The University Senate met in regular session at 2 pm on Monday, April 22, 2019 in the Multipurpose Room (B-108C) of W. T. Young Library. Below is a record of what transpired. All votes were taken via electronic voting devices unless indicated otherwise. Specific voting information can be requested from the Office of the Senate Council.

Senate Council Chair Jennifer Bird-Pollan called the University Senate (Senate) meeting to order at 2:00 pm. She thanked senators for attending.

The Chair called for an attendance vote and 51 senators registered their presence. The Chair noted that due to the earlier start time, more senators would likely arrive as the meeting progressed.

The Chair explained that Sheila Brothers will be out of the office for a couple of weeks, so Joanie Ett-Mims from the Senate Council office will be recording the minutes for the meeting. She reviewed the regular reminders to senators, including Senate following Robert's Rules of Order (Newly Revised), and asked senators to share Senate's activities with colleagues, and to leave voting clickers prior to leaving.

1. Minutes from March 18, 2019 and Announcements

The Chair reported that no edits to the minutes from March 18 had been received. There being **no objections**, the minutes from March 18, 2109 were **approved** as distributed by **unanimous consent**. The Chair offered a series of announcements to senators.

- The Chair recognized the recipients of several awards, including the A&S Award for Promotion of Diversity or Inclusion, the 2019 Alumni Association Great Teachers, the 2019 Provost's Award for Outstanding Teaching, the 2019 Inclusive Excellence Awards, the 2019 William B. Sturgill Award, and the 2019 Albert D. and Elizabeth H. Kirwan Memorial Prize.
- The Chair explained that there would be a temporary pause in the efforts to integrate programs into Curriculog. The University may purchase Acalog, an associated course management system, which might allow for a smoother integration. In the meantime, the Senate's forms will be reviewed and updated, and units will be directed to complete the Senate's program forms and upload these into Curriculog for routing and approval.
- The Chair explained that there would be similar curricular deadlines for programs to have a fall 2020 effective date. If seeking a fall 2020 effective date, curricular proposals must be reviewed by the appropriate academic council(s) and be received by the SC office by: February 5, 2020 for new degree program proposals; March 15, 2020 for other proposals requiring committee review (new certificates, transfers of a degree, new department, change to credit hours required for graduation, significant program changes, etc.); and April 15, 2020 for courses, all other program changes, and minors.
- The Chair introduced Seth DeBolt (AG) and described the Jim B. Beam Institute for Kentucky Spirits, an institute housed in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment. The Chair explained the institute is an administrative unit, not an educational unit, as defined by the Senate Rules, and a proposal will be submitted next year for approval as an educational unit. The Chair congratulated DeBolt on a recent gift from the Jim B. Beam Distilling Company and suggested senators contact him via email with any questions.

2. Officer and Other Reports

a. Chair

The Chair reminded senators that the *Senate Rules* (*SRs*) give the Senate Council (SC) authority to make minor calendar changes, as long as they are reported to the Senate. In late February, SC approved a change to the 2018-2019 calendar regarding the window when majors cannot be changed. On March 25, SC approved a minor change to the 2018-2019 Dentistry calendar, regarding the hooding date, at the request of the students. Also on March 25, SC approved multiple nonstandard calendars for some Health Sciences courses, listed below.

- AT 640 and 643, and AT 641 and 644
- CSD 659
- MLS 481, 482, and 483
- PAS 660, 669, 661, 671, 665, 662, 670, 664, 663, and 665
- PT 838

Committee chair, Roger Brown, for the Ad hoc Committee on Academic Engagement (Title IV), presented a report and recommendations to SC on April 8. SC accepted the report and asked Brown to reconvene the Committee to create concrete proposals. The report is available on SC's April 8 agenda for review.

The faculty's evaluation of the president has been sent out via email and will be open through May 1. The Chair noted that any comments submitted with the evaluations are confidential and only the results of responses to the questions are made public. She encouraged faculty to participate and noted that faculty can only use the individual survey link that was emailed to them.

The Chair has participated in multiple meetings between college representatives regarding concerns about perceived duplication of programs. The Senate Academic Programs Committee (SAPC) chair, Aaron Cramer (EN), asked SC to deliberate on the issue of proposals with very broad names and/or disciplinary areas. This will likely be discussed at the SC retreat in early May, and the Chair welcomed comments from senators and any others, either at the retreat or before.

The Chair said that she had one other announcement, which would be given by Roger Brown (AG), chair of the Elections Subcommittee of the Senate's Rules and Elections Committee (SREC). Brown announced that the faculty trustee election was complete as of 12 pm that day, and Lee Blonder (ME) has been reelected as faculty trustee. Blonder was present and was recognized with applause from senators. Blonder offered her thanks to the other nominees, the members of the Elections Subcommittee, and to Sheila Brothers in the SC office for their support. The Chair thanked the senators and their colleagues for participating and noted a fairly high rate of response.

b. Provost

Provost David Blackwell expressed his appreciation to the senators and members of the Senate committees for the remarkable amount of work done this year, with acknowledgement that there is even more to come. He recognized how many hours of work went into the items on this meeting agenda alone, and thanked the group on behalf of the president as well.

c. Vice Chair

Osterhage (AS) had no report.

d. Parliamentarian

Michael (LA) noted that an average of five minutes per agenda item would be appropriate for the remainder of the meeting.

e. Trustee

Grossman (AS, faculty trustee) first offered his congratulations to Blonder on winning the trustee election. He announced he would not be running for re-election next year and encouraged senators or their colleagues to consider running for election. Grossman also encouraged senators to complete the president's evaluation. In response to a question, Grossman agreed to request for next year that the president prepare a document similar to what he prepares for Board of Trustee (BoT) members, which would provide more information about his accomplishments and activities for faculty members as they complete the survey.

Blonder (ME, faculty trustee) updated senators on the April BoT meeting date and location.

3. Degree Recipients

a. May 2019 In Memoriam Degree Recipients

i. College of Engineering Student WS-67

The Chair welcomed guest Kim Anderson (EN), who described the In Memoriam degree recipient. The Chair asked if there were any questions of fact but there were none. The Chair stated that the motion from the SC was a recommendation that the elected faculty senators approve College of Engineering student WS-67 as the recipient of an In Memoriam honorary degree, for submission through the President to the Board of Trustees. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 59 in favor and none opposed.

ii. College of Engineering Student CS-40

Anderson (EN) described the In Memoriam degree recipient. The Chair asked if there were any questions of fact but there were none. The Chair stated that the motion from the SC was a recommendation that the elected faculty senators approve College of Engineering student CS-40 as the recipient of an In Memoriam honorary degree, for submission through the President to the Board of Trustees. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 56 in favor and none opposed.

iii. College of Nursing Student CM-63

Guest Joanne Davis (NU) described the In Memoriam degree recipient. The Chair asked if there were any questions of fact but there were none. The Chair stated that the motion from the SC was a recommendation that the elected faculty senators approve College of Nursing student CM-63 as the recipient of an In Memoriam honorary degree, for submission through the President to the Board of Trustees. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 58 in favor and none opposed.

iv: College of Law Student GJ-69

Michael (LA) described the In Memoriam degree recipient. The Chair asked if there were any questions of fact but there were none. The Chair stated that the motion from the SC was a recommendation that the elected faculty senators approve College of Law student GJ-69 as the recipient of an In Memoriam honorary degree, for submission through the President to the Board of Trustees. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 58 in favor and none opposed.

v: College of Arts & Sciences Student JT-73

Guest Ruth Beattie (AS) described the In Memoriam degree recipient. The Chair asked if there were any questions of fact but there were none. The Chair stated that the motion from the SC was a recommendation that the elected faculty senators approve College of Arts & Sciences student JT-73 as the recipient of an In Memoriam honorary degree, for submission through the President to the Board of Trustees. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 56 in favor and none opposed.

b. May 2019 Degree List

The Chair said the revised version of the list was circulated via email earlier that day and hard copies had been made available to senators at the meeting. The motion from committee was that the elected faculty senators approve UK's May 2019 list of candidates for credentials, for submission through the President to the Board of Trustees. The Chair requested a new motion from the floor to approve the revised version of the list. Grossman **moved** to approve the revised version of the May 2019 degree list, and Osterhage **seconded**. There was no discussion. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 58 in favor and none opposed.

c. Early August 2019 Degree List

The Chair said the revised version of the list was circulated via email earlier that day and hard copies had been made available to senators at the meeting. The motion from committee was that the elected faculty senators approve UK's early August 2019 list of candidates for credentials, for submission through the President to the Board of Trustees. The Chair requested a new motion from the floor to approve the revised version of the list. Grossman **moved** to approve the revised version of the August 2019 degree list, and Blonder **seconded**. There was no discussion. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 57 in favor and none opposed.

d. Motions to Amend Previously Adopted Degree Lists

i. August 2018 Degree List

The Chair said the **motion** from the SC was that the elected faculty senators amend the August 2018 degree list for College of Education student WW-86 by rescinding the PhD in Education and Counseling Psychology - Educational Psychology and conferring the PhD in Educational, School, and Counseling Psychology - School Psychology. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no discussion. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 55 in favor and one opposed.

ii. December 2018 Degree List

The Chair said the **motion** from the SC was that the elected faculty senators amend the December 2018 degree list for College of Education student RA-38 by rescinding the PhD in Education and Counseling Psychology - Educational Psychology and conferring the PhD in Educational, School, and Counseling Psychology - School Psychology. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no discussion. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 58 in favor and none opposed.

iii. May 2017 Degree List

The Chair said the **motion** from the SC was that the elected faculty senators amend the May 2017 degree list for College of Education student DM-46 by rescinding the PhD in Education and Counseling Psychology - Educational Psychology and conferring the PhD in Educational, School, and Counseling Psychology - School Psychology. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no discussion. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 56 in favor and none opposed.

e. Late Addition to May 2018 Degree List (per [new numbering] Senate Rules 5.4.1.2.4.1)

i. College of Arts & Sciences Student TK-79

The Chair said the **motion** from the SC was that the elected faculty senators amend the May 2018 degree by adding the BA in Sociology (Minor in Journalism) for student TK-79 and recommend through the President to the Board of Trustees that the degree be awarded effective May 2018. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no discussion. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 57 in favor and none opposed.

4. Old Business

a. Proposed Change to [new numbering] Senate Rules 4.2.8 ("Undergraduate Certificates")

The Chair provided an overview of the current SR for undergraduate (UG) certificates, which does not allow non-degree-seeking students to enroll in these certificates. The intent of the proposal under review is to extend enrollment in UG certificates to non-degree-seeking students. The Chair noted that senators are currently in the debate portion of their review, continued from the March 18, 2019 Senate meeting.

Matt Giancarlo (AS) read a prepared statement, in which he requested amendments to the proposal to account for normal admission standards and requirements, pursuant to SR 4.2.1.3.1 ("Rules Governing Admission of Non-Degree-Seeking Students") and SR 4.2.1.3.2 ("Rules Governing Enrollment of Non-Degree-Seeking Students"), along with amendments to the admission requirements spelled out in SRs 4.2.1.1.2.1 ("Requirements") and 4.2.1.1.3 ("High School Nongraduates"). Giancarlo proposed that undergraduate certificates maintain the minimum admission requirement of a high school diploma and/or its equivalent as established by the university admission standard. He stated a number of concerns with the implications of the proposal under review.

Giancarlo **moved** that the proposed change to SR 4.2.8 ("Undergraduate Certificates") be modified to include the following language in the last sentence: "The faculty of record of an undergraduate certificate program may establish policies to extend enrollment to non-degree-seeking students, as consonant with the basic admissions standard at the University of Kentucky and with the procedures of admissions exceptions, as set forth in SR 4.2.1 and its subsections." Firey (AS) **seconded**.

The Chair called for questions of fact, and there were several. In response to a suggestion, Giancarlo accepted a friendly amendment to his motion to include a reference to SR 4.2.1.3.1 at the end of the proposed sentence in SR 4.2.8, rather than adding the additional language Giancarlo formerly proposed. Firey, who had seconded Giancarlo's motion, also accepted the change. There was some further discussion. A **vote** was taken by a show of hands to amend the motion from the SC, and there was a general consensus.

Because the original motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was some discussion. A **vote** was taken and the amended motion **passed** with 62 in favor and one opposed.

5. Committee Reports

a. Senate's Academic Programs Committee (SAPC) – Aaron Cramer, Chair

i. Proposed New MS in Data Science

Cramer (EN), chair of the Senate's Academic Program Committee (SAPC), explained the proposal. There was one question of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the University Senate approve, for submission to the Board of Trustees, the establishment of a new MS in Data Science, in the Department of Computer Science within the College of Engineering. Because the

motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 64 in favor, none opposed, and one abstained.

ii. Proposed New MS in Science Translation and Outreach

Cramer (EN) explained the proposal. There were no questions of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the University Senate approve, for submission to the Board of Trustees, the establishment of a new MS in Science Translation and Outreach, in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 61 in favor and one opposed.

iii. Proposed New 3+3: BS Human Health Sciences and DPT Physical Therapy

Cramer (EN) explained the proposal. There were no questions of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the University Senate approve the establishment of a new 3+3 Program: BS Human Health Sciences and DPT Physical Therapy within the College of Health Sciences. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 62 in favor, none opposed, and two abstained.

iv. Proposed New Graduate Certificate in Fundraising and Development

Cramer (EN) explained the proposal. There were no questions of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the University Senate approve the establishment of a new Graduate Certificate in Fundraising and Development, in the Department of Arts Administration within the College of Fine Arts. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 60 in favor, three opposed, and one abstained.

v. Proposed New Graduate Certificate in Positive Youth Development

Cramer (EN) explained the proposal. There were no questions of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the University Senate approve the establishment of a new Graduate Certificate in Positive Youth Development, in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 61 in favor, two opposed, and two abstained.

vi. Proposed New Graduate Certificate in Family and Consumer Sciences

Cramer (EN) explained the proposal. There were no questions of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the University Senate approve the establishment of a new Graduate Certificate in Family and Consumer Sciences, in the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 61 in favor, two opposed, and one abstained.

vii. Proposed New Graduate Certificate in Advanced Materials Characterization

Cramer (EN) explained the proposal. There were no questions of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the University Senate approve the establishment of a new Graduate Certificate in Advanced Materials Characterization, in the Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering within the College of Engineering. Because the motion came from committee, no second was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 63 in favor, none opposed, and one abstained.

viii. Proposed New Graduate Certificate in Clinical Social Work

Cramer (EN) explained the proposal. There was one question of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the University Senate approve the establishment of a new Graduate Certificate in Clinical Social Work, in the College of Social Work. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 62 in favor and none opposed.

ix. Proposed New Graduate Certificate in Applied Nutrition and Culinary Medicine

Cramer (EN) explained the proposal. There were no questions of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the University Senate approve the establishment of a new Graduate Certificate in Applied Nutrition and Culinary Medicine, in the Department of Pharmacology and Nutritional Sciences within the College of Medicine. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 64 in favor and none opposed.

x. Proposed New Graduate Certificate in Structural Engineering

Cramer (EN) explained the proposal. There were no questions of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the University Senate approve the establishment of a new Graduate Certificate in Structural Engineering, in the Department of Civil Engineering within the College of Engineering. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 62 in favor and none opposed.

xi. Proposed New Graduate Certificate in Tobacco Treatment Specialist Training

Cramer (EN) explained the proposal. There were no questions of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the University Senate approve the establishment of a new Graduate Certificate in Tobacco Treatment Specialist Training, in the College of Nursing. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 64 in favor and three opposed.

xii. Proposed New Undergraduate Certificate in Aerospace Engineering

Cramer (EN) explained the proposal. There were no questions of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the University Senate approve the establishment of a new Undergraduate Certificate in Aerospace Engineering, in the College of Engineering. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 66 in favor and one opposed.

xiii. Proposed New Undergraduate Certificate in Historic Preservation

Cramer (EN) explained the proposal. There were no questions of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the University Senate approve the establishment of a new Undergraduate Certificate in Historic Preservation, in the Department of Historic Preservation within the College of Design. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 65 in favor and one opposed.

xiv: Proposed New Undergraduate Certificate in Financial Planning

Cramer (EN) explained the proposal. There was one question of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the University Senate approve the establishment of a new Undergraduate Certificate in Financial Planning, in the Department of Finance and Quantitative Methods within the Gatton College of Business and Economics. Because the motion came from committee, no

second was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 64 in favor and none opposed.

The Chair asked the members of the SAPC to stand and be recognized for their work this year. Senators responded with a round of applause.

b. Senate's Academic Organization and Structure Committee (SAOSC) - Al Cross, Chair

i. Proposed New Center for Equality and Social Justice

Cross (CI), chair of the Senate's Academic Organization and Structure Committee (SAOSC), explained the proposal. There were no questions of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the Senate endorse the proposed academic organization of the Center for Equality and Social Justice in the College of Arts and Sciences. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was some debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 63 in favor, none opposed, and two abstained.

c. Senate's Admissions and Academic Standards Committee (SAASC) – Herman Farrell, Chair

i. Proposed Changes to MA Philosophy

Farrell (FA), chair of the Senate's Admissions and Academic Standards Committee (SAASC), explained the proposal. There was one question of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the Senate approve the proposed changes to the MA Philosophy. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 61 in favor, none opposed, and one abstained.

ii. Proposed Changes to PhD Philosophy

Farrell (FA) explained the proposal. There were a few questions of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the Senate approve the proposed changes to the PhD Philosophy. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 58 in favor, one opposed, and three abstained.

iii. Proposed Changes to PhD in Epidemiology and Biostatistics

Farrell (FA) explained the proposal. There was one question of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the Senate approve the proposed changes to the PhD in Epidemiology and Biostatistics. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 61 in favor, none opposed, and three abstained.

iv. Proposed Changes to BAEDU Early Elementary Education

Farrell (FA) explained the proposal. There were a few questions of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the Senate approve the proposed changes to the BAEDU Early Elementary Education. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 62 in favor and none opposed.

v. Proposed Suspension of Admissions for BACH-AH

The Chair first explained that the SC discussed the need for waivers for the open hearings and disclosures requirements set forth in SR 3.4.2.2.2 when academic programs or educational units are reviewed by a Senate committee. The SC believed these waivers may not be necessary moving forward. Instead of holding a separate open hearing, the meeting of the SAASC when the proposal is discussed

could serve as the hearing, and the colleges under consideration would be responsible for providing notice of the meeting. Farrell (FA) noted that this approach will be in place before the SAASCs next meeting, so the Senate should not be required to consider any of these waivers moving forward.

Farrell (FA) explained the waiver request. There were no questions of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the Senate waive the open hearings and disclosure requirements that are set forth in [new numbering] SR 3.4.2.2.2 for the proposed suspension of admissions and closure of the BACH-AH. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 63 in favor and one opposed.

Farrell (FA) explained the proposal. There were no questions of fact. The Chair then said that the motion on the floor was a recommendation that the Senate approve the proposed suspension of admissions and closure of the BACH-AH. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was required. There was no debate. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with 62 in favor and none opposed.

The Chair asked the members of the SAASC to stand and be recognized for their work this year. Senators responded with a round of applause.

6. <u>Items from the Floor (Time Permitting)</u>

The Chair asked if there were any items from the floor that needed to be discussed, but no one raised their hand. The Chair queried senators about adjourning and a vote was taken. The motion presumably passed, as senators expressed their willingness to adjourn via a mass exodus. The meeting was adjourned at 3:58 pm.

Respectfully submitted by Jennifer Bird-Pollan, Senate Council Chair

Absences: Abdel-Latif; Agouridis; Amaral-Phillips*; Arnett; Atwood; Bagh; Bailey, P.; Beck, Bieber; Birdwhistell; Brady; Brennen; Bruckner; Cantrell*; Capilouto; Cassis; Caudill; Cofield; Collins; DiPaola; Dziubla; Feist-Price; Flaherty; Frierson; Gent; Griggs; Guiton; ; Hall*; Hamilton; Hampton; Harmon; Harper; Harris; Heath; Heileman; Huang; Hunt; Jackson; Johnson; Kearney; Kelley; Kennedy; Kim; Kirk; Lauersdorf*; Lephart; Loftin; Lovan; Luhan*; Mardini; Martin; McCormick; Mitchell; Murray; Obute; Pauly*; Pearson; Quinn; Raissi; Richey; Roch; Runyon; Sandidge; Scaggs; Shanda; Stevens; Swanson; Urschel*; Vernon; Vosevich; Ward; Wasilkowski; White*; Wilcock; Wilson, K.; Wittkamp; Zeadally

Invited guests present: Kim Anderson, Janie Heath, Douglas Michael, Ruth Beattie, Shannon Means, Mark Kornbluh, Mirek Truszczynski, Heather Bush, Jin Chen, Paul Vincelli, Tony English, Rachel Shane, Mark Mains, Jennifer Hunter, Matthew Beck, Kalea Benner, Sara Police, Y.T. Wang, Audrey Darville, Suzanne Smith, Daniel Vivian, Cynthia Vines, Christia Brown-Spears, Steve Browning, Kim White, Carl Mattacola.

Prepared by Joanie Ett-Mims on Wednesday, April 23, 2019.

^{*} Denotes an explained absence.