UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY

SENATE COUNCIL MEETING

* * * * *

MAY 3, 2021

* * * * * * * * *

AARON CRAMER, CHAIR

DESHANA COLLETT, VICE CHAIR

SHEILA BROTHERS, ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR

STEPHANIE WOOLERY, STAFF ASSISTANT

TRISHA B. MORLEY, STENOGRAPHER

* * * *

-			
2	CRAMER:	Hello everyone.	Welcome to the April
3	12th l	Iniversity Senate N	Лeeting. Senate
4	memb	ers, please type yo	our name and
5	affiliat	ion in the chat bo	x for attendance
6	purpo	ses.	
7	The	chat function is n	ot generally
8	active	ly used during the	meeting. It's not
9	monit	ored during the m	eeting, so don't use it
10	active	ely, for example, to	get my attention.
11	I don	t monitor it during	g the meeting. Use

12	the "raise-hand" feature if you need to get
13	my attention during the meeting.
14	Mute yourself when not speaking,
15	otherwise Stephanie will help mute people if
16	they accidentally leave themselves unmuted.
17	This meeting is being recorded for note-
18	taking purposes. If during the course of the
19	meeting a member of the senate is
20	disconnected and cannot reconnect at all,
21	please send an email to Sheila Brothers at
22	that email address so that we are aware of
23	the situation.
24	Recall that senate meetings are open
25	meetings are open meetings. We generally

1	follow Roberts Rules of Order. There is no
2	voting by proxy as we engage in the
3	discussion. Be a good citizen. Here, that
4	specifically means communicating about the
5	senate's activities and its deliberations
6	within your departments and colleges. And
7	participate, please. Feel free if you have
8	something to add to raise your hand at the
9	appropriate moments during our meeting.
10	Most of you will recall this, but just
11	refresh ourselves, the Zoom participants are

12	divided into two categories. We have the
13	panelists and the attendees. Both can
14	participate in the meetings. Both can raise
15	their hand. We can see when both raise their
16	hand. Voting senators are panelists. So
17	panelists received a specific email from
18	Stephanie Woolery last week with the Zoom
19	information that they're to use to log in to
20	the meeting and are eligible to vote on
21	questions before the senate.
22	Nonvoting senators and guests or
23	attendees don't get a separate Zoom link.
24	They can use the Zoom link at the senate's
25	site. And do not vote. They can raise their

1	hand and be recognized and speak and so
2	forth, but this just helps partition the
3	participants between the voting members and
4	the nonvoting members.
5	To speak for any reason or otherwise be
6	recognized, including to make motions or
7	seconds or vote, use the "raise-hand" button
8	at the bottom of the screen. If you cannot
9	see it, click on the three dots with more
10	beneath it and then click the "raise-hand"
11	button. This has changed over certain Zoom

12	iterations. Previously, this feature was
13	located in the participants window. If you
14	haven't updated your Zoom version, I believe
15	it's under "reactions," or maybe it's just at
16	the bottom.
17	When we vote, I will call for votes in
18	favor, and then separately I'll call for
19	votes opposed. And then an abstention, when
20	you vote as a panelist, when you vote,
21	you'll vote by clicking on the Zoom button
22	"raise-hand." Leave your hand up. When you
23	leave your hand up, then Stephanie will
24	record the votes, and Stephanie will clear
25	the hands. You don't have to clear the hands

1	if you're voting. Leave your hand up so we
2	can ensure that we count your vote.
3	We'll document the numbers voting in the
4	minority, the individuals voting the minority
5	and those specifically abstaining. These are
6	smaller numbers and easier to record. If the
7	vote's perceived to be close, then
8	Stephanie's prepared to assist with a roll-
9	call vote.
10	This slide's in lieu of a more
11	concentrated effort to remind you to state

12	your name and college affiliation during the
13	meeting, but before you speak, please
14	remember to state your name and college
15	affiliation. This will be this is
16	important now as we have the transcript
17	prepared now. It'll become even more
18	important at the moment when we might have an
19	in-person meeting again. So I don't want to
20	lose that discipline of remembering to state
21	your name and college affiliation before
22	speaking in these meetings.
23	The first item on the Agenda are the
24	minutes from the March 8th meeting and
25	announcements. The minutes from March 8th

1	were distributed last week. No changes were
2	received in the Senate Council Office. So
3	unless objections are heard now, the minutes
4	from March 8th will stand approved by
5	unanimous consent. Are there any objections?
6	Seeing none, the minutes are approved.
7	A number of announcements: ITS had
8	previously announced that they were going to
9	end support for Scantron on campus. You
10	know, there were some questions how were
11	faculty that are using Scantron to, for

12	example, teach large courses consulted with
13	to make this decision and so forth.
14	Recently, ITS has reconsidered and
15	they're going to continue support for
16	Scantron, at least going forward for a while.
17	I've offered, also, to ITS to help facilitate
18	conversations at the time when it may be
19	necessary to permanently end support for
20	Scantron to have conversations with the
21	faculty that this would impact the most. And
22	so we'll see how that proceeds going forward.
23	The University Senate Hearing Panel has
24	a current case that it's going to hear.
25	They'll hold a formal hearing. That'll begin

1	April 22nd, but the sessions are scheduled
2	over four days. There will be a link to the
3	live stream for this hearing. It's an open
4	hearing that will be provided on the senate
5	website as soon as we get it.
6	Also, they emailed notices to senators
7	of new web transmittals that you get
8	sometimes now include a list of the items on
9	the transmittal to facilitate the senators'
10	review of these transmittals. This is a part
11	of being a senator and representing your

12	colleagues is seeing what items are being
13	approved in that way by the senate and making
14	sure that your colleagues' concerns about
15	these items would be addressed. So make sure
16	you're aware of what's being approved through
17	this process of web transmittal in case it
18	has effects on your units or colleges.
19	There's been discussion on the list
20	served for the Coalition of Senate and
21	Faculty Leadership. This is the Kentucky
22	organizations that includes, like, senate
23	chairs, faculty trustees and so forth for the
24	public universities in Kentucky. There have
25	been active discussion about this Gray

1	Associates activity that the Council on
2	Postsecondary Education was undertaking. A
3	lot of concerns about how that date is being
4	used and what the nature of the report is.
5	The CP has decided no longer to send a
6	representative to meet with COSFL on the
7	topic. They said talk to your academic
8	leadership on your campus.
9	Generally, there just seems to be a lot
10	of uncertainty about what the point of the
11	exercise was and how that's going to be used

12	going forward. These concerns don't seem
13	particularly, at least to my reading, to
14	impact UK in a very meaningful way, but this
15	is just something if I hear more, I'll let
16	you know about it.
17	The last deadline here is this today?
18	April 12th, right? Today is the last day for
19	courses and all other program changes in
20	minors to be received by the Senate Council
21	Office. If you're seeking a Fall '21
22	effective date, you know, we continue to try
23	to move through what we can move through.
24	But, realistically, if the proposals aren't
25	in the Senate Council Office by today, then

1	they're not likely to be approved in time for
2	Fall '21. There will be new deadlines for
3	next academic year, which we'll probably
4	start discussing in May at our May meeting.
5	The next item on the agenda are officer
6	and other reports. So, for the chairs'
7	report, recall that the senate rules give the
8	chair and the Senate Council authority to
9	take some actions on behalf of senate if
10	they're reported to the senate. Senate
11	Council approved a course calendar for AT640.

12	This is a short course prior to the start of
13	fall sports, and then also for GE300. Again,
14	a short course in August 2021 to provide a
15	field work opportunity before the start of
16	the normal fall semester.
17	Another item that was reviewed by the
18	chair was a temporary modality change for
19	EAP599 for Summer '21. This is an education
20	abroad course, but the current situation
21	doesn't permit them to go abroad, and so the
22	chair I determined that that modality
23	change is consistent with the Senate
24	Council's provision for alternative delivery
25	modes for courses due to the pandemic through

1	Summer '21.
2	Vice Chair DeShana Collett will mention
3	both of these next two items, hopefully,
4	during her report as well, but these are
5	items are worth hearing more than one time.
6	You would've received a communication from
7	DeShana last week regarding the Outstanding
8	Senator Award. Please nominate your
9	colleagues in the senate. This is an
10	opportunity for us to recognize people that
11	are contributing in meaningful ways to the

13	consider to be strong service in this area.
14	Also, you should've received an email
15	about the 2020-2021 Faculty Evaluation of the
16	President. We can tell if a survey's
17	submitted, but we can't tie any data to the
18	respondents, so it is an anonymous survey,
19	assuming you don't provide any identifiable
20	information in the comment fields.
21	Really, I would like you to fill these
22	out and encourage your colleagues to submit
23	them as well. One of the things, when I
24	present these votes to the Board of Trustees,
25	that they look at is the response rate from

senate and set good examples of what we

12

1	faculty. They want to know whether faculty
2	are engaged and actually paying attention to
3	how the president is doing in the
4	institution. So a really strong turnout from
5	the faculty. Puts me in a better position to
6	have that conversation with the Board of
7	Trustees. So, please, for me, fill it out
8	yourself, and also really encourage your
9	colleagues to submit. This activity is one
10	of the activities organized by the vice
11	chair, and I expect DeShana will mention this

12	hear in her report as well.
13	But my own personal plug, please, put me
14	in a stronger position standing in front of
15	the Board of Trustees describing these
16	results by helping generate a stronger
17	turnout.
18	Senate Council is having a retreat in
19	the summer. This is something we tend to do
20	every summer. We did not last summer because
21	of the pandemic. Instead, we had a sequence
22	of meetings that were sort of abnormally
23	scheduled during the summer. It looks like
24	we're going to have an in-person meeting with

the option for some of the members to attend,

25

1	but we're going to have a retreat this summer
2	to discuss some bigger issues.
3	In the March meeting, last meeting, we
4	had a robust discussion on the pilot of the
5	three test-optional admissions. And we
6	discussed briefly in that meeting the
7	requirement that faculty approved college-
8	wide test-elective admissions. The prior
9	action on this was approval for non-test-
10	elective admission, but some of the colleges
11	have their own criteria that were test-based

12	selective	admissions

13	The list of colleges on the admissions
14	site that were listed as having selective
15	admissions programs including colleges that
16	simply were not APP-accepting. They didn't
17	accept students that didn't meet the state
18	college-readiness indicators.
19	After some emails back and forth with
20	Associate Vice President Harper, it appeared
21	that engineering and nursing were the primary
22	colleges with test-score-based selective
23	admissions. So I appreciate Vice President
24	Harper's assistance with this.
25	It appears that nursing has had some

1	faculty vote on their test score options, but
2	the Provost indicated that he's going to
3	follow up with nursing to ensure that that
4	happened.
5	Engineering had a vote last week, a
6	faculty vote on this, and they unanimously
7	passed the change in test-optional admission
8	in the College of Engineering, so that was a
9	good outcome.
10	That three-year pilot and, actually, the
11	earlier pilot prompted some discussion

12	between me and the SAASC Chair, Jennifer
13	Bird-Pollan. SAASC is still looking and
14	discussing broadly, as well as the senate's
15	authority over admissions rules and looking
16	at the current processes and seeing areas
17	where senate oversight and current practices
18	conflict. And so this is an opportunity to
19	draw our attention places where the senate
20	needs to play a more active role here in
21	ensure that it's providing properly for the
22	admissions policies of the institution.
23	Senate Council also discussed campus-
24	wide committee nominees in March. One of the
25	committees is the senate's Advisory Committee

1	on the faculty code. This is in Section 7 of
2	the Senate Rules. There's s three panels
3	that are appointed by the President that are
4	part of this. Senate Council opted not to
5	provide nominees at this time for that
6	committee. There were questions about what's
7	the nature of the faculty code; what effect
8	does it have; what authority does the senate
9	have to enforce a faculty code.
10	And so Senate Council voted to ask,
11	ultimately, the senate what it wants to do to

12	deal with Section 7. Does it want to
13	establish an ad hoc committee to look at this
14	to consider deleting or advising or
15	addressing in some other way. We're not
16	going to do that today, but I wanted to put
17	this on your in your mind so you have a
18	chance to think about, hey, what's in Section
19	7, in case you haven't had a chance to flip
20	back to Section 7 of the Senate Rules. It's
21	currently unclear if the Governing
22	Regulations delegate oversight of faculty to
23	the senate in this way. It describes a
24	process to raise complaints against faculty
25	who violate the code in Senate Rule 7.3.

1	There's a provision of possible
2	sanctions, including warnings and reprimands
3	and forfeiture of pay, recommendation for
4	dismissal and so forth. You know, whether
5	the senate actually has authority to
6	construction these sanctions or maintain
7	these types of sanctions is what's at issue
8	here. I'm continuing to look into the issue.
9	I've got a meeting scheduled, I think, this
10	week with the General Counsel's Office to
11	discuss a little bit of their interpretation

of the situation there.

13	And Senate Council will discuss it some
14	more and will bring it back to the Senate in
15	May, but I wanted to at least put the issue
16	in front of you so you have a chance to think
17	about it over the next few weeks.
18	Also, there's been a general preference
19	expressed through the administration for Fall
20	'21 teaching modalities to be as normal as
21	possible. It is recognized that there could
22	be instances that some kind of accommodation
23	might be required, and I've been working with
24	the Provost Office on a compromised framework
25	for how that might look.

1	As we get closer to something that might	
2	be agreeable to everyone, more information	1
3	will be shared about it. The senate has a	
4	role in that because the senate has a role in	
5	the approval of courses to be delivered and	
6	certain types of modes and so forth, so look	
7	for more information on that as we get close	er
8	to the May meeting.	
9	The next item on our agenda is the	
10	Provost's Report. Provost Blackwell, ready?	·
11	BLACKWELL: All present and accounted for, Aa	ron.

- 12 CRAMER: Whenever you're ready...
- 13 BLACKWELL: Well, thank you very much for the time.
- 14 And I also want to thank all of you for what
- has really been a superb performance by our
- 16 faculty this spring in creating a robust
- 17 educational experience and, certainly, a
- campus that has come much more alive since the
- 19 fall. And I want to continue to recognize
- 20 your efforts and your sacrifices as faculty
- 21 members to help the university largely
- 22 successfully navigate this pandemic. It
- continues to be a concern. We continue to
- look forward, and we wouldn't be here today --
- which I think is 39 days left in the semester

1	without your efforts and your hard work.
2	And, as always, I want to recognize your
3	service as senators and as being an important
4	part of shared governance. Not just because
5	it's in the rules, but because our faculty
6	consists of a lot of really smart, dedicated
7	people with good ideas, and we certainly want
8	to hear those ideas and share with you how to
9	best get things done.
10	Also, before I get into things that I
11	really wanted to address, I though I would

12	mentioned a new, relatively new summer effort
13	that has been in planning stages for several
14	weeks now. This is targeted at approximately
15	500 students for the second part of the
16	summer, the second six weeks, if you will.
17	The idea behind this is that our first-
18	time freshman coming in have had something
19	much less than a robust, normal senior year in
20	high school. And, further, last year's first-
21	time freshman had something far less than the
22	normal, robust freshman year in college.
23	And so the idea was to offer a early
24	opportunity to come to campus, to have a
25	residential experience with full wrap-around

1	services, extracurricular activities and
2	academic support, along with a set of courses
3	that would enable strong academic progression
4	and allow these students to get a head start,
5	if you will, and perhaps recapture some of the
6	lost experience that they may have had.
7	We have, I think, about 25 courses that
8	would be offered and students would be allowed
9	to take two courses, six hours, in addition to
10	participating in a compliment of
11	extracurricular activities and support

12 activities.

13	I want to applaud the colleges and the
14	faculty for bringing these courses to us. I
15	want to emphasize that we never wanted any
16	pressure on anyone to deliver these courses.
17	We really wanted the coalition of the willing,
18	those that were going to offer something in
19	person. And so we've had a great response to
20	that. We're implementing as we speak, and I
21	just wanted to say thank you for that effort.
22	Next, a few updates: And I'll perhaps
23	start with the Arts & Sciences Interim Dean
24	situation. Since the fall, UK's Office of
25	Internal Audit had been taking a look, a deep

1	dive into the college finances, as well as the
2	Provost's Budget Office. It took some time to
3	get there, but we complied a brief report that
4	was presented by Joe Reed and myself I
5	think it would be two weeks ago today to
6	different groups within the College of Arts &
7	Sciences, including an open forum for the
8	entire college.
9	We made those presentations, and then
10	later in the week I conferred with the College
11	of Arts & Sciences Executive Committee on next

12	steps on the interim dean search, listen to
13	their input on Interim Dean Brady's
14	performance, and also heard their input on the
15	process going forward.
16	And I then told that group that my intent
17	was to also contact a sample of department
18	chairs, associate deans and staff members that
19	report directly to the interim dean. And I
20	made those individual phone calls, all of this
21	in confidence to ask for an evaluation of
22	strengths of Interim Dean Brady and any
23	concerns about Interim Dean Brady. I
24	completed those calls on Friday, and I also
25	received a number of emails from associate

1	deans, department chairs, some individual
2	faculty members on Dean Brady and the next
3	step in that process.
4	I'm digesting all of that information and
5	will hope to make an announcement later this
6	week about the next steps for the interim dean
7	of Arts & Sciences. Of course, kind of
8	aligned with that would be the next steps for
9	the interim or acting dean of the Lewis Honors
10	College. And to that end, I believe it's
11	tomorrow I'm meeting with the Executive

12	Committee of the Lewis Honors College on next
13	steps with respect Acting Dean Bryan. So
14	that's underway and I think if everything goes
15	well I should have an announcement by the end
16	of the week.
17	Next: On the Graduate School and
18	Associate Provost for excuse me Dean of
19	the Graduate School and Associate Provost for
20	Graduate and Professional Education Search.
21	The search was paused early in the semester.
22	After we had already interviewed seven
23	semifinalists, we paused because of the
24	announcement of my transition. And given my
25	transition, the President asked for a little

1	more involvement than he would normally have
2	So I met with the president, went individually
3	over each of the seven finalists. After we
4	both reviewed their materials, the President
5	and I both met with the co-chairs of that
6	search committee and, again, went one by one
7	through each candidate to get a sense from the
8	co-chairs about the sentiment of the search
9	committee.
10	We digested all of that. We narrowed the
11	semifinalists down to potential finalists for

12	the role, and later this afternoon, I intend
13	to make an announcement about the finalist for
14	that role. It will be a sole finalist. We
15	plan to have a full compliment of interviews
16	as if this were a national search, and we will
17	schedule those for next Monday and Tuesday, so
18	next Monday and Tuesday.
19	Included in that process will be an open
20	forum for the entire campus, as well as a
21	forum for graduate and professional students
22	among the other groups that would normally
23	meet with the finalists for the dean position.
24	Next, to the budget: So I think there is
25	optimism about the budget for a number of

1	reasons, so let me touch on a few of those.
2	First of all, let's talk about Fiscal
3	'21, the current fiscal year. You may recall
4	in the fall and, certainly, leading up to and
5	through the legislative session or
6	certainly before actually, in the fall we
7	had concerns about a potential mid-year cut.
8	The governor, fairly early on, indicated that
9	there would not be a mid-year cut at the state
10	level. And so that was a welcomed bit of
11	news.

12	There was still some uncertainty about
13	our budget situation given a significant
14	tuition shortfall, something that we were
15	projecting to be in the order of 15- to 17
16	million of tuition shortfall this year,
17	largely pandemic-related, so that remained a
18	concern.
19	Another concern remaining was whether the
20	last stimulus bill at the federal level would
21	be passed and what resources would be coming
22	to the university as a result of that stimulus
23	package. So on both of those issues, the
24	tuition shortfall is largely covered by the
25	contingency that we had in place with the

1	Fiscal 21 budget cut, so that more or less
2	balanced out.
3	With respect to our COVID expenses, which
4	have, you know, been tens of millions of
5	dollars relating to testing, to reconfiguring
6	our classrooms, to adding technology to
7	classrooms, to having quarantine facilities
8	and our Health Corps and now, you know,
9	vaccinations, many expenses related to the
10	pandemic. And those will almost exactly be
11	covered by the federal stimulus bill.

12	So all of that being said, that enables
13	us to almost precisely balance the Fiscal '21
14	budget without any further implications. So
15	that's good news.
16	Turning to Fiscal '22, there was still
17	some uncertainty about state funding for
18	Fiscal '22. So remember that normally the
19	legislature funds a two-year budget, but
20	because of the pandemic, they funded only
21	Fiscal '21, and so this last legislative
22	session involved consideration of a budget for
23	Fiscal '22. Because, again, normally, we
24	would have a two-year budget. That was not
25	the case, so that's why we had uncertainty

1	with respect to	FISCAL	22

- 2 As it turns out, and I think it's largely
- 3 because of federal stimulus support, there is
- 4 actually an increase in resources to higher
- 5 education in the Fiscal '22 budget. It's a 2
- 6 percent increase, and that will be fed to the
- 7 universities through the CPE's Performance
- 8 Fund.
- 9 So recall that the Performance Fund is
- 10 largely tied to student success metrics, and
- we have done very well on that in the past.

12	What's different in Fiscal '22 compared to
13	earlier years of the Performance Fund is that
14	the state is actually adding new money, so
15	they're increasing the overall amount to
16	higher ed by 2 percent. And then all of that
17	additional 2 percent is going to be
18	distributed through the mechanism of the
19	performance fund.
	•
20	In the past you'll recall that,
20	In the past you'll recall that,
20	In the past you'll recall that, essentially, each university had to cut their
202122	In the past you'll recall that, essentially, each university had to cut their budgets by, essentially, chip in 1 percent of

1	game where there were winners and losers.
2	Fortunately, because of our great performance
3	on the student success indicators that largely
4	make up the Performance Fund metrics, we
5	actually did very well in the Performance Fund
6	in the past.
7	But, again, other universities largely
8	broke even or lost out. With the new money,
9	we're all competing all the universities
10	are competing for the new money, and still, we
11	are performing very strongly on the student

12	success metrics, and so we expect to do quite
13	well in this performance fund. And,
14	certainly, we stand to gain at least,
15	certainly, several million dollars over and
16	above our current appropriation through that
17	process.
18	As we look forward, there are a number of
19	university goals that we continue to strive to
20	meet. I think you've already seen the
21	announcement, for example, that the retirement
22	contributions will be restored to the previous
23	level of 10 percent university contribution.
24	We are also discussing whether there may be a
25	modest compensation plan, so some potential

1	for compensation increase, although it will
2	necessarily be modest. There are also some
3	other moves with respect to benefits that
4	we're seeking to minimize the impact on our
5	employees on those benefits.
6	And then, also, we will continue the
7	process of increasing the pay of the lowest-
8	paid employees at the university toward that
9	\$15 an hour. So I think we're currently at
10	I think we're currently moving towards 12.50
11	an hour. And then as you think about that,

12	what happens when we make those moves to
13	increase that minimum for the lowest-paid
14	employees, it creates compression with those
15	above, so we have a process of what we call
16	"feathering in" the increases to alleviate
17	that compression.
18	Because of the budget issues that we
19	think we're going to be facing, despite the
20	good news at the state level, we may have to
21	reduce our committment to that feathering, if
22	you will. I think we're still going to do
23	some of that, but it may not be as much as in
24	the past.

A lot of this will hinge on what happens

1	with tuition. There's still some uncertainty
2	there about what will be permitted by CPE, but
3	we are considering a very, very modest
4	increase in tuition and mandatory fees to help
5	us meet some of these needs.
6	And as you all probably remember from
7	past budget cycles, there's a significant
8	amount that's needed just to keep the basic
9	services going, if you will, the utilities,
10	the maintenance costs and things of that
11	nature that naturally escalate each year that

12 we have to address in addition to these other 13 goals. 14 So that's it on the budget; I think very 15 favorable, very optimistic. Now that we know 16 the state appropriation, leadership will start 17 diving into specific budget development 18 process, including the direct student impact 19 statement, and we were already having initial 20 discussions on all of these issues and there 21 will be updates as we move forward. 22 A few more issues, and Aaron, you stop me 23 when you think you're running out of time on 24 the agenda. I just have a few more things to 25 update on and I want to leave time for

1	questions. One is the tuition structure, and
2	you will recall that a couple of years ago we
3	implemented the online tuition structure that
4	essentially established a per credit-hour rate
5	for fully online courses, and that would be
6	over and above the 12 credit-hour cap. So a
7	student would pay for online course that
8	tuition rate for any online course above the
9	cap, which is at 12 hours.
10	Also, in an attempt to make our fully
11	online programs targeted and nontraditional

12	students more competitive, we put in it
13	basically allowed nonresident students to have
14	a residency blind rate, which is less than the
15	nonresident rate. And the combination of
16	those two things really led to some unintended
17	consequences.
18	First of all, in the fall when we had to
19	transition many of our courses to online, that
20	exposed our students to this higher online
21	education rate. And it's not the fault of our
22	students that we had the pandemic, that we had
23	to convert many of our courses to fully
24	online, so we limited tuition to the normal
25	cap that would've prevailed, absent the online

1	tuition rate. And so that was intentional;
2	what was an unintended consequence was that we
3	found many of our nonresident students, and I
4	mean many of our nonresident students,
5	gravitating to fully online schedules because
6	it would be significantly cheaper for them
7	than a mix of online or traditional or hybrid.
8	And the combination of those two things
9	really led to the shortfall of tuition in
10	Fiscal '21 of approximately \$16 million. And
11	then there was a myriad of complications and

12	confusion around modality. I don't want to
13	get into all of that, but the way that we
14	represented modality to our students in the
15	registration process and the way it impacted
16	the fee bill was probably more complicated and
17	less transparent than we would've intended.
18	And so it did create some incentives
19	around the edges for students to choose one
20	form or modality over another, not because of
21	pedagogy, but because of price. And so we
22	have been studying a revision to the tuition
23	structure that would essentially eliminate
24	that incentive of a student to pick one
25	modality or another based on price, or to

1	cause a department to choose one modality over
2	another because of the tuition rate. Ideally,
3	those decisions should be based on academics
4	and appropriate pedagogy. So that is a
5	structure that we are currently working on and
6	we'll have more to report about that as we
7	move through the budget process.
8	Next: With respect how resources flow to
9	the colleges, I will say that our net tuition
10	revenue group has been working on some
11	modifications to the net tuition revenue

12	sharing model. These are largely technical
13	revisions related to how the tuition structure
14	might change. But the good news is that based
15	on initial estimates, there will be a good
16	flow of net tuition revenue to the colleges
17	for Fiscal '22.
18	This is despite the shortfall in tuition
19	because we have some colleges that increased
20	net tuition revenue relative to their
21	baseline, some that did not make the baseline.
22	And so the way the model works is that to some
23	degree, the university underwrites, at least
24	for a short period of time when there's a
25	shortfall.

1	And we also want to make sure that when
2	colleges are delivering more credit hours
3	relative to their baseline, that they're
4	actually getting the money that was predicted
5	under the model. It's very important that
6	that model be predictable for the colleges so
7	they can make adjustments as appropriate. So
8	that is in the works.
9	Also in the works, and this is in
10	response to things that we've heard from the
11	deans over the last year, and also the

12	President has heard these concerns on his
13	listening tour that's been going on this
14	spring, and that relates to the college
15	productivity model.
16	But recall the college productivity model
17	was designed to allocate funds based on three
18	metrics: First is degrees for faculty members;
19	the percentage of faculty salary covered by
20	external funding, and then third, the
21	proportion of attempted credit hours delivered
22	by tenured faculty. Of course, in numerous
23	meetings and other settings, I've heard as
24	well as the President has heard concerns about
25	that structure of the model.

1	And in response to that, we have started
2	to build some additional metrics into that
3	model that will make it more generally
4	applicable across the colleges. These metrics
5	attempt to capture the impact of the entire
6	faculty, not just tenured faculty, on the
7	delivery of credit hours, so full-time faculty
8	in particular. So that we expect will be a
9	component, as well as faculty diversity. That
10	we expect to be a component.
11	And then overall climate, climate of

12	belonging, and so we are looking at
13	potentially tying some money in the college
14	productivity model to improvements in climate
15	as based on the Work-Life Survey that occurs
16	every two years.
17	This is currently in development. We've
18	gotten some initial exposure or gave some
19	initial exposure to the deans, got their
20	input. We're making revisions, and we'll
21	continue to have conversations about that as
22	the budget process rolls forward. That model
23	will not be used, because notice for Fiscal
24	'22 I have not discussed anything about
25	potential cuts.

1	So unlike last year, that model was used
2	to allocate budget reductions. This year the
3	model will be funded, and the funding is
4	intended to escalate over the next four years
5	so that colleges can plan around how to
6	address the metrics in that model.
7	Chair Cramer, that ends my report. I did
8	notice in your remarks a mention of Gray
9	Associates. If you desire or anyone in the
10	senate desires, I can address that briefly. I
11	don't know much more, but I can address that

- 12 as well.
- 13 CRAMER: Yeah. If you have brief comments about
- 14 it -- like I said, literally, was Bell said
- was ask your Provost.
- 16 BLACKWELL: Well, ask your Provost who doesn't know
- much more. I will say that we -- I think the
- deadline was in January, as I recall. We
- 19 submitted a draft report. We called it a
- 20 draft report based on the Gray Associates
- 21 market data, not on their analysis of revenue
- 22 and expense. We essentially refused to
- 23 participate in that exercise because we did
- 24 not believe it to be relevant to the
- 25 University of Kentucky.

1	We did present an analysis of our
2	programs based not only on the market demand
3	with respect to student enrollment, market
4	demand with respect to employers, both at the
5	national and local level, but also based on
6	strategic importance of the program, that is
7	importance of the program to the University of
8	Kentucky mission.
9	And I believe, Aaron, as I recall, we had
10	some senate involvement in that process, as
11	well as across the colleges. We've submitted

12	a matrix, if you will, based on those
13	dimensions for each of our programs. We
14	identified a handful that probably need some
15	work but, generally, most were viewed as
16	either mission-essential or with tremendous
17	growth prospects.
18	We submitted that to CPE and there's been
19	a long period of radio silence. The last
20	CPE/CAO meeting that I was able to attend, I
21	asked the vice president about what the intent
22	was for the council to use these data, and she
23	did not have an answer for me. And, I
24	believe, if Vice Provost Holloway is in the
25	panelists, he might recall more about that,

1	but he may not be in the panelists, Aaron.		
2	But that's all I know, so it's still radio		
3	silence since then.		
4	CRAMER:	Larry can talk if he wants to	
5	HOLLOWAY:	Yeah	
6	KENNEDY:	Michael Kennedy	
7	CRAMER:	One second, Michael. Let me allow Vice	
8	Provost Holloway to reply to the Provost's		
9	questi	on.	
10	HOLLOWAY:	So the Gray Associates exercise was	

important in terms of CPEs, needing to

12	demonstrate their statutory requirement for
13	program review.
14	The CPE has come out with some general
15	guidance with some additional feedback
16	expected sometime in September regarding some
17	duplication of programs across the state, but
18	the conversations with Melissa Bell have made
19	it very clear Vice President Bell of CPE
20	have made it very, very clear that the CPE is
21	not intending to be very adversarial regarding
22	these reviews, and that they wanted to
23	primarily make sure that there is a good and
24	important process within the universities
25	themselves, and we'll have this opportunity to

1	respon	d related to some of these duplications
2	in Sept	ember.
3	CRAMER:	Michael and then Herman, and then there's
4	a quest	tion in the Q&A that I'll repeat.
5	Michae	el.
6	KENNEDY:	Yes. Michael Kennedy, Emeriti Faculty,
7	AAUP r	representative to COSFL. Is that report
8	that yo	ou submitted to the CPE one that you
9	could g	rift COSFL?
10	BLACKWELL:	One that we could give to whom?
11	KENNEDY:	To COSFL. Is that report available to

12	faculty, for instance?
13	BLACKWELL: We haven't distributed it yet. I think
14	we've been it's still in draft form. As
15	far as we're concerned, we've been very,
16	again, uncertain about how CPE might use it.
17	So I've been cautious about putting it out
18	there for that reason.
19	And so it's still marked "draft." But
20	that's by way of saying I'd like to hold it
21	until we see what CPE does beyond what they've
22	done to date. I will say we also got some
23	pushback because we did not put contribution
24	margin data in there. But let me confer with

Vice Provost Holloway and the CPE about making

1	that mo	re widely available, and also with
2	counsel	, because once I take the draft mark
3	off of it,	it's open season for the press as
4	well. I d	don't want the report
5	mischar	acterized, because we're very concerned
6	about h	ow it could be used in ways that aren't
7	favorab	le to us.
8	KENNEDY:	Thank you. Aaron is also on COSFL, so
9	you cou	ld communicate directly with him.
10	Thanks	
11	CRAMER:	Herman.

- 12 FARRELL: Yes. Thank you, Provost Blackwell. I
- really -- could you hear me?
- 14 BLACKWELL: Yes, sir.
- 15 FARRELL: Thank you, Provost Blackwell. I really
- appreciated the really comprehensive coverage
- of a variety of different issues that are
- facing us. And I'm sure I'll hold off on the
- valedictory comments and thank yous when we
- see you next month.
- 21 But I did have a question about the
- 22 interims that were mentioned, including the
- 23 position of provost. So I'm just curious --
- and maybe I missed this. You can correct me
- 25 if I'm wrong, but with regard the academic

1	leadership of major parts of this university,
2	the A&S dean, the honors dean and even the
3	provost position, I'm just curious to know if
4	next year we're going to be in a position to
5	have searches for all of those positions. I'm
6	not sure if you can answer the question about
7	the provost, but the other two, are there
8	plans to go towards those searches so that
9	there can be much more faculty input in terms
10	of those leadership positions?
11	And if not, what's the rationale for

- 12 putting that off? Thank you.
- 13 BLACKWELL: And, Herman, I assume you're not
- referring to the graduate dean search because,
- again, we're having a full, open-campus
- 16 interview --
- 17 FARRELL; Right. No. I was not.
- 18 BLACKWELL: Oh, okay. Yeah. With respect to the
- 19 transition of the provost position, I can't
- speak to that. I know the President has been
- 21 doing a lot of calling around campus and
- 22 listening about the future for the provost
- 23 role. And, certainly, just giving timing, I
- 24 would expect that he would have something to
- 25 say over the next several weeks, but I am not

1	privy to that, so I think we just need to wait
2	for the President to make that announcement.
3	I do believe that he will honor GR8 and,
4	in fact, probably already has honored it by
5	making what I understand, I believe it's a
6	hundred different phone calls this semester to
7	get input on that and other things. But,
8	yeah, I believe he will honor, certainly, the
9	spirit, if not and certainly the letter as
10	well of GR8 with respect to that.
11	On Arts & Sciences, it's not clear I

12	mean, based on the financial situation that we
13	went over with the college and I didn't get
14	into specific numbers, but the shortfall for
15	Fiscal '21 is, like, \$6.4 million. And the
16	college has no recurring reserve and,
17	certainly, by next year will have exhausted
18	most if not all of its nonrecurring reserves,
19	meaning fund balances, that are able to be
20	used to satisfy budget requirements more
21	broadly.
22	And so I'm predicting that it really is
23	going to take another two years to work that
24	through, get the college on its stable
25	footing. And I think given the importance of

1	the College of Arts & Sciences, I would
2	predict a robust national search once the
3	college is in good financial footing and ready
4	to move ahead. There are lots of strengths in
5	the college, has a great faculty, very
6	creative. They've done well in supporting the
7	university's efforts on student success and
8	research and diversity. And, certainly, we
9	don't want to slow down any of those to the
10	extent that we can, given the financial
11	constraints.

12	Ag	ain, I've gone through a process of
13	gettir	ng input on Interim Dean Brady. I've
14	also I	neard input on the process. I'm weighing
15	all of	that, and we'll announce something
16	later	this week.
17	GF	88 indicates that the interims can only
18	be ap	pointed or acting can only be appointed
19	for o	ne-year terms. And, certainly, I will,
20	you k	now, do my part to make sure that my
21	succe	essor understands that whoever is named as
22	the n	ext interim is to be if they're to be
23	renev	wed beyond next year, that they have to be
24	revie	wed again through the GR8 process.
25	CRAMFR:	There's a guestion in the O&A about the

1	budgets for the colleges. By "fully funded,"
2	I think you talked about maybe the CP model as
3	being fully funded. Do you mean the
4	restitution of lost budgets, the cuts that
5	happened into this last fiscal year, or just
6	no more cutting? That was the question that
7	was asked there.
8	BLACKWELL: Well, one, the legislature has added an
9	additional 2 percent to the state
10	appropriation. Our state appropriation has
11	probably gone down, you know, somewhere

12	approaching 40 percent over the last 10, 12
13	years. So, no, we're not going to recover all
14	of that, but it's an increase over last year
15	by 2 percent, and that gets pushed out via the
16	performance funding model.
17	And, no, we have not lost money in the
18	past on that model. We just had to put some
19	of our appropriation at risk. So, you know,
20	it goes into a bucket, if you will, with 1
21	percent of the state appropriations of all the
22	other units, and then it's redistributed via
23	the Performance Fund.
24	So the good news is that this year
25	everyone has potential to win. There won't

1	just be winners and losers. And, no, we are
2	not
3	CRAMER: Provost Blackwell, I think the question
4	was with respect to the colleges at UK, with
5	respect to what the colleges' budgets at UK
6	would
7	BLACKWELL: Oh, yeah. So we are not anticipating any
8	further cuts in Fiscal '21. You know, the
9	budget process for Fiscal '21 allowed colleges
10	to provide at least or provide at most 30
11	percent 30 percent of their reduction through

12	fund balance or nonrecurring sources.
13	And so a college that did that would have
14	to honor that this fiscal year. So, yes,
15	there are still some budget reductions that
16	are being implemented, but those were planned
17	last year. It's just that we deferred the
18	permanent part of it until this year, if that
19	makes sense. And so that may be going on, but
20	not anything for Fiscal '22 and not anything
21	further for Fiscal '21 beyond what was planned
22	during the budget cycle last year.
23	CRAMER: Shannon?
24	OLTMANN: Thank you, Aaron

CRAMER: We've got one more question in the chat,

25

1	and then we probably need to move on after
2	Shannon and then one more question.
3	OLTMANN: This is Shannon Oltmann from the College
4	of Communication Information. Thank you, Dr.
5	Blackwell for your comprehensive review of so
6	many things.
7	I wanted to ask about the changing policy
8	plans around online courses and online
9	programs. And the tuition model, if you could
10	speak more to that, how that might change; are
11	you planning to do away with the in-state

12	the residential, sort of, benefit of online
13	classes or what are your plans for that?
14	BLACKWELL: So, in essence, and there's, really, two
15	parts this. But, in essence, we are
16	essentially rolling back the online tuition
17	rate, which was over \$600 a credit hour, and
18	going back to the normal 12-hour cap for
19	tuition, so it's really going back to what it
20	was in fall, you know, before the online
21	tuition rate.
22	So that means there's no longer a
23	distinction in terms of tuition for a course
24	that's fully online versus one that's fully
25	traditional. I mean, what we've learned in

1	the pandemic is there's an entire range of
2	ways of delivering courses that may be
3	actually more effective than we thought before
4	more faculty started using this technology.
5	And so we ended up in these corners
6	where, you know, a student could, you know
7	basically, would make a choice about the
8	structure of their schedule based on pricing.
9	And so we've simply eliminated that. So if
10	you're a nonresident student, you go back to
11	paying the full, nonresident rate regardless

12	of whether your schedule is, you know, fully
13	online, fully traditional or somewhere in
14	between with any number of courses that could
15	be different modalities or different mixes of
16	face to face and online.
17	Now, in your college you have a very
18	successful fully online program, and there are
19	some other colleges that do as well. And
20	those are intended, really, not for the
21	traditional residential student, right, so in
22	your case I'm thinking about the online master
23	of library science. And so for those kinds of
24	programs, we allow for a differential tuition
25	for those programs.

1	And it could be that it's appropriate for
2	that particular program for that tuition rate
3	to be residency blind or not. That's going to
4	be judged by the leadership of the program
5	and, certainly, doing some appropriate
6	benchmarking and justifying the right tuition
7	rate, we can run those through individually,
8	as we always have, in the budget process.
9	CRAMER: One more question from the Q&A. Why only
10	one finalist for the Graduate School dean
11	position? Have we ever had only one finalist

12	for a dean position if there have multiple
13	applicants?
14	BLACKWELL: We basically chose the finalists based on
15	experience and qualifications. There were,
16	certainly, many in the search you know,
17	there were seven semifinalists that had the
18	minimum qualifications. At the end of the day
19	we looked at the kind of leadership that is
20	needed in the Graduate School going forward.
21	There is some fixing to be done, if you
22	will, and it requires some deep and broad
23	experience. And there was one candidate that
24	rose to the top on that, and so that's how we
25	ended up with one finalist. I don't know the

1	HISTORY	of other dealt searches in the past. T
2	can't an	swer the last part.
3	CRAMER:	Thank you, Provost Blackwell, for your
4	report.	We'll continue on in our agenda.
5	BLACKWELL:	Thank you, Aaron. And thank you all for
6	the que	stions and, again, thank you very, very
7	much fo	or your service.
8	CRAMER:	Next on our agenda is the Vice Chair's
9	Report.	DeShana, are you ready?
10	COLLETT:	Sure. I think Chair Cramer actually
11	already	touched on most of this, but you

12	should've received two email communications
13	from me and Stephanie Woolery that need your
14	attention.
15	The first one would be recognizing at
16	least one or more senators for their
17	outstanding contribution to the senate. So in
18	that email you received an attachment
19	detailing the criteria for outstanding senator
20	award. The deadline for submitting your
21	nomination letters or emails is April the
22	22nd. A subcommittee of Senate Council will
23	actually look at all the nominations and
24	decide. Please remember when you're writing
25	your nominations, your emails or your letters,

1	to just provide specific evidences or examples
2	of how the nominees meets the criteria that's
3	outlined in the award.
4	The second email you would have received,
5	you would've received it from Stephanie
6	Woolery or directly through REDCap with some
7	information from me discussing the faculty
8	evaluation of the President.
9	This is an annual survey. It's
10	administered through the senate. So each
11	year, Chair Cramer or the chair of the

12 senate will actually present the results to 13 the Board of Trustees. And as Chair Cramer 14 mentioned, we do look at the rates of 15 responses. We want quite a bit of 16 participation, so we really urge senators to 17 actually probably send a separate email to 18 your college faculty reminding them of the 19 importance of participating and encouraging 20 them to complete the survey by the May 1st 21 close date. 22 They will receive an email a week prior 23 to the survey closing, but if you can really 24 stress why it's so important for them to 25 complete the survey, I think that will go a

1	long way, and that's part of our duty as
2	senators is to really express that need and
3	push that.
4	No comments will be traced. This is an
5	anonymous survey. Sorry. I'm answering a
6	question. It will not be traced. So we also
7	have a subcommittee through Senate Council,
8	including myself, Leslie Vincent and Richard
9	Charnigo, who actually put together the surve
10	and the survey results. We cannot track you
11	whatsoever. That's how it's set up in REDCap

12	so t	hat you can be perfectly honest in the
13	surv	vey.
14	I	did mention previously with the
15	Out	standing Senator Award, the deadline. It
16	is A	pril 22nd. You will see that in the also
17	in tl	ne email. I will likely send an
18	add	itional email just as a reminder, but if
19	you	all as senators can just really kind of
20	pus	h both of these emails out to your
21	coll	eagues, your faculty colleagues of the
22	coll	ege and understand the importance of both.
23	CRAMER:	Thank you, Vice Chair Collett.
24	COLLETT:	Thank you.
25	CRAMER:	The next item on our agenda is the

1	Parliamentarian's Report. Doug said he could
2	not be here today. He's helping in the tax
3	clinic, so he said he had no report today.
4	Our trustees earlier indicated to me that
5	they did not have a report either. If anyone
6	had any quick questions for the trustees, you
7	can ask it now or you can contact them via
8	email.
9	We have a item of old business. Our
10	senate meeting started to run long last month
11	and we had an item from the Research and

12	Graduate Education Committee that we had to
13	postpone till this month. Susan, are you
14	ready to
15	BARON: I am. Can you hear me?
16	CRAMER: Yep.
17	CANTRELL: Thanks, Aaron. In September 2020 there
18	was an administrative reorganization that
19	included the move of the Undergraduate
20	Research Division from the Office of Student
21	and Academic Life to the Office of the Vice
22	President for Research.
23	At the Senate Council meeting on Monday,
24	February 1st, Senate Council heard a
25	presentation from Vice President for Research,

1	Lisa Cassis, and Senior Associate vice
2	President for Research, Martha Peterson, about
3	upcoming changes to undergraduate research at
4	that time.
5	In addition to the structural move, the
6	presentation included mention of a search for
7	a new faculty director and the need to develop
8	a new vision for undergraduate research.
9	A campus-wide communication about the
10	reorganization was disseminated on February
11	2nd. And on April 9th, this past Friday, the

12	Vice President for Research announced that Dr.
13	Chad Risko has been named the new Faculty
14	Director of the Office of Undergraduate
15	Research.
16	Senate Council had charged the Senate
17	Research and Graduate Education Committee with
18	reviewing changes to undergraduate research
19	and offering comments and suggestions on the
20	changes.
21	So during the Senate Research and
22	Graduate Education Committee meeting on
23	February 10th, the committee voted unanimously
24	to endorse the plans for restructuring the
25	Office of Undergraduate Research as it was

1	presented to the Senate Council, and then the
2	committee made some recommendations: The
3	committee recommended that Senate Council
4	continue to communicate the importance of
5	involvement of the University Senate and
6	actions that involve structural reorganization
7	of undergraduate educational and research
8	opportunities.
9	The committee recommended that the
10	program led by the new director of
11	undergraduate research continues to refine the

12	metrics and funding formula that will be used
13	to distribute support resources to all
14	colleges under the reorganization.
15	And, specifically, the committee
16	suggested that a funding framework be
17	developed that captures a broad range of
18	scholarly research activities that are
19	inclusive, not just of formal research
20	courses, but also other substantive noncredit
21	research activities. The committee also
22	suggested that the director partner with the
23	College Representative Advisory Group in
24	developing this broader framework for fund
25	allocation.

1	And, as the director of undergraduate
2	research engages with college representatives
3	to foster undergraduate research
4	participation, it's really essential that this
5	effort is inclusive of all the colleges with
6	appropriate support for and acknowledgment of
7	the entire spectrum of scholarly activity.
8	Senate Council wanted the senate to be
9	aware of committee's efforts in this work, so
10	on behalf of the Senate Research and Graduate
11	Education Committee, thanks for your attention

12 today. 13 CRAMER: Are there any questions for Susan 14 Cantrell on this report of the committee's 15 activities with respect to undergraduate 16 research? 17 Well, thank you, Susan. 18 CANTRELL: Thank you. 19 CRAMER: The first item of new business is a 20 memorial resolution for Professor Michael 21 Goodin. Nicole, are you ready? 22 **GAUTHIER:** I am ready. Can you hear me? 23 CRAMER: Yep. Okay. So I present today this memorial 24 **GAUTHIER:**

resolution, April 12th, 2021, in honor of

1	Michael Goodin, 1967 to 2020. Dr. Michael
2	Goodin passed away unexpectedly on December
3	12th, 2020. He was a beloved father, husband,
4	artist, professor, scientist, teacher and
5	friend.
6	Dr. Goodin was born in Jamaica on April
7	8th, 1967 into a loving and diverse family,
8	absorbing most of his love of life, family and
9	experience from that island. In his
10	adolescence he experienced political turmoil
11	in Jamaica prompting the family to move to

12	Canada where he completed his high school in
13	Hamilton, Ontario, dual undergraduate degrees
14	in biology and chemistry at Brock University.
15	He then went on to Penn State University
16	where he earned a PhD in Plant Pathology, and
17	then to the University of California Berkeley
18	for his post-op studies. It was at UC
19	Berkeley that he met and married his wife
20	Angelika Fath.
21	In 2002 Dr. Goodin joined the Department
22	of Plant Pathology in the UK College of
23	Agriculture, Food and Environment where he
24	launched a successful academic career. He
25	soon established the plant imaging core that

supports state-of-the-art equipment and

2	techniques. His resolution at the interface
3	of plant and animal biology led to this
4	appointment led to his appointment to the
5	NIH VIRA studies section where he served as a
6	sole plant virologist from 2015 until his
7	death.
8	He was the founding editor of the Annual
9	Review of Virology, and in 2018 he was awarded
10	the title of the American Society for
11	Microbiology Honorary Diversity Lecture in

13	creativity.
14	Dr. Goodin was an engaging and much-loved
15	professor who led his students in the AG and
16	Medical Biotech Program to embrace both
17	academics and life. He infused his courses
18	with varied life experiences and passions for
19	nature, food, music, photograph and human
20	diversity and all its various experiences,
21	background and views.
22	His interests were vast. He had special
23	talent in photography and culinary arts for
24	which he was recognized by local media. He
25	would generously entertain students, faculty

recognition for his scholarship, research and

1	and friends by leading, for example, and
2	annual fall hike to the Kentucky Knobs where
3	he introduced both educational enrichment and
4	appetite for his delectable barbeque
5	afterward.
6	UK honored Dr. Goodin with the 2012
7	"Teacher Who Made a Difference" Award and the
8	2014 CAFÉ Student Council Outstanding Teacher
9	Award. Dr. Goodin provided outstanding
10	service to his department, college and
11	university. He was an innovative

12	instructional leader who reworked foundational
13	classes. He most recently spearheaded and
14	taught an interdisciplinary course that used
15	the subject and substance of coffee to
16	integrate plant biology and plant pathology
17	with economics, history and social science.
18	He served most recently as a member of
19	the Undergraduate Council and this University
20	Senate. Dr. Goodin saw and brought out the
21	best in everyone. He reached them through
22	science, conversation, the arts, food, and
23	especially coffee. He touched many friends,
24	students and acquaintances with his passion
25	for life and his exuberant heartfelt laughter.

1	Dr. Goodin had an intense love of family.	
2	He is survived by his wife, Angelika, his two	
3	children, Sophia and Joshua, his brother,	
4	David Goodin, and by three aunts, Eileen	
5	Goodin, Barbara Clarke and Mary Hughes.	
6	I move that this resolution be part of	
7	the minutes of the University Senate and that	
8	a copy be submitted to Dr. Goodin's family.	
9	Thank you.	
10	CRAMER: We have a motion. Is there a second for	

this motion?

L2	Lu	ke.
13	BRADLEY:	Yes. Luke Bradley, Medicine. I second
L4	this n	notion for my friend.
15	CRAMER:	Very good. Is there any debate on this
16	motion?	
L7	ľn	n guessing the people's hands who are
18	up ar	e maybe trying to second the motion.
19	ls ·	there debate on the motion? We're not
20	votin	g yet.
21	If y	you'd like to vote in favor of this
22	motio	on, please raise your hand now.
23	If	you'd like to vote against the motion,
24	pleas	e raise your hand now.

If you'd like to abstain, please raise

1	your ha	ind now.
2	That	motion passes.
3	Let's	have a moment of silence.
4	Pleas	se take care of yourselves, take care
5	of each	other. You guys are too important to
6	all of us	5.
7	The r	next item on our agenda is the report
8	from the	e Senate's Academic Programs Committee.
9	Leslie Vi	incent's the chair. Leslie, are you
10	ready?	
11	VINCENT:	I'm ready. First, we have a proposed new

12	Graduate Certificate in Applied Behavior
13	Analysis.
14	This is a recommendation that the
15	University Senate approve the establishment of
16	the new Graduate Certificate, Applied Behavior
17	Analysis, in the Department of Early
18	Childhood, Special Education and Counselor
19	education within the College of Education.
20	The proposed 21-credit hour graduate
21	certificate is designed to meet the coursework
22	requirements for students that wish to pursue
23	the board-certification in behavior analysis
24	and was developed in response to student
25	demand for this type of program.

1	In order to obtain a BCBA there are four
2	criteria that must be met, including a
3	master's degree, coursework covering the
4	necessary 315 content hours, 2,000 field-
5	experience hours and passing the national
6	board exam.
7	This certificate will meet the coursework
8	requirement of the certification. The
9	curriculum is a verified course sequence
10	according to the Association for Behavior
11	Analysis International. The target audience

12	includes students currently enrolled in other
13	master level programs at UK or students that
14	already hold a master's degree that need
15	coursework to sit for the certification exam.
16	All of courses are currently offered as
17	part of the master's in applied behavior
18	analysis and are delivered in both face-to-
19	face and synchronous online format. Expected
20	enrollment is 5 students in year one, growing
21	to 15 students by year three.
22	CRAMER: We have a motion for the committee. Are
23	there any questions of fact related to this
24	recommendation from SAPC?
25	Seeing none, we have a motion from the

1	committee. Is there any debate on the motion?
2	Seeing none, if you'd like to vote in
3	favor of this motion, please use the "raise-
4	hand" feature now.
5	If you'd like to vote against the motion,
6	please use the "raise-hand" feature now.
7	If you'd like to abstain, please use the
8	"raise-hand" feature now.
9	That motion passes.
10	The next item is actually an item for
11	which our SAPC chair is the proposer. Paco

12	Andrade, who is a SAPC member, is going to
13	present the motion from the committee on the
14	committee's behalf. Paco, are you ready?
15	ANDRADE: Yes, sir. Very well. The Senate's
16	Academic Programs Committee approved and
17	recommends for approval by the University
18	Senate a proposed new Undergraduate
19	Certificate in Sales from the Department of
20	Marketing and Supply Chain in the College of
21	Business and Economics.
22	This certificate is intended for students
23	working towards a master's degree in
24	marketing. It has 15 credit hours, 6 credit
25	hours at the 300-level and 9 at the 400-level.

1	There are four required courses in marketing
2	management, personal selling, sales management
3	and digital marketing strategy creation.
4	The fifth course is an approved elective,
5	either negotiations and conflict resolution,
6	or entrepreneurship in venture creation, both
7	from the management dept.
8	The proposal came with extensive data
9	regarding employment potential and a support
10	letter from the college team documenting a
11	favorable vote by the college faculty, a

12	support letter from the chair of the
13	management department and an assessment plan
14	that was updated at the request of the Senate
15	Academic Programs Committee.
16	CRAMER: So we have a proposed new program; are
17	there any questions of fact for either Paco or
18	for the proposer, Leslie Vincent?
19	We have a motion from the committee. Is
20	there any debate on this motion?
21	Seeing none, senate members, if you'd
22	like to vote in favor of the motion, please
23	use the "raise-hand" feature now.
24	If you'd like to vote against the motion,
25	please use the "raise-hand" feature now.

1	If yo	ou'd like to abstain on this motion,
2	please	use the "raise-hand" feature now.
3	Tha	t motion passes.
4	Baci	k to Leslie.
5	VINCENT:	So this is a recommendation that the
6	Univer	sity Senate approve the establishment of
7	a new	University Scholars Program, BA Health,
8	Society	y and Population in the College of Arts
9	& Scier	nces and the Master of Public Health in
10	the Co	ollege of Public Health.
11	The	e proposed USP provides a pathway for

12	students majoring in Health, Science and
13	Population, a formal pathway to earn their
14	MPH.
15	The MPH allows students to concentrate in
16	one of four areas: environmental Health;
17	epidemiology, health behavior or health system
18	policy analytics and will be of interest to
19	current HSP students that desire to work in
20	careers such as public health, medicine or
21	pharmacy.
22	The proposed USP allows for up to 12
23	hours of credit to count toward both degree
24	programs providing significant time savings
25	for students as they complete the MPH.

1	CRAIVIER:	So we have a proposed University Scholars
2	Progra	ram. Are there any questions for either
3	Leslie	e or for Robyn Brown, who's here on
4	behali	If of the proposal?
5	See	eing none, we have a motion from the
6	comm	nittee. Is there any debate on the motion?
7	Dav	ivid, are you debating? David, are you
8	raising	g your hand to debate?
9	See	eing no debate, then, we have a motion
10	from	the committee.
11	Ify	you'd like to vote in favor of this

12	motion, please use the "raise-hand" feature
13	now.
14	If you'd like to vote against the motion,
15	please use the "raise-hand" feature now
16	If you'd like to abstain on this motion,
17	please use the "raise-hand" feature now
18	That motion passes.
19	VINCENT: This is the recommendation that the
20	University Senate approve the establishment of
21	a new University Scholars Program, BA Public
22	Policy and Master of Public Policy in the
23	Martin School of Public Administration within
24	the graduate school.
25	The proposed USP program provides a

1	pathway for high-achieving undergraduates in
2	the BA Public Policy Program to start taking
3	graduate-level courses during their senior
4	year of study that will count towards the
5	requirements of the Master in Public Policy.
6	This pathway will allow the Martin School
7	of Public Administration to retain
8	undergraduate students, as well as grow the
9	master's program with well-prepared and
10	motivated students.
11	The proposed curriculum would allow for

12	up to 12 hours of credit to count toward both
13	degree programs, and students are able to
14	complete the master's degree in less time and
15	with a lower financial burden.
16	CRAMER: So we have a proposed new University
17	Scholars Program. Are there any questions
18	about the proposal for either Leslie or for
19	Brooke Kuerzi?
20	Seeing none, we have a motion from the
21	committee. Is there any debate on the motion?
22	Seeing none, if you'd like to vote in
23	favor of the motion, please use the "raise-
24	hand" feature now.
25	If you'd like to vote against the motion,

1	please use the "raise-hand" feature now.
2	If you'd like to abstain, please use the
3	"raise-hand" feature now.
4	That motion passes.
5	VINCENT: Last one: This is a recommendation that
6	the University Senate approve the significant
7	change to the MS Data Science in the
8	Department of Computer Science within the
9	college of Engineering. This proposal seeks
10	to add a new concentration, software and
11	systems for data science to the existing MS in

12	Data Science Program.
13	This new concentration will provide
14	students with rigorous data science skills
15	that will apply to a broad spectrum of data
16	science applications.
17	Students pursuing the proposed
18	concentration will take 15 credit hours of
19	major Core requirements, 6 credit hours for
20	the concentration, 3 credit hours of guided
21	electives, and 9 credit hours of free
22	electives to make up the required 33 credit
23	hours of the MS degree.

The proposed concentration will target

students that desire advanced training and

1	data science without restriction on particular
2	domain applications, thus opening up the
3	degree to a broader audience.
4	CRAMER: So we have a proposed change to the MS in
5	Data Science. Are there any questions about
6	the proposal for either Leslie or for Nathan
7	Jacobs, who's here on behalf of the proposal?
8	Seeing none, we have a motion from the
9	committee. Is there any debate on the motion?
10	Seeing none, if you'd like to vote in
11	favor of the motion, please use the "raise-

12	hand" feature now.
13	If you'd like to vote against the motion,
14	please use the "raise-hand" feature now.
15	If you'd like to abstain on this motion,
16	please use the "raise-hand" feature now.
17	That motion passes.
18	Thank you, Leslie.
19	The next item is a request from the
20	College of Engineering for expedited review
21	and proposed deletion of Senate Rule 10.5.1.3
22	on Academic Probation, Suspension and
23	Dismissal Policies for particular programs,
24	specifically in the College of Engineering.
25	The guest is Associate Dean Kim Anderson

1	from the College of Engineering. Kim, are you
2	ready to discuss the request briefly?
3	ANDERSON: I am. Can you hear me?
4	CRAMER: Yes.
5	ANDERSON: So this proposal is a request to
6	eliminate the probation and suspension rules
7	in the College of Engineering, and that would
8	allow us to follow the university probation
9	and suspension rules. If you compare the
10	rules, we really differ from the university by
11	one semester, so we would have some students

12 who would be suspended from the college, but 13 on probation at the university. 14 This worked fairly well when we had 15 undergraduate studies because we had a good 16 relationship with them. They would take these 17 students on in that area and would either help 18 them get back on track for engineering or in a 19 different discipline. When they were 20 dissolved, it really led to confusion among 21 the students because they didn't understand 22 why they were suspended from the college but 23 still with the university. It also added more 24 stress to the student because they actually

had to not only accept the fact that they were

1	suspended from a college, but they had to
2	quickly pick another college with another
3	major.
4	So allowing us to follow the university
5	rules will allow us to keep them in the
6	college and advise them to either be
7	successful in the College of Engineering or in
8	another discipline. And then if not, they
9	will be suspended from the university
10	following their procedures.

We did ask for an expedited review of

12	this proposal, and the reason being is because
13	we are getting ready for our accreditation
14	visit and we would like to have it formally
15	approved and shown in the bulletin before we
16	send out our materials to the reviewers.
17	CRAMER: Are there any questions for Kim Anderson
18	about this request from the College of
19	Engineering?
20	Trace, do you have a question? Maybe
21	not.
22	Well, Senate Council has recommended
23	approval of the expedited review process and
24	recommendation to the Senate to approve this
25	deletion of the Senate Rule text.

1	is there any debate on this motion?
2	Seeing none, if you'd like to vote in
3	favor of this motion, please use the "raise-
4	hand" feature now.
5	If you'd like to vote against the motion,
6	please use the "raise-hand" feature now.
7	If you'd like to abstain on this motion,
8	please use the "raise-hand" feature now.
9	That motion passes.
10	The next item on the agenda is a request
11	for extension of the digital badge program

12		pilot until the end of the 2021 calendar year.
13		Associate Provost Kathi Kern will be described
14		in this request. Kathi, are you ready?
15	KERN:	Yeah. Thank you so much. Thanks,
16		everybody, for giving me a chance to talk
17		about this. I just wanted to provide some
18		context of why this is coming before you.
19		Last summer we were looking, staring into
20		a pandemic. We didn't know how long it would
21		be, and we were trying to think about ways to
22		motivate students to keep learning over the
23		summer. So one of the ideas that we
24		brainstormed was a pilot for digital badging.
25		And we asked the associate deans to encourage

1	faculty to create digital badges, and the
2	context for this was or the construction of
3	this was at least two linked courses that
4	would be paired meaningfully over the summer
5	of 2020 that could result in a digital badge.
6	So that's what we did last summer and we
7	awarded about 130 of those badges. And I
8	guess the first thing I'd like to say is,
9	like, so why badges? We felt like this was a
10	way to create interest in the summer
11	curriculum, specifically to offer our students

12	something new that would award them a
13	credential that they could use on LinkedIn and
14	other social media platforms.
15	And the badges allowed us to do several
16	things. It allowed us to pull back the veil
17	on our courses and peer into the teaching and
18	learning in a given course and to talk about
19	what students were actually learning and what
20	skills they were developing. I share with you
21	an example from geography, the department of
22	geography several years ago. Asked CELT to
23	look into their majors and find out what
24	people were doing with the major.

And what we learned was: Some people were

1	using GIS or teaching geography, but a lot of
2	people everybody was using their project
3	management skills and their research skills
4	that they learned in geography.
5	So looking behind the veil, looking into
6	the course to identify competencies that
7	students emerge with, that's one of the
8	theories behind badging.
9	Another thing on our campus very
10	important to a lot of our programs, they work
11	in professions where badging is a recognizable

12	credential. So, for example, in the school of
13	nursing, our college of nursing, they have
14	cognate areas of specialization.
15	For example, maternal child health,
16	health equities, Appalachian health, badging
17	will allow these students to have a visual
18	representation of these areas of
19	specialization. And that's important in
20	nursing because it's a currency that's used to
21	communicate expertise.
22	So those are just two examples of the
23	"why" of badges. Why are we asking for an
24	extension? Well, for several reasons: We
25	promised the Senate Council last year that we

1	would form a proposal, and we have a working
2	group of faculty and staff across campus who
3	are looking into this. We are benchmarking.
4	We are studying. We are trying to design
5	something that will work for the whole campus.
6	We have another piece of the puzzle,
7	which is Canvas Catalog, which will allow
8	folks to take courses at UK without having
9	Link Blue credentials. And it took us a while
10	to get our vendors set up. So, you know,
11	vendors often come in selling you with their

12	razzle-dazzle but, actually, setting up the
13	system to award these badges has taken us all
14	of the fall semester.
15	So we finally have some momentum; we've
16	awarded badges, and we're learning about the
17	process by awarding the badges and working
18	with the faculty, so we'd like to continue.
19	Another factor we learned from our
20	assessment SACS leader, Annie Weber, that two
21	paired courses contstitutes a program. So we
22	have to have an approval process in place for
23	our badges.
24	And, finally, we want this to be
25	inclusive. We want to have curricular badges,

1	co-curricular, extracurricular. We want to
2	reach new audiences and alums, but we also
3	want to serve our current students by allowing
4	them a way to build credentials for the job
5	market.
6	So we feel that we need more time to
7	study. We'd like to continue the summer with
8	badges that could be made available, and we
9	appreciate the senate leadership on this.
10	Leslie Vincent has been a really important
11	part of our committee. Leslie, what am I

1	2	forgetting? Could I turn to you?
1	3 VINCEN	IT: I think that was great. The one thing I
1	4	would just add from our committee's
1	5	perspective is the role of faculty in
1	6	developing the badges themselves in terms of
1	7	the curriculum and the skill set and the
1	8	competencies.
1	9 CRAME	R: And so the request, then, is to extend
2	0	the pilot program that Senate Council gave
2	1	approval for last summer through the calendar
2	2	year, through the '21 calendar year, really,
2	3	to provide space for them to develop a more
	3	to provide space for them to develop a more permanent or more durable definition and

1	might	be going forward.
2	Are	there any questions for Kathi Kern or
3	Leslie '	Vincent about the request? Mark
4	O'Brya	n.
5	O'BRYAN:	Yeah. Hi. It's Mark O'Bryan from the
6	Collego	e of Design. Just curious, really: What
7	is the 1	typical credit hour for the badge and
8	how is	it different from a certificate and
9	that ty	pe of thing? Is that a stupid
10	quest	ion?
11	KERN;	No, not at all. I mean, what we designed

12	for last summer was a two-course sequence, so
13	six. There were a couple that came in at
14	seven or had an additional credit hour, but it
15	was considered less than a certificate.
16	Ultimately, what many universities are
17	doing is building stackable badges so that
18	students and accumulate these and they might
19	result in a certificate or a minor, et cetera.
20	But we feel like that's like the next phase,
21	and we want to study it more in the process.
22	So at this point our badges that are
23	credit-based have been most of them are six
24	credits.
25	O'BRYAN: Thanks.

1	CRAMER:	And, also, not transcripted like an
2	unde	ergraduate certificate would be on a
3	tran	script when it was awarded. These are not
4	tran	scripted like our credentials. Sara.
5	POLICE:	Yes. Hi. Sara Police, College of
6	Med	licine. Kathi, this is a neat idea. I just
7	had	a quick question. Will there be like a
8	prog	ram director and will data be collected
9	arou	and it for program assessment? You
10	mei	ntioned that Annie Weber said it was its owr
11	pro	gram.

12	KERN: That's one of the questions that we are
13	looking to our senate leadership to help us
14	with. We sort of innocently went into this
15	not realizing that a two-course sequence would
16	be a program. So, yes, we do need to come up
17	with some kind of assessment policy, as well
18	as an approval process that the campus would
19	participate in.
20	POLICE: Very cool. And I do have one more
21	question, too: Is this similar to the digital
22	badges that you would see under someone's
23	email signature? Like, Google Classroom
24	Certified or something like this? I can see
25	how that would be really beneficial for a

1		nurse, for example, the example you gave.
2	KERN:	Right. Yeah. They are used they're
3		not on the transcript, but they are used on
4		social media platforms and in signatures. And
5		now that we have all the technology in place
6		to award the badges, the students have been
7		very quick to pick them up.
8		They've been very eager to have them. So
9		it is a currency for students to mark
10		something that they've achieved along the way
11		and the faculty have been very creative.

12	As a faculty member, you're asked to
13	supply the metadata of what kinds of skills
14	students are building in these courses. And,
15	you know, it's been great to be able to link
16	what we know students are getting from our
17	courses to some of the needs in the job
18	market.
19	POLICE: Thanks.
20	CRAMER: Davy.
21	JONES: Kathi, maybe this bounces a little bit
22	off what Sara asked: How does a student gain
23	admission to the badge program? Is it by
24	contacting the department that's offering the
25	courses or is it an associate dean? What's

1	the	admissions step?
2	KERN:	Well, I think that's a good question.
3	Las	t year we had it so that students could
4	ear	n the badges, whether they were UK students
5	or I	not, and most the students who earned them
6	we	re UK students, but maybe somebody else has
7	bet	ter information about that.
8	CRAMER:	I mean, I think at this point, like, if
9	the	student's able to take the courses, you
10	gu	ys have been awarding the badge, right?
11	JONES:	Who is the entity that the student

12		contacts that, "I'm in the badge," so that
13		that entity can render something official to
14		the student that the student then
15	KERN:	Yes. I better understand your question
16		now, Davy. Thank you. It's been running
17		through the associate deans of the college.
18		They provide the data on the students who have
19		successful completed the courses, and then
20		we've been awarding the badges and teaching
21		learning academic innovation.
22	JONES:	Thank you.
23	CRAME	R: Herman. Herman, say your name and
24		college for me.

Herman Farrell, College of Fine Arts.

25

FARRELL:

1	Hi, Kathi. So when this comes out of its
2	pilot period, is it going to be formalized in
3	terms of bringing it through our SAASC
4	Committee or just creating rules for this?
5	Maybe that's also a question for Aaron and the
6	Senate Council that's looking at this.
7	CRAMER: Kathi, I might answer first. Yeah,
8	that's the point, right? That's the reason
9	why I think Senate Council was favorable
10	towards extending the pilot, was to give them
11	a chance to actually construct a solid

12	proposal to the senate that the senate could
13	look at and weigh in on.
14	That's why Leslie is being sort of
15	embedded in that activity, as to provide that
16	sort of senate perspective to help collaborate
17	the development of that proposal with the
18	outcome, just like you described, Herman, that
19	it would come forward as something that the
20	senate would look at carefully and approve or
21	not, according to its merit.
22	Any other questions for either Kathi or
23	Leslie on the request?
24	Well, then, Senate Council recommended
25	approving the extension through Calendar Year

1	'21. Is there any debate on this motion?
2	Seeing none, if you'd like to vote in
3	favor of the motion, please use the "raise-
4	hand" feature now.
5	If you'd like to vote against the motion,
6	please use the "raise-hand" feature now
7	If you'd like to abstain on the motion,
8	please use the "raise-hand" feature now.
9	That motion passes. So the pilot is
10	extended through the calendar year and we'll
11	look forward to receiving a complete proposal

12	on the topic goin	g forward
----	-------------------	-----------

13	The last item on the agenda is items from
L4	the floor, time permitting. We actually have
L 5	a little bit of time, which is been unexpected
16	this year. But this is an opportunity for
17	senators to raise issues that were not on the
18	agenda at this time.
L9	Are there any items that anyone would
20	like to raise from the floor today?
21	Well, seeing none, then now is the time
22	when we would adjourn.
23	Are there any objections to adjournment
24	at this time?

1 Seeing none, we are adjourned.

2 *******

```
STATE OF KENTUCKY )
```

)

COUNTY OF FAYETTE)

in and for the State of Kentucky at Large, certify that at the time and place stated, the proceedings were conducted via teleconference;

I, TRISHA B. MORLEY, the undersigned Notary Public

That the proceedings were taken in stenotype, later reduced to computer-aided transcription under my direction, and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of said proceedings.

My commission expires: April 23, 2022.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunder set my hand and

seal of office on the 20th day of May, 2021.

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

Notary Public

My commission expires: 4/23/22