

[The University of Kentucky text as a link to the main page.](#)

[The University Senate text as a link to the main page.](#)

University Senate Minutes - May 9, 2005

The University Senate met on Monday May 9, 2005 at 3:00pm in the Auditorium of the W. T. Young Library and took the following actions.

Absences: Albisetti*, Alexander, Anderman, Baldwin, Barker, Baxter*, Beattie*, Berryman, Bhavsar, Biagi, Blandford*, Braun, Brown, Burke*, Butler, Carter, Catavolos, Caudill, Cavagnero, Cheng*, Cibulka, Cohen, Darnall, Debski, DeSimone, Diedrichs, Duffy, Durant, Edgerton, Eldred*, Ellis, Filak, Fink, Gabel, Gaetke*, Garen, Gargola, Gesund*, Getchell, Gonzalez, Gross, Haist, Hanson, Hoch, Hoffman, Infanger, Isaacs, Jefferies, Johnson, Kerley, Lester, Look, M. Martin, McCormick*, McKinney, Michael, Mobley, Mohney, Noonan, Odoi, Owen, Perman, Perrier*, Portillo, Pulito, Ray, Roberts, Robinson, Royce, Shaw, Shay, S. Smith, Steltenkamp, Sudharshan, Tagavi*, Terrell, Todd, Tsang, S. Turner, W. Turner, Vasconez*, Vestal, Walker, C. Williams, E. Williams, Wise*, Witt, Wyatt, Yelowitz.

*Denotes Excused Absence

1. Minutes from April 11, 2005

The Chair asked if there were any corrections to the minutes. There being none, the minutes were approved as written.

2. Announcements

The Chair announced that a Toole Design workshop regarding a bicycle plan for both UK and the larger community would be held during the afternoon and would continue past the time of adjournment for those faculty members who wish to participate.

The Chair turned his attention to the matter of the departing Provost and thanked Nietzel for his years of serve, offering gratitude for his intellectual and administrative labors. The Provost thanked the Chair and the previous two Chairs with whom he had worked in an administrative capacity. He added that over his years in administration he tried to think of himself as a faculty member whose DOE was merely more on one side of the scale than the other and had used this mindset to keep the needs of the faculty in perspective.

3. Proposed changes to Senate Rules regarding ACMC

Proposed changes to Senate Rules regarding ACMC ([DOC](#))

The Chair noted that the proposed changes were forwarded to the Senate by the Senate Council with a positive recommendation. He invited Dembo, who chaired the ad hoc committee from which the recommendations emanated, to address the Senate. Dembo provided some background information and outlined the content of Recommendation 1 and Recommendation 2, the committee's charge, and the proposed changes to Senate Rules. The Provost commented that he proposed changes to Senate Rules were acceptable to his office from an administrative perspective.

Jennings suggested that the bolding on the word "no" regarding conflict of interest in the person of the potential HCCC chair be removed. Dembo replied that that word should not have been in bold. Grossman suggested that the entire line be deleted. Dembo noted that the proposed changes to Senate Rules were being voted upon, not the recommendations. The Chair suggested accepting that change as a friendly amendment. Bailey noted that the word "alternates" should be deleted from the last sentence on the section regarding membership. The Chair accepted Bailey's suggestion as a friendly amendment. Cibull commended Dembo and the other committee members for doing an outstanding job in finding a workable solution and asked that his comment be included in the minutes. The Chair added his thanks as well.

The motion that was on the floor to approve the changes to Senate Rules regarding the ACMC passed without dissent.

4. Items from Academic Organization and Structure Committee - forwarded from the Senate council with positive recommendations

The Chair noted that all of the proposals under this agenda items were forwarded from the Senate Council with a positive recommendation and were therefore already motions for approval and on the floor for discussion. The Chair invited Bailey as committee chair to address the Senate.

Names changes for Masters in Mining Engineering ([PDF](#)) and PhD in Mining Engineering ([PDF](#)): Bailey reported that nothing controversial had been found during the committee's investigation and that since the routing sheet was received the committee recommended approval. Lineberry from Engineering pointed out one some element of controversy in that the letter sent to Bailey erroneously stated that the college wished to change the name to Mining and Minerals Engineering when the intent was to change the program names to Minerals Engineering. Bailey responded that all the materials he received clearly indicated a proposed name to change to Mining and Minerals Engineering. The Chair recommended that the proposal be withdrawn until the discrepancy could be settled. Bailey agreed, noting that small changes sometimes have unforeseen consequences. Garrity agreed, as a member of the committee, on the basis that the normal channels of approval are intended to make sure that no unpredictable objections arise.

Grossman made a motion to table the item until it undergoes the usual approval process with the revised request to change the name. DeLuca seconded the motion, which passed with one abstention. The proposal will be table indefinitely.

Name change for Family Practice and Community Medicine ([PDF](#)): Bailey presented the item and provided background, noting that the proposal had been through the appropriate channels and had the recommendation of the committee. The motion on the floor to approve the proposal passed without dissent.

Name change for Center for Women's Health ([PDF](#)): Bailey presented the item and noted that the name change better represented the unit's mission. He said the committee viewed the proposal very favorably and recommended approval. The motion on the floor to approve the proposal passed without dissent.

Name change for BHS in Health Administration ([PDF](#)): Bailey presented the proposal to change the name to the BHS in Clinical Leadership Management and provided some background. Jones asked if the faculty of the unit supported the change. Bailey replied that he as committee chair had requested a routing sheet and that the pertinent information reflecting the faculty's support had been received. The motion on the floor to approve the proposal passed without dissent.

5. Items from Academic Programs Committee - forwarded from the Senate council with positive recommendation

The Chair noted that all of the proposals under this agenda items were forwarded from the Senate Council with a positive recommendation and were therefore already motions for approval and on the floor for discussion. The Chair invited Grossman as committee chair to address the Senate.

Dance Minor and Certification ([PDF](#)): Grossman introduced the item and noted that there was no controversy regarding the proposal, which included a routing sheet. The motion on the floor to approve the proposal passed without dissent.

Master of Arts in Teaching World Languages proposal ([PDF](#)): Grossman noted that a correction had been made to the proposal to indicate that it would be administratively housed in the College of Arts and Sciences rather than the Graduate School, adding that the error occurred as a result of a misunderstanding over CPE guidelines. He added that there had been some question at the Senate Council meeting at which the proposal was discussed regarding whether or not the College of Education had processed the proposal through the appropriate approval channels. In the end, the Senate Council voted to forward the proposal with a positive recommendation. Grossman added that the approval procedures for such interdisciplinary, cross-college programs were not well defined, that the approval could have gone more smoothly, but that these were not reasons to vote against a meritorious proposal.

Thelin elaborated that the College of Education curriculum committee had not been consulted on the proposal, that the Dean of the COE had determined that it did not need to be forwarded to the committee in conjunction with the Dean of the Graduate School, and that the crucial step of getting COE faculty input had been omitted. He concluded by saying that the letter and spirit of the regulations regarding shared governance had been violated.

Fiedler replied that the proposal represented an important new initiative and that what did or didn't happen with the College of Education was irrelevant to the content and merit of the proposal. He added that he had contacted the COE to determine how the proposal should be submitted to the curriculum committee and was informed that such a submission was unnecessary. He expressed regret that the process had not gone more smoothly but restated that the proposal was very solid and worthwhile.

After brief further discussion the motion on the floor to approval the proposal passed with two Senators opposed.

Graduate Certificate in Health Administration ([PDF](#)): Grossman introduced the certificate, noting that the rules regarding certificate programs are not well articulated. He added that this certificate program was a reciprocal program with the College of Nursing and would apply only to certain nursing students.

Forgue asked if credit for completion of the certificate program would appear on students' transcripts. Blackwell replied that it would. Harrison asked if the required 9 hours were in addition to the course requirements for the Nursing degree or incorporated within the degree. Blackwell replied that the hours were an addition requirement. Kraemer asked what would happen if the Martin School decided to no longer participate in the reciprocal agreement. Blackwell replied that changes in certificate programs are processed through the regular channels of approval. The motion on the floor to approve the proposal passed without dissent.

Doctor of Physical Therapy ([PDF](#)): Grossman introduced the DPT proposal, noting that it had gone through the appropriate channels. Grossman provided the rationale and background of the proposal, and noted that Terry Malone was present to answer any questions that may arise.

Forgue asked in what way the proposal related to licensure issues. Malone replied that licensure would not be impacted at all at this time. The motion on the floor to approve the proposal passed without dissent.

6. Curricular Items - forwarded from the Senate Council with positive recommendations:

The Chair noted that all of the proposals under this agenda items were forwarded from the Senate Council with a positive recommendation and were therefore already motions for approval and on the floor for discussion.

Program change for BHS in Health Administration (or CLM) ([PDF](#)): Gonzalez presented the proposed programmatic changes to the Senators, noting that it would help serve as a career ladder for students who had Associate Degree and clinical experience by helping them complete a Bachelor's while learning about finance, budgeting, human resources and other issues pertinent to laboratory and clinic management. The motion on the floor to approve the proposal passed without dissent.

CLM 405 ([PDF](#)), CLM 444 ([PDF](#)) and CLM 445 ([PDF](#)) (part of BHS revisions): The Chair noted one minor change in that a new course description for CLM 405 will read "as appropriate for an upper division undergraduate course" to differentiate it from the CSC 605 course with the same description. The motion on the floor to approve the proposal, with the emendation, passed without dissent.

CPH 920 ([PDF](#)), EDS 651 ([PDF](#)), EDS 652 ([PDF](#)): Garrity asked why CPH was a 900-level rather than 800-level course. The Chair replied that both 800 and 900-level classes were professional level courses. CPH 920 passed without dissent, as did EDS 651 and 652.

Other Business

The Chair expressed thanks to Ms. Scott and Ms. Cormney for assistance throughout the year and acknowledged two departing Senate Council members, Kennedy and Kaalund, and thanked them for their advice and counsel. The Chair also thanked the committee chairs and members for their excellent working, saying that they are the very life blood of the Senate. The Chair expressed gratitude toward those members of the Senate whose terms expired, noting that some of them have been re-elected for another three-year term.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned at 4:45 pm.

Respectfully submitted by Ernie Bailey
University Senate Secretary

Prepared by [Rebecca Scott](#) on July 29, 2005.

- [Text Only](#)
- [Equal Opportunity University](#)

- Last updated: September 11, 2009
- [Contact Us](#)

- [Senate Council](#)
- [Committees & Councils](#)
- [Rules & Regulations](#)
- [Curricular Proposals](#)
- [University Calendar](#)
- [Related Links](#)
- [Forms](#)