1	UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
2	SENATE COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	**** ***
6	MARCH 9, 2020
7	****
8	
9	JENNIFER BIRD-POLLAN, CHAIR
10	DESHANA COLLETT, VICE CHAIR
11	SHEILA BROTHERS, ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR
12	STEPHANIE WOOLERY, STAFF ASSISTANT
13	TRISHA B. MORLEY, COURT REPORTER
14	
15	**** ***

16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

25

*		
2	BIRD-POLLAN:	We will go ahead and call this
3	meet	ing to order today. Just a
4	remir	nder actually, that's where
5	our re	eminders start. Three
6	remir	nders based on some information
7	from	the previous meetings.
8	Fir	st of all, we don't allow
9	proxy	votes in the Senate, so the
10	only	people who can use the clicker
11	devi	ces are the people whose names

12	are on those devices. So if you
13	are here as a representative of
14	another senator, we welcome you to
15	stay and participate and share
16	feedback with the senator that
17	you're here for and your colleagues
18	and all that, but don't vote on
19	their behalf, please. That's what
20	our rules say.
21	Second, we had a person who
22	was here at the meeting at the last
23	month who couldn't find his device,
24	but his device voted. So that
25	means that somebody had his device.

1	So take a minute, look at your
2	device and make sure it has your
3	own name on it. And if it doesn't,
4	that means yours is still in the
5	box somewhere. So, please, double
6	check that every time you come to
7	the Senate.
8	And then, finally, we do ask
9	you to sign in. So sometimes we
10	have clickers that vote, but the
11	person didn't sign in. The way we

12	keep attendance is the sign-in
13	sheet, actually, not the voting
14	devices. We can always check
15	those, but we rely on the
16	information on the sign-in sheet.
17	That's why we ask you to sign in.
18	That's our attendance sheet, so
19	please, do sign in for the meeting
20	when you pick your clicker up.
21	These are all our every-month
22	reminders: Return the clicker and
23	then share information you learn
24	today with your colleagues in your
25	departments and colleges.

1	So our attendance slide,
2	please use the clicker with your
3	own name on it and reply to the
4	attendance slide. The clickers
5	remained in the box between the
6	last meeting and this one, so I
7	think they're pretty safe. Maybe
8	we'll sanitize them before the next
9	meeting.
10	Any remaining responses to our
11	attendance slide? Any last

12	responses? Oh, very well done,
13	folks. I'm very proud of us all.
14	Our minutes: Our minutes were
15	circulated with the agenda for
16	today. We received a few editorial
17	comments, but nothing substantive.
18	So unless I hear any differently
19	now, those minutes will stand
20	approved as amended by unanimous
21	consent.
22	Some announcements: You might
23	remember in years past we've had
24	what we call the Connect Blue
25	Reception, which is the Trustees,

1	along with the Staff Senate and the
2	University Senate.
3	Because of the governor's
4	inauguration this year, which was
5	scheduled the same day that we
6	would've had the Connect Blue
7	Reception, we had to postpone the
8	meeting that would've been in
9	December. So we're tentatively
10	planning on a May 4th reception,
11	which would be right after the

12	Senate meeting in May, the
13	University Senate meeting, and we
14	go over to the Boone Center, which
15	is where the Trustees would be
16	getting ready to have dinner. So
17	we'd have sort of a one-hour brief
18	reception right after this meeting.
19	So we're still working out the
20	details of that, but tentatively
21	block it on your calendar and plan
22	to be there.
23	It's a nice opportunity to
24	speak with the Trustees and with
25	members of the Staff Senate and

1	meet your colleagues here in the
2	University Senate, too.
3	I also just wanted to announce
4	that you're going to be getting a
5	faculty survey from the Mental
6	Health Task Force, in particular.
7	Senate Council Member, Leslie
8	Vincent, has been working with the
9	Mental Health Task Force and is, in
10	particular, thinking about faculty
11	and staff training. And so you're

12	going to get a survey coming out
13	pretty soon, I think. Is that
14	right, Leslie?
15	So keep an eye out for that,
16	and please, encourage your
17	colleagues to complete that survey
18	as well. I think they'd like lots
19	of participation on that front.
20	I mentioned this briefly last
21	month as well, but there's sort of
22	an ad hoc workgroup dealing with
23	the issue of prior learning and
24	assessment of prior learning,
25	whether we would give credit for

1	-	things that have been done outside
2	!	of the classroom in previous
3	3	situations, either work experience
4	ŀ	or work training, things like that.
5	j	Aaron Cramer is there
6	5	participating, sort of on behalf of
7	,	the Senate and the Senate Council,
8	3	but we're interested in hearing
9	)	from other faculty who might be
10	0	who might want to participate,
1:	1	either by joining the group or

12	sharing views with Aaron in
13	anticipation of those meetings. So
14	please reach out to Sheila or to me
15	or to Aaron directly to share your
16	interest in that set of issues.
17	These curricular deadlines
18	you've seen many, many times. If
19	you're seeking a fall 2020
20	effective date, it's too late to
21	submit a new degree program, but
22	new certificates, transfers of
23	degrees, new departments, et
24	cetera, have to come by a week from
25	today, March 16th. And then new

1	courses, program changes, things
2	like that will be due on April
3	13th. So, again, share those
4	deadlines with the folks you know
5	who might be doing curricular
6	things.
7	The course purges you've heard
8	about multiple times, we're trying
9	to keep our course catalog up to
10	date, and we've had a couple of
11	hiccups in the process of doing

12	this. We received a list from the
13	Registrar's Office that didn't
14	allow for sorting by department.
15	We thought that was going to make
16	things too difficult, so we asked
17	them to do that.
18	We also, in the meantime,
19	realized the things that we had
20	supposedly purged in 2016 had not,
21	in fact, been removed from the
22	list, so the list was longer than
23	it should've been. We've asked the
24	Registrar's Office to work with us
25	on that as well, so that's why you

1	haven't yet gotten the purged-
2	course list, but we're still
3	working on it so stay tuned.
4	Related to some of the topics
5	that are going to be discussed in
6	just a few minutes, the Senate
7	Council is going to meet this
8	Friday to talk about academic
9	matters related to the possibility
10	related to things around COVID
11	19 and the possibility of

12	disruptions to courses.
13	So what I'd like to hear from
14	you is whether you have special
15	concerns, whether there are
16	questions that occurred to you.
17	Are there things related to
18	academic or educational policy that
19	you think the Senate Council needs
20	to address? We're not going to be
21	taking action on Friday. We're
22	mainly going to be discussing
23	issues and making the plan. So if
24	you have suggestions and things we
25	ought to think about or add to our

1	discussion list, we would love to
2	hear from you before Friday. After
3	Friday, you can still share those
4	thoughts with me, just email me
5	directly or Sheila.
6	We're on to the chairs' report
7	now, a report about an action that
8	the Senate Council took about two
9	weeks ago. We reviewed a review
10	from the SREC, which was about
11	retroactive withdrawals. So you

12	might remember that there's a
13	Senate Rule that says a student
14	cannot seek a retroactive
15	withdrawal if they have an E in the
16	course because of an academic
17	offense.
18	So the rule had said if there
19	was an academic offense, the
20	retroactive withdrawal process
21	wasn't available. The student had
22	to go to the University Appeals
23	Board, specifically, to challenge
24	the academic offense. So that's
25	been confirmed, both by the SREC

1	and then by the Senate Council as
2	well. But the other thing that we
3	clarified was that it wasn't
4	it's not only an E that would have
5	to go through the Retroactive
6	Withdrawals Committee and on to the
7	UAB. If you had any grade in a
8	course that was affected by an
9	academic offense, that the
10	retroactive withdrawal of that
11	grade would have to go to the UAB.

12	So even if it's not an E, but if
13	the grade is in some way calculated
14	on the basis of an academic
15	offense, it has to go to the
16	University Appeals Board.
17	We also discussed something
18	that came up at the last Senate
19	meeting. You might remember we had
20	a robust conversation in February
21	about naming departments,
22	specifically around the naming of
23	the Department of Statistics, and
24	there was a request that the Senate
25	Council consider this issue further

1	and speak with people in the
2	administration about this. And so
3	at the last Senate Council meeting,
4	we discussed this and we're working
5	with the provost and with Vice
6	Provost Holloway about the
7	possibility of something like a
8	joint committee, which would
9	include representatives of the
10	Senate, representatives of the
11	administration, some philanthropy

12	TOIKS, to talk about the larger
13	issues, rather than in the context
14	of one particular donation or one
15	particular naming opportunity, sort
16	of the larger issues around naming
17	in general.
18	And we're also working on
19	inviting someone from philanthropy
20	to come either to the next Senate
21	meeting, or possibly in may, to
22	share information with you about
23	the larger project here about the
24	Kentucky Can Campaign and about
25	naming projects in general. We're

1	working timing about that. I'm not
2	sure yet whether it will happen
3	which meeting it might happen at or
4	who it would be, but we will keep
5	you updated about that.
6	Also just a heads up here.
7	The Outstanding Senator Award: We
8	have this annually and it's run by
9	the vice chair. DeShana Collett is
10	working on sending out a call for
11	nominees about this, and so you'll

12	see something in your inbox after
13	spring break, so please keep an eye
14	out for that and nominate someone.
15	It's nice to have a robust pool of
16	nominees, so I ask you think about
17	including nominations for someone
18	who satisfies these criteria:
19	Active exemplary service on
20	committees; making substantive
21	contributions and communications
22	with Senate and the administration;
23	strong voice; promoting and
24	supporting the Senate's agenda. So
25	it's fun to be able to give this

1	award out, and we ask for you think
2	about who you might nominate.
3	Nominations don't have to come only
4	from senators. If any of your
5	faculty, colleagues or
6	administrators would be interested
7	in nominating a senator, an
8	outstanding senator, they can do
9	that as well.
10	GROSSMAN: Jennifer?
11	BIRD-POLLAN: Yes?

- 12 GROSSMAN: Bob Grossman, Trustee. You
- might mention that current members
- 14 of Senate Council are not eligible
- for this award.
- 16 BIRD-POLLAN: Current members of Senate
- 17 Council are ineligible. Correct.
- 18 Yes. That will be in the email.
- The other thing I wanted to
- 20 keep you apprised of, because this
- 21 will happen before the next Senate
- 22 meeting, is that you will get
- 23 also from Vice Chair, DeShana
- 24 Collett, notice about the faculty's
- annual evaluation of the president.

1	So this will probably we're
2	expecting to send this out, sort
3	of, around April 1st, and the
4	survey will be open for three
5	weeks.
6	As we've discussed here in the
7	Senate before, we haven't always
8	had great faculty participation in
9	this, which then, sort of, sends
10	the message to the trustees that
11	the faculty don't have an opinion

12	or aren't interested in sharing
13	their opinion. I'm confident
14	that's not true, so I ask you to
15	reply yourselves, encourage your
16	colleagues to apply. And those
17	results are presenting directly to
18	the Board of Trustees.
19	I'm sure our current faculty
20	trustees could tell you the
21	University Trustees seem interested
22	in hearing what the faculty have to
23	say, and so we should share our
24	views with them. So I do encourage
25	you to participate in that and to

1	encourage others to participate as
2	well.
3	Now I'm going to turn it over
4	to the provost for the Provost
5	Report, and there's one change to
6	the agenda, which is that Kirsten
7	Turner, as an associate provost,
8	we've moved her report into the
9	Provost Report. So at the end of
10	the things that the provost is
11	going to describe here, Kirsten

12	will get up and tell us a little
13	bit about that as well. So I will
14	turn it over to the provost.
15	BLACKWELL: Thank you, Jennifer. Thank
16	you, everyone, for being here. As
17	always, thank you for your work on
18	behalf of the University of
19	Kentucky in your role as senators.
20	We all appreciate what you do in
21	the classroom, in your laboratory
22	and your research, your creative
23	work and your service, but we also
24	appreciate this service.
25	Today I have a number of

1	topics that I want to give you
2	updates on. The first, we will
3	begin update on the technology
4	issue that you have seen in the
5	news and in various announcements
6	in the last couple of days.
7	Secondly, an update on the
8	coronavirus and how the University
9	of Kentucky is responding to it.
10	And then I will defer to Kirsten to
11	talk about Student and Academic Life.

12	I had planned to discuss the
13	strategic plan process, which is
14	really just starting to get in full
15	throttle. I've asked Jennifer to
16	go ahead and post the slides, which
17	has most of the information about
18	that, and then I'll come back to
19	Senate in April to give a further
20	report on that. I'm anticipating a
21	lot of questions on both technology
22	issue and the coronavirus issue,
23	and we want to make sure that we
24	get around to answering all of
25	those.

1	The first comment I will make
2	is Jennifer mentioned the Senate
3	Council meeting on Friday. I'm
4	happy that you're getting together
5	We hope that, with respect to the
6	coronavirus, we continue "as
7	close to normal" operations as
8	possible. However, we have a
9	workgroup that we'll get into a
10	little bit later that is looking at
11	normal continuation of academic

12	operations in the event that we
13	have to suspend normal operations.
14	And, in particular, we may
15	need to ask the indulgence of the
16	faculty on certain academic issues
17	in the context of responding to the
18	coronavirus in keeping our academic
19	and instructional operation going.
20	So I think that's the spirit of
21	this meeting, is to anticipate some
22	of that.
23	And then I encourage you,
24	through your deans, as questions
25	come up about academic operations

1	in the event we have to suspend
2	normal operations, send those to me
3	and to Kathi Kern, and then we will
4	get them into a process that we are
5	going to describe in just a moment
6	for a response.
7	So starting with the
8	technology issue, I'd like to
9	introduce Executive Vice President
10	for Finance and Administration,
11	Eric Monday, and he will kick us

12 off with an overview of that. 13 Thank you, Eric. 14 MONDAY: Thanks, Dave. Good afternoon. 15 So in February, about five to six 16 weeks ago, the university, through 17 our UK HealthCare Group, noticed 18 some unusual activity on some of 19 their devices. And so at that 20 point, we convened a group of UK 21 HealthCare IT professionals and UK 22 IT professionals to start 23 understanding more about what was 24 taking place.

At that point, we also stood

25

1	up a tech-matter workgroup that was
2	comprised of various members of
3	university leadership that started
4	to meet on this matter on a regular
5	basis.
6	The next step is we engaged
7	two outside companies, Microsoft
8	and CrowdStrike, to come in and
9	work with us to further investigate
10	and understand these threat actors.
11	Once we understood and brought in

12	our third parties and started to
13	work through the matter, we
14	determined that they were utilizing
15	excess computing capacity to mine
16	bitcoin. To this day, they have
17	not made access, nor have they
18	taken or even accessed any patient
19	health information or any
20	university student, faculty, staff
21	or otherwise, data. We're very,
22	very pleased with that.
23	We then went through a process
24	with Microsoft, and they have been
25	engaged in nearly 40 of these

1	exercises across the United States,
2	and involving such entities as the
3	Department of Defense and foreign
4	countries. So we had a very
5	advanced team on site from
6	Microsoft, and we went through a
7	process of hardening our systems.
8	And you may have noticed some
9	impacts to you, individually, or
10	within your department or within
11	your area, a change to the VPN

12	process, removal of nearly all, and
13	will be all, local administrative
14	rights on machines, more vigorous
15	rebooting of machines throughout
16	the enterprise, the implementation
17	of patches at the earliest moments
18	possible and so on and so on, and
19	that hardening will continue.
20	When you go through this
21	process, it is not as simple as
22	just moving these threat actors
23	out. It's a very diligent multi-
24	week usually as many as 12 weeks
25	of a process to ensure that they

1	don't know that you know. And you
2	try to ensure that you can move
3	them out in a quick fashion and a
4	very rapid pace when you make the
5	decision to "evict them from the
6	system."
7	About a week and two or three
8	days ago, the Herald Leader made
9	contact with the university and
10	said they had been tipped off by a
11	university employee that we had a

12	cyber event on the campus, and they
13	wanted to know what our comments
14	were about that. We engaged in a
15	conversation with them to explain
16	the situation, and to work to
17	expedite our time frame.
18	The eviction event was going
19	to be this coming Saturday and
20	Sunday, March 14th and 15th. We
21	worked with Microsoft and our
22	partners, and with the help of,
23	candidly, hundreds and hundreds of
24	our employees working, in some
25	ways, 24/7 for multiple weeks, with

1	obviously some time off as
2	necessary and needed, but at a very
3	rapid pace to expedite that notice
4	of eviction or that event of
5	eviction to this previous weekend.
6	So you may recall that around
7	midnight you received all of us,
8	students, faculty, staff and
9	students, a notice that we were
10	going to be doing some upgrades to
11	the network, and we may experience

12	some intermittent and other impacts
13	to our access. The emergency
14	operations center of the campus was
15	activated on Saturday evening. We
16	had three separate incident
17	commands set up across Lexington.
18	In fact, one over at our UK
19	HealthCare IT organization office
20	location on Fountain Court. We had
21	an incident command set up in UK
22	HealthCare related to hospital
23	operations, and we had an incident
24	command set up at Hardymon related
25	to IT and communications and how we

1	would respond to that.
2	Based on those weeks of
3	planning, then around 2:00 a.m.,
4	which became, of course, 3:00 a.m.
5	with spring forward, we shut the
6	internet down to the University of
7	Kentucky.
8	And so we went completely
9	dark. The plan was to come back
10	online approximately at 7:00 a.m.
11	We were able to do that and

12	complete that process and come back
13	online somewhere approximately
14	around 6:15 a.m. Within that
15	window, when you go dark, the final
16	effort is to, if you will, reset
17	the credentials of all super users.
18	And so, primarily, those are all in
19	our IT organizations to remove,
20	delete and recreate those accounts
21	in the active directory, and then
22	to begin to rebuild those, and then
23	turn the internet back on, and then
24	power back on all of our systems as
25	they reconnected, if you will, to

1	the internet.
2	We had a very successful
3	activity. We had one or two
4	adjustments we had to make related
5	to some single sign-on of Canvas
6	and other operations, but early
7	that morning, maybe 8:00 at the
8	latest, all of our systems were
9	operational.
10	We had asked the senior IT
11	officials in the colleges and other

12	critical departments to come on
13	site between 9:00 and 12:00 on
14	Sunday to test secondary and third-
15	level systems. We had set up the
16	call centers to take those calls.
17	We had very, very limited activity
18	yesterday and throughout today.
19	The story from the Herald
20	Leader, as you know, was posted
21	around 6:45 yesterday morning, and
22	that led to, as I mentioned, very
23	few calls as well.
24	As of right now, there are no
25	there is no activity. The

1	threat actors are outside of our
2	system. There's still some malware
3	that's being cleaned up on
4	individual machines as we go
5	through the enterprise, and that
6	will continue and the hardening
7	will continue for several more
8	weeks still in front of us.
9	As of now, we still are
10	confident that no patient health
11	information or employee, student,

12	faculty, staff or otherwise,
13	information was either accessed or
14	removed or taken from the
15	university systems. Once we get
16	through the next few days, give
17	folks a few days of relief and to
18	breath, we will engage in a formal
19	after-action formal audit and
20	formal other activities to further
21	understand how this occurred and
22	how we ensure that something like
23	that does not occur again.
24	These threat actors were not
25	domestic. They were outside the

1	United States. We have been
2	actively engaged with various legal
3	entities beyond our UK Police
4	Department for the duration of this
5	activity, and we'll continue to
6	cooperate with them as we walk
7	through this situation.
8	So that's a high-level fact,
9	Provost, of what we've been doing
10	on this matter and how we have
11	progressed to this day. I don't

know if you want to open for any 12 13 questions at this time? 14 BLACKWELL: I just want to add a couple of 15 comments. And one is that we 16 should all be very thankful to the 17 IT professionals in your units, and 18 certainly, the IT professionals in 19 UK HealthCare and on the campus 20 side. Eric and I have been in lots 21 of meetings over the last few 22 weeks, and I was supremely 23 impressed with their expertise and professionalism and willingness to 24

give their all. And Eric was

1	almost literally correct that many
2	of them are working around the
3	clock to rectify this. And I just
4	wanted to express my gratitude
5	toward those team members that got
6	us through this.
7	So I think that, you know, we
8	normally operate with a level of
9	transparency. That's a little
10	different in this case, and just to
11	explain that, is that Eric said we

12	do not want to alert the threat
13	actors that we were in the process
14	of getting rid of them and
15	preventing them from reentering.
16	Just because, at some point, they
17	become aware of that. There's more
18	damage they could've done. They
19	might have attempted to download
20	sensitive information. They might
21	have issued a ransom request. So
22	we were trying to avoid things of
23	that nature. That could've been
24	very damaging to the university.
25	So they did a good job of

1	processing through all of that
2	without that risk. As soon as we
3	were able, we were coming clean
4	with everything that happened, and
5	again, great work.
6	Last thing, you know, we're
7	talking about the coronavirus today
8	and how you can prevent that. Make
9	sure you're cleaning your machines
10	every week. That's the best thing
11	you can do to help us in preventing

12 this from happening again. 13 So with that --14 MONDAY: Let's patch it first. 15 BLACKWELL: Patch it first. 16 MONDAY: Yeah, then reboot it. 17 BLACKWELL: Patch and reboot. So questions/comments for Eric? 18 19 COLLETT: DeShana Collett, College of 20 Health Sciences. I just wonder if 21 our -- like, are we going to 22 increase or add new software or 23 something like that to actually help with the firewalls so that 24

this doesn't happen again? I don't

1		know what we use, but I just
2		wondered of we're looking into
3		that.
4	MONDAY:	Sure. So in the midst of this
5		activity we added some Palo Alto
6		Firewalls to the healthcare side of
7		the equation so it will be more
8		equalized on both sides of the
9		business, if you will. We had
10		already implemented multi-factor
11		authentication on the campus side,

12	so we've added multi-factor
13	authentication on the healthcare
14	side. We're working through the
15	open network, guest network on the
16	healthcare side. That will be
17	closed as well.
18	So you'll equalize that, but
19	yes, it is going to be a constant
20	and even more vigorous battle, if
21	you will, than we have. There will
22	be more things, I'm sure, that'll
23	come out of the after-action to be
24	even more prepared, but we our
25	systems are hardened at a level

1	today than they've never been
2	they ever been, rather. And so
3	but these are our threat actors
4	that are moving aggressively, and
5	we are attacked on a minute-by-
6	minute basis, as is most or as
7	are most organizations.
8	So we can't ever stop, but we
9	need to and will continue to be
10	more vigorous.

Lee Blonder, Faculty Trustee.

11 BLONDER:

12	We used to get these reminders
13	fairly regularly to change our
14	linkblue password, and that
15	stopped, I think, with the two-
16	factor authentication. And so I'm
17	wondering now if you're going to be
18	recommending that we change our
19	linkblue passwords or is that not
20	really relevant?
21 MONDAY:	There's a change-cycle for
22	those passwords. We were just able
23	to extend that beyond what it was
24	for when we went to multi-factor
25	authentication. If we would've had

1	multi-factor authentication on both
2	sides of the house, it's likely
3	this wouldn't have happened.
4	So multi-factor, and that's a
5	good example of our personal
6	financial transactions. If you're
7	banking with organizations, you're
8	doing your retirement or financial
9	planning with organizations and
10	they have not implemented multi-
11	factor authentication sometimes

12	it's a burden to get that text
13	message or that Duo or so on, but
14	that is really, really critical,
15	and that's one of the premier
16	things you can do outside of what
17	the provost talked about of
18	ensuring everything is patched and
19	upgraded and up to date. We love
20	to say reminder me later. I'll do
21	it tomorrow. The most important
22	thing and I'm just to blame as
23	everyone else, right, is to do that
24	every day.
25	Our CIO, first thing he does

1	when he gets up every morning is
2	make sure all his devices are up to
3	date. I'm not suggesting you got
4	to do that, but we need to do it in
5	the same day that we get those
6	notices.
7	But there's still a cycle,
8	Trustee Blonder. It's just a
9	longer period of time now. And, of
10	course, nothing prevents us from if
11	you feel more comfortable doing it,

12		you can update your password on a
13		more regular basis, but multi-
14		factor is critical.
15	UDVARDY:	Monica Udvardy, Anthropology.
16		Was there any differential impact
17		on Macs versus PCs, and also do you
18		know what country this was coming
19		from?
20	MONDAY:	We have a reasonable
21		understanding of the country. It's
22		an international country, but it's
23		not 100 percent because they move
24		around so much and they can shield

that. So we'll leave that to the

FBI for their work. But, yes, this

2		is an attack on the active
3		directory. The active directory is
4		Microsoft or PC-based products.
5	BIRD-POLL	AN: Yeah?
6	BARRON:	Susan Barron, Arts & Sciences.
7		So my guess is bringing in all
8		these experts and everybody
9		working, that's not a cheap
10		endeavor. Is there insurance or
11		something for that? Do we have

12		that at UK or are we thinking about
13		getting that?
14	MONDAY:	We have cyber insurance at the
15		university, 25 million. Next year
16		it'll be 30 million. But and
17		they were engaged. They brought in
18		some PR professionals to help.
19		They brought in an external law
20		firm that have walked businesses
21		through this at a various level.
22		We've expended somewhere in
23		the neighborhood, on consultants at
24		this point or external sources,

somewhere between 1, 5 and 2

1		million. We'll get some of that
2		back. It's undetermined at this
3		point how much we'll get back, but
4		we've started a claim. We filed a
5		claim and we will be able to get
6		some of that back.
7	CRAMER:	Aaron Cramer, College of
8		Engineering. So security is always
9		a balancing act between being very
10		secure and being useable. We use
11		the IT resources to do academic

12	stuff.	I guess	the	question	IS	how

- are you seeking to engage faculty?
- 14 Or have you or will you engage
- 15 faculty in, sort of, understanding
- the balance? It doesn't do any
- good to be so secure that we can't
- do any of the teaching, research
- 19 and service that we need to do in
- the university.
- 21 MONDAY: Sure. So we -- as Dave said,
- 22 we were extremely limited in how we
- 23 could communicate during this
- 24 event. So we had to make decisions
- 25 that could seem very aggressive in

1	a timeline, like VPN notices that
2	are less than 24 hours, completely
3	inconsistent with, I think, the
4	procedures that we've established
5	over time.
6	So we'll move more towards
7	what we've done in place. There's
8	several, I think, good committees
9	that are set up and working with
10	ITS now through the University
11	Senate, and so we'll continue to

12	utilize those.
13	The next step will be looking
14	at this after-action, understanding
15	those recommendations, and we'll
16	move more towards a regular cycle
17	of communication with broad input
18	before we're pulling other
19	adjustments or new procedures or
20	processes. We were extremely
21	limited because of this situation.
22	So let's have more conversation

around it in the future. I

our CIO does.

understand that balance, and I know

23

24

1	BIRD-POLLAN:	Any other questions on this
2	topic? Oka	ay.
3	BLACKWELL: T	hank you, Eric. Coronavirus:
4	So as Eric r	mentioned, we do have an
5	emergency	operations center, and
6	that center	r has been going full
7	throttle fo	r over a month now on
8	two issues	. And it's been an
9	extremely	challenging time for the
10	university	. A lot of people doing
11	a lot of ha	rd work to protect us

12 from that threat as well. 13 And all of you should be 14 comforted of the quality of the 15 people in the emergency operations 16 center and the staff that support 17 that, a high grade of professionals 18 who know how to manage these 19 things, and I'll mention Eric. He 20 has been through now probably 1,500 21 hours or 1,800 hours of crisis 22 management, 7 or 8 different big 23 crises in his career. And it all

comes to bear when we face these

kind of situations. And, you know,

24

1	you should be a fly on the wall in
2	one of these meetings. He keeps us
3	focused and keeps us moving, and
4	without his leadership we would not
5	be where we are today on the
6	technology issue and where we're
7	headed on the coronavirus issue, so
8	I just wanted to mention that.
9	I think the way we will go is
10	that Eric will talk about the
11	structure and the power of

12 responding to the coronavirus. 13 I'll add some color to that, and 14 then we'll open it up for Q&A. 15 MONDAY: Thank you, Dave. So we have a 16 seven-step process we follow on 17 incidents, and it's based on best 18 practice, and whether it's 19 fortunate or unfortunate, a lot of 20 experience. And so when we add a 21 sense of what may be coming on 22 what, at that time, was called the 23 coronavirus, before COVID-19, the

official name, and Sue Roberts, Dr.

Roberts, who is with us, the UK

24

1	International Center and Dr. Mark
2	Newman, of course, who is our
3	Executive Vice President of Health
4	Affairs, both of them, independent
5	of one another, made some calls and
6	kind of raised the flag and said we
7	see some things coming.
8	In the case of UK HealthCare,
9	we had someone in January present
10	that we thought may have had the
11	virus back then and went through a

12	process, and in the end, determined
13	that that was not the case and did
14	not meet the protocol and so on.
15	And so that occurred, and then
16	some interactions with Dr. Roberts
17	related to our international
18	students led to a discussion with
19	senior management, and then led to
20	the discussion and the appointment
21	of a health working group. And
22	that working group has been meeting
23	since January, and so it's been
24	underway at a various level, and
25	kind of incident command 101 issues

T	scale based off the activity. Tou
2	start small and you work big. And
3	so what we wanted to share with you
4	is where we are now.
5	So in the beginning of
6	January, basically, we had the
7	president and we had this workgroup
8	committee. That was meeting on a
9	regular basis. Now we have our
10	EOC, as Dave mentioned, fully
11	active, and we have 15 workstreams

12	that are set up to think through
13	this activity and this incident and
14	how best the University of Kentucky
15	can have situational awareness, how
16	best we can respond, and to you
17	know, what we start with is what we
18	all know, is we start with our
19	principles. So what are we about?
20	You know, the health and safety of
21	our campus, patient care, our
22	students, our faculty and staff and
23	community, the outside community.
24	So we start each meeting
25	talking about those principles and

1	how we're going to make decisions
2	that are consistent with those
3	principles. So when you think
4	about the university, of course,
5	and just the level set, there's
6	only one position on this campus
7	that can close this campus, that
8	can close a program, that can shut
9	us down early, that can send our
10	employees home and so on and so on
11	and so on, and that is the

12	president. So that's how we
13	operate within this incident, just
14	like we would operate if it was a
15	weather activity or event. This
16	health workgroup, Dave sits on
17	this, Kirsten Turner, Sue Roberts
18	and a number of other people sit on
19	this workgroup. Currently we meet
20	twice a week, and we are
21	understanding the situational
22	awareness from what's below us, and
23	we're feeding that information up,
24	getting decisions when those are
25	necessary, and then pushing that

1	information back down as well.
2	When we think about the EOC,
3	that is active right now on an 8-
4	to-5 basis every day. That can be
5	scaled differently. You know, we
6	were active 24/7 starting at 10:00
7	p.m. on Saturday night related to
8	the technology matter we just
9	discussed. And so we'll scale that
10	based on need and based on impact.

Then we've set up these 15

12	workstreams. They all have a
13	leader and they all have a
14	committee or co-leads, and then
15	they meet in their own verticals to
16	work their stream.
17	Then, daily, they have a
18	huddle with the EOC, and then twice
19	a week we have a huddle with the
20	workgroup committee and we keep the
21	president informed as he deems
22	necessary and appropriate.
23	So what do these workstreams
24	do? What do they work on on a day-
25	to-day basis. Let's mention Kathi

1	Kern. So Kathi Kern is leading the
2	academic course delivery
3	workstream. So she's got a group
4	of faculty, she's got a group of
5	deans and associate deans and
6	others that are talking through all
7	the resources that already exist,
8	or resources we may need if we were
9	to transition if we wanted to.
10	So before we make the decision
11	if we are, what do we have now and

12	what could we do if we had to do
13	what University of Washington or
14	Stanford or others decided to do?
15	And so that's understanding the
16	resources, understanding how many
17	are using Canvas, understanding
18	Zoom, understanding Echo 360,
19	understanding all of those things,
20	and it may lead to a decision that
21	we need to requisition and resource
22	additional materials. It may need
23	we need to requisition
24	additional support personnel. May
25	need that we need to requisition

1	other things to help support that
2	activity, should we have to go
3	there.
4	I think it's self-explanatory
5	with healthcare. If you think the
6	International Center, unbelievable
7	what they have been doing. They
8	have been a resource. We had 29
9	students in Italy on programs. So
10	Sue Roberts and her very good and
l <b>1</b>	effective team have been working

12	with each one of those students and
13	understanding what resources those
14	students need as they return back
15	to the United States and back to a
16	certain area, Kentucky or so on.
17	And you just go through all of
18	the business processes. So we've
19	heard from several deans that they
20	want more flexibility around
21	travel. So whether it'll be this
22	afternoon or first thing in the
23	morning, you'll see some more
24	flexibilities. Doesn't mean you
25	have to use them, but we want to

1	give you the tools if you want
2	to buy refundable tickets, we want
3	to give you that total to have the
4	ability to buy that. If your dean
5	wants to put if he or she wants
6	to put in additional rules and
7	procedures around when you should
8	buy those tickets, international,
9	41 days out or less or so on, then
10	she or he needs to be in the
11	position to be able to do that.

12	Student housing: So we will
13	have students who live with us who
14	have this virus, and so what do we
15	do about it? How do we think
16	through those scenarios? What
17	resources do we have? What
18	resources do we need? How quickly
19	can we react and respond as we go
20	through this very, very changing
21	dynamic? So those are just a few
22	of the things, those workstreams.
23	Here are the leads for those
24	workstreams. Some of them make
25	sense. Maybe they're the

1	administrative head. Others that
2	had an interaction, this is not
3	based on chain of command. This is
4	not based on a small table. These
5	are based on big tables.
6	And so how do we have the
7	right people around there? Another
8	that's huge that's in front of us
9	that we have to think through is
10	HR. You know, the most important
11	thing and I think we've talked

12	about it. I think Jennifer talked
13	about some of these things. Right?
14	I mean, taking care of washing our
15	hands and assuring what we're doing
16	with our hands and how we're
17	interacting. That's number one.
18	This is Flu 101. So how do we do
19	those types of things? How do we
20	have more stations across the
21	campus? We have about 200
22	additional stations where you can
23	get the antibacterial material
24	that'll go up in the next couple
25	days. What about large events that

1	are happening at the student
2	center? We have 3,000 bathrooms at
3	the University of Kentucky. And
4	I'm not talking about res-hall
5	rooms. I'm talking about 3,000
6	outside of the res-hall rooms.
7	So how do we have the
8	appropriate signage? How do we
9	look at next week when we have
10	spring break? We're going to clean
11	this campus like we've probably

12	never cleaned this campus next
13	week. So every desk and every spot
14	in every classroom is going to be
15	wiped down next week. That's not
16	done on a regular basis.
17	So how do we take advantage of
18	the opportunity of time next week
19	to have a higher level of
20	reasonable assurance that we're
21	doing everything we can to prevent
22	this virus from spreading?
23	Communication is key. We
24	undergird the entire strategy when
25	we think about incident command

1	with over communication.
2	Number two: It's not about the
3	money. Right? If we need a
4	resource we got a month's worth
5	of food that we've requisitioned to
6	the campus. That's not normal.
7	And so that's we've got 100,000
8	bottles of water that's been
9	requisitioned to the campus.
10	That's not normal, but we need to

be prepared.

12	And average city has a food
13	supply that's in the days, y'all.
14	So how do we think about that and
15	how do we respond? Because we are
16	a city within a city if you think
17	about how many students live with
18	us on the campus. Depending on how
19	you want to count, that's 8- to
20	10,000 students that are with us
21	right now. This is their home.
22	And so how do we prepare to
23	respond to that? Large events is a
24	critical component. I mentioned
25	HR. What about when you know,

1	so we talked about the basic hand
2	washing, the basic things like
3	that.
4	The other thing that's vital
5	and critically important, if we
6	feel sick I just taught my FIN
7	250 class. I said I'm going to be
8	here every Monday and Wednesday.
9	The only time I'm not going to be
10	here is if I feel sick, and that's
11	the same thing I'm asking you. If

12	you feel sick, don't come in. Call
13	the health service. Call your
14	physician. Let's get you to the
15	right place. Otherwise, we're
16	going to be here. And so if we
17	feel sick and we're not going to be
18	able to work, then how are we going
19	to handle that from an HR
20	standpoint? So those are some of
21	the active conversations.
22	Some of our employees don't
23	have sick leave. So in this
24	extraordinary activity and event,
25	we need to think differently about

1	that. And so how do we think
2	differently and how do we have the
3	procedures and policies in place to
4	support those activities and to
5	ensure that we can have the highest
6	level of health and safety for the
7	campus.
8	With that, I'll pause. Dave,
9	other comments?
10	BLACKWELL: So just a couple of other
11	comments: Number one, to explain

12	our current stance on travel is
13	that we are restricting travel to
14	countries designated Level 3 by the
15	CDC. We're not restricting travel
16	domestically. So I'm getting a lot
17	of traffic about that today. I
18	just wanted to get that out there.
19	Spring break travel: And so
20	think about our students, our
21	faculty, our staff taking that
22	opportunity to go away. And where
23	do they go? Well, obviously, if
24	someone decides to travel to Italy,
25	just as an example, over spring

1	break. When they come back, they
2	would have to self-isolate for 14
3	days and coordinate that with UK
4	HealthCare.
5	So when you see the messaging
6	come out, it's not just about us
7	preventing our community from
8	getting sick, but it's also to be
9	able to continue our normal
10	operations by not having a lot of
11	faculty and staff self-isolating

12	for 14 days when they come back
13	from one of these places.
14	So I just wanted to give you
15	some context around the travel
16	restrictions. Eric mentioned the
17	flexibility in the colleges to
18	handle that in terms of I've got a
19	conference coming up. Should I buy
20	a refundable ticket or not? Or the
21	time in advance of buying
22	internationals tickets. The
23	colleges have the flexibility to
24	deal with that.
25	On other issues and I'll

1	specifically mention academic
2	course delivery and education
3	abroad. These have to be looked at
4	from a very high level of
5	university perspective. So I know
6	there are lots of great ideas out
7	there among our faculty and our
8	administrative leaders.
9	We ask that you not implement
10	anything until Kathi's workgroup
11	has completed their work and

12	inventorying our resources and
13	being able to provide guidance that
14	covers all the bases on how
15	colleges should look at alternative
16	approaches to education delivery.
17	Very important that those
18	ideas get funneled up to the deans,
19	and then from the deans to Kathi
20	and me, and then it goes into the
21	emergency operations center for
22	prioritization.
23	The reason for that is that
24	you look at 15 workgroups, and a
25	lot of these touch the lives of our

1	students. So every little
2	implementation decision has a big
3	opportunity to cause ripples that
4	are unintended or unknown from the
5	perspective of an individual
6	faculty member or academic unit.
7	So we just ask that colleges
8	and your colleagues coordinate that
9	communication through the provost,
10	through Kathi as it relates to
11	academic course delivery, through

12		Sue as it relates to international
13		education opportunities, so that's
14		very important.
15		We will have continual
16		communication coming out. We know
17		there are a lot of great ideas out
18		there and we welcome them. And
19		we'll put them in to the EOC, get
20		them distributed to a workgroup and
21		incorporate them into our plans as
22		appropriate. Questions?
23	BLONDER:	Lee Blonder, Faculty Trustee.
24		So as we all probably know by now,
25		in the last two days the CDC is

1	telling people over 60 with certain
2	underlying health conditions to
3	stay home, avoid crowds, this and
4	that. So I don't know the numbers,
5	but I'm sure we have a sizeable
6	proportion of our faculty and staff
7	who fit those categories. So what
8	plans do you have to tell those
9	people what they should be doing?
10	What are you going to do about
11	that?

- 12 BLACKWELL: That's being worked by our HR
- 13 workgroup. That's exactly the kind
- 14 of issues the workgroups are
- 15 handling. So when the information
- 16 from a situation becomes available,
- we put it in to the workgroup and
- 18 we address those for decision and
- 19 recommendations.
- 20 BLONDER: So what is the plan at this
- 21 point? Is there a plan?
- 22 BLACKWELL: Yeah. That is the plan, as
- far as I know. We are working on
- that issue. Eric, do you have
- anything else?

1	MONDAY:	Sure. And we are responsible
2		for our own health. And so if you
3		have we don't know people's
4		underlying conditions. So we do
5		know that there is X number of
6		students who are registered with
7		the Disability Resource Center that
8		have some type of immune deficiency
9		or an immune challenge. They may
10		have Chron's. They may be fighting
11		cancer or so on. And so we'll work

12 with those students. 13 If we have a faculty or staff 14 member that fits the very defined --15 and is very defined by the CDC --16 category of a higher-risk population 17 and they teach or they're a staff 18 member, then we'll work with them on 19 an individual basis. 20 There's not going to be a "one 21 size fits all" for this. And so I 22 would encourage anyone who has one 23 of those situations to work through their appropriate administrative 24

chain of command, a faculty member,

25

T	the chair, to the deari, and then
2	we'll work that within G.T.
3	Lineberry and Dave, HR strategy if
4	it's a staff member.
5	And, you know, the same thing
6	that we're asking you and colleagues
7	to do as it relates to our students,
8	I saw a very good note that went out
9	from Mark Kornbluh, Dean Kornbluh,
10	today of being accommodating to our
11	students. And it's the same thing

12	that we're going to do for faculty
13	and staff. How can we be most
14	accommodating to get through this
15	extraordinary situation.
16	So I think the most important
17	thing is if you feel that you're in
18	one of those categories, or that
19	you've had some secondary exposure
20	to someone who has it, you know,
21	then please act. Please stay home.
22	Please then report and we'll figure
23	out how to manage that on a case-by-
24	case basis.
25	But we are responsible for own

1	I	health. If we're not feeling well
2		or we feel like we're in that
3		category and that is going to
4	i	impact our ability, then we need to
5	I	have those conversations.
6		I do not see, anything,
7		Trustee Blonder, where we're going
8		to take a large component of our
9		campus population and ask them to
10		take a certain action.
11	BLONDER:	I mean, I'm specifically

- talking about the over-60s. You
- know, there are people in their
- 14 60s, 70s, 80s, working here,
- faculty, staff, but they might not
- 16 have these specific underlying
- 17 health issues.
- 18 MONDAY: Yeah. The age-ban thing,
- 19 let's -- I mean, I had this
- 20 conversation about the president.
- 21 Our president, I think everyone
- 22 knows, is 70. You know, he
- 23 finished hot yoga and was on the
- 24 treadmill for an hour. So, I mean,
- 25 the age bans are the age bans.

1	They're guidance. If you have a
2	certain condition that expedites
3	that or accelerates that concern,
4	then I would encourage you to go
5	through the administrative commands
6	to work that out.
7	BLACKWELL: Just to add to that, one
8	principle we're trying to follow is
9	we have policies in place that
10	already cover a lot of these
11	situations. Let's use the policies

- 12 that we have. And so I think a
- "one size fits all" strategy is
- probably not going to be good to
- go, but it's important that we know
- 16 what those situations are so that
- the workgroup can consider things.
- 18 BIRD-POLLAN: Beth?
- 19 DUNCAN: Marilyn Duncan, College of
- 20 Medicine --
- 21 BIRD-POLLAN: Sorry. No, Beth Guiton.
- 22 DUNCAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
- 23 GUITON: Yeah. Are we concerned that
- the students -- when we're asking
- 25 to stay home if they have symptoms,

1	are they going to need to go to
2	Student Health Services in order to
3	get an excused absence for that?
4	And so we have a way around that?
5	We don't want to inundate
6	students
7	BIRD-POLLAN: I'll answer this one. So this
8	is a Senate Rule, right, that says
9	that an excused absence requires a
10	doctor's note. This is one of the
11	things on the agenda for the

12 meeting on Friday to talk about 13 whether the Senate Council wants to 14 do something different -- recommend 15 something different than that. 16 BLACKWELL: And another principle is 17 common sense. All right? So --18 BIRD-POLLAN: So we say -- and you're going 19 to tell me that I'm wrong, but an 20 excused absence -- hopefully 21 excused absence, and there is 22 guidance about what is the 23 recommended level of excuse. We'll talk about the specifics of the 24

rule at our meeting on Friday, but

25

1		I think that's the kind of issue
2		that we're dealing with now.
3	DUNCAN:	I just wanted to clarify
4		something. I'm Marilyn Duncan from
5		the College of Medicine. Lee
6		Blonder brought up or just if
7		you listen or you read the
8		interview of the director of the
9		CDC, his recommendation was for
10		people over 60 and for people with
11		health conditions, but both groups.

12	You didn't have to be both. You
13	didn't have to be over 60 and have
14	a health condition. Even healthy
15	people over 60, he was even saying
16	you'll have to stay home as much as
17	possible. Now, obviously, we don't
18	want to do that. We want to
19	continue to do our jobs here and
20	teach our students and do our
21	research, but I think this is
22	something that needs to be
23	considered that even health people
24	over 60, already, there are
25	recommendations from the CDC to be

1	careful.
2	And also, you know, in my
3	area, the university is planning
4	several events that are being
5	scheduled for Rupp Arena. The CCTS
6	event is a big meeting that
7	includes I don't know how many
8	different groups and a number of
9	different sub-organizations and
10	faculty, students, all coming
11	together in one large area.

12 And then there's another one 13 on spinal cord and brain injuries 14 that's also set for Rupp Arena. 15 That one's in May. The CCTS one is 16 coming up in April. Is this a good 17 idea, you know, in the era of 18 COVID-19? Should we be having such 19 large group meetings or should we 20 split them up into smaller numbers? 21 MONDAY: Yeah. There's -- that's one 22 of the -- that's a good example of 23 the events vertical. We have

athletic events as well that are

set up and are established, whether

24

25

1		it's baseball games or other events
2		that are still in front of us, and
3		those are some of the things that
4		are being discussed within that
5		event workstream.
6	BRION:	Gail Brion, College of
7		Engineering. I'm glad to see this
8		is working. It seems to me like we
9		have a real opportunity to prevent
10		the re-spread of viruses from
11		people who've gone on spring break

12	and coming back by perhaps having
13	one or two weeks of distance
14	learning. If this is one of the
15	plans that's being considered, at
16	what time could you relay that back
17	to us faculty so that we can
18	prepare for that?
19	BLACKWELL: So I'm getting some
19 20	BLACKWELL: So I'm getting some recommendation from that academic
20	recommendation from that academic
20	recommendation from that academic course delivery workstream. It'll
20 21 22	recommendation from that academic  course delivery workstream. It'll  be on my desk as soon as I get

1		group has been working very
2		diligently since last Wednesday or
3		Thursday, and so I'll know more
4		when I see their report.
5	DEBSKI:	Liz Debski, A&S. So, yeah,
6		the situation is changing so
7		rapidly. It's so difficult, but I
8		guess I'm wondering; I'm lucky
9		enough to teach my classes in
10		classrooms that have Echo
11		recordings, but not everybody is.

12	And so I don't know if you're in
13	contact with University of
14	Washington or Stanford or anything
15	like that where they've gone
16	through this? But for everyone all
17	at once to have to do everything
18	online, I just think that would be
19	a difficult situation.
20	BLACKWELL: That is exactly the kind of
21	issue that's worked with. I think
22	we need to employ the resources we
23	have and figure out where the gaps
24	are and think about what we need to
25	do to fill those gaps.

1	DEBSKI:	I guess I'm wondering how much
2	tir	ne do you think we have given
3	th	at things are changing so
4	ra	pidly, and you know, big events
5	ha	ve already been cancelled? Not
6	th	at I know if they should've been
7	ca	ncelled, but they certainly seem
8	to	be escalating in that direction.
9	BLACKWELL:	I'll know more when I see what
10	tŀ	ne group is reporting, but they've
11	b	een benchmarking as well.

12 BIRD-POLLAN: I would suggest that any of 13 you have specific recommendations 14 or thoughts about this, email Kathi 15 Kern, who is on the academic course 16 delivery piece of it. She's 17 collecting those kinds of 18 questions. Dean? 19 KORNBLUH: I was going to say we expect to 20 be able to follow those guidelines 21 and to be able to have -- know what 22 we can do in each course by the end 23 of the week. Those guidelines are 24 pretty close.

Richard Charnigo, Public

25 CHARNIGO:

1	Health. Just an observation that
2	may be relevant to the facility's
3	workstreams. I think there are some
4	restrooms on this campus, including
5	one just across the hall, where the
6	water is on a time release, and
7	it's, I think, quite a bit less than
8	the recommended washing time. Now
9	if there's something that can be
10	done to address that?

Yeah, Abagail?

11 BIRD-POLLAN:

12	FIREY:	Abagail Firey, Arts & Sciences.
13		Can you tell us how many additional
14		personnel have been hired or how
15		schedules have been changed for
16		additional cleaning and sanitation
17		of surfaces? We're working in
18		classrooms with shared keyboards,
19		mice, as well as all the other
20		surfaces.
21	MONDAY:	What we can tell you is that a
22		plan is in place to move more
23		towards the cleaning side rather
24		than the beauty side. And so
25		you're going to see existing

1	personnel that are doing additional
2	levels of cleaning. We're also
3	going to take advantage of spring
4	break for the cleaning side.
5	Right? We don't have to clean the
6	bathrooms when the students are not
7	in the classroom buildings at the
8	same pace that you do on a class
9	day.
10	So Mary Vosevich and that team
11	has spent a lot of time with

12		Environmental Health and Safety,
13		Dr. Forrster, Infectious Disease,
14		walking through and working through
15		those things, and they'll continue.
16		Mary also knows that if there
17		are additional resources that she
18		needs, that she can requisition
19		those at a moment's notice.
20	BLONDER:	This morning Governor Beshear
21		gave a press conference, and he did
22		identify the workplace of a
23		Garrison County patient, which was
24		at a Walmart, but he didn't
25		identify anything workplaces in

1		the Fayette County patient. Can
2		you tell us whether that person is
3		a UK Community member?
4	MONDAY:	No. I cannot answer that at
5		this time. I can tell you that
6		that person is not a patient at UK
7		HealthCare.
8	BLONDER:	Are you being told when people
9		from this university community test
10		positive?
11	MONDAY:	Well, the number is still very

12	small, Trustee Blonder, so I can't
13	I don't know how that will
14	continue, but I will tell you that
15	our infectious disease
16	professionals are the leading
17	experts in this region, not just
18	this city. And so we are very
19	plugged in, and in fact, I have a
20	call in 30 minutes, our daily brief
21	from UK HealthCare. That'll update
22	us on that. I do know, and I think
23	this is not I can tell you that
24	that Fayette County patient, COVID-
25	19, a virus patient, has a sibling

1	that goes to Christ The King
2	excuse me. Has a child that goes
3	to Christ The King School and has
4	impacted Christ The King. Christ
5	The King is shut down today to
6	implement additional levels of
7	cleaning on a one-day cycle and
8	plans to reopen tomorrow.
9	That child is not impacted
10	directly at this time based on the
11	best available information, but is

12 under a 14-day self-isolation. So 13 that's what we do know about that 14 person. 15 BARRON: I've got one, I guess. Susan 16 Barron, Arts & Sciences. So if we 17 have some of our health experts 18 here, a question that came up in my 19 department: So kids seem relatively 20 safe and they're not contracting it. Do you know if they carry it? 21 22 So, like, has this child been 23 tested, for example, do we know at Christ The King? I mean, you can 24

carry something and be a carrier

25

1 and not necessarily show	symptoms
and not necessarily snow	Symptoms

- 2 MONDAY: Yeah. The testing protocol is
- 3 very specific and there's more
- 4 testing taking place now. The
- 5 process would be that this child
- 6 would be tested, but there is an
- 7 incubation period and so on, so
- 8 that's -- I'll defer those more
- 9 technical to a medical doctor.
- 10 BIRD-POLLAN: It's already 4:00. We got a
- 11 lot of agenda items left today, so

12		I'm going to ask if you have
13		additional questions, I'm sure you
14		can send them to these folks or to
15		the relevant folks that are up on
16		the screen or we can share those
17		names with you all so that you can
18		reach out to the person you want to
19		contact.
20		But the next we're going to
21		move ahead to it says provost
22		still do it or come back? You want
23		to do it real quick?
24	TURNER:	Sure. Okay. That's a good

act to follow. My name is Kirsten

25

1	Turner, and Jennifer asked me to
2	come and talk to you all about a
3	unit in the provost area called
4	Student and Academic Life.
5	My official title is Associate
6	Provost of Academic and Student
7	Affairs, and Student and Academic
8	Life is the largest unit under my
9	portfolio, but I did want to just
10	kind of ground you all in terms of
11	where we are in the organizational

12	structure.
13	So I also function as the
14	university's chief student affairs
15	officer on campus, and Student and
16	Academic Life is the middle unit
17	that I oversee. It has about 200
18	FTEs and 1,000 student employees,
19	and about 3 to 4 years ago it was a
20	new unit that was merged between
21	undergraduate education and student
22	affairs.
23	I also oversee a newly merged
24	unit called institutional it's
25	called IRADS. I know it sounds

1	like a 1980s defense system, but
2	it's Institutional Research
3	Analytics and Decision Support.
4	And I co-lead that with Brian
5	Nichols, our CIO. And we've just
6	recently merged that unit together,
7	so we both do internal as well as
8	external data analytics and
9	business intelligence.
10	And then the third unit is the
11	Smart Campus Initiative, which is

12	also a co-matrix organization with
13	ITS. And that does a lot with the
14	iPads, with Esports, with our app
15	development. And at some point I'd
16	be happy to come back and talk to
17	you about institutional research
18	and/or Smart Campus, but the
19	previous presentation was on
20	Student and Academic Life.
21	So just some fast facts of
22	Student and Academic Life: Like I
23	said, they've got 200 FTEs and
24	1,000 student employees. We
25	service all 31,000 students. Now,

1	that said, so our portfolio of
2	services, some of them are more
3	heavily used by undergrads. So if
4	you think about Greek Life, there's
5	not a lot of grad students
6	involved.
7	We also have other units on
8	campus that do more specific
9	targeted interventions or services
10	for sub-populations, like the
11	Graduate School or the

12	International Center. So although
13	we service and provide our
14	resources to all 31,000, there are
15	a lot of our resources and our
16	services that are much more heavily
17	utilized by undergrads.
18	But we do think of ourselves,
19	and we are given the charge to help
20	support all 31,000. One of the
21	most the ones that would
22	resonate the most with you is the
23	counseling center, which I'll have
24	more to say about that in a second.
25	I assumed this role with this

1	portfolio about six months ago, so
2	I this is just kind of my first
3	year in this kind of chief student
4	affairs officer role. We see
5	ourselves with three primary
6	functions, and this may sound a
7	little flippant, but I don't mean
8	it. The first one is triage. In
9	some ways we are trying to keep our
10	students alive, and I mean that
11	very seriously in terms of some of

12	the services we provide, and that's
13	one kind of function that we do.
14	The second function is some
15	scaffolding. So if you think
16	through just some general
17	university-wide student support
18	service scaffolding that's
19	provided, such as the Career
20	Center. So it can be both in
21	terms of trying to provide
22	more academic preparation, or
23	it can be some more just
24	traditional student support
25	services that we provided.

1	the third one, the third kind
2	of function we provide is value-
3	added, so if you think about
4	undergraduate research, service
5	learning, things that try to
6	provide a more transformational
7	education.
8	We believe that our mission is
9	to prepare students lead lives of
10	meaning and purposes. This is
11	piggybacking what the president

12	says a lot. The reason to do this
13	merger was to think about how can
14	the co-curricular and
15	extracurricular perform their
16	undergraduate curriculum.
17	So I've spent over a decade in
18	the College of Arts & Sciences
19	under both Steve Hobbs and Mark
20	Kornbluh's leadership, and we used
21	to sit around saying that Student
22	Affairs thinks they're divorced
23	from what happens in the classroom.
24	And so part of this merger was to
25	think about how we partner more

1	closely.
2	One of the most iconic pieces
3	of that, if you can remember, we
4	used to do a common reading
5	experience, which was a good idea,
6	in theory. And in many ways,
7	there's thought about whether or
8	not to bring it back, but at the
9	time, it was a book that was chosen
10	by Student Affairs professionals
11	who are smart and good colleagues,

12	but it often was the very first	
13	academic experience we introduced	
14	our students to. They talked about	
15	it at their summer orientation, and	
16	then it got dropped. We never	
17	embedded it in any other kind of	
18	environment, and that was the first	
19	academic experience.	
20	So velocity behind merging	
21	these unites, and then once you've	
22	merged them, thinking about how do	
23	you help the colleges and serve the	
24	colleges in their academic	
25	missions, kind of the background of	

1	this unit.
2	We've been undergoing the last
3	six months to rethink about what
4	the values of this unit should be,
5	because it just started and things
6	are just coming out of its infancy.
7	And they it was kind of a
8	groundswell with the entire
9	organization of the five values
10	that we see, being equity, well-
11	being, integrity, collaboration,

12	innovation.
13	We also believe that our
14	faculty and our staff and student
15	workers are our most important
16	resources. And I believe that
17	universities at the core are about
18	people like this, and so we have to
19	take better care of our people.
20	There are four verticals in
21	this unit. The first one will look
22	like a very traditional dean of
23	students that many have you been
24	keeping with in your educational
25	experiences. Nick Kehrwald serves

1	as our Dean of Students. It has
2	five areas: Res life is by far the
3	largest area. We have about 7,500
4	beds on campus. Student and
5	Academic Life Services, everything
6	that happens within the residence
7	halls. So all of the programming
8	and residential education.
9	Housing, which reports to Penny Cox
10	is actually in charge of the
11	facilities and the partnership with

12	the private entity and all of the
13	maintenance. So we do the life of
14	the residence halls. They actually
15	manage the buildings.
16	We have student conduct. We
17	have a restorative justice model in
18	our student conduct. We have
19	Community of Concern, which many of
20	you have probably submitted names
21	to the Community of Concern.
22	That's for faculty, staff and
23	students, fraternity and sorority
24	life, and then we have over 500
25	students orgs and activities on

1	campus.
2	We have an Assistant Provost
3	of Student Well-Being. That's Drew
4	Smith, Dr. Drew Smith. He's got
5	five areas: The Counseling Center,
6	the VIP Center, the Disability
7	Resource Center, Financial Wellness
8	and Campus Rec. We are responsible
9	for just both the Johnson Center
10	and Alumni Gym.
11	The Counseling Center works in

12	partnership and collaboration with
13	University Health Services and
14	their behavioral health, but they
15	are separate entities, and we are
16	working with the there different
17	mental health committees on campus
18	to think through how we can better
19	serve the campus as it relates to
20	mental health, and that's another
21	issue I would love to come and talk
22	to you about at some point if
23	there's interest.
24	Adrienne McMahan is our
25	Assistant Provost for Student &

1	Academic Support. As you can see,
2	the Student Transition Services
3	that's, like, first gen about a
4	third of our students on campus are
5	first gen, off-campus students,
6	Veterans Affairs and parent and
7	family programs. And they also do
8	everything, like, K Week and that
9	kind of transition into the first
10	year from a co-curricular or
11	extracurricular experience.

12	She's also her unit's also
13	in charge of the Stuckert Career
14	Center and Advising on campus. As
15	you know, Advising on this campus
16	is fairly decentralized, and so the
17	role of the central administration
18	is to think about coordination,
19	consistence and communication
20	across the 80-plus advisors on
21	campus, the professional advisors.
22	Obviously, there's faculty advisors
23	as well that plug in there.
24	And then the last unit is this
25	piece of trying to think about how

1	do you bring the academic
2	perspective into the Student
3	Affairs realm. And Katherine
4	McCormick, who many of you know and
5	is in the audience, Professional
6	Katherine McCormick. She's an
7	Endowed Chair out of the College of
8	Education and a former Chair of the
9	Senate.
10	She is our Senior Faculty
11	Fellow. We have about six-to-eight

1	.2	faculty members who also are
1	.3	embedded and they are working with
1	.4	us in Student and Academic Life to
1	1.5	help bring that perspective, and
1	1.6	also to work on our own help us
1	.7	work on our own research agenda as
1	.8	it relates to these types of
1	.9	issues.
2	20	She also has Transformative
2	21	Learning, which has the study and
2	22	academic coaching, along with some
2	23	of the value-added, like the Gaines
2	24	Center, Nationally Competitive
2	25	Awards, service learning and

1	Undergraduate Research.
2	Real quickly, and then I'll
3	stop because I know you've got a
4	big agenda. Part of thinking about
5	our values is also thinking about
6	what our conceptual framework or
7	our programmatic curriculum should
8	be for our students. So we've been
9	devising learning outcomes of what
10	we would like their experience
11	we back that suggestion of the

12	Student Conduct. We think that's a
13	learning experience, so that can be
14	a transformational experience when
15	the student has had a conduct
16	issue.
17	We've got our five areas that
18	we've took our values and we've
19	started those into learning
20	outcomes and learning objectives.
21	So you see equity through social
22	justice, resilience through
23	holistic well-being, collaboration
24	through connection, integrity
25	through identity, development,

1	innovation through curiosity.
2	And so we overlay that with
3	the work that we do, whether it's K
4	Week, whether it's Sorority Rush or
5	whether it's Academic Coaching, and
6	try to go back to one or more of
7	these learning outcomes.
8	We also have spent the last
9	six months thinking about what our
10	strategic direction should be SAL.
11	We see it as a triangle. What are

12	the institutional needs? What are
13	the strengths that we have and
14	where can we have national
15	distinction? We have really smart
16	and talented people in this unit
17	who are the best of their field.
18	And so where can we make our mark
19	as an institution?
20	The first one is creating a
21	holistic well-being experience, and
22	I could go through each one of
22	
23	these as to why they fit the
	these as to why they fit the category: The need and strength of

1	we can be distinctive nationally,
2	but we all know that this
3	generation of students, not just on
4	our campus, but nationally, have
5	issues of mental health well-being
6	that are different than previous
7	generations and more acute, and
8	that there's a need for a real
9	systematic change.
10	And we don't know of an
11	institution that has solved this

12	holistically yet. And we've got a
13	lot of we've got an academic
14	medical center. We have
15	institutional leadership that cares
16	about this issue, so on and so
17	forth.
18	So we've been working pretty
19	diligently and continue to rethink
20	the way that we deliver those
21	services and create a holistic
22	well-being environment.
23	The second one is closing the
24	achievement gap in first gen. Like
25	I said, we have 30 percent of

1	our students are first gen. Their
2	achievement gap is the lowest of
3	all demographics on this campus.
4	If you solved that problem, we'd be
5	at 90 percent retention rate.
6	And if you look at the data,
7	they perform differently. Other
8	demographics if you control for
9	academic preparation at a certain
10	level, they actually outperform the
11	overall population. Not so for

12	first gen, so something else is
13	going on. Other additional wrap-
14	around services need to be
15	happening for first gen, and they
16	are our largest demographic on this
17	campus. So that's and we don't
18	know of an institution, that's a
19	research one, that has put the
20	attention to solving achievement
21	gap for first gen.
22	Many of us know the story at
23	Georgia State. It took them about
24	ten years, but they have gotten
25	national distinction for the way

1	that they ve solved the achievement
2	gap for African-American black
3	students.
4	And so one of the things that
5	we've said in our own space, why
6	can't we be that in first gen?
7	That's not to say that we would
8	ignore the demographics and that
9	there aren't little pressing
10	issues, and when you pick apart the
11	data, it plays out differently

12	through intersectionality. But in
13	terms of an overall strategy, we're
14	looking at the achievement gap for
15	first gen.
16	The others I'll just kind of
17	go through them. And I can show
18	you in terms of the pyramid of why
19	we chose in terms of need, strength
20	and distinction. We wanted to have
21	a more integrative first-year
22	experience for our UK students.
23	Many people in this room and across
24	campus have been working on the
25	Wildcat transitions excuse me,

1	Wildcat Foundation Project over the
2	last two years about rethinking the
3	first-year experience, and we
4	didn't want to just throw all that
5	work out. We wanted to build upon
6	it. So that's one of our strategic
7	directions.
8	There's a lot of work right
9	now about integrative coaching. We
10	should be rethinking the way we do
11	Student Services on this campus,

12	where you have a very siloed
13	approach with deep expertise in one
14	area. We want to continue that,
15	but have a little bit more of a
16	matrix layer so that we don't
17	continue to send our students all
18	over campus and say, well, this
19	isn't my area. You need to go over
20	to this building. We want to do a
21	more triage-integrative coaching
22	model.
23	And then the last is we are an
24	academic institution. We are
25	thinking about the intersection of

1	academics with Student Affairs.
2	And so we want to create a unified
3	research agenda. We've increased
4	the retention and persistence rate
5	at this campus in the last three-
6	to-four years by 3.3 percent. It
7	had stalled out for a long time,
8	about a decade, at about 81
9	percent.
10	We've done some really good
11	work. We want to start to and

12	we can pinpoint some of that of why
13	that's happened. We want to build
14	out a research agenda around
15	persistence and student success to
16	help inform the practice and help
17	inform the literature. We've got
18	the Faculty Fellows that are
19	embedded with us, and we've got
20	some really sharp, strong
21	researchers in their own right as
22	practitioners.
23	And so we want to build that
24	out as well and have a unified
25	research agenda. Katherine

1	McCormick and Todd Brann, who leads
2	our IRADS Program, are the two co-
3	leaders of that.
4	And the last thing I'll say
5	is, one, Jennifer had seen this
6	presentation at Provost Council
7	meeting, and Dave had asked me to
8	include this.
9	Part of our holistic wellness
10	and part of that first thing that I
11	had said about where we think our

12	most important resources are our
13	people, our SAL, along with UK HR
14	and Integrative Medicine, is
15	piloting a project this semester
16	where every SAL employee is getting
17	a \$300 wellness credit that can be
18	used at Integrative Medicine
19	Services and/or UK HR around
20	massage, acupuncture, mindfulness.
21	There's a whole set of services
22	with the idea of stress reduction.
23	We have Corrine Williams, who's a
24	faculty member in Public Health,
25	who is a faculty member in Health

1	Behavior. She's also a Faculty
2	Fellow in SAL. She's doing a
3	pretest/posttest assessment of
4	stress reduction around this to see
5	if it actually is helping our
6	frontline staff who, frankly, many
7	of our employees are on the
8	frontline of suicide ideation,
9	sexual misconduct, and they are
10	carrying around a lot of burdens.
11	And so part of our philosophy is we

12	have to be healthy to help our
13	students the best.
14	If this shows a stress
15	reduction, there is potential of
16	expanding it wider throughout the
17	university to other units and to
18	other colleges as part of the
19	institution's interest in the data
20	that they receive from the worklife
21	survey where our faculty and our
22	staff have some of the highest
23	levels of stress.
24	So we approached UK HR and
25	Integrative Medicine about this

1	pilot, and so they wanted us to
2	also share that. This is just one
3	of many initiatives under that
4	holistic well-being that I talked
5	about. And I think that's it.
6	BIRD-POLLAN: Thanks to Kirsten. We only
7	have time for one or two questions.
8	We're going to get this train back
9	on the tracks. Any questions for
10	Kirsten? I know she's very
11	interested in having your thoughts,

so if you have thoughts they want 12 13 to share with her --14 TURNER: My email is 15 kirsten.turner@uky, and I'd be more 16 that happy to talk with anybody to 17 see how we can serve our students 18 better. 19 BIRD-POLLAN: Thanks very much. We're going 20 to turn to our action items now --21 oh, we're in the middle of the 22 reports. DeShana, do you have a Vice Chair's Report? No? Okay. 23 Doug, do you have a 24 25 Parliamentarian's Report? No?

Great. Trustees?

2	GROSSMAN:	Yes, but we'll postpone till
3	the next n	neeting.
4	BIRD-POLLAN:	Keep them for next time?
5	Thank you	u very much.
6	So our	next item is Ernie
7	Bailey. Sc	o we asked you might
8	remembe	r we mentioned this before.
9	The Senat	e Council tasked Ernie as
LO	the chair	of an ad hoc committee to
l1	review th	ne Institute for the Study

12		of Free Enterprise. This was built
13		into the original Senate vote
14		regarding the academic content of
15		the institute when it was done in
16		2016. So I'll pass it over to
17		Ernie.
18	BAILEY:	In 2014 and 2016 I was Chair
19		of the Academic Organization and
20		Structure Committee, and we oversaw
21		a review of the Schnatter Institute
22		that studied free enterprise. And
23		there was a proposal that was
24		brought forward by a group of
25		faculty from the College of

1	Business, Gatton College, and it
2	was supported by a \$6 million
3	donation from the Schnatter
4	Foundation, a \$4 million donation
5	from the Koch Foundation, and it
6	was quite controversial because the
7	Koch Foundation had a reputation of
8	supporting programs and other
9	colleges, and to have strings
10	attached to it, which involved
11	outside advocation of faculty hires

12	and policies, so we were very
13	concerned about that.
14	There was quite a bit of
15	discussion at the time about it.
16	We won't go through all that.
17	Perhaps we'll go into it some more
18	But the Senate, at the time, voted
19	to approve the academic aspect of
20	the program. There was a second
21	vote, which had to do with the
22	administrative aspect, and the
23	Senate voted against it.
24	The second vote was basically
25	that we were not confident that

1	there was administrative structure,
2	and that was a way to give it back.
3	The Koch Foundation had
4	extreme interest in controlling
5	universities. There was a
6	stipulation in the grant that money
7	could be withdrawn if the
8	university wants to follow its
9	procedures, and so the Senate was
10	not confident about that.
11	So the second vote, a

12	recommendation to the Board of
13	Trustees, was we're concerned about
14	the funding of the institution.
15	But the first vote, which was
16	Senate, we had complete control
17	over that. We accepted it as an
18	academic unit, but with the
19	constraints that we had, we
20	stipulated that there would be
21	review, in turn, of it, with the
22	goal of looking to see if there was
23	undue influence from the policy on
24	our faculty buyers.
25	We met we were charged

1	within our committee. We met last
2	April with Aaron Yelowitz, who was
3	the chair of the group at the time.
4	And I didn't pay attention to this,
5	but I discovered it was no longer
6	the Schnatter Foundation. I was
7	informed that there had been some
8	unfortunate events involving
9	Schnatter, and so the university
10	had returned the funds. He hadn't
11	withdrawn. The university returned

12	the funds, and so the institute was
13	supported based on the funds from
14	Schnatter that it had already
15	expended, plus the money from the
16	Koch Foundation. And they asked
17	Aaron about whether they could
18	function that way. He said they
19	called the Koch Foundation and said
20	can they function this way, and
21	they said, yes, we're going to have
22	to cut back on some of our plans,
23	but we will continue to operate.
24	By the way, we have another
25	donor who's going to be coming in.

1	We're going to have another
2	director that's going to come in
3	the near future. And so at that
4	point we said why don't we delay
5	our review of this, so the
6	committee waited. We met in
7	September. Since then, the Kraft,
8	Joe Craft, III Foundation provided
9	\$3 million in support to the
10	program. And the program has an
11	acting director, which is Chuck

- 12 Courtemanche. Acting? Still
  13 acting?
- 14 BLACKWELL: Permanent now.
- 15 BAILEY: He was acting director. So
- 16 they've gone through -- the
- original director was John Garen.
- 18 They've had Aaron Yelowitz, and now
- they have Chuck Courtemanche.
- 20 So it's been a program that
- 21 has gone through some rather robust
- 22 changes. We were charged to look
- and see if there had been undue
- influence on what had gone on.
- 25 I've got lots of pages of reports.

1		I gave you guys all the reports
2		that we had that the group had
3		made. I gave you the reports from
4		a number of surveys that we had
5		conducted. We basically
6		investigated the affiliates that
7		are currently chairs, award
8		winners, and
9	BLACKWEL	L: Search committees.
10	BAILEY:	Yes, the search committees,

basically asked to what extent had

12	been outside influence. The other
13	thing I would say, also, is that we
14	were also influenced greatly
15	because of the time that this thing
16	was approved, then the question
17	was, well, what is undue influence?
18	How much influence is too much?
19	And Dean Blackwell, at the time,
20	famously said, "Any influence is
21	undue influence."
22	So we basically talked with
23	the committees, and at this time, I
24	think that there's some people that
25	may want to bring some other issues

1	up. There was no indication that
2	there were any conflicts. There
3	were not outside matters that were
4	sitting on the search committees.
5	I find that kind of surprising
6	because in my college, my
7	department, when we have search
8	committees, we're quite keen to
9	have the College of Agriculture
10	doing equine research there. We're
11	quite keen to have support from the

12	horse industry, so we'll invite a
13	veterinarian or someone to sit on
14	that committee and so advise it.
15	There was a provision in the
16	original proposal that they would
17	have a Stakeholder's Advisory
18	Committee that was then formed,
19	which again, signaled the advisory
20	committees for raising funds, and
21	so much for advising ingenuity.
22	But that didn't even happen,
23	so we really did not come up with
24	any indication that they had had a
25	problem. We looked at the program,

1	and basically we, basically,
2	thought the institute doesn't seem
3	to be influenced by any outside
4	groups, so providing the money for
5	the faculty regarding program
6	advice, providing funds to support
7	the student programs and critical
8	research projects.
9	It's grown from six affiliates
10	to 13 at present. And we've had
11	several recommendations, and these

12	are really outside the community,
13	but the fact that we have talked
14	and then have looked at it, the
15	it was very collegiate of the
16	people, very pleasant, but they
17	tended to do their business in the
18	hallway and casual lunches and so
19	on. Because if it happened in a
20	group of people, then it was ripe
21	for, perhaps, being taken advantage
22	of if you had some other agenda,
23	and so we recommended that they
24	have more structure at the
25	meetings.

1	Another issue that we
2	another suggestion that we're
3	hearing is with our rules of
4	procedure. Again, it's not a
5	particular requirement, but they
6	are in the process of hiring
7	faculty. They're in the process of
8	awarding grants. You know, there's
9	a variety of decisions that are
10	made, and it would be very good to
11	make some set rules of procedure

12	for that. So we made that
13	recommendation to them.
14	And I think the last
15	recommendation was that a group
16	like that would seem to be of
17	interest for a wider group.
18	There's only 13 members on it, and
19	12 from the Business College and
20	then from the History Department.
21	I think it would be ripe for a
22	wider representation.
23	So that was the gist of that,
24	thank you.

25 BIRD-POLLAN: So we do have a motion here

1	which is from the Senate Council.
2	The Senate Council voted to
3	recommend that the Senate accept
4	the ad hoc committee's report on
5	the Institute for the Study of Free
6	Enterprise. So that's the motion
7	from the Senate Council. There's
8	not a specific motion from the ad
9	hoc committee. You just heard the
10	recommendations, so we will have
11	discussion. I don't think there's

really a "questions of fact vs. 12 debate" issue here. 13 14 So let's have discussion of 15 Ernie's report, the committee's 16 report and the motion. 17 BLACKWELL: And Chuck, the director is 18 here if you have questions about 19 it. Any comments? Questions? 20 Observations? 21 DEBSKI: Liz Debski, A&S. So I was 22 struck when you said that a lot of things that are informal and done 23 in the hallways, and so were actual 24

decisions, important decisions

1		made? I mean, is there any record
2		or discussion of these?
3	BAILEY:	No. That was what concerned
4		us. That was what concerned us.
5		There was no official matters. I
6		mean, we couldn't see minutes or
7		that sort of thing. So we thought
8		that they ought to have regular
9		meetings and minutes.
10	DEBSKI:	It must have interfered with
11		your decision, did it not? I

12 mean, it's difficult when you don't 13 have that kind of information. 14 BAILEY: What happened with the review 15 is, basically, it was a survey. We 16 talked to all of the -- almost all 17 of the students and all of the --18 almost all of the awardees and 19 basically sought their input, and 20 that's in the report. But, yeah, 21 it would've been easier if there 22 were minutes. 23 BIRD-POLLAN: Chuck? Go ahead. Just introduce yourself. 24

Chuck Courtemanche from the

25 COURTEMANCHE:

1	Economics Department and the
2	director of the institute. Yeah,
3	so we did have so there are
4	several different buckets of
5	activity: The grant program,
6	faculty and some of the research
7	grants, I would that is where we
8	do have a process of extra
9	reviewers, and that, I think, is
10	pretty well-documented in
11	structure. Would you

Yes. Yes. 12 BAILEY: 13 COURTEMANCHE: But I think when he's talking 14 about the hallway conversations, I 15 think specifically what that 16 pertains to is the bringing in of 17 speakers, external speakers. I 18 mean, those are really the two main 19 buckets of activity. 20 And that, in part, is a 21 function of the turnover in 22 leadership. So I'm the third 23 director in five years, and I think it's more that neither of the first 24

two were nearly there long enough

1	to have much of a process
2	developed. And then the situation
3	with the donor, there's kind of a
4	one-year holding pattern, but that
5	was prior to me being director.
6	So there were some you
7	know, I don't think that's because
8	of any intention to not have more
9	formal procedures so much as it's
10	been the you know, the turnover
11	and some of the uncertainty.

12	I'll also say that you
13	know, we've said early in the
14	committee's recommendation and
15	we'll do all of them. So I guess
16	all I can say on that, you know,
17	this is really stuff that predates
18	my time as director, that there was
19	never any attempt to cover anything
20	going on. It was just a matter of
21	some disorganization and some
22	turnover, and that's something that
23	we are in the process of fixing to
24	the extent that it needs fixing.
25	We're planning to follow those

1		suggestions	exactly.
2	DEBSKI:	Could	you just specifically
3		say what wa	s the money actually
4		supporting?	So was it new hires?
5		Was is it this	;
6	BAILEY:	I'm go	ing to defer to Chuck.
7	COURTEM	ANCHE:	So there's also the faculty
8		hiring. So in	terms of the, like,
9		day-to-day a	ctivities we're
10		talking the e	events, which are, you
11		know, six to	eight a year, "open to

the public" type of events. 12 13 There's these summer grants to 14 faculty who are already here, and 15 then occasionally, external faculty 16 with a peer review process attached 17 to that. So that's what I told you 18 about the day-to-day activities. 19 Then there are these faculty 20 hires --21 BAILEY: And student support. 22 COURTEMANCHE: And some student support, right. So PhD student fellowships, 23 these guys are getting. Yeah, so 24

it's fair to say the PhD student

1	support lacked it needs a more
2	formal process as well. The
3	faculty hiring, I would say, that's
4	very pretty well-documented in
5	the processes that have been used
6	there. And you guys did extensive
7	talking to everyone who'd ever been
8	on a search committee, which is
9	very rarely like, for instance,
10	the search that's still ongoing in
11	the econ department right now,

12	there are five people on the search
13	committee and I'm the only one with
14	any kind of institute affiliation.
15	You know, there's regular members
16	of the econ department, including
17	the department chair.
18	So I think that process is
19	documented. There was pretty solid
20	documentation of the way we hire
21	faculty has been, exactly the way
22	it is in any other case. I don't
23	think the committee was disputing.
24	So I would take it as far as
25	the event planning, in our

1	conversation and the meetings, i
2	took it that that was the big
3	thing, some more structure on what
4	they do, and I agree with that.
5	BIRD-POLLAN: Any other comments or
6	questions? No? So I was giving
7	the floor to the senators; first,
8	we have one non-senator who would
9	like to speak.
10	YANARELLA: Can I speak from here?
11	BIRD-POLLAN: Yeah. Absolutely. You want

- to introduce yourself?
   YANARELLA: My name is Ernie Yanarella.
   Some of you remember me from some
   years back when I was the Senate
   Council Chair and Presiding Officer
- 17 of the University Senate.
- 18 I also, I think, led the
- 19 opposition to the
- 20 institutionalization of the
- 21 Schnatter Institute for the Study
- 22 of Free Enterprise. When I
- 23 received Jennifer's newsletter
- 24 yesterday, I discovered that this
- 25 issue was coming before this body,

1	and it raised anew a number of
2	concerns, which I had and which I
3	thought to address to this body
4	some years back. Ernie has been
5	very especially in terms of what
6	went on at that meeting. The
7	report provides a very good
8	presentation of the back-and-forth
9	arguments that were made by,
10	particularly, me, some other
11	members of the University Senate

12 and then Dean Blackwell. 13 In reading the review 14 committee report, I really felt 15 that there was something fundamentally superficial about 16 17 what was investigated. I 18 understand this better given the 19 mandate, the charge of the 20 committee at this point. 21 Nonetheless, I think there are some 22 very serious issues that need to be 23 addressed, and likely should be considered, if not now, given the 24 25 more pressing issues that have

1	already been part of this briefing,
2	then two years hence when they I
3	hope a full and searching
4	investigation of this committee
5	takes place.
6	I had a couple pages of
7	commentary, which I wanted to read.
8	We really don't have time for that.
9	I wanted to get to the nub of the
10	issue that I have with the review
11	report. And that has to do with my

12	conception of the scope of this
13	institute, and the kind of critical
14	outlook that should be applied to
15	the "study of free enterprise," the
16	basic mission of this institute.
17	It strikes me that it if those
18	issues are addressed critically and
19	explicitly, the shortcomings of
20	this institute at that point would
21	become clear.
22	I saw this institute as
23	approaching the issue of the study
24	of free enterprise in a much more
25	global sense. The funding sources

1	of this, on the other hand, have
2	worked assiduously over the entire
3	country to assure that these issues
4	are dealt with in a very narrow
5	fashion. The co-foundation has
6	supported, I would guess, about a
7	hundred campus institutes. And in
8	the interim, between the
9	institutionalization of this
10	institute and today, two important
11	things have taken place: One, Nancy

12	MacLean has looked at the
13	intellectual foundations of this
14	effort. And, secondly, a national
15	group called UnKoch My Campus has
16	been working assiduously to derail
17	such institutes at other
18	universities, and they continue to
19	do so.
20	I would hope that Jennifer
21	would give you the opportunity to
22	send these to the present Senate
23	Council members. It seems clear to
24	me, given the fact that the Senate
25	Council has unanimously recommended

1	acceptance of the ad hoc committee
2	report, given the fact that I bear
3	not umbrage to any of the committee
4	members, least of all, my former
5	vice chair in Senate Council, and
6	the fact that I think that Dean
7	Blackwell ends negotiation with the
8	Schnatter Foundation and the Koch
9	Foundation, and made it clear that
10	there were certain things that were
11	taken off the negotiating table.

- 12 And this institute is better for
- it, so that is my request.
- 14 BIRD-POLLAN: So we'll share Dr. Yanarella's
- 15 written comments to the Senate
- 16 Council. Is there any other debate
- on the motion to accept the ad hoc
- committee's report? Yes?
- 19 BAGH: Adib Bagh from Gatton School
- 20 of Business and Economics. I am
- in economics, but I'm not
- 22 affiliated with the institution in
- any way. Never received any
- 24 fundings, summer or otherwise, and
- 25 my type of research that I do will

1	probably never get any funding.
2	However, I have to say that despite
3	my initial skepticism about the
4	institute when it first came up for
5	a vote and so on, at this point, I
6	completely agree with what the
7	committee has found. It's my
8	experience that some of the most
9	important decisions that are made
10	by the institute, specifically,
11	hiring faculty members funded by

12	money coming from the institute,
13	those decisions are completely
14	dedicated to the units for which
15	the position is open.
16	So economics is hiring
17	somebody in economics using funding
18	coming from the institute. For all
19	practical purposes, the institute
20	is absent. People on the search
21	committee are elected or put
22	together by the department and
23	working on the candidates, who's
24	getting invited and who's not. It
25	is done by the department. Every

1	once in a while in a meeting they
2	ask the people in the institute
3	what they think about a particular
4	candidate. The decision whether to
5	hire somebody or not for a position
6	that is funded by the institute is
7	completely left to the unit. And
8	that's whether it's the economics
9	unit, for the finance unit or what
10	have you.
11	And that in that sense I think

12	the most critical aspect of the
13	institute and where the funding is
14	coming from, I believe it's
15	completely in line with what the
16	committee has found.
17	Perhaps the events, the
18	speakers, the outside speakers that
19	are getting invited and so on,
20	maybe those are all ideologically
21	within the same group of economic
22	thinking. But I think that's a
23	very minor point compared to the
24	services that all these positions
25	are created on campus.

1	BIRD-POLLAN: Quick response.
2	COURTEMANCHE: I just wanted to dispute that
3	last point for my colleague,
4	because I am not sure if he's
5	coming today. But I'd say all our
6	events are all recorded. They're
7	all on the website, so you can
8	judge for yourself what you think
9	about them, but they I mean, I
10	don't know the tally marks, but

it's a pretty nice group. I mean,

12	as an example, we have our next
13	event, it's going to be a promo
14	opportunity, actually. March 30th,
15	our next event, is someone who is
16	going to come in and talk about
17	some unintended consequences of
18	economic freedom in terms of racial
19	equality, and that's just as an
20	example. With respect to the last
21	event, an expert from the Brookings
22	Institution who was, you know, not
23	a politician or anything, but
24	arguing in terms of economic
25	policies that would sound a lot

1	more like you might hear from the
2	political left and the political
3	right, I would say.
4	So don't take my word. I mean,
5	the events are all on video.
6	They're all there for people to look
7	at. You know, there's a mix. I
8	mean, we aim to have free exchange
9	of ideas. You know, we aim to
10	balance you know, if we have an
11	opportunity to bring in some high

12	profile at a discounted price in
13	some cases, we're looking to do
14	that. You know, it's not based on
15	one particular thing or another. So
16	that is all there for public
17	consumption. And I would but
18	with that said, I do agree that
19	here's something where some
20	procedures would really help. What
21	has happened, I think, is there's
22	if you're in this constant state of
23	uncertainty with who the director is
24	and if there's donors or not, you
25	tend to be a little bit reactive and

1	more you know, this is my
2	observation from before when I was
3	director. But to be able to get out
4	in front in a more stable situation
5	and to have formal procedures I
6	think will help us there.
7	BIRD-POLLAN: Any final comments at 4:44?
8	Let's call for a vote, then to
9	accept the ad hoc committee's
10	report.
11	Any remaining votes regarding

12 the report? Motion passes. 13 Thanks very much to Ernie 14 Bailey and the committee for taking 15 on this work. I think it was well 16 done. 17 So this I'm going to present to you quickly. And just a quick 18 19 reminder that I let you out early 20 the last couple meetings. 21 So this is a proposal that 22 comes to us from Patrick Lee Lucas, 23 the chair of the UK Core Committee, 24 who unfortunately couldn't be here

today, but this actually comes from

1	their committee.
2	This is a specific request to
3	change the Senate Rule that relates
4	to membership on the Core Committee
5	Under the current rules, the current
6	rules say that faculty members shall
7	serve for staggered three-year terms
8	and may not succeed themselves.
9	You might remember hearing me
10	come around last year or early this
11	year, sort of begging for additional

12	volunteers to serve on the Core
13	Committee. We got some of those
14	people, but one of the problems is a
15	lot of them were completing vacant
16	terms, and under the rule, the way
17	it's written right now, they would
18	not be eligible to be reappointed to
19	succeed themselves going forward.
20	And even having said that, the
21	committee is doing some deep-dive
22	work related to reassessing what the
23	core might look like and what the
24	Senate Council heard from Patrick a
25	week or so ago. And one of the

1	things he mentioned is that the
2	committee is very interested in
3	having a little bit more continuity
4	from one term to the next in these
5	members.
6	So the request from the Core
7	Committee is to change the current
8	rule to say this, what you seen in
9	blue there: Each faculty member
10	shall be eligible for reappointment
11	for a second consecutive term, but

12	ineligible for further
13	reappointments until one year has
14	lapsed. And then if a faculty
15	member vacates a seat and the Senate
16	Council finds a new member, the
17	partial term would not count towards
18	that two-term limit.
19	So this is sort of modeled on
20	the rule we have for current
21	senators. You can serve two terms
22	on the Senate, and then you have to
23	step away for a year before you
24	could be reelected. And so that was
25	sort of the model here, and we had

1	concerns in the Senate Council about
2	not wanting to allow indefinite
3	reappointments.
4	By the way, just to remind you
5	all, it's the Senate Council that
6	appoints membership to the UK Core,
7	so the Senate Council will retain
8	some control over the membership of
9	this committee. This extends the
10	pot of people who would be eligible
11	to serve. We've already got them in

their seat. I feel there's some 12 13 value in allowing them to serve 14 again. 15 So that's the current proposal. 16 Are there questions of fact related 17 to this proposal? Bob? 18 GROSSMAN: Bob Grossman, Trustee. What is 19 the effective date of this proposal? 20 BIRD-POLLAN: Oh, thank you very much for 21 clarifying. I think we said it on 22 the slide itself, but the request from the Core Committee is for this 23 rule to be effective immediately, 24

and part of the reason for that is

1	we have not yet set the Core
2	Committee for next year, and partly
3	because of this to change the rule.
4	So this would be a rule that would
5	change effectively immediately.
6	Are there questions of fact?
7	So we have a motion from the Senate
8	Council to change the Senate Rule,
9	effectively immediately. Any debate
10	on that motion? Any debate? Then I
11	will open that for voting.

12 Any final votes regarding this 13 Senate Rule change? Motion passes. 14 Thank you very much. 15 Chris Pool, I'm going to speak 16 for you, Chris. How do you feel 17 about that? 18 POOL: Okay. Thank you. 19 BIRD-POLLAN: So Chris, you might remember, 20 was here last meeting or maybe the 21 meeting before to ask for the Senate 22 Rule change related to the Academic 23 Facilities Committee. The committee has also come up with a draft 24

survey, which they are going to send

1	around to senators. And this is to
2	ask specifically for your feedback
3	about what kinds of academic
4	facilities on campus need attention.
5	And the committee decided that
6	they needed more feedback from a
7	wider group of people in order to
8	make decisions about where to spend
9	their time and energy and efforts
10	over the next year.
11	So you're going to get this, we

12 think, after spring break? 13 POOL: You're going to get it very 14 soon and they're going to ask for 15 your responses by the Monday after 16 spring break. 17 BIRD-POLLAN: Oh, responses by the Monday 18 after spring break. Excellent. So 19 please read that. It's going out 20 only to senators. So just like you 21 did with the Phase Retirement 22 Survey, you might ask your 23 colleagues for their feedback. You can provide representative responses 24

on the survey. Give your own

1	feedback, but also that of your
2	colleagues. There's some boxes
3	where you can put text entries, and
4	then some that's just a ranking of
5	sort of where the facility's
6	attention needs to be done.
7	So, please, look out for that
8	survey and please take the time to
9	complete it. You know, the
10	committee will really appreciate
11	that.

12		Next is Bill Smith, Admissions
13		and Academic Standards. So we're
14		going to go through these quickly.
15	SMITH:	The first proposal for
16		recommendation is suspension of the
17		MS, PhD and Graduate Certificate
18		Programs. It's actually suspension
19		closure. So this is a
20		recommendation that the University
21		Senate approve the College of Health
22		Sciences' request to suspend and the
23		MS, PhD and Graduate Certificate
24		Programs in Reproductive Biology.
25		As of now, there have been no

1	student admissions in any of the
2	PhD, MS or Graduate Certificates for
3	over five years. The College of
4	Health Sciences is no longer
5	offering the program. This
6	recommendation is to update the list
7	of offerings Department of
8	Clinical Sciences and College of
9	Health Sciences, Clinical Sciences.
10	Faculty vote was made and there's no
11	records in the Senate Rules.

- 12 BIRD-POLLAN: So those of you who've been
- 13 around the Senate for a while, do
- 14 you remember? This is not our first
- 15 rodeo. So there is a rule in the
- 16 Senate Rules that a lot of -- that
- we're not interested in eliminating
- that requires there be open hearings
- 19 and disclosures for the closure of
- 20 academic programs. This is --
- 21 remind me when was the last time
- 22 people were enrolled in this
- program?
- 24 SMITH: Over five years.
- 25 BIRD-POLLAN: It's been more than five years

1		since students have been enrolled,
2		so the Senate Council recommended
3		waiving Senate Rule 3.4.2.2.2 with
4		regard to this particular program.
5		Are there any questions of fact
6		regarding the waiver motion first?
7		Any debate on the motion to
8		waive the Senate Rule with regard to
9		the reproductive biology proposals?
10		Yes, Roger?
11	BROWN:	Roger Brown, College of Ag. Is

12	there a resolution that is going to	
13	avoid this kind of waiver? Is there	
14	something being discussed?	
15	BIRD-POLLAN: There is. We've asked the	
16	Senate Rules Committee to consider	
17	the possibility that the Senate	
18	meetings themselves would be open	
19	hearings with regard to these, or	
20	potentially the meetings that are	
21	admissions and academic standards	
22	meetings. Whether, in their	
23	interpretation, either of these two	
24	meetings, which are themselves open	
25	meetings, would count for purposes	

1	of these open hearings. And that,
2	therefore, we would not eliminate
3	the rule itself. And in situations
4	where we expected a potentially more
5	contentious set of objections to the
6	closing of meetings we would have
7	independent open hearings regarding
8	that stuff.
9	So stay tuned for a ruling from
10	the Senate Rules Committee, which
11	you might hear about given that

12	you're on the committee.
13	Any other debate on that
14	motion? We will vote on this is
15	on the waiver specifically regarding
16	the reproductive biology proposals.
17	Any last votes regarding this
18	proposal? Any final votes?
19	That motion passes. And so now
20	for the suspension and closure of
21	the MS, PhD and Graduate Certificate
22	Programs in Reproductive Biology.
23	You heard the rationale from Bill.
24	Any questions of fact regarding that
25	proposal? Then we have a motion

1	from the committee to approve the
2	request to suspend and close these
3	programs. Any debate on that
4	motion? Any debate? We'll open
5	that motion for voting, then. Any
6	remaining votes on the substantive
7	motion regarding reproductive
8	biology? That motion passes.
9	This is with regard to the
10	Doctor of Public Health, College of
11	Public Health. Again, same thing.

12	We're doing a waiver here with
13	regard to the hearing. This is a
14	suspension of admission of the
15	Doctor of Public Health. We're not
16	closing the program yet. There are
17	current students enrolled in this
18	program, so this would be merely
19	suspending admissions going forward.
20	The Senate Council, again,
21	recommended that the Senate waive
22	the rule here, waive the rule
23	related to the open hearing and
24	disclosure requirements.
25	Any questions of fact? Any

1	debate on the motion to waive the
2	Senate Rule? Any debate? I'll open
3	voting on this is on the waiver,
4	again, remember.
5	You guys, I just want to
6	acknowledge how great the IT is
7	working today. It's a beautiful
8	thing.
9	Any final votes here? Motion
10	passes. Now, the substance of the
11	suspension.

12	SMITH:	Suspension of the Doctor
13		of Public Health Program:
14		This is a recommendation that the
15		University Senate approve the
16		College of Public Health request to
17		suspend the Doctor of Public Health
18		Program. The rationale is that
19		Public Health substantially revised
20		competencies for the Dr-PH program
21		in 2016. The revised competencies
22		do not align well with faculty
23		specializations in the College of
24		Public Health. The two departments
25		offering the Dr-PH, Epidemiology and

1	Health Management and Policy, have
2	elected to suspend the Doctor of
3	Public Health while evaluating other
4	existing programs and the direction
5	of future programs.
6	New admissions have not
7	occurred since the fall of 2017.
8	Students currently enrolled will
9	continue in the program until they
10	graduate. So we felt like they had
11	the appropriate signatures and

L2	approvals, and that you should
L3	consider this.
L4	BIRD-POLLAN: Any questions of fact related
L <b>5</b>	to the suspension of admission to
L6	the Doctor of Public Health? Then
L7	we have a motion from the committee
L8	to suspend the admissions to Doctor
L9	of Public Health. Any debate on
20	that motion? Any debate? Let's
21	open that one for voting. Any last
22	votes here?
23	Motion passes. Okay. This is
24	the suspension of admissions and

closures for the Pre-Physician

1		Assistant Studies degree. Again,
2		the Senate Council recommended
3		waiving the open hearing disclosure
4		rules regarding this program.
5		Any questions of fact on the
6		waiver? Yeah.
7	BLONDER:	Are there students in the
8		program?
9	BIRD-POLL	AN: This is one
10	SMITH:	Those students have been

enrolled for over five years.

- 12 BIRD-POLLAN: More than five years. Oh, more
- than ten years. Any other questions
- of fact? Any debate on the
- 15 recommend from the committee to
- 16 waiver the Senate Rule in this case?
- 17 We'll open the waiver. This is to
- 18 begin voting on the waiver of the
- 19 Senate Rule for open hearing
- 20 disclosures. Final votes?
- 21 Motion passes.
- 22 SMITH: The proposal aims suspension of
- 23 admissions and closure of Pre-
- 24 Physician Assistant Studies. It is
- 25 a recommendation to the University

1	Senate to approve the College of
2	Health Sciences request to suspend
3	and close the Pre-Physician
4	Assistant Studies Program. The
5	reference to Physician Assistant
6	Studies should also be removed,
7	Senate Rule 4.2.2.2.4, application
8	deadlines.
9	The rationale is the suspension
10	and closure of this Physician
11	Assistant Program and that it no

12		longer	reflects tha	t it is no
13		longer be	ing offered	by the College
14		of Health	Sciences.	Those students
15		have bee	n enrolled t	for over five
16		years and	l we felt lik	e had the
17		appropria	ate faculty f	or those
18		students.		
19	BIRD-POLL	AN:	Any quest	ions of fact regarding
20		this one?	Yeah?	
21	SMITH:	ls s	suspension	of admissions and
22		suspend t	the same th	ning?
23	BIRD-POLL	AN:	Yes.	
24	SMITH:	Th	e previous	one was the

wording in the previous one was

1	questionable.
2	BIRD-POLLAN: We had more words on this
3	slide, so, yes, suspending means
4	suspending admissions. Yes. That's
5	clear in the rules, but maybe not on
6	our slides. Any other factual
7	questions? Any debate on the
8	recommendation of the committee to
9	close suspend admissions to and
10	close the Pre-Physician Assistant
11	Studies Program? Any debate? We'll

12		open that one for voting. Any final
13		votes?
14		Motion passes. More from Bill.
15		No waiver necessary.
16	SMITH:	So the new admissions pass into
17		the Lewis Honors Program for
18		sophomore. This is a recommendation
19		for the Senate to approve the Lewis
20		Honors College proposal for
21		sophomore admission. Details of the
22		sophomore admission would be
23		administered per the November 19th,
24		2019 memo to University Senate
25		Council. The rationale is the

1	Honors College admission is incoming
2	freshman or rising juniors. Lewis
3	Honors College deserves to expand
4	admissions to include rising
5	sophomores. Sophomore admission
6	requirements are similar to the
7	freshman, but with removal of
8	classes students would've already
9	taken during the freshman year.
10	BIRD-POLLAN: Are there questions of fact
11	regarding this proposal to admit

12	rising sophomores to the Lewis
13	Honors College? Any debate on the
14	recommendation from the committee to
15	approve the Lewis Honors College
16	proposal to admit sophomores. Any
17	debate? Anything on that motion?
18	We'll open that one for voting. Any
19	last votes?
20	Motion passes. Let's try to do
21	this last one. We have just a
	minute or two. Run up here, Zach,
22	· · · ·
22	please. So this is recommendations

_		Committee.
2	BRAY:	This is a recommendation to
3		move the Environmental &
4		Sustainability Studies to the
5		Interdisciplinary Program from the
6		College of Arts & Sciences,
7		Department of Geography. The votes
8		of SAOSC, I think each of the
9		faculty bodies effected were in
LO		overwhelming favor. 8 in favor at
L1		SAOSC with 2 abstaining. The

12	Interdisciplinary faculty
13	unanimously voted, those present, in
14	favor, 2 abstaining. The Geography
15	Department was 17, yes, 0 no and 1
16	present abstaining. The proposal,
17	would seek that approval, is
18	overwhelmingly motivated by focus on
19	students and our department. I
20	don't know if Tony's still here or
21	not, but in large part ratifies what
22	has been happening with it. And
23	that's two minutes. I'm done.
24	BIRD-POLLAN: Thank you. I appreciate that

Dean Kornbluh, did you have

1	something you wanted to say?
2	KORNBLUH: I would just say this is an
3	administrative it's
4	administrative. There's no change
5	to the other side of the program.
6	BIRD-POLLAN: Right. And so a notice on
7	here, the recommendation is to
8	endorse the proposal. This is a
9	move that has to happen at the Board
10	of Trustees level, but we are being
11	asked to endorse the move as the

12	2 University Senate. Any question				
13		fact regarding this move? This is			
14		moving it from the college level,			
15		the BA and the minor into the			
16		Department of Geography. Any			
17	questions of fact?				
18	POOL:	Chris Pool, Arts & Sciences.			
19		So it remains an Interdisciplinary			
20		Program and you move affiliate			
21		faculty?			
22	KORNBLU	H: Yes. It's completely			
23		Interdisciplinary Program. We found			
24		that these work better being			

administrated by a department. So

25

1	neuroscience is autilinistered by
2	biology, and we're moving all others
3	to be sort of administered by a
4	department.
5	BIRD-POLLAN: Any other factual questions?
6	We have a recommendation from the
7	committee to endorse the proposed
8	move of the BA and the minor into
9	the Department of Geography. Any
10	debate on that motion? Any debate?
11	We'll open that one for voting.

12	Remaining votes? Any remaining
13	votes?
14	That motion passes. Great.
15	Thanks, everyone. Before you leave,
16	the next item on your agenda, which
17	is about the phased retirement
18	participation by phased retirement
19	faculty in the Senate. Here's what
20	I'll ask you to do. Please review
21	the materials. In particular,
22	review the materials that has to do
23	with whether officers of the Senate
24	Council or members of the Senate
25	Council should be allowed to

1	continue if they enter phase
2	retirement and sharing the views you
3	have with Bob Grossman or with me
4	and share them with the Senate
5	Council in advance. I think we'll
6	speed up the conversation about this
7	next month, which might be a busy
8	month. And, also, the Senate
9	Council is very interested in
10	hearing your views about that.
11	So any views you want to share,

12	please do so. Of course, we'll have					
13	the opportunity to discuss it at the					
14	meeting as well.					
15	Is there a motion to adjourn?					
16	Everyone, and also seconded by					
17	everyone. Thank you, everyone.					
18	Enjoy your spring break.					
19	******					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

STATE OF KENTUCKY )
)

COUNTY OF KENTON )

I, TRISHA B. MORLEY, the undersigned Notary

Public in and for the State of Kentucky at Large,

certify that at the time and place stated, I personally

appeared at said proceeding to take the record in

stenotype, later reduced to computer-aided transcription

under my direction, and that the foregoing is a true and

accurate record of said proceeding.

My commission expires: April 23, 2022.

## IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunder set my hand

and seal of office on the 9th day of March, 2020.

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

**Notary Public** 

My commission expires: 4/23/22