraged to consult with the STO Graduate Faculty and others with respect to how to continually improve their courses. Instructors will remain abreast of opportunities for professional development and consultation through the Office of Distance Learning. Significant changes to the curriculum will be subject to majority approval by the STO Graduate Faculty and then subject to processes as indicated by UK academic policies.						
5m	What are the measures of teaching effectiveness? (150 word limit)						
3111	Those obtained through standard UK course evaluations. As the program matures, t	he STO Gra	duate Faculty				
	will consider how to assess program impact through surveys of stakeholder leaders.	110 310 010	date racarty				
	will consider now to assess program impact amough surveys of stakenoraer reducts.						
5n	What efforts to improve teaching effectiveness will be pursued based on these measures.	sures? (150	word limit)				
	Regular personal communications between the DGS and other instructors will under		·				
	teaching effectiveness. Instructors will continually be encouraged to avail ourselves	of training o	pportunities				
	available through the Distance Learning program of the Office of Academic Technolo	gies & Facu	lty Engagement,				
	and through the Center for the Enhancement of Learning and Teaching (CELT).						
	NII - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -						
50	What are the plans to evaluate students' post-graduate success? (150 word limit)	Javaas it sh	auld ba				
	A post-graduation survey was mentioned above. Since Extension agents are UK emprelatively easy to communicate with those remaining at UK. Even those that have ac	•					
	will often be accessible through their former employer. Post-graduation surveys will	•					
	of staff in the Office of the Associate Dean for Instruction, with results disseminated		•				
	Associate Dean for Instruction. The survey will include questions such as:	,					
	I am satisfied with (course availability, instructional quality, etc.). (1-5 Likert scale)						
	• I am satisfied with the overall learning I received. (1-5 Likert scale)						
	• I am better-able to make sense of scientific information. (1-5 Likert scale)						
	The learning I received has been useful in doing my job. (1-5 Likert scale)						
6 04							
	ellaneous						
6a	Is there anything else about the proposed program that should be mentioned? (150						
	Given the expectation that Kentucky Extension agents who are hired without a Mast	_					
	that degree in order to progress in their "career ladder," we anticipate an annual po						
	the foreseeable future. The proposed program is important in order to make gradu	uate educat	ion available to				
	our Extension agents throughout the state.						
7. Non-	Course Requirements						
70	Will the program require completion of a bachelor's degree from a fully	vos 🖂	No 🗔				
7a	accredited institution of higher learning?						
	If "No," explain below. (150 word limit)						
	The Graduate School requires applicants to have an overall GPA of 2.75 on						
7b	undergraduate work. Will the program have a higher undergraduate GPA	Yes	No 🔀				
	requirement?						

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 12 of 33

	If "Yes," describe below. (1	50 word limit)					
7c	Will the proposed program TOEFL) to be considered for	include requirements for test	sting (e.g. GRE, GMAT,	Yes 🖂	No 🗌		
	<u> </u>		rements, scores, etc. below.	 (150 word li	imit)		
	The GRE exam will be requ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(			
7d	Will the program have a wo	orld language requirement?		Yes	No 🔀		
	If "Yes," describe below. (1						
	<u> </u>						
7e		s transfer of up to nine creditoposed program. (150 word	ts or 25% of course work. Ple	ease describ	e transfer credit		
	Transfer credits will be perr	mitted within the limits estab	olished by the Graduate Scho	ool and will	be evaluated by		
	a committee of the STO Gra	aduate Faculty on a case-by-	case basis.		·		
7£	Will the program have a the	esis requirement (Plan A)? (If	f "Yes," explain the	Yes	No 🔀		
7f	requirements below. If "No	," proceed to question 6g)		res	NO 🔼		
7g	Will the program have a no requirements below. If "No	n-thesis requirement (Plan B ," proceed to question 6h)	3)? (If "Yes," explain the	Yes 🖂	No 🗌		
	If "Yes," explain the require	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			I		
	Students must complete a	capstone project for successi	ful completion of the capstor	ne course, C	Capstone in		
	Science Translation and Ou	treach (STO 650). In addition	n, students must pass an ora	l exit exam	covering		
	program content and their	capstone course project.					
7h	Provide the final examination	on criteria.		Yes 🖂	No 🗌		
	Students must provide a sa	tifactory performance in an o	oral exam with their Graduat	e Advisory	Committee.		
	Content of the exam may co	over the required capstone p	project as well as general cor	itent from t	he student's		
	coursework.						
7i	Describe termination criter			Yes 🔀	No L		
	As per described in the Gra	duate School Bulletin.					
8. Cour	se Requirements.						
		·	v. At least two-thirds of the r		·		
8a	the master's or specialist degree must be in regular courses, and at least half of the minimum course						
			o credit) must be in 600- or 7				
	400G-level:	500-level: <i>15</i>	600-level: <i>15</i>	700-level	<u>:</u>		
8b*	What is the total number of	f credit hours required for th	ne degree? <sup>11</sup> (e.g. 24, 32)		30		

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 13 of 33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> A non-thesis option (Plan B) requires that six or more graduate credit hours of course work be submitted in lieu of a thesis.

	If any annulus articus also artists a read annulus le annulus in a casacia		(150.					
	If an explanation about the total credit hours is necessary, use the space below. (150 word limit)							
	Use the grids below to list core courses, electives, courses for a concentration, etc.  Use the course title from the Bulletin or from the most recent new/change course form.							
	Program Major Core Courses. These courses are requir	ed for all students in t	he progr	am and include				
8c*	prerequisite courses. Check the appropriate box to desc "prerequisite."							
Prefix & Number	Course Title	Type of Course	Credit Hrs	Course Status <sup>12</sup>				
STO 601	Program Development and Evaluation, cross-listed with CLD/SOC 665	Pgm Core Prerequisite	3	Change				
STO 602	Science Literacy and Translation	Pgm Core Prerequisite	3	New				
STO 603	Research Methods	Pgm Core Prerequisite	3	New				
STO 650	Capstone in Science Translation and Outreach	Pgm Core Prerequisite	3	New				
		Pgm Core Prerequisite		Select one				
		Pgm Core Prerequisite		Select one				
		Pgm Core Prerequisite		Select one				
		Pgm Core Prerequisite		Select one				
		Pgm Core Prerequisite		Select one				
		Pgm Core Prerequisite		Select one				
		Pgm Core Prerequisite		Select one				
		Pgm Core Prerequisite		Select one				
		Pgm Core Prerequisite		Select one				
		Pgm Core Prerequisite		Select one				
		Pgm Core Prerequisite		Select one				
	Total <b>Core C</b>	Courses Credit Hours:						

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 14 of 33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Use the drop-down list to indicate if the course is a new course ("new"), an existing course that will change ("change"), or if the course is an existing course that will not change ("no change").

8d	Is there any narrative about prerequisite courses for the program that should included in the Bulletin? If "Yes," note below. (150 word limit)	be	Yes 🔀	No 🗌
	Admission requires a baccalaureate degree in a field related to the social and agriculture, food and environment, approval of a committee of the STO Gradu admission to the UK Graduate School. Program admission does not require s	ate Fac	ulty and th	e DGS, and
8e	Is there any narrative about core courses for the program that should be incluin the Bulletin? If "Yes," note below.		Yes 🔀	No 🗌
	Although STO 650 (Capstone in Science Translation) is taught during a given successful capstone projects will commonly begin considering suitable topics senrollment in STO 650.			
	12 (0 ) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	,		
8f*	Program Guided Electives <sup>13</sup> (Guided electives for <u>all</u> students in the program. Does the program include any guided electives? (If "Yes," indicate and note the specific courses in the grid below. If "No," indicate and proceed to question 7	ne	Yes 🗌	No 🖂
8g*	Using the grid provided, list the guided electives below.			
Prefix Numbe	Course Title	Credit Hrs	Co	urse Status <sup>14</sup>
INUITIDE		піз		
Nullibe		піз	Select o	ne
Numbe		ПІЗ	Select o	
Numbe		ПІЗ		ne
Number		ПІЗ	Select of Select	ne ne
Number		піз	Select of Select	one one one
Number		піз	Select of Select	one one one
Number		ПІЗ	Select of Select	one one one one
Number		ПІЗ	Select of Select	one one one one one
Number		ПІЗ	Select of Select	one one one one one one one
Number		ПІЗ	Select of Select	one one one one one one one
Number	Total Credit Hours as Guided Electives:	ПІЗ	Select of Select	one one one one one one one
8h			Select of Select	one one one one one one one
	Total Credit Hours as Guided Electives:  Is there any narrative about guided electives courses that should be included		Select of Select	one one one one one one one one
	Total Credit Hours as Guided Electives:  Is there any narrative about guided electives courses that should be included		Select of Select	one one one one one one one one

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 15 of 33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Guided electives are available to all students in the program and are organized as groups of elective courses, from which a student chooses one (or two, or three, etc.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Use the drop-down list to indicate if the course will be newly proposed as a new course ("new"), if the course is an existing course that will change ("change"), or if the course is an existing course that will not change ("no change").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Program free electives are available to all students in the program (regardless of any concentration(s)) and the choice of which course(s) to take is up to the student. Courses are not grouped but can be described as "student must take three courses at the 600-level or above."

8i*	Does the program include any free electives? (If "Yes," in question 7j. If "No," indicate and proceed to 7l.)	dica	te and proceed t	0	Yes 🔀	No 🗌
	question 7. If No, indicate and proceed to 71.)					
8j*	What is the total number of credit hours in free electives	.7	18			
O)	What is the total number of create hours in free electives	•	10			
8k	Provide the free electives courses language that will be i <i>limit</i> )	nclu	led in the Gradu	ate Sch	ool Bulletin	. (150 word
	In consultation with their Advisory Committee and subject programs of study totaling at least 18 credit hours of elect learning appropriate to the practice of science translation. Develop deeper knowledge in disciplinary areas of their and (2) Gain broader, transdisciplinary exposure to less capacity to translate science content to lay audiences. It that students enroll in electives of both types. Such flexible challenges our students will encounter over their careers commonly will be selected from offerings of the College of in other UK colleges may be considered.	ctive n and unde fami is th ility , par	courses. While to doutreach, electer graduate degree liar subject-matte responsibility of the greatticularly as Exte	he core ive count e and/o er conto of the A t divers nsion a	e curriculum rses allow s r profession ent, expandi dvisory Con ity of progra gents. Elec	n provides tudents to: (1) tal experience; ing their nmittee to ensure ammatic tive courses
	Courses for a program's concentration(s).					
	Click HERE for a template for additional concentrations 16					
81	Does the program include any concentrations? (If "Yes," question 7m. If "No," indicate and proceed to 7p.)	indi	cate and proceed	l to	Yes 🗌	No 🔀
8m	Concentration name:					
Prefix 8	(Check the appropriate box to describe the course as			Credi Hrs	Cou	urse Status <sup>17</sup>
			Core Elective		Select o	ne
		=	Core Elective		Select o	ne
		=	Core Elective		Select o	ne
			Core Elective		Select o	ne
		=	Core Elective		Select o	ne
			Core Elective		Select o	ne
			Core Elective		Select o	ne

**NEW** MASTER'S DEGREE Page 16 of 33

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 16}$  Append a PDF with each concentration's courses to the end of this form.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Use the drop-down list to indicate if the course will be newly proposed as a new course ("new"), if the course is an existing course that will change ("change"), or if the course is an existing course that will not change ("no change").

		Elective		
		Core Elective		Select one
		Core Elective		Select one
				I
8n	Provide concentration-related language that should be in limit)	cluded in the Gradua	ate Schoo	ol Bulletin. (150 word
80	Does the program have an additional concentration? (If "proceed to question 7p. If "No," indicate and proceed to			Yes No No
8p	Concentration #2 Name:			
Prefix 8 Numbe	(Check the appropriate box to describe the course as		Credit Hrs	Course Status <sup>18</sup>
		Core Elective		Select one
		Core Elective		Select one
		Core Elective		Select one
		Core Elective		Select one
		Core Elective		Select one
		Core Elective		Select one
		Core Elective		Select one
		Core Elective		Select one
		Core Elective		Select one
		ı		
1		, Concentration #2:		
8q	Provide concentration-related language that should be in concentration. (150 word limit)	icluded in the Gradua	ate Schoo	ol Bulletin for the second

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 17 of 33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Use the drop-down list to indicate if the course will be newly proposed as a new course ("new"), if the course is an existing course that will change ("change"), or if the course is an existing course that will not change ("no change").

	1					12 (450 41: ")
8r	, ,					ned? (150 word limit) nd, since our expected principal source
	of students will be					ia, since our expected principal source
	j sililienis mili ee	2000000000		,	projin <b>e</b> iii	
9. Deg	ree Plan					
	Create a degree p	lan for the p	proposed pi	rogram by li	sting in the table belo	w the courses that a typical student
0-	would take each s	semester. Us	se the space	es for "Year	3" only if necessary. It	f multiple concentrations are
9a	available, click <u>HE</u>	RE for a tem	nplate for a	dditional co	ncentrations. Append	a PDF with each concentration's
	semester-by-sem	ester progra	m of study	to the end	of this form.	
	YEAR 1 - FALL:	See attach	ed Degree	Plan	YEAR 1 - SPRING:	
	YEAR 2 - FALL :				YEAR 2 - SPRING:	
	YEAR 3 - FALL:				YEAR 3 - SPRING:	
01	With reference to	the degree	plan above	e, explain ho	w there is progression	n in rigor and complexity in the
9b	courses that make	e up the pro	gram. <i>(150</i>	word limit)		
	All four core cour	ses will be t	aught at the	e 600 level.	The first three (STO 6	601, STO 602 and STO 603) do not
	represent a hierar	chy but, rat	her, they of	fer complem	nentary content to fill o	out students' core content. The
	capstone (STO 65	0) represent	ts an increa	se in compl	exity and rigor, as stud	dents will largely practice cognitive
		•			•	ts prepare for the capstone experience
	by challenging stu	idents with c	content in m	ultiple and	diverse academic disc	iplines.
	. /-					
	pprovals/Reviews		do the			
		•		•		of support from educational unit
				cuity suppoi	rt (typically takes the i	form of meeting minutes).
	Reviewing Gro	-		Contact I	Person Name/Phone/	'Email
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		proved	nation holow	u attach documentati	on of department and college
10a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		•			
10a	department- and			meeting mi	mutes but may also be	e an email from the unit head reporting
	STO Graduate	conege-ieve	i votes.			
	Faculty			Paul Vind	elli / 859-218-0722 / ¡	ovincell@uky.edu
	CAFÉ Graduate	2				
		=		Laurent Cur	.h / 050 257 2460 /	/ Jamashan Gulun adu
	Curriculum Committee			Larry Gra	ıbau / 859-257-3468 /	ідгарайшику.ейй
	Committee			/		
				/	/	
				/	/	
10k	/Callelanative	۱/۵۰۰ ۱۴۲۰۰۰	المالية			
10b	(Collaborating and		a Units)			
	See appended j	jiie,				
	Collaborating	O.r.		/	/	
	Affected Units	Of _				
	Martin School	of				
	I IVIUI III DUIIUUI					
			Oct 2018	Ron 7imi	mer / 859-323-5413 / 1	ron zimmer@ukv edu
	Public Policy of Administration	and 16	Oct 2018	Ron Zimi	mer / 859-323-5413 / 1	ron.zimmer@uky.edu

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 18 of 33

	Center for Clinical and Translational Science	25 Oct 2018	Tom Kelly / (859) 3	323-5206 / thkelly@uky.edu
			/ /	
			/ /	
			/ /	
			/ /	
			/ /	
			/ /	
10c	(Senate Academic Coun	cil)	Date Approved	Contact Person Name
	Health Care Colleges applicable)	Council (if		
	applicable			

**NEW** MASTER'S DEGREE Page 19 of 33

#### **INFORMATION REQUIRED BY CPE AND SACS**

### 11. Program Overview – Program Quality and Student Success

Highlight any distinctive qualities of the proposed program. Are any faculty nationally or internationally recognized for expertise in this field? Does this program build on the expertise of an existing locally, nationally, or internationally recognized program at UK? (300 word limit)

To our knowledge, there is no equivalent graduate program at other colleges of agriculture. This program builds upon the excellence of Kentucky's Cooperative Extension Service (CES) which, with over nine million direct contacts in fiscal year 2018, is valued at local levels throughout the Commonwealth and respected nationally.

Clearly state the student admission, retention, and completion standards designed to encourage high quality. (300 words)

Students are required to hold a baccalaureate degree from a fully accredited institution of higher education. Students must be admitted to the Graduate School and approved by the STO Graduate Faculty and the STO Director of Graduate Studies.

Describe how the proposed program will articulate with related programs in the state. Include the extent to which student transfer has been explored and coordinated with other institutions. Note: Convert all draft articulation agreements related to this proposed program to PDF and append to the end of this form. (300 word limit)

There is no equivalent graduate program relating to science translation and outreach in subjects dealing with agriculture, food and environment.

11d Identify the applicant pool and how applicants will be reached. (300 word limit)

The principal applicant pool will be Extension agents in Kentucky and, to a lesser extent, those in other states. Kentucky Extension agents are required to hold a Masters degree to progress in their "career ladder." While some are hired already holding a Masters degree, others study for their degree on a part-time basis while employed as Extension agents. Based on a recent survey by the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment, the STO Graduate Faculty conservatively expects that the program will admit at least ten students per year. Assuming a five-year period for degree completion, we anticipate a program that can service ten new students per year. Assuming a five-year time frame for degree completion as part-time students, we anticipate a steady-state population of at least 50 Extension agents pursuing M.S. degrees.

Extension agents in Kentucky can be easily reached through the Cooperative Extension Service administration. Other populations will be reached with the help of UK marketing efforts.

### 12. Mission: Centrality to the Institution's Mission and Consistency with State's Goals

12a\* (related to 2b) Explain how the program objectives support at least two aspects of UK's institutional mission and academic strategic plan? (150 word limit)

The proposed program will provide high-quality, career-relevant graduate education accessible via distance learning to Extension agents and other populations. The program will contribute to the following UK strategic objectives:

- Graduate Education producing graduates "who contribute to the Commonwealth, the nation, and the world through their research and discovery, creative endeavors, teaching, and service."
- Diversity and Inclusivity The Kentucky Extension Service is committed to continually strengthening the diversity of our workforce and our clientele populations. Enhancing the scholastic excellence of the diverse members of the Kentucky Extension Service will help them strengthen their capabilities to address the needs of diverse audiences, from a basis of respect and appreciation for our shared humanity
- Community Engagement Extension agents and other students will have expanded capacities to improve the lives of citizens in our Commonwealth and beyond through science-based programming.

(related to 2b) How do the program objectives support at least two aspects of the Council on Postsecondary Education's (CPE) Strategic Agenda and the statewide implementation plan? (300 word limit)

By providing online access to graduate education statewide to Extension agents and others, this program will help to raise the percentage of Kentuckians with high-quality postsecondary degrees. Because Exension agents

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 20 of 33

	make significant contributions to the economic vitality of Kentucky communities, this program will help to foster economic growth and development.
12c*	If an approval letter from an Education Professional Standards Board (EPSB) is required, check the box below and append a PDF version of the letter to this form.   (E.g. any program leading to teacher, principal, or superintendent certification, rank change, etc.)
13. Res	ources
13a*	How will the program support or be supported by other programs within the institution? For example, shared faculty, shared courses, collaborative research, etc. (300 word limit)
	We anticipate that some faculty members and instructors from throughout the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment will have an interest in serving on Student Advisory Committees. As described in the attached document," Plan for Development of Electives for the Online M.S. Curriculum," we are confident that numerous faculty members from various units in the College will developor are already developinga significant and growing pool of courses that the STO program can use as electives.
13b	NAME A STATE OF THE STATE OF TH
130	What will be the projected "faculty-to-student in major" ratio? (150 word limit)
	We conservatively anticipate a steady-state population of new students at least ten per year. Each of the four core courses is taught by one professor, so we anticipate a faculty-to-student ratio of approx. one to ten in the core courses. Faculty-to-student ratios in electives are unknown but are expected to vary.
	Describe the library resources available 19 to support this program. Access to the qualitative and quantitative
13c	library resources must be appropriate for the proposed program and should meet recognized standards for study at a particular level or in a particular field where such standards are available. Adequacy of electronic access, library facilities, and human resources to service the proposed program in terms of students and faculty will be considered. (300 word limit)
	The services available through the W. T. Young Library (including Interlibrary Loan) are expected to meet the scientific needs of our students. Diverse sources of other information may be relevant to student capstone experiences, but students will be expected to appropriately evaluate such sources for relevance and credibility. Distance Learning Library Services, available through the UK library system, will be employed to facilitate information access by off-campus students.
13d	Describe the physical facilities and instructional equipment available to support this program. Physical facilities and instructional equipment must be adequate to support a high-quality program. Address the availability of classroom, laboratory, and office space, as well as any equipment needs. (300 word limit)
	None needed, beyond the facilities and services already provided at UK in support of distance learning.
14. Der	nand and Unnecessary Duplication  Provide justification and evidence to support the need and demand for this proposed program. Include any data
	Provide justification and evidence to support the need and demand for this proposed program. Include any data on student demand, career opportunities at any level, or any recent trends in the discipline that necessitate a new program. (300 word limit)
14a*	<ul> <li>This evidence is typically in the form of surveys of potential students and enrollments in related programs at the institution.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Anecdotal evidence is insufficient. Demonstrate a systematic collection of data, thorough study of the data, and a reasonably estimated student demand for the program.</li> <li>Provide evidence of student demand at state and national levels.</li> </ul>
	In order to progress above Grade Level II in the Kentucky Cooperative Extension Service, Extension agents must
	obtain a Masters degree. A survey conducted in May, 2018 indicated that 33 Extension agents and 28 Extension support staff were enrolled in Masters programs at Kentucky post-secondary institutions other than UK. With the 2018 changes in the UK Employee Education Program, these full-time employees lost the support of the

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 21 of 33

 $<sup>^{19}\</sup> Please\ contact\ Institutional\ Effectiveness\ (\underline{institutionaleffectiveness@uky.edu})\ for\ more\ information.$ 

	the graduate education of these program that will meet their eduthe program of approximately 5 to attend UK for graduate studies	EEP) towards completing their degree programs. valued employeesand that of other studentsby pucational needs. Based on these figures, we expect 0 part-time students at any given time, students whes. This figure does not include possible enrollees, based on our Aug 2018 survey.	providing an online M.S. t a steady-state enrollment in hich had been previously unable
14b	Clearly state the degree complet (150 word limit)	etion requirements for the proposed program.	
	Satisfactory completion of all fo	our core courses; at least 18 credits of approved ry completion of a final oral examination duate Advisory Committee.	
14c*	concentrations or specialization	nance any existing program(s) or tracks (or ns) within an existing program? (300 word limit)	Yes No 🖂
	If "Yes," explain:		
14d		the program. (150 word limit) nsion system, as described in 14a, above, is expect pected from Extension services in neighboring stat	
14e	There are no existing programs its educational opportunities wi	nt and selection process. (300 word limit) in Science Translation and Outreach. Communicall occur via the CAFÉ administration and the Pros	gram DGS, who will
	•	ucky Extension agents and staff. Extension admin UK's Extension administration. A committee of S	
14f*	notified of the program through for student selection.  Specify any distinctive qualities As far as the committee that con USA, particularly in blending of electives pertinent to the student highlight the program's unique disciplinary knowledge relevant.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TO faculty will be responsible  e consider it to be unique in the each with freely chosen rironment. In addition, we omes. Electives will provide rovide opportunities for
14f* 14g	notified of the program through for student selection.  Specify any distinctive qualities As far as the committee that con USA, particularly in blending of electives pertinent to the studen highlight the program's unique disciplinary knowledge relevant transdisciplnary thinking by exp	of the proposed program. (300 word limit) aceived and developed this curriculum is aware, we f explicit education in science translation and outr t's future career plans in agriculture, food and env tuse of electives in support of student learning outc to the student's future career, but they will also proposing them to substantial content outside their dis	TO faculty will be responsible  e consider it to be unique in the each with freely chosen vironment. In addition, we omes. Electives will provide rovide opportunities for ciplinary knowledge.  the campus as a result of the
	notified of the program through for student selection.  Specify any distinctive qualities As far as the committee that con USA, particularly in blending of electives pertinent to the studenthighlight the program's unique disciplinary knowledge relevant transdisciplnary thinking by experiment of a project proposed program. (300 word land sexplained in 14a, we conserved to the beautiful to the students to UK, since proposed program that the program is the sexplained in 14a, we conserved the students to UK, since proposed program through the students to UK.	of the proposed program. (300 word limit) aceived and developed this curriculum is aware, we fexplicit education in science translation and outr t's future career plans in agriculture, food and env use of electives in support of student learning outc t to the student's future career, but they will also pr posing them to substantial content outside their dis cted net increase in total student enrollments to t imit)	TO faculty will be responsible  e consider it to be unique in the leach with freely chosen bironment. In addition, we lomes. Electives will provide leaving opportunities for leciplinary knowledge.  the campus as a result of the lettime students. These would all
	notified of the program through for student selection.  Specify any distinctive qualities As far as the committee that con USA, particularly in blending of electives pertinent to the student highlight the program's unique disciplinary knowledge relevant transdisciplnary thinking by experiment proposed program. (300 word land as explained in 14a, we conserved be new students to UK, since proother than UK and supported the	of the proposed program. (300 word limit) accived and developed this curriculum is aware, we f explicit education in science translation and outr t's future career plans in agriculture, food and env use of electives in support of student learning outc t to the student's future career, but they will also pr posing them to substantial content outside their dis cted net increase in total student enrollments to t imit) vatively expect a steady-state enrollment of 50 part eviously, such students would have been enrolled if	TO faculty will be responsible  e consider it to be unique in the each with freely chosen vironment. In addition, we somes. Electives will provide rovide opportunities for ciplinary knowledge.  the campus as a result of the election of th
14g	notified of the program through for student selection.  Specify any distinctive qualities As far as the committee that con USA, particularly in blending of electives pertinent to the student highlight the program's unique disciplinary knowledge relevant transdisciplnary thinking by experiment proposed program. (300 word land as explained in 14a, we conserved be new students to UK, since proother than UK and supported the	of the proposed program. (300 word limit) aceived and developed this curriculum is aware, we fexplicit education in science translation and outr t's future career plans in agriculture, food and env use of electives in support of student learning outc to the student's future career, but they will also pr posing them to substantial content outside their dis cted net increase in total student enrollments to t imit) vatively expect a steady-state enrollment of 50 part eviously, such students would have been enrolled in trough UK's Employee Education Program.  Ident demand for the first five years following imp	TO faculty will be responsible  e consider it to be unique in the leach with freely chosen bironment. In addition, we lomes. Electives will provide leavide opportunities for lectiplinary knowledge.  the campus as a result of the lettime students. These would all lin postsecondary institutions
14g	notified of the program through for student selection.  Specify any distinctive qualities As far as the committee that con USA, particularly in blending of electives pertinent to the student highlight the program's unique disciplinary knowledge relevant transdisciplnary thinking by experiment proposed program. (300 word land sexplained in 14a, we conserved be new students to UK, since prother than UK and supported the Use table below to estimate students.	of the proposed program. (300 word limit) aceived and developed this curriculum is aware, we fexplicit education in science translation and outr t's future career plans in agriculture, food and env use of electives in support of student learning outc to the student's future career, but they will also pr posing them to substantial content outside their dis cted net increase in total student enrollments to t imit) vatively expect a steady-state enrollment of 50 part eviously, such students would have been enrolled in trough UK's Employee Education Program.  Ident demand for the first five years following imp	TO faculty will be responsible  e consider it to be unique in the leach with freely chosen lironment. In addition, we lomes. Electives will provide rovide opportunities for lironing knowledge.  the campus as a result of the lironing transfer in the students. These would all lironing postsecondary institutions  olementation.  jors (headcount)
14g	notified of the program through for student selection.  Specify any distinctive qualities As far as the committee that con USA, particularly in blending of electives pertinent to the student highlight the program's unique disciplinary knowledge relevant transdisciplnary thinking by experiment proposed program. (300 word land the students to UK, since prother than UK and supported the Use table below to estimate students to USA.	of the proposed program. (300 word limit) aceived and developed this curriculum is aware, we fexplicit education in science translation and outr t's future career plans in agriculture, food and env use of electives in support of student learning outc t to the student's future career, but they will also pr posing them to substantial content outside their dis acted net increase in total student enrollments to t imit) actively expect a steady-state enrollment of 50 part eviously, such students would have been enrolled in trough UK's Employee Education Program.  Health demand for the first five years following imp  # Degrees Conferred  Ma Fall	TO faculty will be responsible  e consider it to be unique in the leach with freely chosen lironment. In addition, we lomes. Electives will provide rovide opportunities for lironing knowledge.  the campus as a result of the lironing transfer in the students. These would all lironing postsecondary institutions  olementation.  jors (headcount)
14g	notified of the program through for student selection.  Specify any distinctive qualities As far as the committee that con USA, particularly in blending of electives pertinent to the studen highlight the program's unique disciplinary knowledge relevant transdisciplnary thinking by exp.  Provide any evidence of a proje proposed program. (300 word In As explained in 14a, we conserve be new students to UK, since prother than UK and supported the Use table below to estimate students and the students of the students. Academic Year.	of the proposed program. (300 word limit) aceived and developed this curriculum is aware, we fexplicit education in science translation and outr t's future career plans in agriculture, food and env use of electives in support of student learning outc t to the student's future career, but they will also pr posing them to substantial content outside their dis cted net increase in total student enrollments to t imit) catively expect a steady-state enrollment of 50 part eviously, such students would have been enrolled in trough UK's Employee Education Program.  Ident demand for the first five years following imp # Degrees Conferred  Ma Fall 0 10	TO faculty will be responsible  e consider it to be unique in the leach with freely chosen vironment. In addition, we lomes. Electives will provide rovide opportunities for ciplinary knowledge.  the campus as a result of the lettime students. These would all in postsecondary institutions  olementation. jors (headcount)
14g	notified of the program through for student selection.  Specify any distinctive qualities As far as the committee that con USA, particularly in blending of electives pertinent to the studen highlight the program's unique disciplinary knowledge relevant transdisciplnary thinking by exp.  Provide any evidence of a proje proposed program. (300 word land the students to UK, since proother than UK and supported the Use table below to estimate students and the students of the stu	of the proposed program. (300 word limit) aceived and developed this curriculum is aware, we fexplicit education in science translation and outret's future career plans in agriculture, food and enviuse of electives in support of student learning outce to the student's future career, but they will also prosing them to substantial content outside their discreted net increase in total student enrollments to timit) actively expect a steady-state enrollment of 50 part eviously, such students would have been enrolled in trough UK's Employee Education Program.  # Degrees Conferred  # Degrees Conferred  # Degrees Conferred  # Degrees Conferred  # Degrees Conferred	TO faculty will be responsible  e consider it to be unique in the leach with freely chosen lironment. In addition, we lomes. Electives will provide rovide opportunities for liroidinary knowledge.  the campus as a result of the lirtime students. These would all lin postsecondary institutions  olementation. ljors (headcount)

**NEW** MASTER'S DEGREE Page 22 of 33

14i	Clearly describe all evidence justifying a new program based on changes in the acad	lemic discipl	ine or other
	academic reasons. (300 word limit)  In Spring, 2018, the Kentucky General Assembly ended the program allowing UK en Kentucky institutions of higher education without paying the cost of tuition. Since K		
	often hired with the understanding that they will obtain an M.S. degree within five ye	•	
	Agriculture, Food and Environment developed this online program to meet this need	l. With respe	ct to the
	academic focus on science translation and outreach in agriculture, food and environ		
	program is unique in the region, if not the nation. Furthermore, it will help meet the Kentucky Extension agents to expand their capacity to meet local needs with science		· ·
	through online modalities which allow them to participate in the program regardless		_
14j	Has the Council on Postsecondary Education identified similar programs? <sup>20</sup>	Yes	No 🔀
	If "Yes," the following questions (14jh1 – 14jh5) must be answered.		
	Does the program differ from existing programs in terms of curriculum, focus,		
(1)	objectives, etc.? (150 word limit)	Yes 🔀	No 🗌
	If "Yes," explain: The committee is unaware of any other graduate program in scien	ice translati	on and outreach
	in agriculture, food and environment.		
	Does the proposed program serve a different student population (e.g., students		
(2)		Yes 🔀	No 🗌
	programs? (150 word limit)  If "Yes," explain: It serves the needs of Kentucky Extension agents located throughout the serves are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents located throughout the serves are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents located throughout the serves are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents located throughout the serves are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents located throughout the serves are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents located throughout the serves are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents located throughout the serves are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents located throughout the serves are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents located throughout the serves are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents located throughout the serves are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents located throughout the serves are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents located throughout the serves are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents located throughout the serves are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents located throughout the serves are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents located throughout the serves are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents are the needs of Kentucky Extension agents agent agent agent and the needs are the needs of Kentucky Extension agent	out the Come	nonwealth who
	are UK employees, for Masters-level education, but who would otherwise be unabl		
	distance. The proposed program fits the needs of Extension agents out-of-state, as		
	online Masters program that would provide the equivalent content, training, or acc		
(3)		Yes 🔀	No L
	If "Yes," explain: Access of many Extension agents to graduate education is limited time employees of UK, often with families, and are unable to relocate to campus for	•	•
	program will permit Extension agents in distant counties to obtain career-relevant		-
		<b>G</b>	
(4)	Is there excess demand for existing programs? (150 word limit)	Yes	No 🔀
	If "Yes," explain:		
(5)	Will there be collaboration between the proposed program and existing	Yes 🖂	No 🗌
	programs? (150 word limit)  If "yes," explain the collaborative arrangements with existing programs. If "no," explain the collaborative arrangements with existing programs.	mlain why t	aoro is no
	collaboration with existing programs.	cpiaiii wiiy ti	1616 18 110
	While there is no equivalent program with which collaboration would be possible,	funds are av	ailable from the
	College of Agriculture, Food and Environment and the UK Provost to incentivize a		•
	College to develop diverse electives suitable for the needs of both this program and		
	department. Once the program is successfully underway and attracting students, w	~	_
	income to the program for continued incentivization of online course development document, "Plan for Development of Electives for the Online M.S. Curriculum," ap	_	
	accument, Transfor Development of Licentes for the Online III.S. Carriellam, up	portate to th	p. oposai.
14k*	Are there similar programs in other <u>Southern Regional Education Board (SREB)</u>	Voc 🗆	No 🖂
14K '	states in the nation?	Yes	INO 🔼
	If "Yes," please answer the questions below to demonstrate why this proposed pro	ogram is nee	eded in addition
4.41	to the one(s) currently in existence.		

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 23 of 33

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 20}$  Please contact OSPIE (  ${\underline{\rm OSPIE@L.uky.edu}})$  for help with this question.

	Does the program differ from existing programs in terms of existing		
14k.i	* Does the program differ from existing programs in terms of curriculum, focus, objectives, etc.?	Yes 🔀	No 🗌
	If "Yes," explain. (300 word limit)		
	The overall focus of this program is on combining a core of required courses th	at focus on s	cience
	translation and outreach with free electives that provide disciplinary knowledge	e that will al	low the
	application of the core curriculum to address public needs. This overall approa	ach appears t	o be unique
	with respect to agriculture, food and environment.		
	Does the proposed program serve a different student population (e.g.,		
14k.ii	* students in a different geographic area and non-traditional students) from	Yes 🔀	No 🗌
	existing programs?		
	If "Yes," explain. (300 word limit)		
	It serves the needs of Kentucky Extension agents located throughout the Comm	nonwealth, v	vho are UK
	employees, for M.Slevel education, but who would otherwise be unable to pa	articipate gra	duate studies at
	UK because of distance.		
14k.iv	* Is access to existing programs limited?	Yes 🖂	No 🗌
	If "Yes," explain. (300 word limit)		_
	Although the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment offers many oppor	tunities for g	raduate
	students, there are very few fully online graduate programs. Extension agents	_	
	-and are employed full-timeoutside of Fayette County have substantial limita		
	graduate programs, which are currently heavily based on in-class course offeri	•	,
14k.\	* Is there excess demand for existing similar programs?	Yes	No 🖂
	If "Yes," explain. (300 word limit)		
4.41	* Will there be collaboration between the proposed program and existing	, [	🗆
14k.v	programs?	Yes 🔀	No 📙
	If "No," explain. (300 word limit)		
	While there is no equivalent program with which collaboration would be possi	ble, the prop	osed program is
	transdisciplinary and, consequently, it depends on the collaboration of other p	•	• •
	in providing elective courses and in serving on student's advisory committees.	_	_
	\$180,000 largely to incentivize the development of diverse electives suitable for	_	
	program and those of the originating department. Once the program is success		
	attracting students, we anticipate using tuition income to the program for con-		
	online course development and delivery.		
	Would your institution like to make this program available through the	🔽	🗖
141	Academic Common Market <sup>21</sup> ?	Yes 🔀	No
	Clearly describe evidence of employer demand or discipline needs. Such evidence	may include	employer
	surveys, current labor market analyses, and future human resources projections.	•	• •
14m	should demonstrate employers' preferences for graduates of the proposed progra		
	alternative existing credentials and employers' willingness to pay higher salaries to	•	_
	program. (300 word limit)	J	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	In order to progress above Grade Level II in the Kentucky Cooperative Extension S	Service. Exter	nsion agents
	must obtain a Masters degree, as described in the document, Agent Professional Pr		

Page 24 of 33

Standards, http://ces-

manuals.ca.uky.edu/files/professional\_promotion\_procedures\_and\_career\_ladder\_guidelines\_-\_may\_2018.pdf. Since Extension agents are often hired with the understanding that they will pursue their M.S. during employment, offering an online option that is broad enough to meet the diverse needs of Extension agents in Kentucky and beyond is expected to provide an appealing option to this population of potential students.

14n\*

Describe the types of jobs available for graduates, average wages for these jobs, and the number of anticipated openings for each type of jobs at the regional, state, and national levels.

Since Extension agents are already full-time employees, students in the program are expected to continue with that employer or seek other professional employment related to their expertise and credentials, including positions in the private sector in agriculture, food and environment. Students interested in obtaining employment as an Extension agent would also be expected to value the training this program provides. Our recent survey of Extension programs in the region indicates that, conservatively, each state within the region commonly hires, on average, 10-15 new Extension agents annually. Many of these would likely have some interest in the STO program. However, employees in Extension in other states may only receive tuition incentives for attending their state's own land-grant university. Thus, it is difficult to estimate the number of likely enrollees from other states. Average salaries of STO degree recipients would be expected to be at least \$40,000 annually.

#### 15. Assessment and Oversight

15a\*

Describe *program* evaluation procedures for the proposed program. These procedures may include evaluation of courses and faculty by students, administrators, and departmental personnel as appropriate. Program review procedures shall include standards and guidelines for the assessment of student outcomes implied by the program objectives and consistent with the institutional mission. *(300 word limit)* 

- The program DGS, academic staff and Graduate Faculty will monitor student inquiries, applications and acceptances in order to assess whether suitably qualified students from Kentucky and beyond are being recruited to the program. Data on student admissions etc. will be monitored through the Office of the Associate Dean for Instruction. Communications with Extension field staff and with Extension administration will be conducted by the Program DGS and other members of the program's Graduate Faculty.
- Program Objective #1 will be monitored through admissions of Extension agents and other qualified students; degrees awarded; time to degree completion; student grades, and through direct communications with Extension agents, Extension administrators, and students. Benchmarks will include:
- o Accommodation of all qualified Kentucky Extension agents wishing to enroll within three years of program initiation.
- o Retention rates above 85%
- o Graduation of 80% of enrolled part-time students within six years.
- Program Objective #2 (above) will be evaluated through survey responses from program graduates one year post-graduation. Questions will help to identify strengths, limitations, and opportunities for improvement. A written "Program Assessment Plan" is available upon request of the DGS (Paul Vincelli, pvincell@uky.edu).
- Program Objective #3 will be evaluated through survey responses as mentioned for Program Objective #2, as well as through direct communications with Extension agents, Extension administrators, and students.
- Describe how each program-level student learning outcome will be assessed and how assessment results will be used to improve the program. (300 word limit)

For details of the assessment plan, see "ASSESSMENT PLAN: STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES," appended to this proposal.

• SLO #1: Representative student learning will be evaluated in STO 601 (rubric included in ASSESSMENT PLAN). Representative student learning will be evaluated in elective courses using an assessment rubric common across elective courses. The written report in STO 650 and the oral exit exam will also provide opportunities for evaluation of student learning. For assessing learning in the oral exam at the

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 25 of 33

conclusion of the program, see the rubric entitled, "Scoring Rubric for Overall Performance" in the ASSESSMENT PLAN.

- SLO #2: Representative student learning will be evaluated in STO 602 (see ASSESSMENT PLAN). Representative student learning in elective courses, and elements of STO 650, will be assessed as described above.
- SLO #3: Representative student learning will be evaluated in STO 603 (see ASSESSMENT PLAN). Representative student learning in elective courses, and elements of STO 650, will be assessed as described above.

Program SLOs will be assessed every three years, one per year, in sequence (see ASSESSMENT PLAN). Anually, STO faculty will meet to discuss assessment results and identify oppportunities for program improvement.

16. Cost	and Funding of the Proposed Program <sup>22</sup>		
16a	Will this program require additional resources?	Yes 🔀	No 🗌
	If "Yes," please provide a brief summary of additional resources that will be needed over the next five years. (300 word limit)	d to implem	ent this program
	Funding has been secured from the Dean of the College of Agriculture, Food and EUK Provost, to develop both core courses and elective courses. Start up resources compensate departments who teach students from this program in their own gradue up funds are exhausted, our budget plan indicates that the program will be financial sharing even making conservative assumptions about student enrollment.	have been se ate courses. (	ecured to Once these start-
16b	Will this program impact existing programs and/or organizational units within your institution? (300 word limit)	Yes 🖂	No 🗌
	If "Yes, briefly describe.		
	The STO program will direct students from this program into online classes from decollege. These courses will serve as electives for the STO program. Some department oncern about their teaching resources being used to support a multidisciplinary particle additional funds for teaching. A summary of the revised spreadsheet/business plant provides funding to departments to address such concerns.  One of the exciting aspects of the proposed program is how academic units through and synergistically benefit from the ongoing STO incentive program for development funding will allow the STO program to incentivize and support the development of, courses, but an additional 21 new online elective courses across numerous CAFE to business plan shows that, even under conservative enrollment assumptions, our prosustainable and able to continue incentivizing development and delivery of new elective departments into the foreseeable future. Thus, our proposed program will support courses appropriate to many graduate programs in the College, for years to come. please see the appended document, "Plan for Development of Electives for the Onl Science Translation and Outreach."	ent chairs inited appended to appended to appended to appended to appended to appended to appende the courses the developmer of appended to appended t	ege will directly ess. Our initial four core financially from diverse ment of online aformation,
	Provide adequate documentation to demonstrate sufficient return on investment	to the state :	to offset new
16c	costs and justify approval for the proposed program. Note whether the program is retention rates; increase revenue; attract a new pool of students; meet employme into fields that have been shown to be beneficial to the economic needs of the sta	s predicted to ent needs in t	o: increase the state; feed
	Economists on the STO Planning Committee created a comprehensive spreadsheet business planning. Our analysis demonstrates that the STO program will be finance onset, even when based on conservative assumptions for both student enrollment as by part-time students, who are expected to be the program's principal population of	ially sustaina id credits tak	able from its ken per semester

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 26 of 33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> For questions about cost and funding of the program, please contact your department chair, business officer, or associate dean for academic affairs.

appended file, Spreadsheet Undergirding the STO Business Plan. The live spreadsheet is available upon request from Paul Vincelli, pvincell@uky.edu.

Students attracted to the program will largely be students new to UK, because distance from their place of employment and/or residence currently limits their participation in UK graduate education and in UK-delivered opportunities for lifelong learning. This fully online program will overcome such barriers.

Extension agents in Kentucky's Cooperative Extension Service are expected to comprise the program's principal student pool, at least initially. With over nine million direct contacts in fiscal year 2018, the impact of Extension agents on economics and community development are substantial and well-documented. (See, for example: https://extension.ca.uky.edu/ekcl-groups and https://kers.ca.uky.edu/CES/rpt/CESreports.aspx).

#### 17.\* Budget Funding Sources, by Year of Program All the fields in number 16 are required for the CPE's pre-proposal form. Estimate the level of new and existing resources that will be required to implement and sustain the program using the spreadsheet below. Please answer in terms of dollar amounts. All narratives have a 100-word limit. **Total Resources Available from** 2<sup>nd</sup> Year 3<sup>rd</sup> Year 4<sup>th</sup> Year 5<sup>th</sup> Year **Federal Sources (Federal sources** 1<sup>st</sup> Year include grants, earmarks, etc.) New 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **Existing** Narrative/Explanation: **Total Resources Available from** Other Non-State Sources (Non-4<sup>th</sup> Year 1<sup>st</sup> Year 2<sup>nd</sup> Year 3<sup>rd</sup> Year 5<sup>th</sup> Year state sources include philanthropies, foundations, individual donors, etc.) 0 0 0 0 0 New 0 0 0 **Existing** 0 0 Narrative/Explanation: **State Resources (State sources** 2<sup>nd</sup> Year 3<sup>rd</sup> Year 4<sup>th</sup> Year 5<sup>th</sup> Year include general fund revenue, 1<sup>st</sup> Year grants, pass-thru funds, etc.) New 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **Existing** Narrative/Explanation: Internal (The source and process of allocation and reallocation should be detailed, including an 1<sup>st</sup> Year 2<sup>nd</sup> Year 3<sup>rd</sup> Year 4<sup>th</sup> Year 5<sup>th</sup> Year analysis of the impact of the reduction on existing programs and/or organization units.)<sup>23</sup>:

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 27 of 33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> The source and process of allocation and reallocation should be detailed, including an analysis of the impact of the reduction on existing programs and/or organizational units.

	142 44 1417 (5)				
(New) Allocated Resources	100,000	0	0	0	0
(Existing) Reallocated Resources	100,000	80,000	0	0	(
Narrative/Explanation:	degree (\$100,000 CAFE totaling \$ the 1 <sup>st</sup> Year also	0). Existing resou 180,000, well in e includes a develo <sub>j</sub>	receipt of Provost urces reflect match excess of the minin pment of courses i ted throughout thi	ning supporting from \$50,000 requing the first the current year	om the Dean of ired. Note that
Student Tuition (Describe the					
·	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year
impact of this program on	1 fear	2 fedi	5 feat	4 (ea)	5 fear
enrollment, tuition, and fees.)	42027	00 224	126 207	107105	24101
New	42827	88,224	136,307	187195	241013
Existing	0	0	0 tion estimated to b	0	(
	(expecting a 5 ye	ear average progr tion at the end of	·	e part-time studer	nts) upon
Total Funding Sources	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year
<u>Total</u> New	142827	88224	136307	187195	241013
<u>Total</u> Existing	100000	80000	0	0	(
TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES	242827	168224	136307	187195	241013
18. Breakdown of Program Expense					
(Please note – all the fields in num	per 17 are require	d for the CPE's pr	re-proposal form.		
Staff: Executive, Administrative & Managerial (Include salaries and whether new hires will be part time or full time.)	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year
New	20,300	10,609	10,927	11,255	11,593
Existing	0	0	0	0	(
Narrative/Explanation <sup>24</sup> :	included herein,	with the 1st Year o	part-time Director also including a do ncreased by 3% pe	evelopmental prio	
			ord v	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year
	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 (64)	
	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>ra</sup> Year	0	
Other Professional (Include salaries.)  New Existing					) (car

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 24}$  Discuss whether new hires will be full-time or part-time.

NEW MASTER'S DEGREE Page 28 of 33

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year
140,000	108,815	81,166	62,353	65,151
0	0	0	0	0
courses for the pr Likewise, these ar	ogram by existing mounts reflect pay	g faculty devoting	part-time effort to	o the program.
1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year
	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
such is represente	ed in course devel	opment and offer	ing payments abo	
1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
in course develop	ment and offering	g payments above.		-
1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year
	140,000  Included herein a courses for the properties of the properties as warred.  1st Year  1st Year  0 0 While the potentia such is represente those funds are to the potential of the potenti	140,000 108,815 0 0 Included herein are incentive fundicourses for the program by existing Likewise, these amounts reflect payincreases as warranted.  1st Year 2nd Year 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  1st Year 2nd Year 0 0 0 0 0 0 While the potential for use of funds such is represented in course development and offering in course development and	1st Year 2nd Year 3rd Year  0 0 0  1st Year 2nd Year 3rd Year  0 0 0  0 0  1st Year 2nd Year 3rd Year  2nd Year 3rd Year 3rd Year	140,000 108,815 81,166 62,353 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Included herein are incentive funding for the development and initial acourses for the program by existing faculty devoting part-time effort to Likewise, these amounts reflect payments for subsequent course offerincreases as warranted.  1st Year 2nd Year 3rd Year 4th Year 0

**NEW** MASTER'S DEGREE Page 29 of 33

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> If new hires are involved, explain whether new hires will be full-time or part-time.
 <sup>26</sup> Identify the number of assistantships/stipends to be provided; Include the level of support for each.

		-	-	_	_
Existing	0	0	0	0	0
Narrative					
Explanation/Justification:					
Academic and/or Student				at-	al.
Services	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year
New	0	0	0	0	0
Existing	0	0	0	0	0
Narrative					
Explanation/Justification:					
Other Support Services	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year
New	0	0	0	0	0
Existing	0	0	0	0	0
Narrative					
Explanation/Justification:					
Faculty Development (Include					
travel, conference fees,	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year
consultants, etc.)					
consultants, etc.) New	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
New	While the potenti		0 s for faculty develor and offering pays	0 opment exists, suc ments above. Use	0 h is
New Existing Narrative	While the potenti	0 ial for use of funds ourse development	0 s for faculty develor and offering pays	0 opment exists, suc ments above. Use	0 h is
New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification:  Assessment (Include personnel, software tools, data collection tools, survey administration,	While the potenti represented in co are to be determi	0 ial for use of funds ourse development ined by the departi	0 s for faculty develor and offering paya ment and/or instru	opment exists, suc ments above. Use uctor.	h is of those funds
New Existing  Narrative Explanation/Justification:  Assessment (Include personnel, software tools, data collection tools, survey administration, outside consulting services, etc.)	While the potenti represented in co are to be determine 1st Year	0 ial for use of funds ourse development ined by the departi	0 s for faculty develor and offering paya ment and/or instru  3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	opment exists, suc ments above. Use uctor. 4 <sup>th</sup> Year	h is of those funds 5 <sup>th</sup> Year
New Existing  Narrative Explanation/Justification:  Assessment (Include personnel, software tools, data collection tools, survey administration, outside consulting services, etc.)  New	While the potential represented in contains are to be determined are to be determined.  1st Year	0 ial for use of funds ourse development ined by the departi  2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	of for faculty development and/or instruction  3rd Year  0 0	opment exists, suc ments above. Use uctor.  4 <sup>th</sup> Year  0 0	h is of those funds  5 <sup>th</sup> Year
New Existing  Narrative Explanation/Justification:  Assessment (Include personnel, software tools, data collection tools, survey administration, outside consulting services, etc.)  New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification:	While the potentic represented in coare to be determined are to be determined as Year  O  Assessment will it	o ial for use of funds ourse development ined by the departs  2 <sup>nd</sup> Year  0 0 0	of for faculty development and offering pays ment and/or instruction of the following pays of the program DGS of the program DGS	opment exists, suc ments above. Use uctor.  4 <sup>th</sup> Year  0 0	h is of those funds  5 <sup>th</sup> Year  0 0
New Existing  Narrative Explanation/Justification:  Assessment (Include personnel, software tools, data collection tools, survey administration, outside consulting services, etc.)  New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification:  Student Space and Equipment	While the potentic represented in coare to be determined	ial for use of funds ourse development ined by the depart  2 <sup>nd</sup> Year  0 0 be the purview of t	of for faculty development and offering payment and/or instruction of the program DGS of the program DGS of Year	opment exists, suc ments above. Use uctor.  4 <sup>th</sup> Year  0 0 t and STO committe	0 h is of those funds  5 <sup>th</sup> Year  0 0 tee.
New Existing  Narrative Explanation/Justification:  Assessment (Include personnel, software tools, data collection tools, survey administration, outside consulting services, etc.)  New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification:  Student Space and Equipment New	While the potents represented in coare to be determined t	ial for use of funds ourse development ined by the departi  2 <sup>nd</sup> Year  0 0 be the purview of t  2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	of for faculty development and offering payment and/or instruction of the program DGS of the program DGS of Year	opment exists, such ments above. Use fuctor.  4th Year  and STO committed  4th Year	0 h is of those funds  5 <sup>th</sup> Year  0 0 eee.  5 <sup>th</sup> Year
New Existing  Narrative Explanation/Justification:  Assessment (Include personnel, software tools, data collection tools, survey administration, outside consulting services, etc.)  New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification:  Student Space and Equipment New Existing	While the potentic represented in coare to be determined	ial for use of funds ourse development ined by the depart  2 <sup>nd</sup> Year  0 0 be the purview of t	of for faculty development and offering payment and/or instruction of the program DGS of the program DGS of Year	opment exists, suc ments above. Use uctor.  4 <sup>th</sup> Year  0 0 t and STO committe	0 h is of those funds  5 <sup>th</sup> Year  0 0 tee.
New Existing  Narrative Explanation/Justification:  Assessment (Include personnel, software tools, data collection tools, survey administration, outside consulting services, etc.)  New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification:  Student Space and Equipment New	While the potents represented in coare to be determined t	ial for use of funds ourse development ined by the departi  2 <sup>nd</sup> Year  0 0 be the purview of t  2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	of for faculty development and offering payment and/or instruction of the program DGS of the program DGS of Year	opment exists, such ments above. Use fuctor.  4th Year  and STO committed  4th Year	0 h is of those funds  5 <sup>th</sup> Year  0 0 eee.  5 <sup>th</sup> Year
New Existing  Narrative Explanation/Justification:  Assessment (Include personnel, software tools, data collection tools, survey administration, outside consulting services, etc.)  New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification:  Student Space and Equipment  New Existing Narrative	While the potents represented in coare to be determined t	ial for use of funds ourse development ined by the departi  2 <sup>nd</sup> Year  0 0 be the purview of t  2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	of for faculty development and offering payment and/or instruction of the program DGS of the program DGS of Year	opment exists, such ments above. Use fuctor.  4th Year  and STO committed  4th Year	0 h is of those funds  5 <sup>th</sup> Year  0 0 eee.  5 <sup>th</sup> Year
New Existing  Narrative Explanation/Justification:  Assessment (Include personnel, software tools, data collection tools, survey administration, outside consulting services, etc.)  New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification:  Student Space and Equipment  New Existing Narrative Explanation/Justification:	While the potents represented in coare to be determined t	ial for use of funds ourse development ined by the departi  2 <sup>nd</sup> Year  0 0 be the purview of t  2 <sup>nd</sup> Year  0 0	of for faculty development and offering pays ment and/or instruction of the program DGS  3rd Year  3rd Year  0 0 0	opment exists, such ments above. Use fuctor.  4th Year  4th Year  4th Year  0 0 0	th is of those funds  5 <sup>th</sup> Year  0 0 eee.  5 <sup>th</sup> Year

**NEW** MASTER'S DEGREE Page 30 of 33

Narrative					
Explanation/Justification:					
Total Expenses/Requirements	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year
New	160,300	119,424	92,093	73,608	76,744
Existing	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL Program Budgeted	522,170				
Expenses/Requirements:	322,170				
GRAND TOTAL	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	4 <sup>th</sup> Year	5 <sup>th</sup> Year
Total Funding Sources	<u>242,827</u>	<u>168,224</u>	<u>136,307</u>	<u> 187,195</u>	<u>241,013</u>
Total Expenses/Requirements	<u>160,300</u>	<u>119,424</u>	<u>92,093</u>	<u>73,608</u>	<u>76,744</u>
TOTAL NITT COST	92 527	48.800	44,213	<i>113,586</i>	164,269
TOTAL NET COST:	<u>82,527</u>	<u>40.800</u>	<del>77,213</del>	<u>113,300</u>	104,207

	Descriptions rogram Core Courses (includes pre-major and pre-professional courses)
Prefix & Number	Course Description (from the Bulletin or the most recent new/change course form)
STO 601	This course is designed to help students design, implement, and evaluate educational and social programs using a logic-based framework. (Existing course: CLD/SOC 665, being developed for online delivery)
STO 602	Students will explore, translate and interpret scientific findings into application and policy through the Master of Science curriculum in Science Translation and Outreach. Readings will focus on basic principles and theories relevant to scientific literacy and the public perception and trust of scientific information.  Where possible, we will use current controversial topics as examples. Students will enhance their scientific literacy and learn to evaluate the credibility of sources of scientific information, principally by:  Examining scientific methodologies including its potential and limitations  Practicing critical and reflective thinking on relevant scientific issues  Reviewing scientific literature and evaluating its merits  Designing an original, evidence-based learning module of a public interest issue (new course)
STO 603	This course provides students with foundational knowledge for scientific inquiry and the use of research to inform evidence-based practice. It covers fundamentals of understanding, analyzing, and critiquing research, through an exposure to science philosophy and techniques used to conduct scientific investigations. It covers aspects of the research process from developing a research question to writing the research report. Students will be introduced to qualitative and quantitative research design, methodology, and ethical issues associated with conducting and evaluating research. (new course)
STO 650	Students will integrate transdisciplinary learning obtained through the Master of Science curriculum in Science Translation and Outreach, principally though:(1) identifying an issue of public interest; (2) designing an original, evidence-based project of applied research and/or outreach to address the issue;3) developing an evaluation plan for the project; and (4) executing the project in part or in its entirety, as time and resources permit. (new course)

**NEW** MASTER'S DEGREE Page 31 of 33

19b	Pro	ogram Guided Electiv	es Courses (for the major)
Prefix 8		Course	Description (from the Bulletin or the most recent new/change course form)
Numbe	er		
19c	Pro	ogram Free Electives	Courses
Prefix &			
Number		Course	Description (from the Bulletin or the most recent new/change course form)
			Plan for Development of Electives for the Online M.S. Curriculum in
		Science Translation	and Outreach in Agriculture, Food and Environment"
	Со	urses for a Track. (If r	multiple tracks are available, click <u>HERE</u> for a template for additional tracks. Append a
19d			orm with each track's courses and descriptions.
Prefix &			
Number		Course Type	Course Description (from the Bulletin or the most recent new/change course form)
		Track Core	
		Track Elective	
		Track Core	
		Track Elective	
		Track Core	
		Track Elective	
		Track Core	
		Track Elective	
		Track Core	
		Track Elective	
		Track Core	
		Track Elective	
		Track Core	

**NEW** MASTER'S DEGREE Page 32 of 33

Track Elective	
Track Core	
Track Elective	
Track Core	
Track Elective	
Track Core	
Track Elective	

**NEW** MASTER'S DEGREE Page 33 of 33

### Vincelli, Paul

From: Grabau, Larry

**Sent:** Saturday, July 28, 2018 12:13 PM **To:** Pearson, RaeAnne; Vincelli, Paul

Cc: Brown, Roger

**Subject:** RE: Online M.S. Program in CAFE

Thanks for your valuable suggestions, RaeAnne. I would agree regarding a more substantial description of the program for the CPE (as you say, as a selling point for the program).

My take on the CIP code selections, Paul and Roger, is that we avoid the ones associated with CLD and AICU, even if they are BS level. We do have an MS program in CLD (not sure what that CIP code might be—would be a bit odd if it were different, RaeAnne).

Of the three choices, it seems that 01.0801 would come closest to our "STO" intentions (although not quite entirely on point).

With respect, Larry G.

From: Pearson, RaeAnne

**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:01 PM **To:** Vincelli, Paul <paul.vincelli@uky.edu>

Cc: Grabau, Larry < larry.grabau@uky.edu>; Brown, Roger < rogerbrown@uky.edu>

Subject: Re: Online M.S. Program in CAFE

Paul,

Thank you. I got caught up in some things so I apologize for the delay.

For CPE, I think the description could be elaborated a bit more. Your audience will be people who know nothing of the field and in some ways you will be "selling" this program to the Council staff and the Council members. You can speak about the population served, the type of jobs the program prepares students for, and possibly some more description of the curriculum.

Regarding CIP Codes, these are nationally defined program descriptions, that are updated approximately every 10 years-so they don't necessarily keep up with educational trends.

CIP Codes are arranged in a hierarchy from the Broad Discipline down to the Program:

01) Agriculture, Agriculture Operations, and Related Sciences

01.00) Agriculture, General

01.0801) Agricultural and Extension Education Services.

You are welcome to review other options (<a href="https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/cipcode/browse.aspx?y=55">https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/cipcode/browse.aspx?y=55</a>), but I am providing you with three options as well. I have also noted if CAFE has another program with the same CIP Code, as long as it isn't at the same degree level it is okay to have more than one program with the same CIP Code):

#### **CIP Code 01.0801**

Title: Agricultural and Extension Education Services.

**Definition:** A program that prepares individuals to provide referral, consulting, technical assistance, and educational services to gardeners, farmers, ranchers, agribusinesses, and other organizations. Includes instruction in basic agricultural sciences, agricultural business operations, pest control, adult education methods, public relations, applicable state laws and regulations, and communication skills.

#### CIP Code 01.0899 (CAFE has a Community & Leadership Development, BSCLD listed for this CIP)

Title: Agricultural Public Services, Other.

Definition: Any instructional program in general agricultural sciences and related services not listed above.

### CIP Code 01.999 (CAFE has an Individualized Curriculum, BSAG listed for this CIP)

Title: Agriculture, Agriculture Operations, and Related Sciences, Other.

**Definition:** Any instructional program in agriculture, agricultural operations, and related sciences not listed above.

When you have ironed out the description and the CIP Code you can enter the NOI here: (https://uky.az1.gualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV\_3miQOxAUa9rAGRT)

You will be able to save your work and return to it if you simply want to review the form or begin entering information before fully submitting to our office. Please note that this is the information we use to submit an NOI to CPE.

Please let me know if we can be of more assistance or answer any additional questions.

Best, RaeAnne

From: Vincelli, Paul

Sent: Thursday, July 26, 2018 9:58:32 AM

To: Pearson, RaeAnne

**Cc:** Grabau, Larry; Brown, Roger **Subject:** Online M.S. Program in CAFE

RaeAnne:

Thanks so much for the phone call.

Here is a rough draft of the program description:

### Online M.S. in Science Translation and Outreach in Agriculture, Food and Environment

A systematic introduction to: (1) identifying, interpreting, and communicating credible scientific information; and (2) creating and evaluating science-based programming that contributes to addressing societal issues of concern.

While it may change in response to committee feedback, this gives a pretty good start.

With respect, Paul

---

Paul Vincelli
Extension Professor and Provost's Distinguished Service Professor
UK Coordinator, Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education Program (SARE)
Senior Councilor at Large, American Phytopathological Society

Department of Plant Pathology
College of Agriculture, Food and Environment
University of Kentucky
<a href="mailto:pvincell@uky.edu">pvincell@uky.edu</a>
Phone 859-218-0722

Website: http://plantpathology.ca.uky.edu/person/paul-vincelli

Twitter: @pvincell

# Online M.S. in Science Translation and Outreach (STO) in Agriculture, Food and Environment Draft curriculum 19 Feb 2019

#### **Program Description**

A transdisciplinary program that builds student capacity to identify credible scientific information and incorporate that into programs relevant to issues of public concern. Applications of these skills will occur in potentially any issue relating to agriculture, food and environment.

#### Core Courses (12 credits, required)

**Program Development and Evaluation (STO 601 [CLD/SOC 665], 3 cr).** The purpose of this course is to provide a basic understanding of program evaluation processes, concepts, and theories and to develop expertise needed to design and conduct systematic evaluations of formal and non-formal programs. The material to be covered is applicable to a wide range of topics and disciplines, including social welfare, youth development, family studies, agriculture and the environment, community/economic development, and other formal and non-formal educational programs. Such programs have a common goal of achieving their desired objectives and being held accountable for the resources they obtain from agencies and stakeholders.

Because program evaluation is part of a larger interdisciplinary content area that includes research design and methods, the course will briefly cover needs assessment and asset building, with program development also being a necessary component. The majority of the course will focus on evaluation design, methods, and implementation. A range of program evaluation and research methods will be presented, and students will be encouraged to identify those approaches that most closely match their own philosophical perspectives, as well as strategies that will be utilized by their groups, organizations and current/future employers. Students will participate in class discussions and activities, reflecting on any experience working with community programs when applicable. Web-based publications, journal articles and the assigned text readings will comprise the content of the course.

**Science Literacy and Translation (STO 602, 3 cr).** Students will explore, translate and interpret scientific findings into application and policy, enhance their scientific literacy, and learn to evaluate the credibility of sources of scientific information, principally by:

- Examining scientific methodologies including its potential and limitations
- Practicing critical and reflective thinking on relevant scientific issues
- Reviewing scientific literature and evaluating its merits
- Designing an original, evidence based learning module of a public interest issue

**Research Methods (STO 603, 3 cr).** This course provides students with foundational knowledge for scientific inquiry and the use of research to inform evidence-based practice. It covers fundamentals of understanding, analyzing, and critiquing research, through an exposure to science philosophy and techniques used to conduct scientific investigations. It covers aspects of the research process from developing a research question to writing the research report. Students will be introduced to qualitative

and quantitative research design, methodology, and ethical issues associated with conducting and evaluating research.

#### Capstone in Science Translation (STO 650, 3 cr).

Students in this course use principles of science translation and outreach to (1) Identify an issue of public concern; (2) Design an original, evidence-based outreach program to address the concern; (3) Create a program implementation plan; and (4) Develop an evaluation plan for the program.

#### **Elective Courses (18 credits)**

In consultation with their advisory committee and subject to approval of the Director of Graduate Studies, students will develop individualized programs of study totaling at least 18 credit hours of elective courses. Electives may be chosen from any academic unit in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment, including AEC, AFS, BAE, CLD, DHN, ENT, FAM, FOR, PLS, PPA, and RTM. Elective courses from other UK colleges may be considered with the approval of a student's advisory committee and the STO DGS. Courses at the 600 level, 500 level, and 400G level will be acceptable, subject to the following conditions:

- Advisory Committee/DGS approval;
- The program of study is constituted of no more than 15 credit-hours of 400G-level plus 500-level courses.

While the core curriculum provides learning appropriate to the practice of science translation, elective courses serve several purposes: (1) To allow students to gain deeper knowledge in the disciplinary area of their undergraduate degree; and (2) To gain broader, transdisciplinary exposure to less familiar science content, expanding their capacity to translate science content to lay audiences. Advisory committees will have the responsibility to ensure that students enroll in electives of both types. Such flexibility reflects the great diversity of programmatic challenges our students will encounter over their careers, particularly Extension agents.

#### **Other Requirements**

Students will select an advisor by the completion of six credits. Students will form a graduate advisory committee<sup>1</sup> by the completion of nine credits of coursework. Completion of program requirements include passing a final online oral Exit Exam administered by the student's graduate committee. Content of the final oral exam will include an oral report on the student's capstone project and may include questions on general curriculum content from core courses and/or elective courses.

#### Admission

Admission will be based on procedures described in the current Graduate School Bulletin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As per the UK Graduate Bulletin, "the examining committee consists of at least three qualified faculty members recommended by the Director of Graduate Studies and appointed by the Dean of the Graduate School. At least two committee members (including the chair or co-chair) must be members of the Graduate Faculty, and at least one of the two must be a Full member of the Graduate Faculty." Students will have considerable flexibility in selecting additional members of their advisory committee, providing individuals selected have some relevance to the student's professional interests and needs. Additional members of the advisory committee may include community partners in the public sector but outside academia, in the private sector, or in government. All committee members are subject to the approval of the DGS.

Graduate Curriculum Committee (GCC) e-Meeting Minutes, November 14-21, 2018. Recorded by Larry J. Grabau.

Members present: Coyne, Dillon, Dyk, Haynes, Howe, Wesley; Grabau (non-voting). Guests present: Vincelli, Hunter, Brown, Mains

# Agenda items:

- i) After three successive face-to-face meetings with discussions about the following proposed online programs:
  - a. Science Translation and Outreach M.S.,
  - b. Family and Consumer Sciences Graduate Certificate, and
  - c. Positive Youth Development Graduate Certificate, and
- ii) A dozen course proposals (eleven new and one major change—CLD 665)
  - a. CLD 665 (adds online modality and STO 601 crosslist)
  - b. STO 602
  - c. STO 603
  - d. STO 604
  - e. FCS 600
  - f. FCS 610
  - g. FCS 620
  - h. FCS 695
  - i. PYD 600
  - j. PYD 610
  - k. PYD 620
  - l. PYD 695,

the GCC appeared ready to have its final conversations about this package of materials via e-mail. By the noon deadline on November 21, 6 of the 9 GCC members had registered their favorable votes for all three programs and all twelve course proposals. The remaining three GCC members did not respond. Thus, the proposals and courses passed by a unanimous 6-0 GCC vote.

# List of Proposed Graduate Faculty of Record in the Online M.S. Program in Science Translation and Outreach (STO) In the

## College of Agriculture, Food and Environment

Feb 2019

The proposed "home" for the online Master of Science degree in Science Translation and Outreach is the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment. The STO planning committee proposes that initial and all subsequent educational policy-making responsibilities for the STO program shall be moved from the college faculty in total to the narrower group of proposed STO Graduate Faculty of Record listed below:

#### **Proposed STO Graduate Faculty Members**

Paul Vincelli (PPA), Anticipated DGS
Roger Brown (AEC)
Carl Dillon (AEC)
Clare Rittschof (ENT)
Jennifer Hunter (FAM)
Rick Bennett (PPA)
Kenneth Jones (CLD)
Josh McGrath (PLS)

#### **Appointment**

The STO Graduate Faculty of Record, the policy-setting body, will be comprised of faculty members from diverse departments in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment (CAFE) with a desire to provide curricular oversight and decision-making as well as periodic service on STO graduate advisory committees. Appointment will be for indeterminate periods, based on continuing interest. New members will be appointed by a 2/3 vote of the STO Graduate Faculty. Removal will be based on expression of such a desire by the individual faculty member, or by majority vote of STO faculty of record. The Anticipated DGS was nominated and approved on 20 Nov 2018 by unanimous vote of faculty members serving on the STO planning committee who hold appointments in the UK Graduate School. Future DGS appointments will be subject to 2/3 vote by the STO Graduate Faculty of record, in consultation with the chair of the nominee's department and the dean of the CAFE. The DGS will continue to serve in this capacity based on ongoing interest or until a majority vote for removal.

#### **Notes**

Other qualified UK professionals may participate in policy discussions of the STO Graduate Faculty. However, voting privileges are reserved for members of the STO Graduate Faculty of Record.

# **Degree Plan for STO Curriculum**

Table 1. STO Degree Plan I<sup>a</sup>

Year 1: Fall, 2019	STO 603	Year 1: Spring, 2020	STO 602
Year 1: Summer, 2020	STO 601		
Year 2: Fall, 2020	Elective 1	Year 2: Spring, 2021	Elective 2
Year 3: Fall, 2021	Elective 3	Year 3: Spring, 2022	Elective 4
Year 4: Fall, 2022	Elective 5	Year 4: Spring, 2023	Elective 6
Year 5: Fall, 2023	STO 650		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Assumes part-time attendance, completes six graduate-level credits per year. Sequence of completion of STO 601-603 is immaterial.

Table 2. STO Degree Plan II<sup>b</sup>

Year 1: Fall, 2019	STO 603	Year 1: Spring, 2020	STO 602
	Elective 1		Elective 2
Year 1: Summer, 2020	STO 601		
Year 2: Fall, 2020	Elective 3	Year 2: Spring, 2021	Elective 5
	Elective 4		Elective 6
Year 3: Fall, 2021	STO 650	Year 3: Spring, 2022	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Assumes part-time attendance, accelerated course schedule. Sequence of completion of STO 601-603 is immaterial.

# Plan for Development of Electives for the Online M.S. Curriculum in Science Translation and Outreach in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment (CAFE)

The proposed Science Translation and Outreach (STO) curriculum in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment will require 18 credits of free electives during one's graduate studies (Tables 1-2). Students have the freedom to select elective courses on wide-ranging topics of professional interest, with the proviso that they must explain and defend their choices for approval by their Graduate Advisory Committee. Electives may be chosen from any of the academic units in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment, including:

- Agricultural Economics (AEC)
- Animal and Food Science (AFS)
- Biosystems and Agricultural Engineering (BAE)
- Community and Leadership Development (CLD)
- Entomology (ENT)
- Family Sciences (FAM)
- Forest and Natural Resource Science (FOR)
- Horticulture and Plant and Soil Sciences (PLS)
- Nutrition and Food Systems (DHN)
- Plant Pathology (PPA)
- Retailing and Tourism Management (RTM)

Elective courses from other UK colleges may be considered with the approval of a student's Advisory Committee and the STO DGS. Courses at the 600 level, 500 level, and 400G level will be acceptable, subject to Graduate School guidelines and approval as described above.

Courses at the 600 level, 500 level, and 400G level will be acceptable, subject to the following conditions:

- Advisory Committee/DGS approval;
- The program of study is constituted of no more than 15 credit-hours of 400G-level plus 500-level courses.

While the core curriculum provides learning appropriate to the practice of science translation, elective courses serve several purposes: (1) To allow students to gain deeper knowledge in a disciplinary area of interest; and (2) To gain broader, transdisciplinary exposure to less familiar science content, expanding their capacity to translate science content to lay audiences. Advisory Committees will be responsible for ensuring that students select electives of both types. Such flexibility reflects the great diversity of programmatic challenges that our students will encounter over their careers. This is particularly the case for Extension agents throughout Kentucky and beyond, who will form a natural pool of potential students interested in this program and new to UK.

Table 1. STO Degree Plan Ia

Year 1: Fall, 2019	STO 603	Year 1: Spring, 2020	STO 602
Year 1: Summer, 2020	STO 601		
Year 2: Fall, 2020	Elective 1	Year 2: Spring, 2021	Elective 2
Year 3: Fall, 2021	Elective 3	Year 3: Spring, 2022	Elective 4
Year 4: Fall, 2022	Elective 5	Year 4: Spring, 2023	Elective 6
Year 5: Fall, 2023	STO 650		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Assumes part-time attendance, completes six graduate-level credits per year. Sequence of completion of STO 601-603 is immaterial.

Table 2. STO Degree Plan IIb

Year 1: Fall, 2019	STO 603	Year 1: Spring, 2020	STO 602
	Elective 1		Elective 2
Year 1: Summer, 2020	STO 601		
Year 2: Fall, 2020	Elective 3	Year 2: Spring, 2021	Elective 5
	Elective 4		Elective 6
Year 3: Fall, 2021	STO 650	Year 3: Spring, 2022	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Assumes part-time attendance, accelerated course schedule. Sequence of completion of STO 601-603 is immaterial.

## <u>Immediate Needs for Development of Elective Courses</u>

The STO curriculum does not have defined areas of specialization, but it is possible to anticipate general programmatic interests from several populations of remote students new to UK: those with general interests in agriculture and natural resources (ANR¹), those interested in family and consumer sciences (FCS), and those interested in Positive Youth Development (PYD).

In this developmental stage of the STO program, the subject matter areas of PYD and FCS are expected to be of interest to at least half of our expected student population. Therefore, members of the STO committee with expertise in FCS and PYD are shepherding through the approval process, a number of courses to serve as elective options for students with interests in either of these two areas (Table 3). These electives also support the embedded graduate certificates in PYD and FCS. These electives have been launched in Curriculog. Approval of these courses—coupled with already available online offerings through PPA and the School of Human Environmental Sciences (Table 4)—will ensure the availability of more than the minimum number of electives for a complete program for all incoming STO students. Approval and offering of the courses listed in Table 3 will not, of course, preclude the future development of additional electives in FCS/PYD, in order to give students more options for electives. However, in order to be accountable to our incoming students, the STO program must be prepared to offer a minimum of six electives, and our present plan exceeds that requirement for students entering in Fall, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes students specializing in Horticulture, for the purposes of this analysis.

Table 3. Set of Initial Online Elective Choices for Students Interested in FCS/PYD Areas

Course prefix and		
number	Course title	Status (26 Nov 2018) <sup>2</sup>
PYD 600	Contemporary Issues in Youth and Agriculture	Launched in Curriculog
PYD 610	Engaging the Learner: Exploring Brain-Based Learning	Launched in Curriculog
PYD 620	Foundations of Positive Youth Development	Launched in Curriculog
PYD 695	Independent Work in Positive Youth Development	Launched in Curriculog
FCS 600	Foundations of Family and Consumer Sciences	Launched in Curriculog
FCS 610	Media Technology, Families, and Society	Launched in Curriculog
FCS 620	Working with Diverse Families in Culturally Sensitive Contexts	Launched in Curriculog
FCS 695	Independent Work in Family and Consumer Sciences	Launched in Curriculog
FAM 600	Working with Military Families	Available online

**Table 4. Set of Existing Online Elective Choices for STO Students** 

Course prefix and		
number	Course title	Status (26 Nov 2018)
HMT 570	Event Planning and Coordination (3 cr)	Available online
MAT 514	Retail Entrepreneurship (3 cr)	Available online
MAT 570	Electronic Retailing (E-Tailing) (3 cr)	Available online
RTM 772	Seminar in Retailing and Tourism Management (3 cr)	Available online
FAM 600	Working with Military Families (3 cr)	Available online
PPA 620	Fungicides, Advanced Topics (3 cr)	Available online
PPA 630	Introduction to Genetically Engineered Crops, Risks and Benefits I (1 cr)	Available online
PPA 631	Introduction to Genetically Engineered Crops, Risks and Benefits II (1 cr)	Available online

For long-term viability of the STO program across wide interests, the program will need a greater range of elective options. Part-time students with interests in ANR will need at least 1-2 elective options per semester for a minimum total of six elective courses; full-time students will require even more course offerings. Furthermore, students with interests in ANR will have remarkably wide-ranging interests and needs. Therefore, if we assume a cohort size of 10 students new to UK each year, in order to provide them with a desirable level of choice, we need a minimum of three novel courses in each of six semesters, for a total of 18 elective courses over four years in the general area of ANR.

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Launched courses are pending review by the Graduate Council

### Long-term Needs for Course Development

In the long run, even more elective options in FCS, PYD, and ANR would be highly desirable, for two reasons:

- 1. To provide more choice in learning opportunities to our students, who have diverse needs and interests, in order to better-serve their educational requirements and to increase the competitiveness of this program regionally and nationally; and,
- 2. To create a "buffer" of suitable elective courses, since there are numerous unanticipated reasons why a particular elective course may not available to STO students, including—but not limited to—departure or retirement of faculty members.

Therefore, <u>over the long term, the following is recommended</u> for the STO program to be sustainable and adequately serve students' needs:

- A minimum of 20-24 elective courses, offered on a regular basis, for students with a general interest in ANR; and,
- A minimum of 8-10 elective options, offered regularly, for those interested in FCS or PYD.

#### What is the Potential for Further Elective Course Development?

Recognizing that the needs expressed above are ambitious, how likely is it that CAFE faculty will develop this many electives suitable for STO students?<sup>3</sup> A promising indication<sup>4</sup> was provided by the Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, whose recent preliminary survey showed that the faculty from that department was willing to do the following within three years, in support of this program:

- Convert at least three courses offered face-to-face to an online format
- Create at least seven entirely new online courses

Communications with faculty in nine units have revealed widespread interest in developing one or more courses in each unit, courses which would serve their own graduate program while simultaneously serving the needs of STO students. New online graduate courses that have been proposed informally by faculty members include:

- Principles of Cooperative Extension
- Livestock Feed Science
- Farm Management
- Insect Biodiversity
- Agrostology: The Science of Grasses
- Soil Microbiology
- Soil Use and Management
- Soil Health and How to Measure It
- Math & Statistics for Soil Scientists

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> STO students will require courses that are fully online (in order to permit access irrespective of location) and free from prerequisite courses, requiring only a baccalaureate degree (in order to support development of transdisciplinary knowledge and skills by permitting students to freely select electives of relevance to their needs and interests).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> These assessments were based on an assumption of a total of \$10,000 in incentive funds for course development and first-time delivery.

- Soil Fertility
- Wildlife Damage and Diseases: Concepts, Management, and Communicating with the Public
- Agricultural Machinery and Hydraulics
- Energy: Use, Savings, and Generation
- Stream Restoration
- Forest Entomology
- Medical Entomology
- Agroecology
- Identification of Pests and Disease Vectors
- Integrated Pest Management
- Physiology and Toxicology of Insecticides

Courses currently being converted to, or created for, online delivery include:

- DHN 597: Obesity and Food Insecurity Paradigm From Cell to Society
- DHN 598: Global Foods, Diet and Culture
- DHN 603: Advanced Community Program Development
- DHN 605: Food Systems and Society

Courses being considered for conversion to online delivery include:

- CLD/SOC/ANT 640: Science, Agriculture, and Development
- CLD/SOC/AEC 691: Sociology of Food and Agriculture

Several online CAFE graduate programs funded by the Provost are very likely to produce one or more courses that will be suitable as STO electives:

- M.S. in Applied Environmental Studies (with Arts and Sciences)
- M.S. in Entomology
- Graduate Certificate in Applied Nutrition and Culinary Medicine for Health Professionals (with Medicine and Health Science)(includes several DHN courses listed above)

We therefore feel confident that, with suitable incentives, the CAFE has the capacity to generate the elective courses needed to support an excellent transdisciplinary program in science translation and outreach.

### **STO Incentive Plan**

A critical element undergirding the willingness of departmentally based faculty to develop electives suitable for the transdisciplinary STO program is the availability of incentive funds for both course design/development and delivery. Being transdisciplinary, the STO program will not be housed in any single academic unit. Therefore, incentives for course development, delivery, and continual improvement must be provided.

The STO faculty consider the following to be a highly desirable incentive program for 3-credit-hour graduate courses:

- Design and development of a new, fully online course, free of prerequisites, or conversion of an in-class version meeting the same conditions: \$5000
- Initial delivery of a new fully online course: \$5000<sup>5</sup>
- Incentive of \$400 (after the initial delivery) for each STO student taking a suitable elective that is taught by an instructor within their standard duty profile. (This is important because disciplinary educational units sometimes object to requests for recurring teaching support for interdisciplinary programs without receiving additional recurring revenue.)
- Per-course award (after initial delivery) of **\$4500**, for a suitable core course or elective taught by instructors outside their standard assignment and duty profile

Successful launch of the STO Program is supported by two sources of funding:

- A commitment from the Dean of the CAFE of a total of \$180,000 from FY18-19 through FY20-21;
- A commitment of \$100,000 from the UK Provost's 2018 New Online Program Initiative.

Funds will be used to support the development and delivery of the following, all of which will attract students new to UK's graduate programs:

- The new online M.S. in Science Translation and Outreach;
- An embedded Graduate Certificate in Positive Youth Development;
- An embedded Graduate Certificate in Family and Consumer Sciences.

These funds will allow the CAFE to successfully launch these three new graduate programs and shepherd them to a state of financial sustainability and independence. Our budget analysis indicates that, if fully funded, a total of 25 new graduate courses (four STO core courses and 21 new elective courses across numerous CAFE departments) will have been fully funded and delivered over by FY2022-23, with increasing projected outflow (and an assurance of sustainability) by FY2023-24. (See the appended document, *Spreadsheet Undergirding the STO Business Plan*.) This analysis<sup>6</sup> is based on the conservative assumption of only ten new part-time students per year, in order to conservatively gauge program sustainability. An even more favorable financial picture is expected with higher enrollment.

#### Conclusion

The STO faculty believes that our plan to provide incentives for ongoing elective development well into the future is both eminently attainable and critical to the success of this transdisciplinary program. Our plan will provide critical funding incentives for the development of departmental online courses, which simultaneously serve as STO electives. This will not only support the STO program—it will help incentivize and support the movement of numerous of CAFE's graduate courses to the online arena, a desirable outcome independent of its benefit to the STO program. In other words, we believe the CAFE's presence as a whole in the online arena will directly and synergistically benefit from the ongoing STO incentive program. Finally, this plan is supporting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The total of \$10,000 for course development and initial delivery is based on the minimum incentive offered by a benchmark institution visited during February, 2018. Details available upon request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Spreadsheet available upon request to Paul Vincelli, pvincell@uky.edu

the development and launch of two graduate certificates embedded within the STO program. All three graduate programs will attract students new to the UK College of Agriculture, Food and Environment.

# Spreadsheet Undergirding STO M.S. Program Business Plan

						Acaden	nic Y	'ear				
	2	2018/19	2	2019/20	2	2020/21	:	2021/22	2	2022/23	2	2023/24
					Pro	jected Stud	ent	Enrollment				
First Year Students		0		10		10		10		10		10
Second Year Students				0		10		10		10		10
Third Year Students						0		10		10		10
Fourth Year Students								0		10		10
Fifth Year Students										0		10
Total Students in Program		0		10		20		30		40		50
					Pr	ojected Tui	ition	Revenue				
Tuition/Credit Hour by Year	\$	693	\$	714	\$	735	\$	757	\$	780	\$	803
Average Credits per Student per Year		0		6		6		6		6		6
Tuition Generated By Program		-	\$	42,827	\$	88,224	\$	136,307	\$	187,195	\$	241,013
						Tuition Sharing Effects						
Tuition Retained by Central Campus (40%)				17,131		35,290		54,523		74,878		96,405
Tuition Retained by College (CAFE 20%)		-		8,565		17,645		27,261		37,439		48,203
Tuition Available for Program (40%)		<u>-</u>		17,131		35,290		54,523		74,878		96,405
				Addi	tion	al Projecte	d Pro	ogram Reve	nue	S		
Program Course Fees		-		-		-		-		-		-
CAFE Dean Support	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	80,000		-		-		-
RFP Funds for MS Program (STO)	\$	50,000	\$	50,000		-		-		-		-
RFP Funds Certificate Programs (FCS & PYD)	\$	-	\$ ¢	-	Ļ	-	۲	-	۲	-	۲.	2 0 6 7
Amount Forwarded from Prior Year  Total Program Revenues	\$	100,000	\$ <b>\$</b>	42,300 <b>159,431</b>	\$ <b>\$</b>	64,983 <b>180,273</b>	\$ <b>\$</b>	67,396 <b>121,918</b>	\$ <b>\$</b>	24,079 <b>98,957</b>	\$ <b>\$</b>	2,867 <b>99,272</b>
Total Program Revenues	Ş	100,000	Þ	159,451	Ģ	100,273	Ą	121,910	Ģ	30,337	Ą	99,272
					P	Projected Pr	ogra	am Costs				
Program DGS Salary	\$	10,000	\$	10,300	\$	10,609	\$	10,927	\$	11,255	\$	11,593
Course Development	\$	45,000	\$	35,000	\$	20,000	\$	15,000	\$	10,000	\$	-
First-Time Course Delivery		-	\$	45,000	\$	35,000	\$	20,000	\$	15,000	\$	10,000
Ongoing Course Delivery (per course total)		-		-	\$	42,966	\$	44,255	\$	50,648	\$	52,167
Ongoing Course Delivery (per student total)		-		-	\$	-	\$	6,119	\$	9,004	\$	12,056
<b>Total Program Costs</b>	\$	55,000	\$	90,300	\$	108,575	\$	96,302	\$	95,907	\$	85,817
				Net Reve	nue	for Ongoin	g Pro	ogram Impr	over	ments		
Net Revenue	\$	45,000	\$	69,131	\$	71,697	\$	25,616	\$	3,050	\$	13,456

### Notes

Student attrition/retention effects are excluded

Elective courses are allocated either a per course or per student incentive but not both

# **Data and Additional Assumptions**

Initial Tuition Rates are for 2018-19 Academic Year Part-time Graduate Student In-State Tuition

100,000	142,827	168,224	136,307	187,195	241,013
45,000	52,527	59,649	40,005	91,288	155,197

Assumptions			
New Students Annually		10	Assumptions with Gold Background
Average Time to Degree (yrs)		5	especially can be changed
Credit Hrs Required		30	
Average Credits Outside of Program		0	
Credits Within Program		30	
Average Credits/Year (In Program)		6	
Tuition/Credit Hour - Year 1 (\$)	\$	693.00	http://www.uky.edu/registrar/2018-19-Tu
Tuition Inflation Rate (%)		3.0%	See Inflation Rate sheet herein for guidance
UK Tuition Share (%)		40.0%	
CAFE Tuition Share (%)		20.0%	
Program Tuition Share (%)		40.0%	-
Program Course Fee/Credit Hour	\$	-	
Core Courses Offered Annually		4	
Course Development & First Offer Incentive	\$	10,000	
Amount of Course Development Up Front	\$	5,000	
Core Course Stipend (Per 3 Cr Hr Course)	\$	4,500	
Areas of Concentration		3	
Elective Courses Offered Annually (Minimum)		18	
Elective Courses Offered Annually (Actual)		21	21
Elective Courses under per Course Incentive			
Number of Courses Annually		6	
Course Stipend (Per 3 Cr Hr Course)	\$	4,500	
Elective Courses under per Student Incentive			
Number of Courses Annually		15	
Average Students/Elective Course		2.00	
Elective Course Stipend/Student	\$	400	
Expenses Inflation Rate (%)		3.0%	
Number of New Courses Developed by Year (Initial C	)ffe	ring the	Following Year)

### Number of New Courses Developed by Year (Initial Offering the Following Year)

	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23
Core	3	0	1		
Electives	6	7	3	3	2
Number of Courses Offered by Year					
Core (with per course stipend)	None	3	3	4	4
Electives (with per course stipend)	None	6	6	6	6
Electives (with per student stipend)	None	0	7	10	13

# Carryover Percentage Applied 94.0%

#### Notes

Student attrition/retention effects are excluded

Elective courses are allocated either a per course or per student incentive but not both

# **Data and Additional Assumptions**

Tuition share per student (3 cr hr course) is \$693\*3\*40% = \$831.60 initially Initial Tuition Rates are for 2018-19 Academic Year Part-time Graduate Student In-State Tuition

се

2023/24

4

6

15

# ASSESSMENT PLAN: STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

# Online M.S. Program in Science Translation and Outreach (STO) In the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment (CAFE)

# **University of Kentucky**

#### **STO Student Learning Outcomes**

- 1. Engage with diverse audiences and explore information sources to ascertain issues of concern relating to agriculture, food and environment and their transdisciplinary relationships
- 2. Locate, identify and evaluate the credibility of relevant scientific information in agriculture, food and environment, and distinguish it from non-scientific information
- 3. Develop and assess evidence-based outreach programs that focus on issues of public concern in agriculture, food and environment

#### **Curriculum Map for STO Courses\***

Course	SLO #1	SLO #2	SLO #3
Course	Engaging	Identifying	Translating
Program Development and Evaluation (STO 601)	1		R
Science Literacy and Translation (STO 602)		I	1
Research Methods (STO 603)		I	R
Capstone in Science Translation (STO 650)	E	E	E
Electives (18 credits)			
Related to student's undergraduate major	R	R	R
Unrelated to student's undergraduate major	R	R	R

<sup>\*</sup>I=Introduce; R=Reinforce; E=Emphasize

#### **Methods for Assessing STO Student Learning Outcomes**

- SLO #1: Representative student learning will be evaluated in STO 601 (see rubric below). The written report in STO 650 and the oral exit exam will also provide opportunities for evaluation of student accomplishment of SLO #1. For assessment of learning demonstrated in the final report from STO 650 and in the oral exam administered at the conclusion of the program, see the rubric entitled, *Scoring Rubric for Overall Performance*, below. Representative student learning will be evaluated in elective courses using an assessment rubric common across elective courses (see rubric below entitled *Common Scoring Rubric for Written or Oral Assignments in Elective Courses*).
- SLO #2: Representative student accomplishment of SLO #2 will be evaluated in STO 602 and STO 603 (see rubrics below). Representative student learning in elective courses, as well as end-of-program evaluation in STO 650, will be assessed as described above.
- SLO #3: Representative student accomplishment of SLO #3 will be evaluated in STO 601, STO 602 and STO 603 (see rubrics below). Representative student learning in elective courses, as well as end-of-program evaluation in STO 650, will be assessed as described above.

In addition to the rubrics for assessing overall accomplishment of program SLOs, we provide two additional rubrics designed by STO instructors for their internal use. They are entitled:

- 1. Scoring Rubric for Overall Performance in STO 602: Science Literacy and Translation
- 2. Final Research Proposal Assignment Due: Week 16

#### **Assessment Cycle and Data Analysis**

- Assessment cycle
  - o Program SLOs will be assessed every three years, one per year, in sequence.
- Data analysis
  - Rubrics providing data for assessing program-level SLOs will be completed by instructors
    of core courses and provided to the DGS.
  - o Instructors of elective courses will be asked to complete the rubric provided in this document (or a revised rubric, as appropriate) and to provide the data to the DGS. Electives for evaluation will be selected by the student in consultation with their advisor to ensure alignment with each student's career goals. Instructors of the elective courses will be provided the rubric in advance to ensure incorporation of some or all of the STO concepts when giving written, oral, and multimedia communication assignments to STO students so they may be assessed by the common rubric.
  - Members of the student's Advisory Committee will be solicited for evaluations of student learning (see rubric below) based on their written final report and their performance in their exit exam. Data will be collected and summarized by the DGS.
  - All data sources mentioned will be tabulated and a report prepared annually by the DGS.
     The report will be distributed to the STO Graduate Faculty and to the CAFE administration.
     At least annually, the STO Graduate Faculty will meet virtually or in-person to discuss assessment results and to consider possible adjustments to the program and curriculum.

# Scoring Rubric for Overall Accomplishment

# Program Student Learning Outcomes #1 & 3

# STO 601, Program Development and Evaluation

University of Kentucky Online M.S. Program in Science Translation and Outreach (STO) in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment

Student:	

Program Student Learning Outcome assessed	Criteria	Novice 1	Developing 2	Accomplished 3	Exemplary 4	Score <sup>1</sup>
1	Engage with diverse audiences and explore information sources to ascertain issues of concern relating to agriculture, food and environment and their transdisciplinary relationships	Understands the importance of principles central to program development and evaluation practices in agriculture, food and environment	Engage in evaluative thinking as a means to address public concerns	Demonstrates capability to work collaboratively with diverse stakeholders to identify issues through needs assessments and to articulate solutions that address community-level concerns	Is capable of leading diverse stakeholders in the development of programs; conducting evaluations to determine the benefit and success programs have on individuals and communities	
3	Develop and assess evidence-based outreach programs that focus on issues of public concern in	Understands the relationship between program development processes that	Deciphers program evaluative results that are relevant and clearly	Documents measurable outcomes that are pertinent to stakeholder interests	Has the capacity to lead stakeholders through a complete program development and evaluation process; Documents the immediate success and long	

agriculture, food and environment credible scientific information relevant to public concerns		term effect of a program's impact on stakeholders and communities
---	--	---

_						
$C_0$	-	-	$\overline{}$	~+	. ~	
u	111	111	$\boldsymbol{\vdash}$	M	`	

Evaluator:			
Jato:			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Provide numerical score for each criterion or indicate if not applicable (NA)

# Student Learning Outcomes # 2 & 3

# **STO 602 Science Literacy and Translation**

# Online M.S. Program in Science Translation and Outreach (STO)

Student:	

Program Student Learning Outcome assessed	Criteria	Novice 1	Developing 2	Accomplished 3	Exemplary 4	Score <sup>1</sup>
2	Locate, identify and evaluate the credibility of relevant scientific information in agriculture, food and environment.	Has difficulty defining the scope of the research question or thesis. Has difficulty determining key concepts. Analysis of types of information (sources) presented does not relate to concepts or answer the research question.	Defines the scope of the research question or thesis incompletely (parts are missing, remains too broad). Can determine key concepts and sources of information which partially relate to concepts presented.	Defines and translates the scope of the research question or thesis completely. Can determine key concepts. Types of information (sources) presented relate to concepts or answer the research question.	Effectively and thoughtfully defines and translates the scope of the research question or thesis. Types of information presented (sources) directly relate to concepts or answer the research question.	

3	Develop and assess evidence-based outreach programs that focus on issues of public concern in agriculture, food and environment.	Has difficulty defining the scope of the research question or thesis. Has difficulty determining key concepts. Assesses information randomly, and analysis lacks relevance and quality.	Analyzes the scope of the research question or thesis incompletely (aspects missing or remains too broad). Can determine key concepts and principles of science methodology as they relate to concepts of the research question.	Defines and analyzes the scope of the research question or thesis completely. Can analyze key concepts and principles of science related to the topic.	Effectively defines and thoughtfully analyzes the scope of the research question and thesis. Effectively analyzes key scientific methodologies and sources of information relating to the concept and topic.	

Comments

valuator:			 
)ate:			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Provide numerical score for each criterion

# Scoring Rubric for Overall Performance in STO 602: Science Literacy and Translation<sup>1</sup>

The principal student learning outcomes *for the course, STO 602,* are as follows.

After completing this course, the student will be able to:

- (1) Interpret and evaluate the credibility of scientific information
- (2) Translate scientific findings into application and scientific policy
- (3) Analyze scientific principals and methodology for soundness and limitations
- (4) Practice critical and reflective thinking when examining scientific beliefs and attitudes

Student:	

Course Student Learning Outcome assessed	Criteria	Novice 1	Developing 2	Accomplished 3	Exemplary 4	Score <sup>2</sup>
1	Scientific interpretation is a systematic process of exploring issues through the	Interprets and evaluates a topic with conclusions that are far too vague and general as to be manageable and understandable	Interprets and evaluates a topic that while conclusions are general, are too narrowly focused	Interprets and evaluates a topic with conclusions which are focused and appropriately	Interprets and evaluates a topic with focused and thoughtful conclusions that addresses potentially	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This rubric is for scoring overall performance of individual students in STO 602; it is not an overall assessment of program student learning outcomes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Provide numerical score of performance

	collection and		and leaves out	addresses relevant	significant yet
	analysis of		relevant aspects of	aspects of the topic.	previously less-
	evidence that		the topic.		explored aspects of
	result in				the topic.
	informed				·
	conclusions.				
	Evaluation and				
	analysis is the				
	process of				
	breaking				
	complex issues				
	into parts to gain				
	a better				
	understanding of				
	them.				
2	Translating	Has difficulty defining	Defines the scope of	Defines and translates	Effectively and
	scientific findings	the scope of the	the research	the scope of the	thoughtfully defines
	includes an	research question or	question or thesis	research question or	and translates the
	ability to know	thesis. Has difficulty	incompletely	thesis completely. Can	scope of the research
	when there is a	determining key	(parts are missing,	determine key	question or thesis.
	need for	concepts. Analysis of	remains too broad).	concepts. Types of	Types of information
	information, to	types of information	Can determine key	information (sources)	presented (sources)
	be able to	(sources) presented	concepts and	presented relate to	directly relate to
	identify,	does not relate to	sources of	concepts or answer the	concepts or answer
	evaluate, and	concepts or	information which	research question.	the research
	effectively and	answer the research	partially relate to		question.
	responsibly use	question.	concepts presented.		
	and share that				
	information for				
	application.				

_	T	I	1	T	I
3	The ability to analyze scientific principles, to effectively evaluate methodologies and to assess responsible use of that information requires an ability to understand potential and limitations.	Has difficulty defining the scope of the research question or thesis. Has difficulty determining key concepts. Assesses information randomly, and analysis lacks relevance and quality.	Analyzes the scope of the research question or thesis incompletely (aspects missing or remains too broad). Can determine key concepts and principles of science methodology as they relate to concepts of the research question.	Defines and analyzes the scope of the research question or thesis completely. Can analyze key concepts and principles of science related to the topic.	Effectively defines and thoughtfully analyzes the scope of the research question and thesis. Effectively analyzes key scientific methodologies and sources of information relating to the concept and topic.
4	Critical and reflective thinking is a habit of mind characterized by the comprehensive exploration of issues, ideas, concepts and events, before accepting or formulating a conclusion.	Issue/topic being considered critically is examined without clarification or description. Reflective thought is not provided.	Issue/topic being considered critically is stated but description leaves some aspects undefined, ambiguous, unexplored and boundaries undetermined.	Issue/topic being considered is critically stated, described, reviewed and examined so that understanding is not seriously impeded.	Issue/topic being considered is critically and thoughtfully stated and described comprehensively, delivering all relevant information necessary for full understanding.

Comments:
E. J. de
Evaluator:
Date:

# **Scoring Rubric for Overall Accomplishment**

# Program Student Learning Outcomes #2 & 3

# STO 603, Research Methods

University of Kentucky Online M.S. Program in Science Translation and Outreach (STO) in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment

Student:			

Program Student Learning Outcome assessed	Criteria	Novice 1	Developing 2	Accomplished 3	Exemplary 4	Score <sup>1</sup>
2	Locate, identify and evaluate the credibility of relevant scientific information in agriculture, food and environment, and distinguish it from non-scientific information	Understands the appropriate role of credible scientific information when reviewing literature	Discriminates credible, evidence-based sources of scientific information from other sources	Identifies and interprets credible information and information sources relevant to research topics of concern relating to agriculture, food and environment	Appropriately uses professional judgement to independently synthesize credible scientific information for stakeholders	
3	Develop or select appropriate research methods and analyses to assess evidence-based outreach programs that focus on issues of	Understands the relationship between quantitative and qualitative research methods that help translate	Identifies the appropriate methods including measures, sample, sample size, and common	Deciphers appropriate research method and analysis that parsimoniously answer the research	Has the capacity to identify gaps in existing research, synthesize the need for additional research, specify research question(s), develop and propose a clear research project to stakeholders and communities	

public concern in	credible scientific	analyses used in	question(s) and/or	
agriculture, food	information	qualitative and	hypotheses	
and environment	relevant to public	quantitative		
	concerns	research		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Provide numerical score for each criterion or indicate if not applicable (NA)

	_							
1	1	റ	m	m	Δ	n:	tc	•

Evaluator:			
-			
Date:			

# **EXAMPLE OF ASSESSMENT OF SLO ASSESSMENT BASED ON MAJOR ASSIGNMENT**

**STO 603: Research Methods** 

**Final Research Proposal Assignment Due: Week 16** 

Purpose: To demonstrate competency in quantitative or qualitative research methods and scientific standards of written communication.

Assignment: Design a research study and write a research proposal suitable for a potential master's thesis or similar-quality research study that includes (a) a literature review, (b) an introduction to the study including hypotheses, and (c) the method that will be used to conduct the study. Length should be 8–10 pages of text, not including cover page, references, etc., and formatting must be consistent with the APA Publication Manual (6th ed.).

### **Grading Criteria**

Component	Superior (9-10)	Excellent (7-8)	Good (5-6)	Fair (3-4)	Poor (1-2)
Literature review	Literature review provides a thorough and balanced overview of relevant high-quality research, but also goes beyond the mere reporting of previous research by integrating results across studies to form original scholarship that is grounded in and logically emerges from the extant literature.	Approaches superior description but falls somewhat short of that standard in one or more ways.	A genuine attempt to meet the superior description is clear and successful on some level, but the outcome still falls well short of that standard in multiple ways.	Borders on poor description but occasionally exhibits a modicum of effort worthy of recognition yet still well below expectations.	Literature review reads like an annotated bibliography that merely regurgitates information already readily accessible in the literature with little integration across studies, relies on research of dubious quality, or omits substantial relevant content.
Purpose statement, hypotheses, research questions (PSHRQ)	PSHRQ are clearly stated, fit well together and within the larger proposal, are supported by the literature review, and are positioned appropriately (purpose early, Hs and/or RQs prior to Method section	Approaches superior description but falls somewhat short of that standard in one or more ways.	A genuine attempt to meet the superior description is clear and successful on some level, but the outcome still falls well short of that standard in multiple ways.	Borders on poor description but occasionally exhibits a modicum of effort worthy of recognition yet still well below expectations.	PSHRQ are missing, unclear, incomplete, or illogical; do not fit well together or within the larger proposal, are not supported by the literature review, and are not positioned appropriately within the proposal.
Method	Includes a full and clear description of all required components of a Method	Approaches superior description but falls somewhat short of that	A genuine attempt to meet the superior description is clear and	Borders on poor description but occasionally exhibits a	Missing, unclear, incomplete, or illogical components within the

			I		
	section according to APA and the needs of the proposed study (e.g., research design, sampling approach, sample description, measures, participant procedures, analytical procedures). The study description is sufficiently clear, detailed, and complete for a reader to replicate the study.	standard in one or more ways.	successful on some level, but the outcome still falls well short of that standard in multiple ways.	modicum of effort worthy of recognition yet still well below expectations.	Method section. Various components of the Method section do not fit well together, are illadvised for the proposed study, or raise unaddressed ethical issues. Readers would have difficulty replicating the study based on the description provided.
Clarity and quality of writing	The organization and flow of ideas are easy to follow and transition phrases are used to lead the reader's attention from one idea or paragraph to another. Content within sections and paragraphs is consistent with headings and topic sentences, which are used to effectively structure the paper and guide readers. Sentences and paragraphs are sophisticated yet clear and succinct. A clear thesis or purpose statement can be found early in the paper. Each key point is clearly developed and discussed with logical reasoning and supporting evidence. Appropriate introductions, summaries, and conclusions are provided for the paper as a whole, as well as for distinct subsections within the paper.	Approaches superior description but falls somewhat short of that standard in one or more ways.	A genuine attempt to meet the superior description is clear and successful on some level, but the outcome still falls well short of that standard in multiple ways.	Borders on poor description but occasionally exhibits a modicum of effort worthy of recognition yet still well below expectations.	Organization is difficult to follow, unnecessary redundancy, transitions are rough, sentences and arguments are vague or ambiguous, multiple grammatical and mechanical errors, thesis or purpose statement is missing or not directly relevant to content of paper, key points are underdeveloped, missing or inadequate introductions or conclusions.

APA format	APA format is fully and	Approaches superior	A genuine attempt to	Borders on poor	Multiple violations of APA
	appropriately applied	description but falls	meet the superior	description but	format that demonstrate
	throughout the paper,	somewhat short of that	description is clear and	occasionally exhibits a	a lack of attention to
	from title page to	standard in one or more	successful on some level,	modicum of effort worthy	detail or ignorance of APA
	reference list, including	ways.	but the outcome still falls	of recognition yet still well	rules and guidelines.
	but not limited to page		well short of that	below expectations.	
	headers, section headings,		standard in multiple ways.		
	in-text citations, and APA-				
	specific grammar and				
	punctuation rules.				

Evaluator:			
Date:			

# **Scoring Rubric for Overall Accomplishment**

# Program Student Learning Outcomes #1,2,3

# STO 650, Capstone in Science Translation and Outreach

University of Kentucky Online M.S. Program in Science Translation and Outreach (STO) in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment

Student:	

Program Student Learning Outcome assessed	Criteria	Novice 1	Developing 2	Accomplished 3	Exemplary 4	Score <sup>1</sup>
1	Engage with diverse audiences and explore information sources to ascertain issues of concern relating to agriculture, food and environment and their transdisciplinary relationships	Understands the importance of engaging the public issues in agriculture, food and environment	Can identify stakeholders and other sources capable of articulating significant public concerns	Demonstrates capability to work collaboratively with diverse stakeholders to identify and articulate complex issues of public concern	Is capable of leading diverse stakeholders in identifying topics of public concern as well as their transdisciplinary relationships/interconnections	
2	Locate, identify and evaluate the credibility of relevant scientific information in	Understands the appropriate role of credible scientific information in	Discriminates credible, evidence-based sources of scientific	Identifies and interprets credible information and information sources relevant to public	Appropriately uses professional judgement to independently synthesize credible scientific information for stakeholders	

	agriculture, food and environment, and distinguish it from non- scientific information	addressing public concerns	information from other sources	issues of concern relating to agriculture, food and environment		
3	Develop and assess evidence-based outreach programs that focus on issues of public concern in agriculture, food and environment	Understands the importance of translating credible scientific information that is relevant to public concerns	Translates relevant science to a form understood by stakeholder. Identifies needs for relevant applied research	One or more applies: Documents public progress in addressing issue of concern. Collaborates on applied research relevant to expressed public issues.	One or more applies: Documents measurable impact addressing the issue of concern. Delivers a well- received talk on the project at a professional conference. Leads a relevant applied research project	

Evaluator: _	 	 	
Date:			

Comments:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Provide numerical score for each criterion or indicate if not applicable (NA)

### **EXAMPLE FOR PROGRAM ASSESSMENT OF ELECTIVE COURSES**

# **Common Scoring Rubric for Written or Oral Assignments in Elective Courses**

University of Kentucky Online M.S. Program in Science Translation and Outreach (STO) in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment

Relevant to Program SLO(s) indicated	Criteria (if applicable)	Unacceptable performance (0)	Acceptable Performance (1)	Successful Performance (2)	Distinguished Performance (3)	Score <sup>1</sup>
1	Communication	Demonstrates ineffective oral and/or written communication. (e.g., uses improper grammar or slang)	Selectively demonstrates effective oral and/or written communication	Demonstrates effective oral and/or written communication skills	Demonstrates professional-level communication throughout	
1,2,3	Accuracy	Consistently inaccurate use of disciplinary knowledge	Notably inaccurate use of some disciplinary knowledge	Accurate use of disciplinary knowledge in almost all instances	Consistently accurate use of disciplinary knowledge	
1,2,3	Analysis	The component parts identified are not correct and/or relevant. The connections between parts are completely inaccurate	The work breaks the issue or problem into parts, but some parts may be missing or unclear. The connections between parts are somewhat accurate	The work presents the relevant parts of the issue or problem. Connections between parts are fairly recognizable	The work successfully breaks the issue or problem into relevant parts. The connections between parts are clear and highly accurate.	

2	Evaluates evidence	Fails to identify information that constitutes evidence relevant to the subject matter	Successfully identifies information that counts as evidence but fails to thoroughly evaluate its credibility	Identifies all relevant evidence and evaluates it	Identifies and rigorously evaluates all important evidence; provides new information for consideration	
1,2,3	Cross-disciplinary connections	No or inaccurate connections made between course subject matter and other disciplines	Few but accurate connections between course subject matter and other disciplines	Identifies connections between course subject matter and other disciplines	Draws numerous insightful connections between the course subject matter and other disciplines	
1,2,3	Self-reflection	No consideration of strengths and weaknesses of the work	Offers limited or somewhat inaccurate discussion of strengths and weaknesses	Presents strengths and weaknesses of the work	Clear and concise presentation of strengths and weaknesses of the work	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Provide numerical score for each criterion or indicate if not applicable (NA)

valuator:	 	 	

Comments:

### Collaborating or Affected CAFE Units (item 10b, Senate Form for New M.S. Programs)

Reviewing Unit	Unit representative	Expression of support
Agricultural Economics (AEC)	Barry J. Barnett, Chair	18 Dec 2018
Animal and Food Science	Richard Coffey, Chair	3 Dec 2018
(AFS)		
Biosystems and Agricultural	Mike Montross, Chair	5 Dec 2018
Engineering (BAE)		
Community and Leadership	Wes Harrison, Chair	19 Nov 2018
Development (CLD)		
Entomology (ENT)	Subba Reddy Palli, Chair	4 Dec 2018
Family Sciences (FAM)	Ronald Werner-Wilson, Chair	12 Dec 2018
Forest and Natural Resource	Jeffrey W. Stringer, Chair	26 Nov 2018
Science (FOR)		
Horticulture	Mark Williams, Chair	3 Jan 2019
Nutrition and Food Systems	Janet Mullins, Interim Chair	29 Nov 2018
(DHN)		
Plant and Soil Sciences (PLS)	Rebecca McCulley, Chair	3 Dec 2018
Plant Pathology (PPA)	Chris Schardl, Chair	4 Dec 2018
Retailing and Tourism	Vanessa Jackson, Chair	20 Nov 2018
Management (RTM)		

From: Kelly, Thomas

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 7, 2018 1:24 PM

**To:** Vincelli, Paul; Grabau, Larry **Cc:** King, Victoria; Knudsen, Hannah

**Subject:** Re: Proposal for new online graduate program

Thank you Paul - our DGS, Dr. Knudsen, is OK with option #1, so I don't anticipate there would be any concerns if you decide to go in that direction.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide input.

Best,

Tom K.

From: "Vincelli, Paul" <paul.vincelli@uky.edu>
Date: Wednesday, November 7, 2018 at 8:34 AM

**To:** "Kelly, Thomas" <thkelly@uky.edu>, "Grabau, Larry" <larry.grabau@uky.edu> **Cc:** Victoria King <vlking00@uky.edu>, "Knudsen, Hannah" <hkknud2@uky.edu>

**Subject:** RE: Proposal for new online graduate program

Dear Dr. Knudsen and Dr. Kelly:

Please excuse the delay in contacting you regarding the content below. Dr. Kelly, as our program planning progresses, it would be wonderful to compare notes and brainstorm over possible collaborative opportunities. Thank you for the suggestion.

With respect to the name of our proposed online M.S. program, I offered two possibilities:

- 1. Science Translation and Outreach
- 2. Science Translation and Outreach in Agriculture, Food and Environment

As you note, Dr. Kelly, #2 minimizes confusion between the two programs, and I personally like its clarity. The planning committee discussed these options and expressed a strong preference (as per a vote) for #1, for its simplicity and the very crowded appearance #2 would have on students' diplomas.

If we were to move forward with #1, would that create significant concerns for you? I will note that we use the phrase "Agriculture, Food and Environment" liberally in many documents. See, for example the title of our assessment document (attached), as well as the program student learning outcomes (also in the attached). You will see that we are very committed to avoiding unnecessary confusion between the two programs.

I look forward to your response.

With respect, Paul Vincelli

---

Paul Vincelli
Extension Professor and Provost's Distinguished Service Professor
UK Coordinator, Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education Program (SARE)
Member, Public Policy Board, American Phytopathological Society

From: Kelly, Thomas

Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2018 3:46 PM

**To:** Vincelli, Paul <paul.vincelli@uky.edu>; Grabau, Larry <larry.grabau@uky.edu> **Cc:** King, Victoria <vlking00@uky.edu>; Knudsen, Hannah <hkknud2@uky.edu>

Subject: FW: Proposal for new online graduate program

#### Paul:

Thank you for your e-mail. We in the Center for Clinical & Translational Science agree with your assessment that while there are similar objectives in providing training in translational science, the focus of your program (Agriculture, Food and Environment) is distinct from our programs, which focus on health. My recommendation would be to use option 2 to minimize confusion between the programs. I am including Dr. Hannah Knudsen on this exchange, as Dr. Knudsen serves as the DGS for these programs, which are administratively housed in the Department of Behavioral Science. I suspect it will be important to request a response from her/the Department of Behavioral Science on these matters.

Good luck with the new program, and perhaps there will be collaborative opportunities between our programs in the future.

Best,

Tom Kelly
Director, Training, Education and Mentoring
Center for Clinical and Translational Science

From: CCTS <ccts@uky.edu>

Date: Thursday, October 25, 2018 at 3:26 PM

To: Victoria King <<u>vlking00@uky.edu</u>>, "Kelly, Thomas" <<u>thkelly@uky.edu</u>>

Subject: FW: Proposal for new online graduate program

The person below is looking for a response.

From: Vincelli, Paul

Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2018 6:00 AM

To: CCTS < ccts@uky.edu>

Cc: Grabau, Larry < <a href="mailto:larry.grabau@uky.edu">larry.grabau@uky.edu</a>>; Vincelli, Paul < <a href="mailto:paul.vincelli@uky.edu">paul.vincelli@uky.edu</a>>

Subject: RE: Proposal for new online graduate program

Hello, folks at the UK Center for Clinical and Translational Science:

Below is a duplicate email requesting your thoughts with respect to our new online M.S. program. We are nearing the point where we must submit a program name. The following two are being discussed by our committee. Could you provide your thoughts with respect to whether either of these conflict with your center's name? Or please forward this email to whomever must be able to provide a response on behalf of your program?

- 1. Science Translation and Outreach
- 2. Science Translation and Outreach in Agriculture, Food and Environment

Thanks in advance.

#### With respect, Paul Vincelli

---

Paul Vincelli

Extension Professor and Provost's Distinguished Service Professor UK Coordinator, Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education Program (SARE) Member, Public Policy Board, American Phytopathological Society

From: Vincelli, Paul

Sent: Saturday, October 6, 2018 7:34 AM

To: CCTS < ccts@uky.edu>

Cc: Grabau, Larry < <a href="mailto:larry.grabau@uky.edu">larry.grabau@uky.edu">larry.grabau@uky.edu</a>; 'pvincell@uky.edu' <a href="mailto:pvincell@uky.edu">pvincell@uky.edu</a>;

Subject: Proposal for new online graduate program

To the Director of Graduate Programs
UK Center for Clinical and Translational Science

I am leading the development of a new online Masters of Science program, with the working name, M.S. in Science Translation and Outreach (STO) in the College of Food, Agriculture and Environment. Please note the three attachments.

Before completing our submission proposing this new program, the committee must distinguish the proposed program from other UK graduate programs that potentially could have—or may be perceived as having—academic overlap.

We have identified the programs affiliated with the UK Center for Clinical and Translational Science as such programs. The mission of the UK Center for Clinical and Translational Science (<a href="https://www.ccts.uky.edu/about-ccts">https://www.ccts.uky.edu/about-ccts</a>) includes science translation, as does the STO program. However, the central mission of the Center is focused on "critical health issues," whereas the STO program will focus on issues of agriculture, food, and environment. In fact, we commonly and explicitly refer to the program as, Science Translation and Outreach in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment, in order to identify it clearly with our college and to clearly distinguish it from other programs. We also note that "science translation" is a phrase shared by numerous, diverse disciplines. Indeed, the 104-year history of Cooperative Extension is of providing science translation to the public.

Therefore, we perceive no significant overlap nor conflict between the Center's programs and the STO program. However, we seek your evaluation before proceeding further.

If you agree that there is no meaningful overlap, a short email expressing your judgment is all we require. If, however, you have concerns, please contact me to set up a phone call or a visit to your office.

Thank you for your consideration and time.

With respect, Paul Vincelli

---

Paul Vincelli
Extension Professor and Provost's Distinguished Service Professor
UK Coordinator, Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education Program (SARE)
Member, Public Policy Board, American Phytopathological Society

**Department of Plant Pathology** 

College of Agriculture, Food and Environment University of Kentucky <a href="mailto:pvincell@uky.edu">pvincell@uky.edu</a> Phone 859-218-0722

Website: http://plantpathology.ca.uky.edu/person/paul-vincelli Twitter: @pvincell

 From:
 Zimmer, Ronald

 To:
 Vincelli, Paul

 Cc:
 Grabau, Larry

**Subject:** RE: Proposal for new online graduate program **Date:** Tuesday, October 16, 2018 11:04:16 AM

**Attachments:** Support Letter.pdf

Hi Paul,

I have an enclosed letter of support. If you need something different, let us know.

Ron

From: Vincelli, Paul

**Sent:** Saturday, October 06, 2018 6:55 AM **To:** Zimmer, Ronald <ron.zimmer@uky.edu>

Cc: Grabau, Larry <a href="mailto:Larry.grabau@uky.edu">Larry.grabau@uky.edu</a>; Vincelli, Paul <paul.vincelli@uky.edu>

**Subject:** Proposal for new online graduate program

Dr. Ron Zimmer
Director and Professor
Martin School of Public Policy and Administration
Patterson Office Tower 423

Dear Dr. Zimmer:

I am leading the development of a new online Masters of Science program, with the working name, M.S. in Science Translation and Outreach (STO) in the College of Food, Agriculture and Environment. Please note the three attachments.

Before completing our submission proposing this new program, the committee must distinguish the proposed program from other UK graduate programs that potentially could have—or may be perceived as having—academic overlap.

We have identified the Masters of Public Administration offered by the Martin School of Public Policy and Administration as one such program (<a href="http://martin.uky.edu/mpa">http://martin.uky.edu/mpa</a>). In our own analysis, we concluded that the MPA is public-service oriented, as is the STO program, but that the focus of the MPA is implementation of public policy. This is not a student learning objective of the STO program. Therefore, we perceive no overlap nor conflict between the MPA and the STO programs. However, we seek your evaluation before proceeding further.

If you agree that there is no meaningful overlap, a short email expressing your judgment is all we require. If, however, you have concerns, please contact me to set up a phone call or a visit to your office.

Thank you for your consideration and time.

With respect, Paul Vincelli

---

**Paul Vincelli** 

Extension Professor and Provost's Distinguished Service Professor UK Coordinator, Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education Program (SARE) Member, Public Policy Board, American Phytopathological Society

Department of Plant Pathology
College of Agriculture, Food and Environment
University of Kentucky
<a href="mailto:pvincell@uky.edu">pvincell@uky.edu</a>
Phone 859-218-0722

Website: <a href="http://plantpathology.ca.uky.edu/person/paul-vincelli">http://plantpathology.ca.uky.edu/person/paul-vincelli</a>

Twitter: @pvincell

C: Professor Larry Grabau Associate Dean for Instruction College of Food, Agriculture and Environment



October 16, 2018

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is in support of the proposed new online Masters in Science Translation and Outreach (STO) in the College of Food, Agriculture and Environment. Based on the proposed curriculum, the program should provide new and important opportunities for students and we encourage approval of the program. Please feel free to call me at 859-323-5413 or email me at <a href="mailto:ron.zimmer@uky.edu">ron.zimmer@uky.edu</a> if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Ron Zimmer

Director of Martin School of Public Policy and Administration



#### **University of Kentucky**

# College of Agriculture, Food and Environment

Department of Agricultural Economics

400 Charles E. Barnhart Bldg. Lexington, KY 40546 P: 859-257-5762 F: 859-323-1913 www.uky.edu

December 8, 2018

MEMORANDUM

TO: Paul Vincelli, Extension Professor

Department of Plant Pathology

FROM: Barry Barnett, Chair

Department of Agricultural Economics

RE: Department of Agricultural Economics Support for STO Program

This memorandum is to convey that at our Departmental faculty meeting of December 7, 2018, the Department of Agricultural Economics faculty voted unanimously in support of the following motion.

The Department of Agricultural Economics supports the development of a College of Agriculture, Food and Environment Master of Science Program in Science Translation and Outreach (STO). The Department also supports the enrollment of STO students (space permitting) in any online Agricultural Economics graduate courses (for which the students have appropriate prerequisite coursework) as electives for the STO program.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

From: Coffey, Richard

Sent: Monday, December 3, 2018 1:22 PM

**To:** Grabau, Larry; Vincelli, Paul

**Subject:** Vote of Support for College's STO Online MS Program

#### Larry/Paul:

Based on your recent request, I asked the AFS faculty to vote via email to express their support (or lack of support) for the college's proposed STO online MS program. A substantial majority (86%) have expressed their support of this program. Based on their vote, AFS supports this important program.

Richard D. Coffey

Dr. Richard Coffey, Chair
Department of Animal and Food Sciences
College of Agriculture, Food and Environment
University of Kentucky
907 W.P. Garrigus Building
Lexington, KY 40546-0215
Phone: (850) 257, 2686

Phone: (859) 257-2686 Fax: (859) 257-2534

Email: Richard.Coffey@uky.edu

From: Harrison Jr., Robert

Sent: Monday, November 19, 2018 3:53 PM

To: Vincelli, Paul

**Cc:** Grabau, Larry; Jones, Kenneth

**Subject:** Approval of CLD 665 as a cross listed with STO 601

#### Paul:

Just thought I'd let you know that the CLD faculty voted to approve the cross listing of CLD 665 with STO 601. I've approved the proposal via curriculog.

Best, Wes

-----

R. Wes Harrison Professor and Chair Dept. of Community and Leadership Development College of Agriculture, Food and Environment University of Kentucky Lexington KY

E-mail: wes.harrison@uky.edu

Tel: 859-257-7586 Fax:859-257-1164

Mailing Address:

R. Wes Harrison Community and Leadership Development 509 Garrigus Bldg Lexington KY, 40546-0215



TO: Dr. Paul Vincelli

FROM: Michael Montross Much

DATE: December 5, 2018

SUBJECT: Support of online STO MS program

The Biosystems and Agricultural Engineering Department of would like to express support for the development and delivery of an online Science Translation and Outreach MS program. We believe this program would be a valuable option for professionals looking to further their education.

The faculty voted unanimously by email to support the program. As a Department, we envision offering elective courses for the program. We look forward to the continued development of the program.

Please contact me if you need additional information.

From: Palli, Subba

Sent: Tuesday, December 4, 2018 9:20 AM

**To:** Vincelli, Paul; Grabau, Larry

**Subject:** RE: Support for STO

Hi Paul,

At our faculty meeting on November 26<sup>th</sup>, Entomology faculty unanimously approved a motion to support STO program.

Best Regards,

Reddy

**From:** Vincelli, Paul <paul.vincelli@uky.edu> **Sent:** Tuesday, December 04, 2018 9:07 AM **To:** Grabau, Larry <larry.grabau@uky.edu>

**Cc:** Palli, Subba < rpalli@uky.edu> **Subject:** Re: Support for STO

Reddy, I do recall this email. I must have misplaced it. My apologies.

This email will serve to go forward though the Senate may require a formal faculty vote with your email offered as a motion. Can you do that in a future faculty meeting so we have that at the ready?

Again, my apologies for misplacing your email.

Paul Vincelli via iPhone

On Dec 4, 2018, at 8:58 AM, Grabau, Larry < <a href="mailto:larry.grabau@uky.edu">larry.grabau@uky.edu</a> wrote:

Reddy—I have copied Paul so that he can provide further insight.

With respect, Larry G.

From: Palli, Subba < rpalli@uky.edu>

**Sent:** Tuesday, December 4, 2018 8:52 AM **To:** Grabau, Larry <a href="mailto:larry.grabau@uky.edu">larry.grabau@uky.edu</a>

Subject: FW: Support for STO

Good morning Larry,

I sent this support email to Paul on October 29<sup>th</sup>. Is this sufficient? Entomology Faculty expressed unanimous support for this program.

Best Regards, Reddy

From: Palli, Subba

Sent: Monday, October 29, 2018 9:25 AM

Cc: Grabau, Larry < <a href="mailto:larry.grabau@uky.edu">!arry.grabau@uky.edu">!arry.grabau@uky.edu</a> ; Rittschof, Clare < <a href="mailto:clare.rittschof@uky.edu">clare.rittschof@uky.edu</a> ;

**Subject:** Support for STO

Dear Dr. Vincelli,

I am writing to express my enthusiastic support for the online M.S. program in Science Translation and Outreach in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment. Entomology faculty will be happy to assist in the implementation of this program including developing online courses such as 1. Forest Entomology (Rieske-Kinney), 2. Medical Entomology (Syed), 3. Agroecology (Gonthier), 4. Identification of pests and disease vectors (Dupuis), 5. Integrated Pest Management (Obrycki) and 6. Physiology and Toxicology of Insecticides (Palli) as needed by the program. We are proposing to add an online version of Entomology Plan B Masters program; some of the courses taught for this program could be listed as electives for STO.

Good luck in establishing this exciting program, Best Regards, Reddy

<image002.png>Subba Reddy Palli
University Research Professor and Chair
Department of Entomology
College of Agriculture, Food and Environment
S225 Ag. Science N. University of Kentucky
Lexington, KY 40546

Phone: 859 257 4962 Fax: 859 323 1120



# **University of Kentucky** College of Agriculture, Food,

and Environment

Family Sciences Department Room # 315 Funkhouser Building

> Lexington, KY 40506 P: 859-257-7750 F: 859-257-3212 www.uky.edu

# Memo

To: Larry Grabau Paul Vincelli

From: Ronald Jay Werner-Wilson

Family Sciences Department Chair

**Date:** 12/12/18

RE: Faculty Support for M.S. in Science Translation and Outreach (STO)

On behalf of faculty in the Family Sciences Department, I am writing to express enthusiastic support for the proposed M.S. in Science Translation and Outreach (STO) program. Faculty reviewed materials provided by Dr. Vincelli and voted to unanimously support the program.





Jeffrey W. Stringer, Ph.D., Chair
Department of Forestry and Natural Resources
105 T.P. Cooper Building
University of Kentucky
Lexington, KY 40546-0073
P: 859-257-7596

#### Memorandum

**To:** Larry Grabau, Associate Dean for Instruction

Paul Vincelli, Professor, and Academic Program Contact - proposed M.S. in Science

Translation and Outreach (STO) program

From: Jeff Stringer, Chair, Department of Forestry and Natural Resources

Subject: support for M. S. in Science Translation and Outreach (STO) program

**Date:** 11/26/2018

This memorandum provides information on both interest in, and support for, the proposed Master of Science in Science Translation and Outreach (STO) program. The Department of Forestry and Natural Resource faculty voted unanimously, in our October meeting, in support of the STO program. We believe and agree with the programs principals and that the STO program addresses a current need in our state. Further, we believe that there may be opportunities for FNR faculty and staff to provide elective courses for the program.

Several of our Extension faculty and associates see opportunities to provide online courses in their focus areas, including youth education and wildlife/human interactions. They believe the subjects would fit into the STO curriculum and be of benefit and interest to STO students. These courses would also help our Extension effort and interactions with agents and other agriculture educators. If the project is funded our faculty/staff would necessarily require discussions with the projects principals before commitments could be finalized.



# College of Agriculture, Food and Environement

Mark A. Williams, Ph.D.,
Professor and Interim Chair
DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE
N-318-F Agricultural Science Building-North
Lexington, Kentucky 40546-0091
Office: (859) 257-1758 Fax: (859) 257-2859
e-mail: mark.williams@uky.edu

January 3, 2019

Dr. Paul Vincelli UK Extension Professor and Provost's Distinguished Service Professor UK Coordinator, Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education Program (SARE) Member, Public Policy Board, American Phytopathological Society

Dear Dr. Vincelli,

This letter is intended to express the support of the Department of Horticulture for development of a College of Agriculture, Food and Environment Master of Science Program in Science Translation and Outreach (STO). A vote was taken via email by all faculty members of our department on December 11<sup>th</sup>, and there was unanimous support for the program. Our department is therefore supportive of enrollment (space permitting) of STO students in future online Horticulture graduate courses (space permitting and assuming adequate academic background), which could serve as electives for STO students.

Based on my interactions with Extension Agents and Stakeholders around our state, the development of this program is highly justified, and our department would be glad to contribute however we are needed. I fully expect the STO program to be successful and impactful at multiple levels. Thanks for your efforts.

Sincerely,

Mark Williams

Mark Williams

From: Mullins, Janet

Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2018 1:02 PM

To: Vincelli, Paul Cc: Grabau, Larry

**Subject:** RE: Formal Letters of Support, Online Science Translation and Outreach MS program

Paul,

Our faculty voted unanimously (11 faculty members) today to endorse the STO online MS.

Please let me know if you need anything further from us.

#### Kind Regards - Janet



## Janet Tietyen Mullins, PhD, RDN

Professor & Chair University of Kentucky Dietetics & Human Nutrition 203 Funkhouser Building Lexington, KY 40506 859-218-2798

janet.mullins@uky.edu

From: Grabau, Larry

Sent: Monday, November 26, 2018 5:56 AM

**To:** Barnett, Barry <Barry.Barnett@uky.edu>; Coffey, Richard <rcoffey@uky.edu>; Montross, Michael <michael.montross@uky.edu>; Harrison Jr., Robert <wes.harrison@uky.edu>; Palli, Subba <rpalli@uky.edu>; Werner-Wilson, Ronald <ronald.werner-wilson@uky.edu>; Stringer, Jeffrey <jeffrey.stringer@uky.edu>; Mullins, Janet <janet.mullins@uky.edu>; McCulley, Rebecca <rebecca.mcculley@uky.edu>; Schardl, Christopher <chris.schardl@uky.edu>; Jackson, Vanessa <vanessa.jackson@uky.edu>; Horohov, David <dwhoro2@uky.edu>; Young, Jeffery.young@uky.edu>; Palmer, Gary <gpalmer@uky.edu>

**Cc:** Vincelli, Paul <paul.vincelli@uky.edu>; Hunter, Jennifer <jhunter@uky.edu>; Mains, Mark <mmains@uky.edu>; Dillon, Carl <carl.dillon@uky.edu>; Brown, Roger <rogerbrown@uky.edu>

Subject: Formal Letters of Support, Online Science Translation and Outreach MS program

Selected Department Chairs, CAFE—

The combined faculty leadership of the proposed Science and Translation Outreach MS, have asked me to reach out to you with a request for your endorsement of their proposal. Specifically, documentation of that endorsement would constitute a vote of your faculty (an e-mail vote is okay if that's okay with your faculty) along with your own support. This could be in the form of memorandum or an email; please address to Paul Vincelli, faculty director of this project (you may copy me if you wish). We would like to have your responses within one week, if at all possible (that is, by COB on December 3).

Just to review that current status of the program:

- This program should provide opportunities for extension staff to work toward an MS program no matter where they live in Kentucky.
- The faculty anticipate some level of interest from beyond Kentucky's borders.
- Two embedded Graduate Certificates (in Family and Consumer Sciences and Positive Youth Development) are likely to create synergies for a number of interested FCS and 4-H agents (and other interested persons).
- Creation of elective courses in support of this online STO program is expected to create opportunities for your faculty to develop and deliver online courses in your graduate programs, conceivably resulting in expansion of graduate programs to your departments.
- The funding model is now firm, with the Dean's investment and the Provost's investment secure (the most recent iteration of the business plan is attached).
- Both the STO faculty and the College's Graduate Curriculum Committee have fully approved all three programs described above, along with 12 online courses which support them.
- This program proposal is in good shape to move through the approval channels for fall 2019 delivery.

Once again, the STO faculty is asking for you to request the endorsement of your faculty, and to share those formal results with Paul Vincelli by COB on Monday, December 3, if at all possible.

By the way, my next message with include the "boatload" of materials about the programs and courses, should any of you or your faculty wish to examine those details.

With respect, Larry G.

C: STO Leadership Team

## **Larry Grabau**

**Associate Dean for Instruction** 

**E**: <u>larry.grabau@uky.edu</u> **T**: 859-257-3469 **W**: <u>www.uky.edu/grow</u> **F**: 859-257-5212

O: N6 Agricultural Science Center

S: 1100 South Limestone Lexington, KY 40546-0091

University of Kentucky College of Agriculture, Food and Environment Center for Student Success

**From:** Schardl, Christopher

Sent: Tuesday, December 4, 2018 11:58 AM

To: Vincelli, Paul

**Subject:** Plant Pathology support for the proposed online M.S. in Science Translation and

Outreach (STO)

**Importance:** High

#### Dear Paul

The faculty of Plant Pathology met yesterday and voted unanimously in support of the proposed online M.S. in Science Translation and Outreach (STO), and also in support of enrollment (space permitting) of STO students in online graduate PPA courses, which could serve as electives for the STO program. Examples of elective options from the PPA Department are listed below. Others may be created by our faculty in the future.

#### Online Elective Options for STO Students

PPA 620 3 cr Fungicides, Advanced Concepts

PPA 630
 PPA 631
 Introduction to Genetically Engineered Crops, Risks and Benefits I
 Introduction to Genetically Engineered Crops, Risks and Benefits II

#### Best regards,

Chris

Christopher L. Schardl, chair Department of Plant Pathology 201F Plant Sciences Bldg.

1405 Veterans Drive ph:1-859-218-0730

University of Kentucky fx:1-859-323-1961
Lexington, KY 40546-0312 SCHARDL@UKY.EDU
http://www.ca.uky.edu/agcollege/plantpathology/index.html

http://www.endophyte.uky.edu/

From: McCulley, Rebecca

Sent: Monday, December 3, 2018 9:42 AM

**To:** Grabau, Larry; Vincelli, Paul

**Subject:** RE: Formal Letters of Support, Online Science Translation and Outreach MS program

#### Hello You Two -

I polled my faculty, asking them to respond 'yes' or 'no' as to whether they support the proposed STO MS program. The email vote request went out last Monday (Nov 26), and they had until now to get back to me. Out of the 42 active faculty members included on the email, 13 responded and all responses were 'yes'. I received zero 'no' votes. So ~30% voter turnout – not fantastic, but it is difficult to get them to engage via email.

I think if any of my faculty were strongly opposed I would have heard it. Since I did not, I think you have the support of PSS faculty. Let me know if you need more.

#### Rebecca

From: Grabau, Larry

Sent: Monday, November 26, 2018 5:56 AM

To: Barnett, Barry <Barry.Barnett@uky.edu>; Coffey, Richard <rcoffey@uky.edu>; Montross, Michael <michael.montross@uky.edu>; Harrison Jr., Robert <wes.harrison@uky.edu>; Palli, Subba <rpalli@uky.edu>; Werner-Wilson, Ronald <ronald.werner-wilson@uky.edu>; Stringer, Jeffrey <jeffrey.stringer@uky.edu>; Mullins, Janet <janet.mullins@uky.edu>; McCulley, Rebecca <rebecca.mcculley@uky.edu>; Schardl, Christopher <chris.schardl@uky.edu>; Jackson, Vanessa <vanessa.jackson@uky.edu>; Horohov, David <dwhoro2@uky.edu>; Young, Jeffery.young@uky.edu>; Palmer, Gary <gpalmer@uky.edu>

**Cc:** Vincelli, Paul <paul.vincelli@uky.edu>; Hunter, Jennifer <jhunter@uky.edu>; Mains, Mark <mmains@uky.edu>; Dillon, Carl <carl.dillon@uky.edu>; Brown, Roger <rogerbrown@uky.edu>

Subject: Formal Letters of Support, Online Science Translation and Outreach MS program

#### Selected Department Chairs, CAFE—

The combined faculty leadership of the proposed Science and Translation Outreach MS, have asked me to reach out to you with a request for your endorsement of their proposal. Specifically, documentation of that endorsement would constitute a vote of your faculty (an e-mail vote is okay if that's okay with your faculty) along with your own support. This could be in the form of memorandum or an email; please address to Paul Vincelli, faculty director of this project (you may copy me if you wish). We would like to have your responses within one week, if at all possible (that is, by COB on December 3).

Just to review that current status of the program:

- This program should provide opportunities for extension staff to work toward an MS program no matter where they live in Kentucky.
- The faculty anticipate some level of interest from beyond Kentucky's borders.
- Two embedded Graduate Certificates (in Family and Consumer Sciences and Positive Youth Development) are likely to create synergies for a number of interested FCS and 4-H agents (and other interested persons).
- Creation of elective courses in support of this online STO program is expected to create opportunities for your faculty to develop and deliver online courses in your graduate programs, conceivably resulting in expansion of graduate programs to your departments.

- The funding model is now firm, with the Dean's investment and the Provost's investment secure (the most recent iteration of the business plan is attached).
- Both the STO faculty and the College's Graduate Curriculum Committee have fully approved all three programs described above, along with 12 online courses which support them.
- This program proposal is in good shape to move through the approval channels for fall 2019 delivery.

Once again, the STO faculty is asking for you to request the endorsement of your faculty, and to share those formal results with Paul Vincelli by COB on Monday, December 3, if at all possible.

By the way, my next message with include the "boatload" of materials about the programs and courses, should any of you or your faculty wish to examine those details.

With respect, Larry G.

C: STO Leadership Team

# **Larry Grabau**

**Associate Dean for Instruction** 

**E**: <u>larry.grabau@uky.edu</u> **T**: 859-257-3469 **W**: <u>www.uky.edu/grow</u> **F**: 859-257-5212

O: N6 Agricultural Science Center

S: 1100 South Limestone Lexington, KY 40546-0091

University of Kentucky College of Agriculture, Food and Environment Center for Student Success



November 30, 2018

College of Agriculture,
Food and Environment
Retailing and Tourism Management
318 Erikson Hall
Lexington, KY 40506-0050
859 257-4917
fax 859 257-1275
www.uky.edu

Paul Vincelli
Extension Professor and Provost's Distinguished Service Professor
Department of Plant Pathology
College of Agriculture, Food and Environment
University of Kentucky
pvincell@uky.edu
Phone 859-218-0722

Website: <a href="http://plantpathology.ca.uky.edu/person/paul-vincelli">http://plantpathology.ca.uky.edu/person/paul-vincelli</a>

Twitter: @pvincell

Dr. Vincelli:

Our faculty in the Department of Retailing & Tourism Management voted by e-mail and unanimously approved (10 of 10) to endorse STO (Science Translation and Outreach) program and allow STO students to enroll in online graduate courses offered by our department, subject to our enrollment limits. These classes include:

HMT 570 Event Planning and Coordination (3 cr) Available online MAT 514 Retail Entrepreneurship (3 cr) Available online MAT 570 Electronic Retailing (E-Tailing) (3 cr) Available online RTM 772 Seminar in Retailing and Tourism Management (3 cr) Available online

I also endorse the new program and the enrollment of STO students in our online courses listed above.

Sincerely,

Vanessa P. Jackson, Professor

Chair, Retailing & Tourism Management

University of Kentucky

317 Erikson Hall

Lexington, KY 40506-0050



February 14, 2019

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO: Senate Council

RE: Administrative Feasibility of Three New Online Programs from CAFE—A New Online MS in

Science Translation and Outreach (STO) and Two New, Embedded Online Graduate

Certificates (GC) in Family and Consumer Sciences (FCS) and Positive Youth Development

(PYD)

FROM: Dean Nancy M. Cox, College of Agriculture, Food and Environment (CAFE)

The Science and Translation Outreach (STO) Faculty of Record have worked together since February of 2018 to build an online MS degree in STO as well as a pair of online graduate certificates (one in FCS, and another in PYD). Both of these online graduate certificates were conceptually developed as part of the process of development of the STO MS program; notably, the STO MS Faculty of Record was not only their engine of innovative initiative but also remains their basis of administrative support. In addition, CAFE has committed financial resources toward the start-up of the overall STO MS program and both component graduate certificates, as well as structural support in terms of staff and administrative support. The Center for Student Success has been selected as the appropriate home for these three interconnected programmatic initiatives, since they represent a college-wide activity, and such multidisciplinary programs are routinely and effectively managed in this office, under appropriate faculty oversight.

In these three cases, Paul Vincelli will serve as Director of Graduate Studies for the STO MS, Jennifer Hunter as the Faculty Director for the FCS GC, and Mark Mains as the Faculty Director for the PYD GC. Documents listing the overall STO Faculty of Record were provided with each of the three proposals.

Sincerely,

Nancy M. Cox

Maney M. Cox

Dean, University of Kentucky College of Agriculture, Food and Environment ENVIRONMENT Built Socioeconomic Biophysical

#### Resources

Qualified instructors
Faculty advisors
Administrative funding
Tuition sharing
Library resources
Computing infrastructure
Meeting space
IT/pedagogical training

SYSTEMS
Civil society
Private sector
Government

