UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY SENATE COUNCIL MEETING

* * * * *

MAY 2, 2022

* * * * *

AARON CRAMER, CHAIR

DESHANA COLLETT, VICE CHAIR

SHEILA BROTHERS, ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR

KATIE SILVER, STAFF ASSISTANT

* * * * *

1 4

2.5

2 CRAMER:

* * * * * * * * *

Good afternoon, everyone. Welcome to the May 2nd University Senate Meeting.

To prepare yourself for voting, make sure if you're voting via text, text yousenate789 to 22333 to join the session. If you're voting by text, you won't see the motion language, but your text vote will automatically apply to the current question or vote. I think right now there's a motion up that you could test to make sure your voting is working. And you text one, two, or three as needed to vote on the motions.

If you're voting via the app, make sure you've opened it, and if you're not already logged in, go ahead and log in, and then join the meeting by clicking the home icon. Join the presentation by entering yousenate789 and responding to the questions or votes as they appear on your screen.

If you're voting via the web, navigate to the URL shown here at pollev.com/yousenate789.

Again, if you're not already logged in, log into your Poll Everywhere account, click the home icon and join presentation by entering

_

2 4

yousenate789.

All right. In terms of attendance, attendance is captured via Zoom report for the meeting. Chats will be received by office personnel. Office staff can also help put motion language into the chat when appropriate or helpful.

Remember to mute yourself when not speaking, although Katie is empowered to mute others as needed during the meeting.

There's a friendly reminder that Senate

Council suggested asking senators to turn on

cameras if possible especially while

speaking. There's a recent revision of the

state's open meetings laws that will actually

require that from members of the deliberative

bodies, so start to get in the habit of that

in such meetings

If you're attending via phone and using the speakerphone to talk, it can be quite difficult for others to hear you. So please make sure to hold the phone to your ear or use ear buds or a headset as appropriate to make sure that we're able to hear you, if you're joining the meeting via phone.

3

5

6

/

8

9

10

11

12

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 4

2.5

The meeting is being recorded for note taking purposes. If any member of the Senate is disconnected and cannot reconnect at all, please send an email to Sheila, so we're aware.

Senate meetings are open meetings. We follow Robert's Rules of Order Newly Revised. voting by proxy. If you're not the member, you cannot vote. Be civil, direct debate to the chair, not to each other. Be a good citizen, which means communicating about the activities of the Senate with your constituents and also taking the concerns that your constituents, so that you could reflect them in the Senate. And participate. If you don't know what we're voting on, please ask. If you -- make sure you understand what the body is doing. Currently Zoom participants are divided into two categories: Panelists and attendees. Both can participate in the meeting. Voting senators and certain invited guests or panelists. Panelists will receive a unique link via email with Zoom information. Non-voting senators and guests are attendees.

/

2.5

Attendees get the Zoom link from the Senate site and typically aren't voting members of the body.

To speak for any reason or otherwise be recognized including to make motions or seconds use the "raise hand" feature of Zoom. This slide's a little bit extra today.

Before speaking of course, please remember to state your name and college affiliation.

There are a lot of agenda items today and it's a three hour meeting and we still are going to have to move efficiently to get through them in our last scheduled meeting for the year.

Brevity in your comments or questions or any responses you make to questions will be highly appreciated. Please try to keep your remarks to a minute or two at most. I'm also going to be going a little stricter parliamentarily in terms of -- ensuring that we're debating motions in an effective order, that people are limiting their remarks, and they're not speaking more than twice and that people that haven't had a chance to speak will be able to speak before people that

have.

The first item on the agenda are the minutes from April 11th, no edits were received to these minutes. So unless objections are heard now, the minutes from April 11th will stand approved as distributed by a unanimous consent. All right. Those minutes are

approved.

In terms of announcements, Ombud Turkington's reappointment for a second one-year term has been finalized, so we're grateful for Alice Turkington's hard work in that role and her willingness to perform it for another year. Expect an email this week about course purge. Recall that there was a start at this, but then there were some problems in the data that was provided, and so we'll be sending an email out to senators and department chair soon about a course purge. Department chairs are being asked to email Sheila to indicate which courses should be retained or all the ones in the purge list should -- can be purged upon notification by the Senate Council office, or the central -- the registrar will end -- date the course in UK

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter An/Dor Reporting & Video Technologies, Inc.

1

3

5

6

,

8

9

10

12

13

1 4

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 4

BROWN:

systems.

There'll be a very brief window to make any changes to the purge list after submitting to the Senate Council's office. So please make sure that these are checked carefully or make sure they're check carefully in your units before submitting to the Senate Council office. Look for an email about that this week.

First -- I think first time that we're notifying you of the deadlines for Senate proposals for next year, if you're seeking a fall 2023. Effective date proposals for these various items are due in the Senate Council office by December 1st, March 1st, or April 12th to have a reasonable opportunity for those items to be considered in time for a fall effective date.

Roger, are you on, are you able to make a quick report about the Faculty Trustee election?

Yep. Thank you. This is Roger, College of
Agriculture and Chair of the Senate Rules and
Elections -- Election Subcommittee. The
Faculty Trustee election concluded last week.

1 I want to thank all of the five candidates who were willing to participate and run as candidates in that election. 3 Last week, Aaron Cramer was identified as the winner of the election and there was 5 6 meaningfully increase in the number -- in the 7 voter participation, 66% turnout, and I think there's another slide that might be there with some data on individual voter 9 10 participation in the colleges. And you can 11 see historically going back to the past 10 12 Faculty Trustee elections on the right, and see that with 66% voter turnout, that's a 13 1 4 meaningful increase in voter participation. 15 Thank you. All right, Roger. Thank you. 16 CRAMER: 17 I do see a question. It's the College of Communication and Information not listed on 18 19 the slide we'll have to -- oh, no, it's 20 listed under the wrong name as the third item 21 in the list. 22 BROWN: My mistake. 23 Nope, no problem. But there's CNI there on CRAMER: 24 the third item. All right. Thank you, Roger 2.5 for this. All right, moving onto the next

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 4

25

item in our agenda. The Chair of the
University Senate President Eli Capilouto.
Dr. Capilouto, are you ready?

CAPILOUTO:

Oh, yes, sir. Dr. Cramer. Well, Aaron, thank you. Congratulations on your election to the Board of Trustees and thank you for your service over the last two years. I want to thank all the members of the Senate because in a very difficult time, you all represented your constituents quite well, and you made it possible for us to ensure that our mission remained vital and possible. In reflecting back to earlier in the year, I recognize that the shadow of COVID was hanging over us when we opened in the fall. At that time, I shared my hopes that number one, we would be able to reestablish and strengthen our residential experience. I think we all know that being alone during something like this for extended periods of times, certainly wasn't healthy for us and our students that we would not simply endure this period, but that we would position ourselves to thrive.

And lastly, I hope that when history look

2

3

5

_

_

9

10

11

12

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

2 4

2.5

"Did we care that the answer would be unquestionably clear?" And that answer would be, "Yes." So the numbers I'm about to share with you are just a few, but I think they tell a compelling story. That number one, we -- and I want to emphasize "we", we care during the difficult time. And I think too that we not only endured, we prepared

ourselves to thrive.

back at us, and ask the question of us that,

So remember, while the positivity rates and case counts were soaring in our community, in our Commonwealth, they remained just a fraction of those on this campus, and within this campus community. Our higher vaccination rates of over 90%, protected our community in making it perhaps as I like to say, the safest 770 acres in Kentucky. But it took the comprehensive testing, tracing, screening, isolation, orienting protocols through the infrastructure we built and executed by health in the cooperation of all of us to keep this community safe, and keep those case counts and positivity rates quite low. So what did all that mean?

2.5

To me the most positive outcome one can have in an educational enterprise is the students that complete. And last Friday, our Board of Trustees based upon your recommendation, conferred more than 5,000 -- are exactly 5,346 degrees, upon our students. That's up a couple of hundred from last May, and it's very close to our pre COVID measures of 2019. Our early data from the class that will be entering in the fall, indicates that it is quite strong, both in numbers, quality, diversity, and the commitment that Kentuckians are making to make our university the first choice when it comes to their college education.

A retention in graduation rates continue their ascent. And our efforts to eradicate debt and decrease that unmet financial need, you've heard about our LEADS program, is attracting more and more, in fact millions of dollars, in philanthropy and is garnering much national attention as the ideal way to reduce that debt and increase student success.

The quality enhancement plan that we have

./

1 4

2 4

floated across our campus and based on lots of feedback, I think is going to permit us to imaginatively extend what we already do quite well in research in picking vans and taking advantage of our multiple disciplines at the University of Kentucky, but advance this transdisciplinary approach in teaching education in our pedagogy. That too has an enormous flipside.

Some more numbers, a research award and contracts are running ahead of our record number of \$470 million last year. I know this is only one way to measure scholarship and it lends itself to these kinds of tracking over time. But more important than the numbers are where we put our dollars and where we decide to address what we think are our research priority areas.

I'll mention just one. Project UNITE. This was birthed in the summer of 2020, around the deaths of Breonna Taylor, George Floyd, and Ahmaud Arbery. At that time, our campus had about \$8 million of research. Our extramural support in these areas of health disparities and social justice.

3

4

5

6

./

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 4

25

Dr. Danelle Stevens-Watkins who was leading this effort, our Project UNITE RPA reported to our Board of Trustees on Friday. That that research this year is already total some \$32 million. And we've established recurring financial commitment to our Commonwealth Institute of Black Studies. Our DEI efforts continue under the leadership of Dr. Albert, we have numerous projects. One of the first ones where we dedicated much attention, and it takes the entire campus to kind of move these numbers, was that our supplier diversity, how we can make it easier for the campus when they choose amongst suppliers to make purchases on that respect the diversity that we hope to see out there in the supply chain.

We were recently recognized nationally for our success in those areas, in our UK healthcare system. Keep in mind at the last peak of these COVID cases, some 15% of our hospital beds were occupied people battling this virus. That is a tremendous number. The very sickest turned to the University of Kentucky for care. And so many of us

Δ

./

2 4

participated in those 250,000 shots of healing and hope that were administered at Kroger Field. The volunteers that stepped forward from nearly all of our colleges to me was a strong, strong indication of how much we care.

Last week in our board meeting, Dr. Mark

Evers made a short presentation about our

cancer center, which is going for

comprehensive cancer center. It would be one

of only 50 such in the country, it would

provide more options for care to Kentuckians

than ever before. He shared that now over

50% of all new cases of cancer are diagnosed

or treated at the Markey Cancer Center or at

one of our affiliates.

So if you ask that question, "Did we thrive?"

Or you asked that question, "Did we care?" I

think all of us could provide a resounding

"yes". And I am so grateful and so proud of

all of you and this entire campus for

stepping forward in what I think is the most

challenging time in nearly a century. And to

be sure you can tell from what I've just

shared, our appreciate data.

But more important to me, and what moved me equally are the stories that I got to witness those that you tell me from across our campus that I think represent and reveal how and why we care. So I could go on and on. This is what I talked to donors about. This is what I've talked to potential new students and their family, but I'm going to share just a few.

So a couple of months ago, I had the honor and privilege of attending a production. It was Herman Farrell's, Black Lives Matter:

1619 to Now. It was a powerful documentary drama where students who are terrific actors by the way, talked about this movement and taught lessons in imaginative ways, which is what we must do to advance our efforts in social justice.

That was booked in just last week, by a powerful presentation of a writer and thinker, Heather McGee, her recent book, The Sum of Us, I think vividly, vividly details the profound cost of racism in our country. You know, she uses the metaphor of a pool draining, in a community in the South that

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 4

25

chose to do that and close its recreational field facilities rather than de-segregate.

The consequence, not only were blacks denied those facilities, but more and more white soar. This is an example of the cost and she reminded us today that there are modern pool drainers.

I was deeply moved by a UKNow story last week, it featured Dr. Janet Law, an electrical engineer in our college. focuses on getting students across the finish line. When I communicated to her via email, and she said she was really inspired by her parents who were first-generation students, and that she and her siblings are halfway through educating that next generation. She says she likes to meet students where they are, and she especially focuses on female engineers where our couch remained too low. And just a week or two ago when I was walking home from my office to our residents at Maxwell place, I was approached by a student whose behavior was alarmingly odd and in some ways frightening. I called Dr. Kirsten Turner our Vice-President for Student

24

2.5

Success, and as I know that she has a group that's set up for support and intervention, that I hope monitored situations like this. And thanks to all of you early alerts provided by faculty and advisors, we had assembled the support around that student, and we're able to take even further steps to ensure his safety and well-being. A couple of weeks ago, I attended the RX Summit in Atlanta, Georgia. Our own Congressman Hal Rogers started this event probably a decade ago. People at that event, senators from all over the country, refer to him as the Paul Revere of the Opioid Crisis. That he alerted the entire country, and we should have been listening more closely. That first year -- first meeting attracted 100, 200 attendees. Now it is in the thousands. And I was most proud when I attended one of the sessions that was led by Sharon Walsh, the Principal Investigator on our HEALing Communities grant, that proposal took 20 faculty and dozens of staff from non-different colleges. The first wave of

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter An/Dor Reporting & Video Technologies, Inc.

that intervention was just completed. We're

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 4

25

trying to develop a playbook for communities to use, to combat this scourge. And every community is a little different, and they hear her panelist, our faculty, their partners in community who are creatively devising ways to turn this scourge around was heartwarming and moving.

A few weeks ago, I was in Western Kentucky with Vice-President and Dean Nancy Cox shortly after those devastating storms and tornadoes. And so much it was underway. Many of you know that beginning in 1920, we have a Princeton station research firm. It was nearly flattened. I saw volunteers from a farm and elsewhere walking through those fields. And the only way you can pick up those small debris is by hand, so they could clean those fields and get that research up and started again. Among the many people I met, are a group of soybean farmers who shared with me, if it were not for the research conducted at that station, their families would have been bankrupt generations ago. So because of our policy makers in Frankfort recognizing how important that is,

24

2.5

there is money in the budget to rebuild that facility. So I hope you can tell from these powerful stories that we are thriving, there is more that we can do, and because of what we do together, the budget that was just passed in Frankfort is historic in many ways. So because of a state investments, the operating side of those budgets come through us through a performance funding model. That's the metrics that are common to all the public universities in Kentucky, and so they focus on student success, our success in the stem health fields, our success in graduating underrepresented minorities in workforce development. And the preliminary numbers that were shared with the university presidents a couple of weeks ago, these won't be filed for a few weeks. Again, I was so proud the 11 matrics our university scored on all of these. The next closest university out thing preliminary had made it on seven, many were in the three to four category. That again, takes all of us. So we'll see what those monies represent in the next few weeks.

24

2.5

We're also going to be eligible for up to \$40 million in Bucks for Brains, so that we can recruit and retain outstanding faculty. Some \$250 million was made available in bonds that the state will pay. We put together a proposal that turns out to be the one, of the -- one across all universities that received the greatest support, and we'll be able to locate health education for four different colleges in that new structure. We got \$150 million in bonds. These are referred to as asset preservation monies. We would have to match these, but that's going to allow us to continue to renew the existing buildings on campus. I was pleased at our board meeting on Friday, that proposal was put forth to accept the gift of \$5.2 million from the Gray Family of Lexington, that includes former Mayor Jim Gray and now Secretary of Transportation -- for Transportation. Gray, this is going to allow us to use those asset preservation funds provides the match, so that we can revitalize

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter An/Dor Reporting & Video Technologies, Inc.

the distress Reynolds Building. We can

locate our College of Design there, it frees

3

4

5

6

,

0

9

10

11

12

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 4

25

up the space in their building, has a domino effect so that we can capitalize on those older buildings in the core of campus as some of our next steps.

So I don't think we would have been received so warmly in Frankfort, if it were not for all the ways we touch this Commonwealth, then work to advance it. So I also believe that many of the divisive issues that you've seen in states that surround us, were avoided this time, not entirely, but you probably know some of these that would be troublesome and problematic. Intrusions into tenure review, defunding of events that support our LGBTQ and other diverse or marginalized communities. Intrusions into the curriculum, prohibitions, or mandates for masks and vaccines. So it doesn't mean that we don't still face some of these matters, but I think over the past year, it demonstrated to me that policy is not made through tree tweets, or talking through newspapers, but we do have policy makers through which we can have a serious and thoughtful conversations about these contentious issues.

23

22

2.5

But I want to reiterate, I do think it's because of the service we render to the state, the trust they have in us to do the right things, that in many ways we have avoided these issues. So we took care of Kentucky I like to say, and one of our foundational principles in our strategic plan is to take care of our people, our people, our employees, and our students. And so what are some of the things we're doing as we move forward in this budget? First, we want to hold down healthcare costs. Our HMO plan for which over 40% of our employees participate will not have an increase in those annual premiums. In our PPO plan would have modest increases \$4 a month if you're individual, and \$12 a month if you're a family. Thanks to the advice of our staff Senate and others, we are providing new benefits in fertility care ways to navigate a complex system of healthcare treatment, more treatments for chronic diseases.

We're also able to double our raise pool. We have provided salary adjustments for none of

-

,

1 4

2 4

the last ten years. On average, we're able to provide in that pool \$8.6 million. We're able to increase that more than \$17 million this year, and provide flexibility to our colleges and managers, unit directors, to use those dollars in ways that address outstanding performance, retention needs, and compression.

tremendous opportunities and challenges that
I think we're up too. Our responsibilities
that we underpaid now, and that I wanted to
mention. I do hope to name a Provost and
Chief Academic Officer in the coming days.
We have several critical leadership positions
to fill, a Vice-President for Philanthropy
and Alumni Engagement, we have searches
underway for the Deans in Arts and Sciences,
Nursing in the Graduate School, and Public
Health, and we will work to fill those with
the very best candidates.

We have a tremendous task in ensuring reaccreditation with SACS that report is due in September, the site visit will be March of 2023. Our campus transformation and

3

4

5

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

2 4

CRAMER:

25

revitalization if I just outline, will be able to continue. And I remind you all, we've said and is our North star in our strategic plan, but I think you all follow it without our even saying it, and that is to advance Kentucky. So we are being asked to do more and be more. And that's because this state is never needed us more, is never trusted us more, and recognizes how uniquely we can come together to serve Kentucky. So we have a plan to guide our efforts, built within it is some flexibility we've got to adapt and adjust pivot when we need to. In closing before I open it up for questions, I want to thank you all for the honor I have of telling these stories. I do it in Frankfort, I do it with donors, I do it to -for prospective students. It reveals profoundly how much we care and how we, we can only do this all again. Thank you, Dr. Cramer. I'm going to let you manage the questions. I'm not good at that though when I have this many faces on the screen here. Thank you, Dr. Capilouto and also for

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter An/Dor Reporting & Video Technologies, Inc.

spending a few minutes with us. On

1 questions, recall as you're making your questions, try to be brief, we do have a busy 2 agenda. I don't think that the President 3 Capilouto would appreciate us not getting through our business this year on account of 5 6 our questions. Hollie? 7 SWANSON: Mr. President, could you clarify whether any of those benefits or changes in salaries would be applicable to graduate students? 9 10 CAPILOUTO: Dr. Swanson trust these ones. The stipends 11 and tuition relief for our students are 12 substantial. The stipend levels are determined at the college and department 13 level. We are going to work hard this summer 1 4 15 to do benchmark studies, to make certain that 16 we are competitive, we'll say that central 17 administration has provided incentives for 18 the last two years for departments in 19 colleges to increase stipend amounts. Most 20 took advantage of those, not all, but I think 21 all of that will be more carefully assessed 22 in the next few weeks. SWANSON: Thank you. 23 CRAMER: 24 Cagle? 2.5 CAGLE: Cagle, Arts and Sciences. Thank you,

1 President Capilouto. I just wanted to follow up on that. So that's a know about the 3 specific funding available for increases that you were talking about earlier in terms of their availability for grad students. 5 6 CAPILOUTO: No. What I'm saying is, we have provided incentives. We continue to provide incentives. We are going to carefully benchmark by program this summer, and we're 9 10 going to urge these decisions that are made 11 at the college and department level to consider all of these things. 12 CAGLE: And then I guess -- sorry. So I'm just not 13 -- want to make sure I understand. So those 1 4 15 incentives though are separate or related to, 16 for example, the \$1,000 raise that you had emailed about a few weeks ago. Is that a 17 separate pool of money, I quess, is what I'm 18 19 asking. 20 CAPILOUTO: We're holistically going to look at all of 21 that departments, and we can have another 22 time to give more information about our 23 budget. We're pushing more and more money out to the units. We certainly pushed out 24 2.5 money for the salary pool, but we pushed out

		2 /
1		considerable other dollars, for which
2		colleges and departments have flexibility.
3	CAGLE:	Okay. Thank you very much.
4	CAPILOUTO:	Thank you for the question both you and
5		Trustee Swanson.
6	CRAMER:	Roger?
7	BROWN:	Roger Brown, College of Agriculture, Food,
8		and Environment. Thank you, President
9		Capilouto. If I wanted more information,
10		just generally speaking about the raise pool
11		of the number of eligible employees, for
12		instance, the total specific amount in the
13		pool, who would I who should I ask that
1 4		those questions to?
15	CAPILOUTO:	Well, we proportionally assign those pools.
16		We said anyone who had a I think a
17		favorable performance review was eligible for
18		a thousand dollars most certainly. And I
19		will turn to Dr. Monday now, because I know
20		he's working with human resources. We have
21		tried to give this information earlier Roger
22		to give units more time to consider this, but
23		Dr. Monday, do you want to add to that?
2 4	MONDAY:	Yes, sir. Mr. President. So it's \$17
25		million Senator Brown of un-designated

6

5

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2 4

25

general funds were provided to the campus and the campus departments. That's eligible for all employees on the non-healthcare side. Healthcare operates on a different yearly cycle. So at \$17 million and recurring resources of un-designated general funds. If you're an income fund or an auxiliary fund that you would also provide funds towards that. So the amount that will go into raises and benefits for our people will be greater than 17, because that's just un-designated general funds.

CRAMER: Lee?

BLONDER: Lee Blonder, Faculty Trustee. President

Capilouto, what challenges are you

anticipating for the next academic year as

president?

CAPILOUTO: Well, fewer challenges in the last year,

Trustee Blonder, I think and hope that this

COVID cloud doesn't reappear. I do think

that our campus has demonstrated an ability

to respond to that. But I certainly would

not want to be facing all of that again. I'm

very encouraged by the preliminary numbers of

individuals who are making the University of

2

3

_

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 4

25

Kentucky their first choice for their education.

This year, while I shared incredibly positive news about what the state, and I want to applaud both the legislature and the governor, they had different ways that they wanted to fund higher education, but I think they wanted all to make an investment in higher education in the University of Kentucky. But still in terms of our educational programs and what provides the seed money for our research, and funded research, and active research, 68% of that budget still depends on tuition revenue. So I said when I arrived here 10 years ago, I thought the reality in higher educations, in many ways we'd have to earn our way forward. And I think that's still the case, but I'm very encouraged. I am encouraged that I think we will be able to uncover truth, and teach truth, and speak truth of being unhindered by some of the things that I've seen in other states.

I'll certainly worry about the global unrest.
I mean, well, I think we've all recognized

1 how connected we are. And what happens in Ukraine today or in China, quickly can make 2 it what -- make their way in, for what we do 3 in terms of education and research. So all in all I'm optimistic, but there's some 5 6 things that are out of our control. Another one you're certainly hearing about is the economy and influence. Dr. Capilouto, would you take one more 9 CRAMER: 10 question? 11 CAPILOUTO: Yes, sir. CRAMER: All right. Liz? 12 DEBSKI: Liz Debski, College of A&S. Yes, sir you 13 1 4 mentioned all of the upper administration 15 searches that are going on. Do you think 16 there's enough money set aside in the budget 17 to attract all of the very best candidates we eventually settle on? 18 Well, first of all, I am pleased with the 19 CAPILOUTO: 20 richness of the candidates who have shown 21 interest in the University of Kentucky. And 22 I think that reflects positively on all of 23 you in our entire campus. So yes, I think 24 that we do have resources to recruit and 2.5 retain top talent, not only in administrative

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

levels, I mean, they are -- there are a large handful of administrators, but it's the faculty and staff that are even more important. So I feel like we can.

CRAMER: Thank you for joining us today, Dr. Capilouto, do you want to end with a -- some

final remarks?

CAPILOUTO:

I just want to say thank you again. Saturday night I took a donor to supper and I'm trying to talk about why you should invest in the University of Kentucky. And a student who was serving another table, came over and said, "Oh, I was hoping to see you this week, I couldn't be there because I was named a Gatton scholar." And this student has two children, is working two jobs, and wants to be a physician. And she came over so grateful to all our faculty, to Mr. Gatton, who, you know, we lost just in the past couple of weeks, and for everything we do to support her in her dream. And that's what happens to

me almost everywhere I'd go. And I know it

takes everybody, so I just can't thank you

all enough, and I wish you the best for a

peaceful, reflective, calm summer. Thank

1 4

2 4

you.

CRAMER:

Thank you, Dr. Capilouto. All right, moving on in our agenda.

The next item is chair officer and other reports. Under the chair's report, recall that the Senate Rules give the Senate Council and also the chair authority to take some actions on behalf of the Senate, as long as they're reported.

Senate Council approved on behalf of the

Senate three degree lists for the Board of

Trustees to consider last week because that

meeting was happening before this meeting.

In particular, Senate Council approved on

Senate's behalf. The May, 2022 Memorial

degree lists, also the May, 2022 degree lists

including two names that had to be edited at,

especially called Senate Council meeting on

April 27th, and also the August, 2022 degree

list.

Senate Council approved a change to the medicine 2022-2023 calendar, incorrect dates were originally submitted for that calendar for Spring break, so those dates were corrected.

2 4

Also on behalf of Senate Council and Senate, the chair approved three courses for temporary Distance Learning. There were three courses in the mechanical engineering department with course proposals to add DL and curriculum -- had passed the college level, but it hadn't been forwarded on yet to Undergrad Council, so those are moving forward, but there was an administrative mishap that prevented this from going forward.

Also probably design 540, the unit plans to seek permanent DL, but they wanted to pilot an offering to see whether they actually believe that permanent DL was appropriate for the course first so extend temporary DL approval for them to run that pilot.

The Senate Rules and Elections Committee reviewed SR 5.2.5.6, which is the language on prep days and reading days in the Senate Rules, and made some changes to the way that the language is written in the Senate Rules. This is not a different policy, but the text was broken into more explicit readable sections, and so more details can be found

		Ja
1		there in the Senate Council agenda for April
2		18th, if you want to learn, but that's
3		considered a within SRs purview to make
4		clarifying edits that don't change the policy
5		in the Senate Rules.
6		All right. Is there a Vice Chairs report
7		today?
8	DEBSKI:	Sorry. Can you hear me? I was on mute. No,
9		there is no question or report today.
10	CRAMER:	You guys might not know, but DeShana's
11		sitting next to me here in the room, so it's
12		a little awkward.
13		All right. Clayton, do you have a
1 4		Parliamentarian's report today?
15	THYNE:	No, sir.
16	CRAMER:	All right. Trustees Lee Blonder and Hollie
17		Swanson, do you have a report for us today?
18	SWANSON:	Just a few words. First I think the
19		president shared with you most of what we
20		approved last week. Just a couple of things
21		that I would like to add though. One thing I
22		was really pleased that the board did as we
23		joined the group with Heather McGee's talk
2 4		last Thursday, we sat in the second row, and
2 5		for me it made such a terrific statement and

1 she actually acknowledged that this is the first time she had been to a university where 2 the board had attended her talk. So that was 3 -- that was -- to me, it was just a wonderful 5 event. 6 And then the other thing I wanted to share 7 with you is I wanted to share my appreciation 8 of my work with Lee Blonder, Trustee Blonder. She's just been an invaluable colleague. She 9 10 has so much wisdom and I will certainly miss 11 her. BLONDER: Thank you so much, Hollie. And I want to 12 thank all of you who supported me for the 13 1 4 last six years in being your Faculty Trustee, 15 it's really been an honor and a privilege, 16 and I couldn't be happier that Aaron was 17 elected as our new Faculty Trustee, so thank 18 you. 19 CRAMER: Thank you, Hollie and Lee, you've left big 20 shoes to fill Lee, so I'll certainly do my 21 best. 22 All right. The next item on the agenda is an 23 honorary degree recipient. Recall that when 24 the Senate votes on degree list or degree 2.5 recipients per Kentucky Law, and also the

2

PETERSON:

4

5

_

,

Ŭ

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 4

25

Senate Rules only elected faculty senators vote on such items. Martha, are you ready? I am. So, thank you. This comes to you from the University Joint Committee on Honorary Degrees, which met April 8th to consider the nominee that I'll be presenting today. you can see here the makeup of this committee, broad-based representing both university and Senate nominees. So can I have the next slide, please? And in honorary degrees, university accomplishes several purposes. It pays tribute to those whose life and work exemplify professional, intellectual, or artistic achievement. It recognizes and appreciates those who have made significant contributions to society, the state, and the university, and that highlights the diverse ways in which such contributions can be made. It sends a message that principles, values, and contributions are important, and well-chosen honorees affirm and dignify the university's own achievements and priorities. So if I can have the next slide, please. I hope you agree that the nominee that I will

Ю

/

1 4

2 4

present today certainly fills this bill. The committee recommends Virginia Bell as a nominee for Honorary Doctor of Humane Letters. The next slide, please.

So Virginia Bell was born in Harrison County, Kentucky in 1922, the second of seven children. She earned a bachelor's degree in math and biology at Transylvania University in 1944, and a master's of social work at UK in 1982. So at the age of 60, she began her second career at UK Sanders-Brown Center on Aging. She's the creator of the Best Friends Approach to Dementia Care, a model that has been adopted by care facilities, nursing homes, and day treatment centers around the world.

She co-authored with David Troxel six influential books on dementia care. The first book is considered seminal in the field, and has been translated widely. She's been honored by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, the National Council on Aging, and the American Society on Aging. And locally, she has been inducted into the UK College of Social Work's Hall of Fame. She's

/

2 4

received the UK Distinguished Alumni Award.

The UK Algernon Sydney Sullivan Award, and an honorary degree from Transylvania University.

And I will add here, if you notice her birthday does 1922. Ms. Bell will be turning 100 this summer, and at the Alzheimer's disease international meeting that will be held in London later this year, they will be including a symposium honoring her work and legacy at age 100. If I can have the next slide, please.

We had a number of very strong letters supporting this nomination and we just excerpted this one from her co-author. "The Virginia has become a worldwide figure and a thought leader. She was one of the first people to really say, there's a person beneath this cloak of dementia, a person of value and dignity, and she's taken her mission all over the world." Now the next slide, please.

So the University Joint Committee and
Honorary Degrees recommends that Virginia
Bell be awarded the Honorary Doctor of Humane
Letters, which recognizes extraordinary

1 contributions to philanthropy, human development, education, or societal wellbeing. And Dr. Cramer, that's my report 3 here. And a reminder that anybody can submit 5 6 honorary degrees and we have -- we'll have a 7 new cycle opening soon, and you can check the website for that. All right. Thank you, Martha. So we have a 9 CRAMER: 10 motion from the committee that the elected 11 faculty senators approve Virginia Bell as the 12 recipient of an Honorary Degree -- Doctor of Humane Letters for submission through the 13 1 4 president, to the Board of Trustees. Is 15 there any debate on that motion? 16 All right. Seeing none, reminder per 17 Kentucky Law and Senate Rules only the elected faculty senators should vote on this 18 19 item. 20 All right. So voting is open on this item. 21 And closing voting now, that motion passes. 22 So Virginia Bell's name will be forwarded 23 through the president to the Board of 24 Trustees. Thank you, Martha. 2.5 Reminder, before we proceed that the names of

2 4

honorary degree recipients are embargoed until the honorary degree has been approved by the Board of Trustees. So you've seen this here, an open meeting, but the president will contact the honorary degree nominee, and also the -- it's not final until the Board of Trustees approves the awarding of the degree. So please keep that name to yourself until you see that this is announced otherwise. All right. The next item on our agenda is a report from the Senate Academic Programs Committee. Obviously Vincent's the Chair. Leslie, are you ready?

VINCENT:

Sure. So this is a recommendation that the University Senate approve the establishment of a new graduate certificate, Deaf Blind, in the Department of Early Childhood Special Education and Rehab Counseling within the College of Education. And a recommendation by the Senate Committee, Distance Learning and e-learning that the University Senate approve this program for online delivery. The Deaf-Blind Certificate Program is a 12 credit hour program that focuses on providing advanced training and working with children

2

3

5

6

./

8

9

10

11

12

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

2 4

25

and youth with deaf blindness. Deaf blindness is the lowest incidence disability, and there are a few training programs nationwide for how to provide effective services.

The target audience for this certificate include early intervention providers, preschool teachers, special education teachers, teachers of the visually impaired, teachers of the deaf, and rehabilitation counselors. This certificate will be offered fully online with both synchronous and asynchronous instruction so that it is accessible to professionals in other states. This certificate is a grant funded activity and the need for additional funding to administer the certificate program is not anticipated. Expected enrollment is 20 students in the first year growing to 40 students. This proposal has also been reviewed and approved by the Senate Committee of Distance Learning and e-learning for online delivery.

CRAMER: All right. So we have a proposed program and it's also been recommended that we approve

1		for online delivery. Are there any questions
2		of fact about this program for either Leslie
3		or for Jennifer Grisham who's the proposer on
4		behalf of the proposal? Deb?
5	DEB:	Thank you. I just wanted to bring to
6		attention that the name of the department has
7		changed and we should change that now to
8		reflect that. It is Early Childhood, Special
9		Education, and Counselor Education.
10	CRAMER:	That's right. I remember that now. So we'll
11		take that as a friendly change to the motion
12		language. I think that we've probably had it
13		right since the council last week, but that's
14		a good observation.
15		Any other questions of fact about the
16		proposal?
17		All right. Is there any debate on this
18		motion from the committee to approve the
19		establishment of the program and to approve
20		it for online delivery?
21		Seeing none, voting will start.
22		Closing the voting now. And that motion
23		passes. Leslie?
2 4	VINCENT:	Okay. This is a recommendation that the
25		University Senate approve the establishment

/

1 4

of a new Graduate Certificate, Integrated
Strategic Communication, in the Department of
Integrated Strategic Communication within the
College of Communication and Information, and
the recommendation by the Senate Committee,
Distance Learning and e-learning, that the
University Senate approve this program for
online delivery.

This graduate certificate is designed to provide students with essential skills in the use of data for designing, implementing, and managing brand communication programs for organizations with an emphasis on digital communication. This certificate was developed in response to the growth and employment of media and communication occupations.

This fully online graduate certificate consists of 12 credit hours, and is affiliated with the current undergraduate degree in integrated strategic communication. The target audience for this certificate includes graduate students in other programs, and post-baccalaureate students that desire a credential focused on integrated strategic

1 communication. Inspected in -- expected enrollment for this certificate is 10 3 students in year one, growing to 30 students. This proposal has also been reviewed and approved by the Senate Committee of Distance 5 6 Learning and e-learning for online delivery. CRAMER: All right. So we have a proposal for a new graduate certificate and also to approve that certificate for online delivery. Are there 9 10 any questions of fact for either Leslie or 11 for the proposer she can handle all of them? 12 All right. Seeing no questions of fact, we have a motion from the committee to approve 13 1 4 the establishment of the new grad certificate 15 and also to approve it for online delivery. 16 Is there any debate on this motion? 17 Seeing none, voting is open. Closing voting now, that motion passes. 18 Leslie? 19 20 VINCENT: This is a recommendation that the University 21 Senate approve the establishment of a new 4 + 22 1 program, BHS Clinical Leadership and 23 Management, and Master of Health 24 Administration. This program provides a 2.5 pathway for students in the BHS in Clinical

О

/

Leadership Management that are interested in pursuing an MHA to complete both degrees in five years, as opposed to six years. This 4 + 1 program includes 17 credit hours that will be counted towards both degrees where students will fulfill the elective requirements in the CLM degree and required courses in the MHA degree with these shared credit hours.

The Master of Health Administration is a 50 credit hour competency-based program, built on a model that layers competencies across all courses within the curriculum. Therefore all courses are required and assessed with an integrated final exam, in the last semester of the program.

Furthermore, the design of the 4 + 1 program aligns with the accreditation requirements for this degree, supporting the need for additional courses to count towards both degrees. This proposal was developed in response to the emergence of undergraduate health administration programs, where graduates also desire additional graduate training to prepare for careers, managing

		4 6
1		complex healthcare organizations. This
2		accelerated program provides a benefit to
3		students, and that they can complete both
4		degrees in less time with cost savings, and
5		provides a benefit to the University of
6		Kentucky in retaining top students to pursue
7		their graduate studies.
8	CRAMER:	All right. So we have a proposal for a 4 + 1
9		program, are there questions of fact for
10		either Leslie? I think Sarah Kercsmar is
11		having some internet problems, she just wrote
12		that in the chat or Karen Badger, Sarah
13		Wackerbarth can be able to answer some of
1 4		those questions in a pinch. Martha? Martha
15		you're muted.
16		Martha, do you have a question or? Oh, okay.
17		All right. Kaveh?
18	TAGAVI:	Kaveh Tagavi, College of Engineering. The
19		question of fact; is this essentially a
20		university scholars program?
21	BADGER:	Hi, this is Karen Badger from College of
22		Health Sciences. Since it exceeds the 12
23		credits that aligns with the University of
2 4		Scholars Program, it's something different.
25		It does fulfill credits that are that need

		4 /
1		to be achieved by the undergraduate students
2		in CLM for electives, and those are the
3		credits that are counted back, but it's more
4		than the 12 credits that are part of the
5		university scholars.
6	CRAMER:	And Leslie, perhaps you could comment on the
7		committee's reasoning for accepting that.
8	VINCENT:	Sure. The committee felt like giving the
9		requirements of the MHA degree, the fact that
10		it's a 50 credit hour master's degree, that
11		the accounting of more than the 12 credit
12		hours made sense. In addition, there are
13		some accreditation implications as well that
1 4		come into this master's degree, and so that
15		was something that was also discussed as
16		in terms of the rationale behind why the
17		extra hours, you know, were supported.
18	CRAMER:	Are there further questions of fact?
19		Okay. We have a motion from the committee to
20		approve the proposed 4 + 1 program. Is there
21		debate on this motion?
22		All right. Seeing none, voting is open.
23		And closing voting now, that motion passes.
2 4		Leslie I think you've got one more.
25	VINCENT:	Yes. This is a recommendation that the

3

4

5

6

,

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 4

25

University Senate approve the significant change to the MPH Public Health, in the Department of Health Management and Policy within the College of Public Health, and a recommendation by the Senate Committee, Distance Learning and e-learning that the University Senate approve this program for online delivery.

The significant program change includes adding a new concentration to the existing MPH degree. The new concentration will be offered 100% online and hybrid. This new concentration, health management and policy, train students and the management functions of planning, organizing, leading, and controlling. Students have the ability to tailor their training through their choice of electives, to include training and how to develop, implement, and evaluate policy. This combination of management and policy is a critical component to a successful career in public health management. Students must complete 12 credits of required coursework, and also six credits of guided electives for this concentration. This proposal has also

1		been reviewed and approved by the Senate
2		Committee of Distance Learning and e-learning
3		for online delivery.
4	CRAMER:	All right. So we have a proposed significant
5		change. Are there any questions of fact for
6		either Leslie or for Sarah Wackerbarth, or
7		Jessica.
8		Seeing none, we have a motion from the
9		committee to approve the significant change.
10		Is there debate on this motion?
11		Seeing none, voting is open.
12		And closing voting now, that motion passes.
13		Leslie, thank you and your committee for all
14		the hard work this year. The SAPC is one of
15		the say it honestly, one of the hardest
16		working committees in the Senate as a log
17		reputation mind ever.
18		All right. The next item on the agenda is a
19		report from the Senate Academic Organization
20		and Structure Committee. Greg Hall is the
21		Chair. Greg, are you ready?
22	HALL:	All set. Yes, this is a proposal to move the
23		center for the Institute of Biomedical
2 4		Informatics (IBI) from the Provost Office to
2 5		the office of the VPR, or the Vice President

22

24

2.5

CRAMER:

for Research. The IBI was launched in 2016, was placed under the office of the provost. At that time, other centers were also in the office. A former provost, temporary. She had a vision to develop and grow interdisciplinary research centers. IBI was launched, several changes have altered the ideal organizational structure and location for a research center at UK. There's new leadership in the provost office and a new strategic vision in the VPRs office around research priority areas or RPRs. Benefits to this move were related to the closer coordination with RPAs and other research centers and res -- and the research division of the BPR. Yes, the IBI has excellent potential for leading and enabling extramural awards. The combined focus of the coordinated investigators affiliated with the IBI will pursue major funding opportunities. Again, this is a proposal to recommend the movement of the IBI from the office of the provost, to

All right. So there's a proposal to move the

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter An/Dor Reporting & Video Technologies, Inc.

the VPR.

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Institute from the provost area to the VPRs area. Are there any questions about this proposal for either Greg or for Jeffery Talbert?

All right. Seeing none, we have a motion from the committee for the Senate to endorse the proposed move of the Institute for biomedical informatics from the provost to the VPR. Is there any debate on this motion? All right. Seeing none, voting is open. And closing voting now, that motion passes. So that item will move on for Board of Trustees consideration. Thank you, Greg, for your committee's work as well this year.

HALL: Thank you. You're welcome.

CRAMER:

All right. The next item on the agenda is a proposal from Senate Admissions and Academic Standards Committee. Michelle Sizemore is the Chair. I think there was some question about her availability today. Are we -- earlier or later than she was going to be. Michelle, if you're here speak up, if not, Kaveh, Michelle indicated that you would speak on behalf of the committee on this item if she wasn't here yet.

		JZ
1	TAGAVI:	Agreed.
2	CRAMER:	All right. Kaveh?
3	TAGAVI:	Can I go ahead?
4	CRAMER:	Yes, please.
5	TAGAVI:	Is there any way you could show on the
6		screen, the very short proposal?
7	CRAMER:	I don't know, maybe. Maybe talk for a minute
8		I'll see if I can do it.
9	TAGAVI:	Okay. I will start talking. This is the
10		proposal to slightly modify the repeat option
11		rule. As of now, there is a sliver of
12		situations where students are not no
13		longer registered or enrolled because they
14		finished the courses, or they are waiting for
15		some events, maybe readmission.
16		And the rule requires that the student must
17		be enrolled at UK in order to exercise their
18		repeat option. And it creates hardship for
19		those sliver group of students. So it was
20		brought to our committee with the proposal to
21		drop that portion. And our committee, I
22		cannot say authoritatively the unanimously,
23		but I don't recall any objection. And we, at
2 4		least we definitely had the consensus of
25		approving it and now it is before the Senate

1		to approve it or not.
2	CRAMER:	All right. So we have a proposal coming
3		through the committee to change the rule in
4		this way. Are there any questions of fact
5		about this change? Liz? Liz Debski?
6	DEBSKI:	Sorry. I was muted. I don't quite
7		understand because if a student is doing the
8		repeat option, the student must be taking a
9		class. So can the student take a class and
10		not be enrolled? Is that the consequence of
11		this change?
12	CRAMER:	Kaveh, can you repeat the question?
13	TAGAVI:	May I answer? Yes.
14	CRAMER:	Please.
15	TAGAVI:	The rule elsewhere does not require repeat
16		option has to be exercised before or during
17		the repeat. A student could retake your
18		course, and a year later request for the
19		repeat option. So the situation that we
20		described does happen occasionally and the
21		proposal is to remove this limitation in
22		order to alleviate the hardship on those
23		students who want to exercise the repeat
2 4		option.
25	CRAMER:	Sean?

1	PEFFER:	I'm sorry, I'm not that bright. I didn't get
2		that that answer Elizabeth's question or at
3		least I don't understand it. And I don't
4		know if there's anybody else that didn't
5		either. How do you take a can you take a
6		course at UK and not be enrolled at UK?
7		That's my that's I thought that was the
8		question that was going to be my question.
9		That is the question.
10	CRAMER:	So Sean, as I understand that Kaveh will
11		correct me if I miss this summarize, but the
12		student can take the course again some
13		semester where they are enrolled and decide
14		to even some semester later than that to
15		exercise the repeat option for that course at
16		that time. So they have to be enrolled to
17		take the course again, but they don't have to
18		decide until some later moment to actually
19		use the repeat option for that course.
20	TAGAVI:	That's exactly correct, without my accent.
21		So I hope it would be understood better.
22	CRAMER:	All right. Any further questions of fact on
23		the proposal? DeShana?
2 4	COLLETT:	I just wanted to clarify. So a student who
25		is not enrolled still has access to an

1		advisor within the university.
2	CRAMER:	Kaveh, do you have anything else?
3	TAGAVI:	I am not in a position to answer that. I'm
4		not knowledgeable enough.
5	CRAMER:	We have from Ruth Beatty, the associate Dean
6		who brought the proposal that yes, they can
7		contact their college that they were in
8		before they stopped enrolling in classes for
9		advising,
10		Davy, are you asking the question of fact?
11	JONES:	Yeah. So again, just from a rules committee
12		perspective, I don't see that the change here
13		accomplishes the wording for the effect
14		that's needed. I mean, a person is not a
15		student unless they're enrolled. So both
16		sentences refer to a student, a student, if
17		there's somebody who is not the student at
18		the moment that they want to exercise a
19		repeat option that, you know, that of course
20		have been taken several times, you know, back
21		when, but they never used the repeat option
22		to cause something to happen. Now they're no
23		longer student, but they want to cause that
2 4		repeat option. Mathematically to happen, you
2 5		know, we need to have something in there

1		that, you know, a student comma or blah,
2		blah, blah, comma, may exercise the repeat
3		options. Right now I don't see how it refers
4		to somebody who's not a student. Bob
5		Grossman?
6	GROSSMAN:	Yeah. Hi Bob Grossman, A&S. I actually
7		disagree with what Davy just asserted. I
8		mean, formally yes. A student
9	CRAMER:	Hang on Bob. I guess when we have words like
10		"disagree" we may veer into the words of
11		debate.
12	GROSSMAN:	Oh.
13	CRAMER:	Maybe yeah, I think I'll call on you first
1 4		when we move to debate.
15	GROSSMAN:	Never mind.
16	CRAMER:	Any other questions of fact before we move on
17		to debate the motion from the committee?
18		Eric?
19	BLALOCK:	Hi. Eric Blalock, College of Medicine. I
20		was just wondering if anybody still remember
21		the motivation for having the language as it
22		is now. I was there. I mean, there's a
2 3		sliver of students that are vulnerable
2 4		because of this. Was there a la larger
2 5		swath of some kind of problem that this was

57 1 seeking to address when it was originally written? 2 3 CRAMER: Ruth, do you have a response to that? RUTH: Actually I have a response sort of in general. That a student can be admitted to 5 6 the university without actually registering 7 for courses. So where we see this issue 8 coming up as a student had completed all their degree requirements have not applied 9 10 for the degree, and then they come back 11 several years later and they've actually 12 completed their degree. They need to exercise one repeat option, and apply for 13 their degree. So they can be admitted to and 1 4 15 apply for their degree without being enrolled 16 in classes. And so what was happening here 17 was that the students were being required to be enrolled in a class, just to exercise the 18 19 repeat option, a class that they didn't need. 20 And so we wanted to eliminate that. The 21 student would still be a student at the 22 university because they would have been 23 admitted to the university. CRAMER: Someone also pointed out to me that this may 24

2.5

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter An/Dor Reporting & Video Technologies, Inc.

come up with students who have incomplete

		30
1		grades, who apply for graduation in a
2		semester after they resolve their incomplete
3		grade.
4	RUTH:	That's correct.
5	CRAMER:	Bob, do you have a question of fact?
6	GROSSMAN:	I have a comment on what has being discussed
7		right now, why this language is where it is.
8		Apparently this sentence "I must be enrolled
9		at UK" was added without the approval of the
10		Senate. Many years ago, there was other
11		language in this clause that was being
12		changed, and somehow it the and must be
13		enrolled at UK was put in, even though that
1 4		was not language that the Senate had ever
15		voted on. This is from an historical
16		analysis by Davy Jones.
17	CRAMER:	Hank? Hank, I can't hear you, you don't seem
18		to be muted in Zoom, but maybe in some other
19		way. Oh, maybe almost. Yeah. I feel like it
20		was highly, highly attenuated.
21	DIETZ:	I'm sorry about that. If you could hear me,
22		I was just asking, is it made clear elsewhere
2 3		in this document, that the course that
2 4		they're exercising this option on, is a
2 5		course that they did take at UK as opposed to

1		somewhere else.
2	CRAMER:	All right. Kaveh?
3	TAGAVI:	I had a factual response to Davy Jones'
4		comment, but it would a little bit good
5		into debate. Will you allow me to try to
6		frame it in a factual response?
7	CRAMER:	Yeah. So as you're representing the
8		committee's action, I think that it's a
9		reasonable for you to try to respond
10		factually to the question if you can.
11	TAGAVI:	So Davy is brilliant argument is correct but
12		the same problem exists in the existing
13		language. It refers to a student who must be
14		enrolled, which is then redundant. So c'est
15		la vie.
16	CRAMER:	All right. I think we're probably to the
17		point where we might debate this motion, so
18		I'm going to switch over to the other screen
19		here.
20		We have a motion from the committee to
21		approve the proposed change to SR 5.3.2.1
22		effective July 1. Is there debate on this
23		motion? Hank your hand still up, but maybe
2 4		from before. Kaveh, your hand still up also,
2 5		maybe from just now.
	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	

О

1 4

2 4

The motion for the committees to approve the proposed change to SR 5.3.2.1. All right. Seeing no debate, voting is open.

And closing the voting now, that motion passes.

All right. Thank you, Kaveh for standing in for Michelle.

The next item is a proposed change to the Senate Rule 1.4.3.3 from the Senate UK Core Education Committee. Keiko, are you ready? Actually, I don't see Keiko here, so I'll do my best ability to describe the proposal to you briefly. Essentially there was a change to codify the non-voting ex officio membership to include a member from SELPA center for the enhancement of learning and teaching. They've done this sort of unofficially for a year and found it very valuable to their activities. So they've asked for that to being coded.

They've also asked for two items on which the

UK Core Education Committee would make recommendations to the Senate on the procedures for handling certain items that come before them to be encoded as part of

/

1 4

2 4

their charges as well.

Is there any questions about this proposal?
I can try to answer those.

All right. Seeing no questions, we have a motion from the committee to approve the proposed change to Senate Rule 1.4.3.3 effective immediately. Is there any debate on this motion?

Seeing none, voting is open.

Closing voting now, that motion passes.

The next item on the agenda is the activities of an Ad Hoc Committee that was appointed by Senate Council to review courses without faculty oversight. This was an item that Senate Council found important because of our upcoming SACSCOC reaffirmation, which the president mentioned before, and specifically SACS requires a clear faculty governance over the curriculum of the university, so there were some items that Senate became aware of, Senate Council members became aware of, and test this Ad Hoc Committee to make recommendations on how to resolve these items. So DeShana as Chair of the Ad Hoc Committee will present briefly the

1 recommendations from the committee. COLLETT: Thank you, Aaron. I will assume that 2 3 everyone has thoroughly reviewed --CRAMER: Hang on. COLLETT: Okay. Here we go. I'm going to assume that 5 everyone has thoroughly read the report and I'll provide a brief summary of this -- the subcommittee's findings, as well as the recommendation. So our committee members are 9 10 listed there. And I first like to really 11 thank this committee, the subcommittee for 12 all the hard work that they have done. is a subcommittee that was formed in mid-fall 13 and has worked all year basically to provide 1 4 15 these recommendations. The charge came from Senate Council to 16 17 appoint that subcommittee as Aaron just 18 mentioned related to the appropriate suitable 19 governance structure for courses that are 20 currently not homed in a college and require 21 Senate oversight. The subcommittee 22 diligently worked at reviewing and utilizing 23 the GRs, ARs, and the SRs to guide and inform 24 our discussions in our recommendations that

2.5

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter An/Dor Reporting & Video Technologies, Inc.

are presented further.

2

3

5

6

./

0

9

10

11

12

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 4

25

Okay. So summary of findings. subcommittee identified several areas that needed attention. Most of them being related to identifying credit bearing courses of this organizational structure that was not in compliance with the current regulatory structure found in the University Senate Rules, as well as circumstances of inventory error or areas that needed update. So those areas include courses without faculty body, or responsible dean aspects of some of the MDRCs administrative centers, updates and corrections related to SAP inventory of home units, as well as changes that needed to occur within the Senate Rules to comply with all of these findings.

So giving the regulatory structure that we outlined in the Senate Rules in following the foundational guidance that we looked at with previous rules, we needed to come into compliance. So there were several areas here where the courses did not have faculty body or responsible Dean that was identified due to the recent reorganization.

So the subcommittee recommends that the

23

24

2.5

Senate approve and consent the use of a faculty committee with the parameters identified below and also identified in the report. As the faculty body of the courses that are listed in the report. The Senate proposed the -- for Senate purposes approval specifically for seven submitted documents of academic delegation for specific course groups. So in the report, you will go to appendix one and two, which will -- which provides you a template of what all of the faculty bodies that were formed, used to actually guide them, informing this faculty body. And also within the report there, seven submitted documents of each of these faculty buyers that were submitted. And the parameters that was given for the formation of the faculty body include the bodies had to have a majority of faculty with not more than 50% of those faculty at or above the title of department chair. They had to include a student representative or a mechanism of student input to the committee on matters of the proposal --

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter An/Dor Reporting & Video Technologies, Inc.

proposals about course content. Also, only

3

4

5

O

8

9

10

11

13

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

2 4

25

faculty members could vote on educational policy items and the -- it must be approved by the Senate -- University Senate to fulfill this function.

The copy of the report is listed on the Senate webpage for the agenda, with a link directly to the report. So the responsible Dean need to be identified as stated, the responsible -- this person will be identified by the provost to act as the Dean over these courses for purposes of student academic offenses or/and for educational policy. So again, the subcommittee recommends that the Senate approve the use of the faculty committee with the following parameters that identified above as the faculty body for the UK prefix courses and all of those listed, which include EAP, HMN, UKSEE I believe at UK. Our EAP UK prefix in HMN and of course the template is for any additional Senate number course that is homed outside of the college.

Additionally, there were some recommendations concerning aspects of NDRCs and administrative centers impinging on Senate

24

2.5

educational oversight. So particularly with the Gatton Center, the Senate subcommittee recommends that the Senate Council advocate that the provost identify appropriate academic organization within the Provost Office that can effectively support the coordinated activities of the Gatton Center and HMN courses and identify responsible Dean for academic and educational purposes. The same is stated for biomedical informatics, which is one of the proposals that was just approved. The subcommittee recommends that they send a council advocate for the provost to identify an appropriate organization and structure within the Provost Office that can effectively support the graduate and professional research activities of the educational unit, or which just happened in the previous recommendation was transfer through a Senate board process into an organizational environment that can provide the effective support. Also in this report and recommendations with the center of interprofessional health education, because its activities were

23 24

22

2.5

recently determined to no longer be those of primary research center. There was a separate proposal that was brought forth in the end of December and endorsed already by the Senate to change the center to an administrative center. So we didn't have to worry about that one as much. Additionally, the subcommittee recommends that the Senate Council -- when Senate requests to the register to update the set to show that courses that are home in this MBA Center are actually home that the level of the college, and not an MBA center, and Shell Grand Center, most of the same. So the subcommittee recommends that the Senate Council advocate that the provost identify an appropriate organizational structure within the Provost Office that effectively supports the activities of the Shell Grand Center, in

Additional recommendations really were around SAP inventory in areas that were potentially identified as errors in the SAP inventory. So the recommendations were presented and

UK300 and identify responsible Dean for

academic and educational purposes.

2 4

approved by Senate Council. These are outlined in the proposal section 4C page 6, so you can read through those because it's quite a bit where we've identified courses that were listed as being homed in undergraduate education, which we know is no more and does not exist. So they are homed in an inappropriate unit that is no longer -- or is actually not an educational unit. We have other incidences where there's no college listed at all, homed outside an educational unit, or they're homed in areas such as undergraduate admissions which is not an educational unit.

The SAP inventory of home units, the subcommittee recommends -- recommendations were presented and endorsed already by Senate Council. These are also noted in the proposal section 4D, page 7, which outlines that inventory issues that were noted.

The subcommittee additionally tasked the SRC to propose appropriate language in changes to the Senate Rules to effectuate the recommendations that were proposed by the subcommittee. The SRC recommendations can

3

5

6

7

ð

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 4

25

also be found in appendix four of the proposal.

And then reports. Additionally, the subcommittee felt it was necessary for ongoing reports to be made to the Senate and Senate Council related to the status of courses outside of a college unit. So the subcommittee recommends that respect to chair, the faculty bodies responsible for out of college coursework provided and a report to the Senate on the academic status and operation other respective courses. Additionally, during the investigation in work looking at the courses that were homed outside of colleges, the subcommittee became concerned that there may be instructors of record assigned to these courses and other courses who do not have regular part-time or adjunct faculty status. The subcommittee included those relevant UK rules and regulations relating to faculty as an instructor of record, and those are located in appendix five. The subcommittee recommends that Senate Council assess whether this warrants additional inquiry to be dealt

1		with in the near future.
2	CRAMER:	All right. DeShana, thank you for the
3		committee's work on that. So the committee
4		brought forward this report with
5		recommendations. Senate Council has
6		recommended the Senate approve the
7		recommendations in the report. Are there any
8		questions of fact about the report for
9		DeShana? Bob?
10	GROSSMAN:	Bob Grossman, A&S. Could you just make clear
11		what recommendations we will be voting on?
12		Because there is some of the a lot of the
13		information was informational, not actually
14		policy changes. So just be nice to be clear
15		about what we're approving or disapproving.
16	CRAMER:	So I think that recommendations for action
17		are the ones that Senate Council, when it
18		made its motion last week was recommended to
19		the Senate approval of not purely
20		informational aspects of report.
21		Any other questions of fact about the
22		proposal or the report and its
23		recommendations?
2 4		All right. Liz?
2 5	DEBSKI:	Yeah. I'm sorry, but can you actually answer

		, -
1		Bob's question for those of us who were not
2		at the Senate Council? Because I'm still
3		confused as to what we are voting on.
4	CRAMER:	Liz, I think probably the report itself is
5		the best source of that, but I think DeShana
6		just summarized the recommendations for
7		action that were expected to be taken if
8		Senate approves this.
9	DEBSKI:	But I guess my question really has to do with
10		some of those seen to be structural changes
11		that we don't actually have any authority
12		over. And so I usually we endorse
13		reports, and this seems to be a little
1 4		different from that. So I'm a little
15		confused.
16	CRAMER:	DeShana, do you have a way to quickly sort of
17		articulate the categories recommendations
18		that are contemplated? I think approval to
19		operate as a faculty body for some of these
20		forces are the main
21	COLLETT:	Yeah. So the summary of findings. The
22		recommendations are on page four through
23		seven of the report with the headings of the
2 4		categories that were report that we are
2 5		recommending. Also voted within the report

1 is the recommendation. So it will start with subcommittee recommends. 2 3 CRAMER: Liz --DEBSKI: Yeah, I have it. CRAMER: Sorry. Liz, on the question of what can the 5 Senate approve versus what can it endorse, I think that that's a fair point. Some of the items that are in here are sort of approval to operate as a faculty body, which is within 9 10 the educational policy making authority of 11 the Senate. And so would be an approval 12 item. Of course there are many recommendations that are recommended. Senate 13 1 4 Council, take this up with the provost, 15 things like that and so Senate's approval 16 that would essentially be asking Senate 17 Council to take up those items, which as you know, are somewhat outside of the Senate's 18 19 authority, and so we would have to take up 20 those items with the appropriate 21 administrators. Liz, does that help? 22 DEBSKI: Yeah, it helps, but I think in the past, when 23 we've done things like this, the 24 recommendations have been put into two 2.5 categories; one where we do have authority,

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

and one where we just endorse kind of thing.

And it's a little confusing to me to have

them all kind of together. That's all.

CRAMER: Ken?

TROSKE:

Yeah, Ken Troske from College of Business and -- Gatton College of Business and Economics. I was a little -- as a former administrator oversaw the MBA center. I was a little confused about the statement that it's owned by the college, the MBA Center and the MBA program has a faculty oversee -- faculty board that oversees courses, the MBA courses, and improves those MBA courses and that's always been the faculty record in the college and it's always been considered that way, and that's how it was handled at the university level. This -- the reports have been filed, but that wasn't the case. There was no -they weren't owned by the MBA Center. Some of the courses are actually owned by academic units, but some courses are owned by the MBA Center and are -- have faculty oversight that are part of them. So I was kind of -- I'm a little confused about why that statement we've made in that report look that way.

1	CRAMER:	Ken, I can offer a brief response on that.
2		You know, the MBA center is not an academic
3		unit, it's an administrative unit within the
4		Gatton College, so the academic home for
5		those courses has to be at the college level.
6		It's true, of course, that the Gatton faculty
7		as a college can delegate the governance
8		activities of those to the body that you've
9		described, the body that has been overseeing
10		those. But I think that's the the
11		argument here is that you can't house
12		academic content in an administrative unit.
13		So yes, they might be functionally being run
1 4		through that unit, but that's through
15		delegation of the college faculty.
16	TROSKE:	Okay. So I guess my question then is, "If
17		this passes, what would that imply about how
18		the organization's board is currently
19		structured in the Gatton college groups,
20		maybe that would help explain what, how
21		things would change in other levels.
22	CRAMER:	DeShana will correct me if I'm wrong, but I
23		think she simply that the Senate Council
2 4		Chair, presumably me, or maybe her soon, will
25		ask the registrar just to make sure that the
	I	

1		record in SAP reflects that the college is
2		the home, the college faculty still need to
3		articulate the rules for the practice, that
4		the governance of those courses is done
5		through the body that you've described. So I
6		don't think it functionally changes anything.
7		It's a matter of making sure that the
8		database matches the academic hierarchy of
9		the university.
10	TROSKE:	Okay. That helps. Thank you.
11	CRAMER:	Liz, do you have another question or is your
12		hand up from before?
13	DEBSKI:	Sorry, I just forgot to put my hand down.
1 4	CRAMER:	No problems. And Ken maybe you're the same.
15		Eric?
16	BLALOCK:	Hi. Eric Blalock, College of Medicine. So
17		is there an option inside of the document for
18		one of the courses that finds themselves in
19		this position to essentially get itself
20		rehomed into a college and department, rather
21		than having to go through this new set of
22		things through the provost? Is that a
23		possibility? Could they just do that
2 4		instead?
2 5	CRAMER:	I think that is a would be good, desirable

1 outcome. I don't think that there's anything proving the recommendations, the report that 2 3 would preclude that as an option to have sort of more ordinary governance of such classes. BLALOCK: 5 Okay. CRAMER: Any other questions of fact about the report? 6 All right. Seeing none, we have a motion from the committee to approve the recommendations in the report. Is there any 9 debate on this motion? Bob? 10 11 GROSSMAN: Bob Grossman, A&S. I would just like to 12 publicly thank the committee for looking under the cushions of the university for 13 1 4 these random courses that never really had an 15 appropriate faculty body overseeing them. 16 You know, the fundamental principle at UK is 17 that the faculty control the educational mission of the university, and this is --18 19 these courses are part of that and really 20 needed to be brought under faculty oversight. 21 So thank you to the committee. I also 22 support the recommendations. 23 CRAMER: Any further debate on the motion? All right. Seeing none, voting is open. 24 2.5 And closing the voting now, and that motion

1 4

2 4

2 1

passes.

I would also like to add my thank to that committee's Herculean effort. It seemed like every time they found all the courses that were covered by this, they found some more.

And I think what they've also left us is a pathway by which, you know, further incidents to this are discovered. We have a productive way to resolve that. So thank you to the committee.

All right. The next item on the agenda is a proposal from the Graduate Council. I don't know if Martha's still on or not, or --

PETERSON: I am.

PETERSON:

CRAMER: All right. Martha, would you like to briefly describe the request from Grad Council?

Sure. We -- there's two requests here. One is to continue for an additional two years accepting the Duolingo exam for admission to graduate schools for non-native English speaking applicants, and to also do a trial run with the TOEFL Essentials exam which is new.

So for the last two years because of COVID, the graduate school has accepted the Duolingo

,

1 4

English test scores with sub scores for admissions, and have followed up with a English language specialist to those -- with those students who have been admitted to ensure their language competency. Unlike the traditional TOEFL, the Duolingo exam, as well as TOEFL Accenture's new exam can be taken from an applicant's home, and is less expensive, thus removing multiple barriers for potential students.

The students taking the exam must have a video connection, they -- and the exams are recorded, thus ensuring the integrity of the exam. And so far, the experience of the graduate admissions office with the Duolingo has been very positive, and they would like to continue to accept this exam over the next two years, while continuing to monitor student success.

And, likewise, this new TOEFL Essentials exam is one that they would like to allow in a temporary manner for admission to the graduate school. Again, with a follow-up by English language specialist. Since there really isn't a track record for this new

1 exam, we don't have a minimum score, although for Duolingo, we've determined that a sketch 2 -- exam score of 115 is really a minimum for 3 acceptance. And we realized that this is a request for 5 6 temporary for two years, but that if our experience continues to be positive, that we would most likely come back to the Senate with a change to codify the Senate Rules to 9 10 include these exams. But I think we're still 11 in the let's test this stage, and would like 12 to continue for two more years. CRAMER: All right. So we have a motion from the 13 1 4 Graduate Council to approve the temporary use 15 of these test scores for this period of time. 16 Are there any questions of fact regarding 17 this proposal? Molly? BLASING: The motion on the slide says, "With possible 18 19 interview by faculty from sessile". What are 20 the criteria to add the faculty interview to 21 the evaluation? 22 CRAMER: Martha? 23 PETERSON: Well, I would say for the TOEFL Essentials it would be all of them. Because that is a new 24 2.5 exam and we don't yet have a track record. I

1 don't know if they're -- I don't know what the possible criteria would be, I would guess 2 it would be on the scale where that Duolingo 3 score falls. If it's close to the border that, you know, a follow-up with the 5 6 conversation with the English language specialists would be there. CRAMER: Any other questions of fact about the 9 proposal? 10 All right. We have a motion from the 11 committee. Is there any debate on this 12 motion? Seeing none, voting is open. 13 1 4 Closing voting now, and that motion passes. 15 So that's approved through those two academic 16 years. 17 All right. The next item is a report from an Ad Hoc Committee on academic policies for 18 19 unscheduled closings on a snow day committee. 20 Akiko, are you ready? 21 TAKENAKA: Yes. First some context. It snowed on 22 February 3rd, and the president announced the 23 following and I'm quoting the parts that's 24 relevant to classroom instruction. And I quote, "University of Kentucky will be closed 2.5

c

1 4

on Thursday February 3rd, due to inclement weather. In-person classes will not take place on campus. If students have questions about their class status, they should contact their instructor."

Prior to the pandemic, this announcement probably would have been straightforward.

Classes were canceled, but with the candidate -- with the pandemic, the term "in-person classes" took on a new meaning, because it is now very easy to offer online classes.

And so the Senate Council voted to create an Ad Hoc Committee with a very specific narrow charge. The committee was made up of seven faculty, three students, and three non-voting members; one from the Ombuds Office, one from

So what the committee was charged with, was to come up with a clear language on what to do in these situations to make sure that conditions are equitable for everyone involved. The committee members agreed that the instructor of record, who is in the best

the EVPFA, and one from the Provost Office.

And you can see the names on the memo

attached to the agenda.

2 4

2.5

position to decide whether or how to hold each class should be granted the flexibility and autonomy to decide how to respond to the closing.

The language of the proposed SR also ensures that asynchronous activities be provided if asynchronous class is offered. And the committee also recommends, and I think this is important, a review of syllabus language, or the addition of syllabus language so that the students know what to expect in an event of unscheduled closing. But this was outside of the scope of the committee, but committee does make this recommendation.

And the proposed SR would go in to 5.2.5.2 point something, and the title will be "unscheduled closings" and the proposed SR reads as follows.

"When the campus is closed for part or all of a day, all in person activities during the closure time are canceled. Asynchronous activities may be held, asynchronous activities may replace a scheduled synchronous activity, if they can be completed in the same amount of time.

2 4

Synchronous activities may be held only within the scheduled time slot, and only if the instructor also provides an asynchronous option that can be completed in the same amount of time. Asynchronous activities maybe attended or performed at a time of the students choosing, subject to reasonable constraints."

CRAMER:

All right. I also -- the ombud was not able to join us, but she participated in the work of the committee and asked me to convey this -- her judgment in the role of ombud. She suggested that, "In essence, the rule allows instructors the flexibility to deliver content and activities, when the in-person meeting has unexpectedly been canceled. This is entirely optional and protects the right of instructor to decide the best way to teach the course.

The student's rights are protected by the provision that any synchronous activity cannot be mandatory. And if activities are scheduled, there must be an option for asynchronous participation. Changing the mode of delivery for one or two classes due

2.5

PEFFER:

TAKENAKA:

to unforeseen circumstances, or instructor absence has long been a normal practice and does not impact the modality of the course."

So those were comments that she asked me to convey as well.

So we have a proposal from the Ad Hoc

Committee. Are there any questions of fact about the nature of the proposal? Sean?

Yeah. Do I read this -- do I read this correctly? That if I'm teaching asynchronous online class, so I'm teaching one that nobody has to come to school. That I cannot then hold that synchronous online class when people are taking it from their homes and stuff, unless I then make asynchronous option for a class that is synchronous, not in person, but synchronous.

Yes. And the reason is because some students rely on the University for the internet, or if it is a snow day, their kids might be home from school. And that may also interfere with the student's ability to take asynchronous online class. There might be an internet outdates in some areas where the students are residing. So yes, we considered

		8 5
1		these issues and decided that this applies to
2		all classes, including classes that are being
3		offered synchronously online.
4	CRAMER:	Liz?
5	DEBSKI:	Yeah, that was actually my question. And I
6		agree that it should apply to online courses.
7		But I'm wondering if you could make the
8		language a little more clear with that regard
9		because some people read this and thought it
10		only applied to online I'm sorry,
11		in-person classes. And, you know, by the
12		heading and by the first sentence, it does
13		not appear to me to do that, but other people
1 4		read it that way.
15	CRAMER:	So of course SREC will be responsible for
16		codifying whatever rule that the Senate
17		approves. I think that having captured that
18		that was the effect of the rule. If the
19		Senate approves the change rule, then SREC
20		would be responsible for codifying the
21		Senate's approved policy. Roger?
22	BROWN:	Roger Brown, College of Ag. I have a
23		question about all in-person activities, the
2 4		word "activities". So I take it that means
25		in-person class meetings, but what other

activities are included. So if I have advising meeting scheduled with a student, reading this rule, if that advising meeting is characterized as a in-person activity, that -- do I have to schedule as -- I can do it synchronously, but I have to schedule it. I mean, asynchronous option, what else is contemplated by activities, athletic events, and so forth. Thanks.

TAKENAKA:

Anyone else from the committee can also add to this, but what we were thinking about was for example, labs, right? Which are not really classroom instruction, but any sort of participatory if with the lack of a better word activity. And the other thing is that if there's an advisory meeting scheduled, it is possible for the student to reschedule, right? Without any consequences. And, but classes, students do need to attend for attendance purposes. And that's what the mandatory kinds of meetings and activities were what we had in mind. And please committee members feel free to chime in if I'm missing something.

CRAMER:

Although raise your hand so I can see you.

1		Kaveh?
2	TAGAVI:	Kaveh Tagavi, College of Engineering. Most
3		of what has been discussed is debate. So I
4		like to ask you to let me declare that this
5		is the debate phase now, and let me then
6		debate the motion.
7	CRAMER:	I will actually rule that I haven't found the
8		conversation except for maybe one word to
9		have been a debate so far, but I will move to
10		it quickly and call on you as the first
11		debater if you will.
12		Davy, do you have a question of fact?
13	JONES:	Yes. Again, in terms of the SREC codifying
1 4		not just what's in writing here, but implied
15		intent from the discussion, is this also
16		intended to capture way offsite? Because,
17		like you know, we have a program down there
18		in Texas where social work is delivering
19		face-to-face courses at a military base in
20		Texas. Suppose this is international, of
21		course, it's in a numbers being offered in
22		Europe at the time we're having snow here.
23		Are all of those really intended to be
2 4		captured here, please make this real clear.
2 5	CRAMER:	Akiko, probably you can describe your

1		committee's intent with respect to that
2		question?
3	TAKENAKA:	The terminology campus is was meant as the
4		Lexington campus. And maybe that could be a
5		friendly amendment if that is needed.
6	CRAMER:	That would actually cause a different
7		response to Sean's question earlier, right?
8		Because Sean was talking about on like
9		purely online classes, right?
10	TAKENAKA:	True.
11	CRAMER:	Okay. Guys, make sure we're still talking
12		about questions of fact. Kaveh, do you have
13		a question of fact or you're wanting to
14		debate still? I'll just make sure I've
15		exhausted the questions of fact here. Bob?
16	GROSSMAN:	Bob Grossman, A&S. When the campus is closed
17		is very clear as to what's intended here.
18		And if there's a tornado in Texas, then that
19		campus is closed, then that's a different
20		issue from when the UK campus when the
21		Lexington campus is closed.
22	CRAMER:	Hank?
23	DIETZ:	Yeah. Hank Dietz, Engineering. I think the
2 4		committee's intent was the things that are
25		associated with the campus, or immediately

1		related to the things that are happening
2		here, which would include online things that
3		are normally scheduled from the main campus,
4		rather than scheduled from some satellite
5		institution or whatever arrangement would be
6		covered. So I think the idea of something
7		that is at a completely different campus,
8		that's not really under UKs control, was not
9		something that we really discussed in the
10		committee.
11	CRAMER:	All right. I'm going to move us to debate.
12		We have a motion from the committee to
13		approve the proposed Senate Rule change
1 4		effective immediately. I'm going to go to
15		Kaveh first. Kaveh?
16	TAGAVI:	Yes. Can you hear me?
17	CRAMER:	Yes.
18	TAGAVI:	So for full disclosure, I was on the Ad Hoc
19		Committee. At the surface, this proposer
20		seems reasonable and innocuous. However, at
21		the deeper level, it has some serious
22		shortcomings in my opinion. First, the word
23		"campus clothing" is not synonymous with
2 4		classes canceled. Often when classes are
25		canceled, the campus at the general chair is

Ю

,

Ü

2 4

actually open.

Second, it addresses a very narrow situation, basically "snow days". And I'm using quotation marks. Or let's say some emergency situation in Lexington regarding crime or police action. Now I hope we all agree that under such narrow circumstances, very narrow circumstances, what activities are a lot or not a lot is actually best to be left with the administration. The ability to hold synchronous activities is nice, there's no question about that. It's good for faculty. But it could also present danger and hardship for some students and liability for the university.

Just imagine the chaos and the confusion that would ensue, if the Senate Rule says you could hold synchronous classes while the president announcement would say otherwi -- would say -- is said otherwise. Meanwhile, there is actually a wider, more relevant and more important question. Can instructors convert in-person classes to synchronous classes with little notice? Say if they have flu symptoms or if they decide in particular

		91
1		lecture is best delivered synchronously
2		online without any in-person option, because
3		of all of the above, and in order to salvage
4		the work of the committee, I would like to
5		offer a substitute motion, and the motion
6		being we ask Ad Hoc Committee or Senate
7		Council, to add this the wider more important
8		and more appropriate question of modality
9		changes, and not major motion on the subject
10		that we don't have purview, and it's the
11		purview of the administration. Thanks for
12		the motion.
13	TAKENAKA:	Oh, okay.
14	CRAMER:	Akiko, we're in the debate phase. So I'm
15		going to handle the debate in here. We have
16		a motion from Kaveh, I see a few hands,
17		unless one of you guys want to second the
18		motion, maybe put your hands down.
19		Otherwise, is there a second for Kaveh's
20		motion? Roger?
21	BROWN:	Aaron, we have a motion on the table right
22		now, and this wasn't a motion to an end. So
23		we right, you already put this motion on
2 4		the table.
2 5	CRAMER:	Sure.
	•	

1	BROWN:	So we need to deal with that one before we
2		consider a second motion.
3	CRAMER:	Is the motion to substitute the action of
4		this motion for the other motion?
5	TAGAVI:	Yes. And you could call it amendment, you
6		could call it substitute motion, maybe the
7		parliamentarian could help me to achieve what
8		I'm trying to achieve.
9	THYNE:	Well, the way to do it would be to finish
10		debate on this motion, and if it dies, then
11		it can we can move to another motion, but
12		this doesn't feel like an amendment. This
13		is, I mean, your motion is to kill this
1 4		motion and replace it with something else.
15	TAGAVI:	Is a substitute motion not allowed?
16	CRAMER:	I think I understand Clayton's point. That
17		the substitute is essentially to defeat this
18		motion and to take up another question, so
19	THYNE:	Not a substitute. I mean, there's no such
20		saying as a substitute motion.
21	CRAMER:	So the Kaveh, I'm going to rule the motion
22		out of order, but take your speeches having
2 3		been to speak against the motion in front of
2 4		the body at the moment. All right. Sean?
25	PEFFER:	Sean Peffer, Business and Economics. I'll

24

2.5

make this kind of quick. By this motion, I think I do agree it's not baked enough because if I have a course, I am at the UK campus, but I teach it from home and it's an MBA course. And I have people all across the country, and the campus closes. And then Tuesday night comes, I teach the MBA across the country, but I cannot allow to teach that, that they paid for, they expect that across the country. Unless, I turn around and make asynchronous -- asynchronous option for it. That doesn't work really well, and it's taking the purview away from the instructor to decide -- it's saying the instructor doesn't know how to do this, so it's going to take it away and legislate it. And it doesn't legislate it extremely clearly because of the word activities, because of the -- when the campus is closed, because of some of these other points that are brought up. So I would speak against the motion based on, one, it's not real clear. I mean, I appreciate everybody doing work on it and I hate to say something against, but it's not real clear. And two, their cases where this

12

13

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

2

isn't going to work and it's going to be legislated that the instructor has to follow this.

All right, guys. I just want to remind you, we have other business items, so please

debate as quickly as you can, as we move to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) ^{2}$

this. Jack?

KIRN:

CRAMER:

Jack Kirn, B&E. So I also was on this committee, and I think the modality point that was just brought up is I guess -- the ombud spoke to that, it's not a change, it is allowed what you said earlier. But we consider this -- no, first of all, the safety of the students, and that was number one. Two was the choice, give the choice to the faculty member to do this. And, you know, there was a lot debate well, it's not -- it was not fair to other students who had come asynchronously, not join synchronously. We thought what was the best for the majority of the students. But I think we did take them into account. And I think Sean is correct. The wholeness is previously scheduled, synchronous courses. And you know, the closing statement usually says, "Campus

1 closed, in-person classes canceled" and we were really addressing that, and maybe we 2 3 need to be more clear on the synchronous classes. CRAMER: Leslie? 5 6 VINCENT: Yeah, just a couple points. interpretation of this is it's still at the instructor's discretion, so if you choose not to hold the class, that is your decision 9 because of the cancellation. 10 11 Sean, for your point, it doesn't prevent you 12 from holding your synchronous session with your MBA students across the country. You 13 1 4 can post the Zoom recording in your, you 15 know, in compliance with this, because that 16 is the asynchronous option that's available 17 to the students. So it doesn't prevent you 18 from having that synchronous session, but if 19 a student is not able to attend, there is the 20 recording for them to watch, so you have done 21 what you're supposed to do. 22 The other thing that I would say is if you 23 look at the committee composition 24 administration was involved in this decision,

2.5

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter An/Dor Reporting & Video Technologies, Inc.

and supported that as well.

1 CRAMER: Cagle? Cagle, Arts and Sciences. The modality 2 CAGLE: v 3 question is already a settled question. Faculty have -- instructors have the right to move individual class sessions online that's 5 6 been reiterated. I also just wanted to speak 7 on behalf of students. I think we do actually 8 need a consistent policy for students, because even if they're taking courses 9 10 online, many of them are going to be in local 11 areas affected by things that lead to campus 12 closings, and that's going to be extra tough for them if every instructor is doing 13 1 4 something different. Which is why I think 15 this is a good, consistent policy. Kaveh? 16 CRAMER: 17 TAGAVI: The comments are very reasonable. I just -and I be shocked. What happens -- what is 18 19 allowed, or what is not allowed on a campus 20 clothing declared by the president is not 21 education policy. It is purview of 22 administration. And I was on that committee. 23 I don't think the administration agreed with 24 this, and I don't think the question that 2.5 this is not the purview of the faculty,

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

2 4

25

because it's not the educational policy was not explicitly discuss on address. And for the sake of our faculty, and our faculty governance, I don't want the administration to do educational policy, and we should not do administration policy. And I'm going to vote against this.

CRAMER: Bob?

GROSSMAN:

Bob Grossman, A&S. When it snowed in January or February, Faculty all over campus were wondering what they could and could not do. This policy was an attempt to set some baselines to help the faculty decide what is best for them to do, and largely for their -they were concerned about their students. So the case that Sean describes is not the most common case by any means. I mean, his course is unusual and maybe he can ask for permission from Senate Council to have an exception to this rule, in his particular case there is that pathway. But the vast majority of courses on campus involves students who are living in Kentucky or in Lexington, and are going to be affected by these adverse weather dates.

1 Someone mentioned something about faculty member applying this policy if they have the 2 3 flu, or they don't feel well, or maybe they want to stay home and watch TV or something. The rule is very clear that when the campus 5 is closed for part or all of the day, you know, maybe that could be amended to be -- to no longer be passive voice, but active voice. For example, when the university 9 10 administration closes the campus. But I 11 think it's pretty clear what this is 12 addressing. I would like to offer an amendment, a small 13 1 4 amendment where it says "all in person 15 activities" and change that to "all in person 16 course activities." To make it clear, this 17 is applies to course activities, not advising sessions, or other such matters. So that's 18 19 -- I'm offering that amendment. 20 Bob, my understanding is that that amendment CRAMER: 21 would be within the intention of the 22 committee, unless they're like objections. I 23 think that SREC is fine to encode it that 24 way. 2.5 GROSSMAN: If that is your parliamentary decision, I

1		will offer that as a friendly amendment for
2		the to be adopted by the committee, if
3		that if they feel
4	CRAMER:	I'll just streamline a little bit, if
5		possible, unless somebody objects, go ahead
6		and object out loud now to that word being
7		clarified in that way if the rule change is
8		adopted.
9	DIETZ:	I think there is a minor issue there. Hank
10		Dietz, Engineering. The issue would be
11		courses don't necessarily get associated with
12		training events. So you'd have to be a
13		little bit more explicit about exactly what
1 4		the edge cases were there.
15	DEBSKI:	I also object to that. Just in terms of the
16		advising
17	CRAMER:	All right. Hang on then. Okay. So no
18		unanimous consent on Bob's motion to amend.
19		Is there a second per Bob's motion to amend?
20		If you're going to speak
21	THYNE:	Could you put the PDF up and make sure
22		everybody knows exactly what's going on?
23	CRAMER:	Yeah. Hang on, Clayton.
2 4	TAGAVI:	Can I make an inquiry?
25	CRAMER:	Kaveh?

1	TAGAVI:	Kaveh Tagavi, College of Engineering. I just
2		Googled substitute motion, and Robert's Rule
3		of Order far be from me to overrule the
4		parliamentarian, but apparently it is
5		allowed, and it's could be amended, and it
6		should be voted on. But that ship has
7		passed, but I thought I should mention that.
8	THYNE:	If I made a mistake, I'm sorry, Kaveh.
9	CRAMER:	All right. So we have hang on one second
10		guys. And we guys, we have other business
11		too, so please be efficient with this. This
12		is the language in question. Bob has moved
13		to change the word, or to add the word before
1 4		"in person activities" to "course
15		activities"? Is there a second
16	GROSSMAN:	In-person course activities.
17	CRAMER:	In-person course activities. Is there a
18		second? Marilyn is seconding that motion.
19		Marilyn Duncan is seconding that motion.
20		All right. We are debating this motion, if
21		your hands are still up, I'm assuming you're
22		debating Bob's amendment to this language.
23		Kaveh?
2 4		Okay. All right. Seeing no debate on this
2 5		motion to amend the committee's

/

1 4

recommendation to add the word "course before activities" we are going to style that motion. Motion from the floor number one, give me one moment here. So if motion number one passes, the motion from the floor number one passes, then this item will be amended in this -- in the way that Bob moved.

Hang on. All right. Motion from the floor one is Bob's amendment to add the word "course" in front of activities. Voting is open.

I'm closing voting now.

That doesn't appear correct. There were 66 votes and yet I only see 13 votes recorded in the Poll Everywhere slide. I am going to have to reboot this because that's clearly an invalid vote. One second guys.

I'm clearing the responses, voting is open again on motion from the floor number one, which is Bob's motion to add the word "course" in front of activities.

All right. Closing the voting now, and hopefully this works this time. Ah, there we go. That motion passes. So the motion from the committee is amended as moved by Bob.

2 4

We are continuing to vote on the motion now as amended again, please be mindful of the time it's 4:20. Akiko?

TAKENAKA:

Akiko Takenaka, Arts and Sciences. I wanted to address a couple of Kaveh's concerns. Jay Blanton was at the -- was a non-voting member of this committee as a representative of EVPFA, and he did say that he approves, you know, it's not a vote, but he did convey to the committee that he approves of the language. And I take that as an approval from the administration.

And secondly, yes, the president gets to decide, however, the president's messages only said, "In-person classes will not take place on campus." We are abiding by that.

What we're doing is to make things equitable for students who may not have access to internet, should the instructor decide to offer an in-person -- no, wait. Should the instructor decide to offer asynchronous online course, we wanted to make it equitable for the students who do not have reliable access to internet during the time that the class is taking place.

1	CRAMER:	Clayton?
2	THYNE:	So I hate to make things more complex, but
3		I've never seen a substitute motion, I was
4		wrong. It doesn't really change anything,
5		Aaron. What it what we the process we
6		should have done is what we did, which was
7		perfect the main motion. And by perfect, I
8		mean, we got the amendments, and then now we
9		would talk about the substitute motion,
10		perfect that with any amendments, and then
11		what you would do is ask whether or not the
12		substitute motion should now become the main
13		motion; does that make sense?
14	CRAMER:	Yes.
15	THYNE:	So if we call this main motion perfect right
16		now, now we move to Kaveh's substitute
17		motion; is that cool? Does that make sense?
18	CRAMER:	Yes, it does. And to remedy this Kaveh, if
19		you'd like to offer your substitute motion, I
20		will hear it now. You're muted though.
21		You're still muted, Kaveh.
22	TAGAVI:	My substitute motion was that we ask the Ad
23		Hoc Committee or Senate Council to address
2 4		the wider, more important, and more
25		appropriate question of modality changes by

		101
1		instructor, which is a question mark, as far
2		as I can tell, based on all the comments that
3		I hear from my faculty colleagues.
4	CRAMER:	All right. Is there a second for Kaveh's
5		motion? Roger?
6	BROWN:	Roger Brown, College of Agriculture, Food,
7		and Environment. Second.
8	CRAMER:	All right. Is there a debate on the
9		substitute motion that's been offered?
10		Kaveh?
11	TAGAVI:	Yes. Thank you for allowing me to speak on
12		my own motion. There is no animosity towards
13		the original motion. It was good work. I
1 4		just really don't believe we have the purview
15		of deciding what happens on a snow day
16		because it's not educational policy. And I
17		don't want to interfere with administration,
18		and I don't want them to interfere with
19		faculty. Because of that, I'm offering this
20		substitute motion.
21	CRAMER:	Akiko?
22	TAKENAKA:	I don't think the substitute motion should
23		overturn the original motion, and the
2 4		substitute motion can be addressed as a
25		different motion. The winter's going to come

1		again, it's going to snow again, and there's
2		going to be the same thing. Why not just pass
3		this so that there's some clarity and if
4		there is a need to address the larger
5		question?
6	TAGAVI:	Parliamentary inquiry
7	CRAMER:	Quickly, Kaveh.
8	TAGAVI:	The debate should be on this motion, not the
9		previous motion. So I don't see
10	CRAMER:	But I think Akiko was speaking against
11		Kaveh, Akiko was speaking against that motion
12		that you offered and Roger seconded. I think
13		that that's in order.
14	TAKENAKA:	What if I may, what I was trying to do was
15		to separate this motion from the previous
16		motion and say that they can co-exist
17		together.
18	CRAMER:	So not Parliamentary, but perhaps you're
19		speaking against the substitute motion. Bob?
20	GROSSMAN:	Bob Grossman, A&S. I strongly disagree with
21		my colleague Kaveh Tagavi, that this is not a
22		question of educational policy. Of course,
23		the decision whether to close the campus is
2 4		not educational policy, but faculty were
25		asking us, what how are they permitted to

2 4

teach their courses in the case when the campus is closed? That is clearly a question, a prerogative of faculty, trying to make sure that they convey the material or have the students do the activities that are necessary for them to learn the material, especially in cases where campus might be closed, not just for one day, but for several days, which you might remember has happened in the not too distant past.

So this is the -- and again, Jay Blanton was at these meetings, and conveyed his approval. He did not think that this policy was stepping on administration prerogatives. I'm sure he would have said so, if that was his opinion, and he did not say so. So I don't think that this argument holds any water at all.

THYNE:

Aaron, can I jump in just to make sure everybody knows where we're at? I mean, technically we are debating these motions against each other, so you just need to say that you're going to put the question in front of everybody, so the substitute motion becomes the main motion. And then these

		10,
1		debates make sense. That's assuming nobody
2		wants to amend Kaveh's motion. We're just
3		going to say that's perfect, now these two
4		motions up against each other.
5	CRAMER:	All right. Guys, we got to be quick. Jack?
6		Oh, I lost Jack. There you go, Jack.
7	KIRN:	Yes. I agree with Senator Grossman there.
8		That we spoke about modality, and we've
9		addressed that. The ombuds made a comment
10		about that, so I'm I support what he said.
11		I am in this change in motion.
12	CRAMER:	Marilyn, then DeShana, then back to Kaveh.
13		Marilyn?
1 4	DUNCAN:	I'm also speaking against the substitute
15		motion. I believe the main motion addresses
16		the most important and most common issue.
17		And it's not only snow days, I mean, we could
18		have a tornado or something that could happen
19		during the summer session or during the very
20		beginning of classes maybe in August.
21		I think it addresses the main motion, and I
22		think it allows faculty the ability to keep
23		their course moving forward. A lot of us
2 4		feel there's a very set amount of material
2 5		that needs to be covered in a limited time.
	l	

1 And since we all learn how to do Zoom during the pandemic, why not be able to use that as 2 3 we can, as long as there's an option for students, which will probably be the minority, but for students who do not have 5 internet access, if they have an alternative for an asynchronous session. So I'm in 8 support of the first motion in case we ever got a chance to vote on it, and I'm opposed 9 10 to the substitute motion. Thank you. 11 CRAMER: DeShana? 12 COLLETT: I want to make a motion to call the question. CRAMER: Is there a second for DeShana's motion to 13 1 4 call the question? I see a number of seconds 15 all over the place. All right. To call a 16 question requires a two thirds vote of the voting membership here, which I have is 87. 17 If you'd like to vote in favor of DeShana's 18 19 motion to call the question, please use the 20 Zoom raise-hand feature right now. 21 DEBSKI: Sorry. I'm losing track of what we're voting 22 on. We're voting on opposing, calling the 23 question. Is that what we're voting on? CRAMER: We're voting -- sorry. We're voting now. 24 25 the question should be clear perhaps before I

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

open voting. We're voting now whether to end debate on the substitute motion, and just go to voting on the substitute motion.

So raising your hand now would mean that we're going to quit debating on the substitute motion and just take a vote on the substitute motion.

DEBSKI: Fine. Thank you.

CRAMER: And I have a two thirds number here. So the

-- consider the debate is ended on the substitute motion. I'm going to lower all

the hands. All right. So motion number two

then is whether to accept the substitute $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

motion from Kaveh? So voting yes means that

we're going to consider the substitute motion $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

instead of the original motion as amended by

Bob Grossman. So let me get motion number

two up on the screen.

Motion from the floor number two is to accept

Kaveh Tagavi's substitute motion, which is

not to adopt the rule change, but to refer to

matter either back to the committee or to

Senate Council, including the larger question

of temporary modality changes in courses.

So a vote yes would be to accept this

22

2 4

25

substitute motion, and a vote no would be to retain the original motion as amended by Bob Grossman with the workforce.

Voting is open now.

So the substitute motion is defeated. So we're considering then the motion as amended by Bob Grossman, which is the motion from the committee with the word course added.

All right. I'm closing voting now.

Is there any further debate on that motion?

Kaveh, I think I've called on you more than

twice on this topic now. All right.

All right. Seeing no further debate, give me a second to get my slideshow correct.

All right. So we are considering the question of the -- to approve the proposed rule change as modified to add the word "course" through the previous amendment.

Voting on that question is now open.

And closing voting on this item, that motion passes.

Okay. So that rule changes is approved as amended.

All right. Obviously there's more discussion we have on that item perhaps, and Senate

1 Council obviously has been following this debate and seeing this. And so if further action on this items warranted Senate Council 3 will consider addressing those topics. The next item on the agenda is proposed 5 changes to governing regulations. Marcy Deaton and Katherine McCormick, are you ready 8 to give a quick overview of the changes to the GRs that are proposed? 9 10 DEATON: Yes, I'm here, Aaron. This is Marcy, I don't 11 know where Katherine is. 12 CRAMER: Go ahead, Marcy. DEATON: Okay. Next slide. Okay. We have four 13 1 4 regulation -- governing regulations that need 15 a fairly minor updates for our SACS report. 16 This is just a slide from our SACS office 17 from Katherine's unit, showing where we need to be by September 8th, and that's why we're 18 19 bringing these GRs now. In fact, they've 20 already been to the board last week for their 21 first reading, but the second reading will 22 not be until June for final approval. You've 23 probably seen that slide if you've worked on 24 SACS at all. Next slide. 2.5 Okay. So I've been working with Katherine

/

1 4

2 4

and a few other people to determine within the SACS narratives, they've already been drafted, which governing rigs and administrative rigs. But right now we're focused on the governing rigs are referred to and in which standards and for what reason. In doing that, we found all of -- almost all of the GRs are referenced at least once, some, many times, but in reviewing why they're referenced and then reviewing the actual GR, only these four needed some updates, and then I'll go into those one by one. Next slide.

Okay. So the first one is GR I, which is the title of its university definition. It lists all the colleges and when they're approved and our mission -- vision, mission, values, all of that. So these are all changes to names of -- or adding like Lewis Honors

College, changing the name of College of Law, there's a change in campus sales from

Director of Students Center to EVPFA, because the responsibility has changed. We updated the vision statement to be consistent with the strategic vision that was approved as

'/

2 4

2.5

part of the strategic plan that the GR had not caught up. So that's a clarifi -- I guess, a catch up.

And then finally there was this rather long section on solicitation of funds, which no one could figure out why we have, because it's no longer being used. So we eliminated that. So those were the changes to GR I.

Next slide.

In II, the only change is that the title of the Chief Audit Executive has changed that particular title, but rather than having a particular person's title, we've changed it to persons with responsibility for accountability and compliance. And the context of that is that that person or those persons, you have reports to the board regularly about audit and compliance activities around the university. So we just generalize that rather than continue to use a specific person.

And in GR IV, which is your GR about the Senate, we removed associate provost for undergraduate education from Senate membership because that position no longer

3

5

9

10

11 12

13

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

2.5

exists. We added the Dean of Lewis Honors College, because it wasn't listed at all, we hadn't updated this rig in a few years and then changed a few titles that were also kind of out of whack like College of Ag had not been updated to be with food and environment. And I think there was another couple of those, but just title changes. Next. In Student Affairs, we changed all the references from Vice-President for Student Affairs, to the new title of Vice President for Student Success. And then we also, by doing that, noticed that the -- this GR was particularly old and still referred to student rights and responsibilities document and other documents that are now part of the student code, which has become an AR since this GR last got updated. So again, it's all except the strategic vision in GR I changing. All of it is updated titles of people, units, colleges, or documents. Oh, so there were -- and the reason we're

bringing them to you is because GR XIII, which is our governing rig on rigs, says that GRs have to have two readings, and that the

1 President, the University Senate, Staff Senate and SGA, have to have an opportunity 2 3 to recommend on the revisions prior to the final action of the board, which will be in June. So that is why we're here seeking your 5 6 recommendation on these revisions. CRAMER: All right. So, yeah, they're posted with the 7 agenda. As you've heard, the Senate has to 8 have been provided the opportunity to endorse 9 10 or not endorse the proposed changes to these 11 GRs. They're brought to you from Senate 12 Council with a recommendation to endorse the changes. Are there any questions of fact for 13 1 4 Marcy about the changes? Julie? 15 JULIE: A quick question. Is a vision statement the 16 same as strategic visit -- vision statement, 17 or are you saying that the vision statement has changed to this new wording? 18 19 CRAMER: Martha? 20 PETERSON: Yes, it's changed because the current GR I 21 that's online now still has I think that the 22 vision was going to be that we would be a top 23 20 research organization or something like 24 that. But in the last two strategic plans 2.5 that the board has approved, that has been

1		changed, and the GR just had not caught up.
2		So we're trying to realign it with what the
3		board has actually approved as the
4		university's vision statement.
5	JULIE:	Got it. Thank you.
6	PETERSON:	Thanks.
7	CRAMER:	Any other, hopefully quick questions about
8		the proposed GR changes.
9		All right. If not Julie, I'm assuming
10		your hand is still up just from before, but
11		we'll check.
12	JULIE:	Oh, yeah. I'll take it down.
13	CRAMER:	We have a motion from Senate Council to
1 4		that Senate endorse the proposed changes to
15		GR I, II, IV, and XI. Is there any debate on
16		this motion?
17		All right. Seeing none, this is the motion
18		for the Senate to endorse. And voting is
19		open.
2 0		And closing voting now, that motion passes.
21		So the Senate endorses the proposed change to
22		the GR.
23	DEATON:	Thank you. I appreciate your time.
2 4	CRAMER:	All right. Unless I hear objections now, the
2 5		next item is an item that Senate Council
	•	

Ю

/

2 4

recommended extending the badge pilot that we consider previously through spring 2023.

This is an item Senate Council can handle for example, this retreat next week, and we are getting close on time. And so unless I hear objections now, I'm going to -- yeah. Send in any comments you have to, let's say Sheila Brothers and we'll handle this at the Senate Council retreat next week.

Hearing no objections, we'll move on past this item. Don't vote on it, as I move past the voting sites for.

The next item is a proposed establishment of a Comprehensive Transition and Postsecondary Program. Johnny Collett is the Deputy Director of the Human Development Institute, he's here with us. And Johnny, hopefully you can give us an exceptionally brief, but a clear presentation of what's being proposed. They've discussed this with Senate Council a couple of times, and the council outlined a couple of a few items that I believe required Senate approval for them to move forward, and so these are passing these motions from Senate Council in the slides that follow.

2 4

Johnny, are you ready?

COLLETT:

I am, thank you. So I'll share just a brief presentation as brief as I can, and certainly be happy to respond to questions senders may have about the program or the materials they received last week. So next slide.

You'll see a little bit of information about

Human Development Institute here at HDI, the item that you're considering today is specifically about students with intellectual disabilities in higher education. And thinking about Kentucky very quickly, we know that only 7% -- you know, 7%, just 70, students with intellectual disabilities in Kentucky are enrolled in college or university in the year after they exit high school.

But at the same time, as you can see on the screen, we know that when they engage in post-secondary education, that things get better. You know, they're more likely to be employed, to work more hours, to earn more per hour, to have a greater range of options in terms of vocations. And at HDI, we've done this work of supporting institutions of

2 4

higher education in this space for a number of years as you can see there with different sort of funding support. I'll mention very briefly the state budget, because I think it's really important.

The last three state budgets have provided funding to HDI, to advance the academic success and social inclusion of students with intellectual disabilities, in colleges across the Commonwealth. In fact, HDI is working this space is one of you case mandated programs in the state budget. So we use these funds to help current programs enhance their work and to help interested colleges and universities establish new programs.

Next slide.

So the comprehensive and transition -- sorry, next slide. Yes. Thank you. Comprehensive Transition and Postsecondary Programs, or we'll just say CTP. So these are programs that, you know, you don't just kind of start these, right? They go through an approval process at the US Department of Education, and when approved, these programs -- that means we can offer financial aid, federal

23

24

2.5

financial aid. And in Kentucky, that also means students with intellectual disabilities enrolled in an approved CTP, they get their Kentucky Educational Excellence Scholarship, or their KEES Funding. And in addition with the passage of Senate bill 94, just this past session, students enrolled in a CTP will also now be eligible for the work ready Kentucky Scholarship Program. The governor signed that CV94 into law on March 29th. So you see the current programs that we have that are approved programs, that are active programs, Northern Kentucky University, and my apologies MSU in this case is Murray State University, and also Bluegrass Community & Technical College, University of Kentucky submitted its application to US Department of Education on April 12th, 2022. Next slide. You'll see some high level points related to the proposed CTP here at UK. I won't linger here, unless we could certainly go back to it, but you also have in your materials from last week the full application narrative that was submitted to the department. Next slide. So in terms of what this means for us, what

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

2 4

25

this meant for UK faculty, you know, the opportunities to learn about -- continue to learn about and participate in inclusive higher education. And we know that that's certainly consistent with our mission at HDI, we believe it aligns well with our commitments as a university to diversity, equity, and inclusion. There's some descriptions there and some conversation around, you know, credit versus audit, how that kind of works in this program. Faculty can be assured of support as you need it and not need any more support than you would want, we also really excited about mentoring opportunities for students that we've proposed as well. So with that, I'll take my cue from you, Dr. Cramer.

CRAMER:

All right. So the HDI discussed this with Senate Council, you know, probably several months ago, we articulated three items, particularly the name of the credential that might be offered for students completing the program, what the requirements for completing the program would be, and how students might be admitted into the university for the

2

3

4

5

6

/

8

9

10

11

13

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 4

25

purposes of this program. And so the three motions that you have from Senate Council are essentially motions addressing those three elements, which Senate Council heard and recommends approval to the university Senate pending approval by the Department of Education for the CTP program at the University of Kentucky.

These are all very highly related, so I think, you know, given we have the three motions from Senate Council, I think we'll just take questions of fact about the whole program first, and then we'll debate the motions and vote on the motions subsequently. So are there any questions of fact about the nature of the CTP program proposal that's before the University Senate? Seeing none, the first motion is from Senate Council, which is to approve pending Department of Education approval for the CTP application at UK, the naming of the credential college and career studies. there debate on this motion? Seeing none, the first question is before you.

2.5

Closing voting now, that motion passes. All right. The second motion was to approve again, pending the Department of Education approval, the CTP application. The proposed program requirements for the college and career studies CTP at UK. Is there any debate on this motion, which comes to you from Senate Council?

Seeing none, you have this second question on this application.

And closing voting now, that motion passes.

And the third motion is a proposed change to the Senate Rules to allow students

And the third motion is a proposed change to the Senate Rules to allow students specifically to participate in this program to be admitted by virtue of their admission to this program. Is there any debate on this motion?

Seeing none, you have the third question.

All right. And closing voting now on the third question, and that motion passes. So certainly the Senate offers its best wishes with the Department of Education proposal, to our HDI colleagues.

The next item is an opportunity for items from the floor. It is 4:48, there probably

•

-

1 4

is room if somebody wants to raise an item from the floor, but please save me a couple of minutes at the end, a few minutes for me and DeShana here at the end.

I see no items from the floor, so as noted here, a few more slides remain prior to adjournment. So please hang with us for a few moments more.

I'd like to offer some closing comments.

This is my last Senate meeting as Chair.

That's hard for me to believe. In some ways,

I feel like my term started unofficially on

March 13th, 2020. Jennifer Bird-Pollan was

clearly still the Chair of the University

Senate Council at that time, but it was the

last Senate Council meeting in-person of that

semester. We actually met in this room on

that day. And we met for four hours to

hammer out the policy adjustments that were

needed for the remainder of the Spring 2020

semester.

I recall, I think Anna Bosch is on a call.

She was earlier. Anna Bosch commented at that meeting that we should be thinking about policies beyond spring 2020, that the

Ь

2 4

pandemic would keep affecting us. And I just remember naively thinking that surely the pandemic would pass before fall 2020.

In that meeting, the responsibilities of the chair became real to me. Jennifer did an exceptional job of steering us through the rest of that semester in the beginning of the pandemic. But behind the scenes, she did an

even better job of bringing me on board, and

making sure I was fully connected during this

first month of the pandemic.

She made sure I was ready to go from day one and that's something I will always be grateful for. Day one came on June 1st 2020, Senate Council does not generally meet during the summer. So I probably hold the record for the Senate Council Chair who had the earliest meeting within their term.

Senate Council met on June 1st, my first day as Senate Council Chair. And it continued to meet throughout that summer to ensure that we were as ready as possible for the next year. It was not just Senate Council, faculty across the university participated widely in

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter An/Dor Reporting & Video Technologies, Inc.

discussions to how best to move forward.

2 4

With the start of the academic year there came administrative reorganization, personnel changes, and some governance challenges. We made it through the fall semester, but challenges remained while faculty were initially praised for adopting and utilizing the best available pedagogical approaches to be able to continue teaching our students in that time. Only a semester or two later, a faculty were sometimes made to feel that those solutions were failing our institution or our students.

In the spring of 2021, we started to see widespread vaccination of our faculty, staff, and students, and a glimpse of hope emerged. Yeah, we would soon be faced with even greater governance challenges related to academic unit budgets in the appointment of Chief Academic Officers. The semester was concluded with President Capilouto's strong reaffirmation of the importance of shared governance for our institution. And we did celebrate the first in-person commencement for more than a year last spring.

Last summer was relatively tranquil, but it

2 4

gave way to a new academic year where the start of each semester was rocked by a new variant wave, with a Delta Omicron. We continued as well as we could, but you know, our large vaccination numbers provided some defense and it appears that we weathered the disruptions as well as possible.

As we finish this year, I feel like our university's at a transition point. The faculty will need to decide how they engage in the next phase. At the beginning of the pandemic, there was great enthusiasm to engage in the planning and other activities needed to start the 2020-2021 year. This enthusiasm came at great personal cost. As people were without the ordinary support structures in their lives. As time passed, enthusiasm faded, people were tired and sometimes demoralizing decisions made difficult times even harder.

The pandemic dragged on, continuing to impose a tax sometimes visible, but often not on the energy of our people. There's much said in the higher education press about the great disengagement. There are many ways for an

2

3

5

0

9

10

11 12

13

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

0 -

25

institution to die, but chronic disengagement of its faculty is surely one of them. The faculty need to decide now how the next phase will look. I hope everyone takes some time during the summer to recharge and refocus. Think about what moving forward looks like for you, and ask yourself what you will do to contribute to this vision. I've been so grateful to serve such wonderful people. The Senate Council, the University Senate, the faculty largely, and our whole institution. Each day, there was some reminder of what I was fighting for. The thing I think I'm most grateful for is to be succeeded. Well, I'm so excited will be represented by DeShana Collett next year. I often say that she has all of my strengths and none of my weaknesses. I think she would probably disagree, but I know that she has a heart for you and for this institution, and that she will serve us to the best of her ability. I also know that you'll support her to the best of your ability as you have me. And I look forward enthusiastically to what happens next.

1 At this point, we typically would have a ceremonial passing of the gavel. I have not had the opportunity to really use this gavel 3 in the past two years and maybe DeShana will, but let's see if we've got this. Hang on. 5 Maybe I have to not share my screen here. 7 All right. So I think you can see the room here. Here's the gavel, here's DeShana. 8 DeShana, you're going to do fantastically. 9 10 COLLETT: Thank you, Aaron. I want to express my 11 gratitude and appreciation for your service, 12 Aaron. Your voice, and ultimately your 13 courageous leadership over these past two 1 4 years. I'm honored to receive this gavel, 15 step into this role. There are challenges and 16 opportunities that we will continue to share 17 together in the coming academic year. I look forward to working with everyone in 18 representing Senate Council, and Senate in 19 20 the meetings, and meeting these challenges 21 and opportunities. I thank you. 22 CRAMER: All right. Let's see. Make sure everybody's 23 muted, I'm muted. All right. I think you 24 guys can hear me now, right? Yes. Can you 25 now hear me? Sure can you hear me now? Yep.

_

O

/

All right. So we've heard from DeShana.

We're going to hear more from DeShana, and she's going to be fantastic for us, and I'm really convinced our best days are ahead.

That being said, unless I hear objections now we will adjourn. It's been just an absolute pleasure working with you. Let me pull up the participant window, make sure nobody's objecting to adjournment. Good luck with your finals. Make sure to get your grades turned in on time, I hope to see as many of you as possible at commencement. It's been a pleasure. We are adjourned.