

Senate Committee on Distance Learning and e-Learning

Roger Brown, Chair

November 20, 2017

ANNOUNCEMENT

Intellectual Property. SACS requires that “Institution’s policies are clear concerning ownership of materials...” (see [here](#), section 3.2.14 at top of page 48). The SCDLeL unanimously affirmed again at its October 5, 2017 meeting that “there remains a lack of clarity in UK’s current IP policies (AR 7:6) and that clarity is needed.” This is the same message that our committee has been sending to SC and UK’s Office of Legal Counsel since 2012 (see [here](#) and [here](#) and [here](#)). In 2016, the SCDLeL proposed that UK’s Office of Legal Counsel clarify that DL products that faculty independently create qualify as traditional course materials in the context of AR 7:6, but we got no response. Our last appeal was one year ago at the October 17, 2016 SC meeting (see [here](#) and [here](#)). IP policies at other universities are clearer (e.g., see [here](#)).