### UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY

### SENATE COUNCIL MEETING

\* \* \* \* \*

NOVEMBER 9, 2020

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

AARON CRAMER, CHAIR

DESHANA COLLETT, VICE CHAIR

SHEILA BROTHERS, ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR

STEPHANIE WOOLERY, STAFF ASSISTANT

TRISHA B. MORLEY, STENOGRAPHER

\* \* \* \*

1				
2	CRAMER:	All right, guys. I have	3:00.	Welcome
3	to the	e November 9th Universi	ty Ser	nate
4	meet	ing. For attendance purp	ooses,	senate
5	mem	bers, please type your na	ame a	nd
6	affilia	ition in the chat box. The	e chat	
7	funct	ion is not used otherwise	e, so d	lon't use
8	the cl	hat function during the n	neetin	ng to add
9	to the	e discussion. We need to	) have	that
10	discu	ussion out loud. So use t	he "ra	ise hand"
11	featı	ure to get my attention if	you h	nave

something you need to speak.

13 Mute yourself when you're note speaking, 14 but I've asked Stephanie to assist with 15 muting people if they accidentally leave 16 their mics open during the meeting. The 17 meeting is being recorded for note-taking 18 purposes to assist with preparing the minutes 19 and so forth. If any member of the senate is 20 disconnected and cannot reconnect at all 21 after attempting to reconnect or reboot, 22 please send an email. Maybe instead of 23 Sheila Brothers, actually, do Stephanie 24 Woolery at stephanie.woolery@uky.edu so that 25 we're aware. In terms of practicalities, the

1	senate meetings are open meetings. We follow
2	Robert's Rules of Order. No voting by proxy.
3	Be civil in discussing any questions before
4	the senate.
5	Be a good citizen. Part of that also
6	means discussing the actions of the senate
7	and the business of the senate among your
8	colleagues as well. You represent people,
9	and so make sure the people you report are
10	aware of your activities on their behalf.
11	And participate, please. I know this is a

12	format that's not particularly conducive to
13	participation, but, please, engage in
14	discussion, ask questions, make suggestions
15	as appropriate.
16	Just a reminder, since we're operating
17	in a strange format, Zoom participants are
18	divided into two categories: Panelists and
19	attendees. Both can participate in the
20	meeting. We're using this distinction
21	primarily to help us separate those who have
22	votes in the senate versus those who do not
23	presently vote in the senate. And so
24	panelists are going to be the voting
25	senators. Panelists will receive a specific

1	email from Stephanie with Zoom information to
2	allow them to vote and are eligible to vote.
3	Nonvoting senators and guests are attendees.
4	They can get the Zoom link from the senate
5	site and do not vote. You can still raise
6	your hand and ask questions or ask for the
7	floor.
8	I see my parliamentarian has his hand
9	up. Doug, what's up? Hang on. Our
10	parliamentarian is in the wrong place. Doug,
11	are you in here?

12 MICHAEL: Yes, I am. I inadvertently raised my

hand.

19

14 CRAMER: Oh, okay. But it's important that our

parliamentarian be in the right list so he

16 can observe to vote and make sure he's

assisting me with maintaining order, so it's

good. We've got that sorted out now.

During discussion, to indicate you want

you speak, click on the Zoom feature "raise

21 hand." Just depending on who you are, it

22 might be in a different place. If you're a

23 panelist that is a voting member, you click

on the participants button in Zoom, and then

click on the "raise hand" button, which will

1	be in the lower right-hand corner of the
2	participants window.
3	If you're an attendee, you just click on
4	the "raise hand" button at the bottom of the
5	screen in the center and we can see it. If I
6	don't see it, Stephanie will see it and say,
7	hey, somebody's got their hand up, and that
8	way I can see that you've raised your hand.
9	To vote, the voting members, the
10	panelists, will use the "raise hand" feature
11	in Zoom, the same "raise hand" feature that

12	mentioned here that's at the bottom right-
13	hand corner of the participants window.
14	You'll use the "raise hand" feature when I
15	call for people voting in favor. Use the
16	"raise hand" feature to indicate that, or
17	you'll raise your hand to oppose or raise
18	your hand to formally indicate that you want
19	to abstain. In terms of the voting, I'll
20	state the motion, ask voting members, the
21	panelists wishing to vote in favor, to click
22	the Zoom feature "raise hand." We'll record
23	the vote count and the hands will be cleared.
24	I'll then ask for voting members who wish to
25	vote opposed to raise their hands in Zoom.

1	The count will be recorded and the hands will
2	be cleared. And then I'll ask for people
3	wishing to abstain to raise their hand, and
4	the count will be recorded and the hands will
5	be cleared.
6	So, as mentioned last time, it's hard to
7	note the individual names of senators voting
8	in this way, so what we'll do is have
9	Stephanie will actually document those in the
10	minority and those abstaining. These are,
11	typically, the smaller numbers, and the

12		minority is, obviously, the smaller number.
13		If the votes proceed to be close, then we're
14		prepared to assist and actually do a role
15		call vote, actually go down the list and vote
16		that way. But if it's most people say in
17		favor of a motion. We won't record the
18		individual names in favor, only the
19		individual names opposing and abstaining.
20		You'll be assumed to have voted with the
21		majority if you're not in the minority or
22		abstaining, officially.
23		Davy, did you have a question?
24	JONES:	Just to help me understand we might
25		be able to apply this to some other meeting

1	situat	ions. In the voting here, do you
2	actua	lly have a way to partition that during
3	the vo	oting action that participants who are
4	not vo	oting do not inadvertently raise their
5	hand	and get counted in to the vote? Do you
6	have	a way to block them from actually
7	raisin	g their hand?
8	CRAMER:	Davy, let me clarify. You mean
9	specit	fically, like, nonvoting members of the
10	body	?
11	JONES:	Yes, for example.

12 CRAMER: So by splitting them between panelists 13 and attendees, we're only counting the 14 panelists that raise their hand during that 15 time. 16 MICHAEL: Panelists, also, can actually vote 17 instead of just raise their hands, so you can 18 see that differently. If you're a panelist, 19 you can see a different green or red mark 20 instead of raising your hand --21 CRAMER: But, Doug, we're using the "raise hand," 22 though because --23 MICHAEL: We are? Okay. Never mind. 24 CRAMER: Yeah. Sorry. No, that is a feature

that Zoom allows, but it's a little bit funny

25

1	and we decided this was actually the way to
2	make sure we get the counts right and the
3	names who are voting in each way right. But
4	to answer Davy Jones' question, that's right.
5	By splitting between panelists and attendees,
6	we would only be counting the people who
7	raise their hands that were panelists during
8	that time, not attendees who might have
9	raised their hand, for example, to ask a
10	question.
11	Senate Rule 1.2.3 on meetings requires

12	that minutes from the previous meeting, the
13	agenda for this meeting and supporting
14	documentation be sent to senators six days in
15	advance. We did not send you the items on
16	Tuesday, November 3rd due to UK's closure for
17	the federal election. Therefore, in order
18	for us to proceed, the senate should waive
19	Senate Rule 1.2.3 and allow the senate to
20	consider the agenda, et cetera, because this
21	was not sent out six days in advance.
22	So to do this, I would need a motion and
23	a second. It appears that Bob Grossman has
24	raised his hand. Bob, are you making this
25	motion?

1	GROSSMAN:	Yes.	
2	CRAMER:	Jurgen. I have Jurgen's l	nand second.
3	Jurge	ı, are you seconding this m	notion?
4	ROHR:	Yes.	
5	CRAMER:	So we have a motion an	d a second that
6	the se	nate waive Senate Rule 1.2	2.3 to allow
7	consi	eration of the agenda, et o	cetera, for
8	Nove	nber 9th, 2020. I'm going	to go ahead
9	and c	ear the hands because I'm	assuming
10	you'ı	e acting on that motion.	

Is there any debate or discussion on

12	that motion? So this will give us a good
13	test vote just to make sure the panelists
14	are, basically, familiar with the vote. Are
15	people voting yet? I haven't asked for those
16	in favor yet, so I just want to make sure
17	people aren't asking questions. I think
18	you're all voting. So let's try it out.
19	Those voting senators in favor of waiving
20	Senate Rule 1.2.3., please use the "raise
21	hand" feature in the participants window on
22	the lower right. I'm going to look at the
23	chat here in case there's anyone that's
24	having difficulty here. It appears we have
25	almost all of them, but they're still taking

1	up a l	ittle bit.
2		
3	BRION:	You have two attendees who have voted.
4	CRAMER:	Yes. I see that, too. So we have
5	alterr	nating voting rights for certain deans
6	in the	senate. I believe the two deans in
7	quest	ion are not voting members this year.
8	WOOLERY:	That's right.
9	CRAMER:	So it looks like that motion's going to
10	pass.	Do you have the vote count reported
11	for th	nat?

- 12 WOOLERY: Yes.

  13 CRAMER: So I'm going to lower all the hands now.

  14 And, now, senate members who would like to

  15 vote against the motion, please raise your

  16 hand. Do you have that?
- 17 WOOLERY: Yes.
- 18 CRAMER: I'm going to lower the hands again. Any
- 19 voting senator who would like to abstain from
- voting on this motion, please use the "raise
- 21 hand" feature. That motion passes, so we'll
- 22 proceed with our agenda for today.
- 23 So the first item of business is our
- 24 minutes from our last meeting on October
- 25 12th. No changes were received in the Senate

1	Council office by this morning, so unless
2	objections are heard now, the minutes from
3	October 12th, 2020, will stand approved as
4	distributed by unanimous consent. I guess,
5	if you have an objection, raise your hand
6	now. Seeing none, those minutes are
7	approved.
8	In terms of announcements: I was
9	appointed by the President to serve on the
10	Executive Leadership Committee for UK's
11	SACSCOC reaccreditation or reaffirmation site

12	visit. The Executive Committee will meet
13	quarterly. It is scheduled to meet, I think,
14	later this month for the first time. So as
15	things come up that affect us, I will make
16	sure to keep you informed about this.
17	Also, me, some members from the Senate
18	Academic Programs Committee, the
19	Undergraduate Council Chair participated in
20	two recent day-long sessions with Gray
21	Associates. Gray Associates had a contract
22	with CPE to review and evaluate all programs
23	at state universities in the state. UK and U
24	of L petitioned to be evaluated differently
25	than the regional institutions, but we're

1	still, sort of, focused on some external
2	metrics related to job opportunities and so
3	forth. There weren't any metrics to evaluate
4	academic quality associated with this
5	exercise, but it was, essentially, an
6	exercise to look through UK's portfolio of
7	academic programs.
8	So that was done. I don't know, really,
9	what to expect. I mean, UK's going to have
10	to submit a report to CPE related to this
11	exercise, but I don't, at this time, really

12	anticipate changes happening at UK in
13	response to or, let's say drastic changes
14	happening at UK with response to this
15	exercise. If I hear differently, of course,
16	I'll let you know.
17	Senate Council approved continued work
18	on a conversion form. This is something that
19	would be used to create a fully online
20	program or add fully online delivery to an
21	existing program. Upon senate approval, the
22	curriculum and related academic
23	considerations, such programs will be treated
24	administratively as a fully online program.
25	This essentially gives is a vehicle by

1	which the senate can exercise its
2	responsibilities with respect to approving
3	academic programs to whether it's appropriate
4	to deliver a program in a fully online format
5	or not.
6	As a fallout, administratively treating
7	a program as a fully online program will
8	include administrative policies, such as
9	tuition rates and tuition shares, if any. So
10	there will be more information about this
11	when officially approved, but this will be a

12	relatively simple form to allow a program
13	that, say, existed for decades, to become
14	approved for fully online delivery of the
15	program. This would be a degree or
16	certificate program, for example.
17	There was a question at last month's
18	senate meeting about UK's response to
19	President Trump's executive order on
20	training. I think, maybe, Herman Farrell
21	asked a question. I've asked Interim Vice
22	President George Wright, who also spoke with
23	General Counsel Thro on the question. I
24	think this question also came up at the last
25	Board of Trustees meeting.

1	Bil	ll Thro responded that UK, typically,
2	does	not take a position on federal executive
3	orde	rs, so there's no official university
4	posit	ion, but that leadership is reviewing
5	the e	xecutive order and its applicability to
6	any e	existing programs, and the President will
7	decio	de whether to stop or modify any of them.
8	Нє	erman, is there is a question? Your
9	hand	's up.
10	FARRELL:	Yes. Can you hear me?
11	CRAMER:	Yes.

12	FARRELL:	So, yeah, I was curious about that,

13	because Lexington Herald-Leader had an
14	article last week saying that there was some
15	issue about diversity training taking place
16	on our campus. And the way it was portrayed
17	was it said that Jay Blanton said, "It should
18	not have happened." And the characterization
19	of these sessions was that there was
20	segregation taking place. Literally,
21	"segregation." From what I understand,
22	again, I don't know all the facts. The only
23	facts that are in that article come from the
24	people who are complaining about it, coming
25	from United States senators, republicans and

1	the Trump administration, that were critical
2	of exactly this diversity training.
3	And it seemed like Jay Blanton, and
4	maybe the university itself, threw the
5	training sessions under the bus. That's my
6	take on it. I'd like to know more about
7	exactly what happened in those training
8	sessions; what was our response; what was our
9	response within the university
10	administration, especially Kristin Turner,
11	who was head of the RA program, I understand.

12	I'd like to hear from George Wright. I mean,
13	it's a continuing conversation, but I'm
14	actually really stressed about what I saw in
15	that article, because it seemed like it
16	basically said it came out just before the
17	election, but it seemed like it came out and,
18	essentially, just said that the diversity
19	training shouldn't have happened.
20	And the last thing I would end with is:
21	If there were was a temporary, sort of,
22	separation of people of color from people who
23	identified as white, in order to have
24	conversations amongst themselves, I don't
25	think there's any problem with that, as long

1	as it's a temporary situation and an
2	opportunity for people to share their
3	thoughts without race and racism, and then
4	they come back together. These are temporary
5	breakouts, from what I understand.
6	So I just the way the whole thing
7	went down and the way the university very
8	quickly said that'll never happen again, I'm
9	curious why they said that.
10	CRAMER: So I would Herman, your point's well-

taken. The news of the RA training session,

12	that news surfaced some time ago. The
13	response from Jay Blanton that that should
14	not have happened and that there was a
15	federal investigation, that came, actually,
16	after my response from Bill Thro. So I agree
17	that that might beg the next question in the
18	conversation, and so I'm happy to continue to
19	follow up on those questions.
20	Also, we have Senate Council election
21	results. These were announced Friday
22	afternoon. Roger Brown chairs the
23	subcommittee on elections in the Rules and
24	Elections Committee. Senate Council terms

1	Senate Council meets weekly on Mondays from
2	3:00 to 5:00 when we're not here meeting with
3	the full Senate. First, I would greatly
4	express my appreciation for the Senate
5	Council members whose terms are ending.
6	Paco, Gail and Allison have been wonderful to
7	work with as colleagues on Senate Council.
8	Taking over the role that I'm in now during
9	the summer, during this time, has made me
10	I don't know. Maybe every Senate Council
11	Chair very much appreciates the Senate

12	Council members, but I feel like I appreciate
13	them, like, more so. So no challenge to
14	previous Senate Council Chairs, but I
15	definitely have found myself appreciative of
16	the effort that they exhibit on your behalf
17	and all of our behalf, and just sincere
18	appreciation for the Senate Council members
19	whose terms are ending at the end of this
20	calendar year.
21	We have also previous past Chair
22	Bird-Pollan who's correctly noting she was
23	appreciative. I'm not saying I was more
24	appreciative than Jennifer Bird-Pollan, but
25	you know, we'll find out later.

1	What I would also say is everyone who
2	agreed to stand for election, I mean, it's
3	there's no thanks in that, except I'm going
4	to say thanks. Thank you for doing that.
5	It's important that our colleagues in the
6	senate have good representation on Senate
7	Council in order to help organize and spend
8	some deep thought on some of the moments that
9	the senate has to think about in between
10	senate meetings.
11	The three elected candidates whose terms

12	will start on January 1st are Marilyn Duncan
13	from the College of Medicine, Bob Grossman
14	from Arts & Sciences, and Shannon Oltmann
15	from C&I. And so congratulations to you all.
16	I'm very much looking forward to working with
17	you. Remember, their terms start on January
18	1st, and those will last for three years.
19	A reminder: Again, I've seen this slide
20	at every senate meeting, I think, since I've
21	became a senator. But recall, if you're
22	aware, of curricular proposals that people
23	intend to have read for Fall 2021, that these
24	have to be received in the Senate Council
25	office by these dates in order to have,

1	really, a chance of being effective for Fall
2	2021. Of course, it's possible if they come
3	to us by then that they still there'll be
4	some issue that's uncovered during curricular
5	review or maybe they don't make it for some
6	reason. But to have, really, a chance at
7	being an effective change for Fall 2021, they
8	have to be received by the Senate Council
9	office by that time.
10	Of course, we keep working on them and
11	we try to move things forward as we get them

12	through, but these really give you a sense of
13	what timing's required to have a chance for,
14	say, a new program or some other type of
15	change to come online in the fall.
16	So that's the end of my announcements.
17	In terms of Chair's Report, recall that the
18	Senate Rules give the chair and the Senate
19	Council authority to take some actions on
20	behalf of the senate, as long as they're
21	reported to the senate. So Senate Council
22	approved on October 19th, nonstandard course
23	calendars for some DPT courses for Spring
24	2021. This had to do with ensuring there was
25	sufficient time for certain clinical parts of

1	those courses to occur.
2	Also approved by Senate Council on
3	October 19th, course load restrictions for
4	the winter intersession. Traditionally, the
5	winter intersession student load has been
6	restricted to three credit hours. Senate
7	Council has allowed that to be up to six
8	hours with the approval of the student's dean
9	on a case by case basis. This is in
10	recognition that have a quite a bit longer
11	winter intersession this year, and it might

12	be possible for students, with good advising
13	or based on the capabilities of the student,
14	to take up to six credit hours during that
15	time successfully.
16	The Senate Council also discussed a new
17	university holiday of Juneteenth established
18	as an academic holiday. So Senate Council
19	asked SREC to resolve the issue of an
20	academic holiday versus a university holiday.
21	And if there's any language changes in the
22	Senate Rules that have to happen to make this
23	happen, these will come to senate for a vote
24	prior to codification.
25	Also discussing the recent, relatively

1	recent administrative reorganization and the
2	effect this has on the Senate Rules. There
3	are many senate ex officio members, formerly
4	in the Provost's Office, but now under the
5	President. Updating the titles is not
6	necessarily a solution, so it's important to,
7	like, decide that, you know, if the point was
8	to provide Provost-level input or not. So I
9	talked to the President about the timing for
10	making these changes and changes to the
11	President's direct reports or to be approved

12	by the Board of Trustees. So we're going to
13	wait until that action happens to start that
14	work on updating the SRs.
15	Senate Council also heard an update from
16	Associate Provost of Teaching, Learning, and
17	Academic Innovation, Kathi Kern, on the
18	summer "badge" pilot. This was comprised of
19	two linked courses. These were identified by
20	faulty to provide digital credentials,
21	essentially, that establishes that at the
22	time the student earned the credential, these
23	could be, like, posted to a LinkedIn profile,
24	for example, for students prior to completion
25	of a certificate or degree, so these are

1	separate than degree requirements. People
2	style these micro credential.
3	122 badges were earned over the summer.
4	You can see this page here for more
5	information. Senate Council wanted to hear
6	how this had gone, and was generally
7	supportive of a continued pilot that shared
8	Associate Provost Kern's concerns about a
9	need for more overall faculty oversight,
10	perhaps a little bit better definition of
11	what we mean by a badge, a little bit more

12 durable definition there.

13 And Kathi Kern was looking to see if 14 there were people that were interested in 15 moving forward in that direction. If that 16 happens, I'll definitely include some 17 senators. So if you're interested in that, 18 definitely email Sheila Brothers and express 19 your interest in that. We'll make sure that 20 as that type of group's put together, that 21 you're considered. 22 I also sent you an email last week 23 asking for your input on work-life 24 considerations during this pandemic time. 25 This came from the Provost's request. I

1	think I asked for responses by tomorrow.
2	These take, maybe, like five minutes to do.
3	I think we went through and looked at some of
4	the surveys that were completed. It takes
5	people about five minutes to do. I mean,
6	read through the report that I sent out with
7	it, but that'll take, maybe, another couple
8	minutes. But going through and indicating
9	the relative importance of these, you know,
10	doesn't take long, but it does give us, sort
11	of, a strong ability to say, hey, this is

12	what people see in their colleagues. This is
13	what's needed. So, please, complete this by
14	tomorrow, if you can. It doesn't take very
15	long, but it does support shared governance
16	here at UK.
17	Also, Senate Council received a request
18	to approve university calendars and
19	professional college calendars and tentative
20	calendars into the future, but we had some
21	questions about the application of SR
22	language on Dead Week and certain terms in
23	those calendars. And so Senate Council did
24	approve it's on a transmittal the Fall
25	2021 calendar, but the remainder are

1	returning to Senate Council for another look
2	soon.
3	Finally, Senate Council saw the results
4	of the 2019-2020 faculty evaluation of the
5	President. This was presented to Senate
6	Council. The results are available here.
7	You can see on the Senate's website. You can
8	see the slides that were presented from that
9	activity.
10	So that concludes my report. I don't
11	know is the Provost here? Okay if the

12 the Provost is here, so the Provost does not 13 have a report. Vice Chair Collett, do you 14 have a report? 15 COLLETT: I do not have a report today. 16 CRAMER: Doug, do you have a report? Doug, I 17 think you said you have no report? Our 18 parliamentarian has no report. 19 Trustees Blonder and Swanson, do you 20 have a report today? 21 **BLONDER:** Yes, a short report. So, as many of you 22 probably know, we had a retreat on October 23 15th and 16th, except this year, because it 24 was a Zoom webinar, we didn't actually have a

retreat. We had a series of meetings. And

25

1	so we had an Academic and Student Affairs
2	meeting, Health Care, Finance, Executive
3	Committee, and then the main board meeting.
4	There's PowerPoints, and the agenda items are
5	on the board website if anybody wants to get
6	into the details. I think, I'm not sure, but
7	I think that the December meeting will also
8	be a Zoom webinar if anybody is interested in
9	watching it.
10	So one of the significant things that
11	happened is we did our elections. So we

12	elected Bob Vance to continue to be the Board
13	Chair. Kim McCann is the Vice Chair. Rachel
14	Webb was elected Secretary of the Board, and
15	Bill Thro, Assistant Secretary.
16	These people, the officers, are on the
17	Executive Committee, but then there's at-
18	large Executive Committee members who we
19	elected, and those three people are: Skip
20	Berry, Cathy Black and Derrick Ramsey.
21	We had presentations in Academic and
22	Student Affairs by the Provost and by the
23	Student Government head, and we also talked
24	about the strategic plan during the board
25	meeting. Other than that, the only other

1	thing I wanted to mention well, I wanted
2	to mention that we're in the process of doing
3	the President's evaluation. So Aaron Cramer
4	gave an excellent presentation at the
5	Executive Committee meeting about the results
6	of the faculty survey of the President that
7	he just mentioned that's posted.
8	And we have that, plus other
9	information. There was another survey that
10	was completed that's actually posted on the
11	board website where people were interviewed

12	and they rated the President. So we have
13	this information and the President's self-
14	evaluation, and the board members are going
15	to turn in their own evaluations by November
16	20th. And then this will be discussed and
17	reviewed at the December meeting.
18	The only thing I wanted to mention is
19	that several of us have been attending via
20	Zoom because of all meetings, constable
21	meetings and the coalition of faculty and
22	senate leaders across the state, of the
23	public universities. This is an organization
24	that's been around for a few decades, I
25	think. And Aaron Cramer, Hollie and I, Davy

1	Jones and Michael Kennedy have been attending
2	the last two meetings, which have been
3	monthly. We had a meeting, I think, last
4	week on Friday where we had the head of the
5	CPE, Erin Thompson, speak with us. And we
6	had questions about what Aaron Cramer
7	mentioned with respect to Gray Associates.
8	So I think what's going to happen is the
9	constable group is going to meet with a
10	representative from Gray Associates, and
11	we're having another meeting in December. So

12	this has become an active organization.
13	So I think that's all I wanted to
14	report. Hollie, do you have other things to
15	mention?
16	SWANSON: The only thing I wanted to mentioned is
17	I had the orientation for the Investment
18	Committee and looking at the endowments. And
19	I just wanted to remind people that this was
20	led by Todd Shupp, who is the Chief
21	Investment Officer at UK. And one thing I
22	learned when I asked about the extent to
23	which we invest in green technology and
24	decrease our reliance on fossil fuels is that
25	he's working with a student group called

1	"Green Thumb," so I thought maybe the faculty
2	would be interested in those activities.
3	CRAMER: Jennifer Bird-Pollan.
4	BIRD-POLLAN: So I was wondering if our trustees could
5	tell us whether the faculty trustees are
6	eligible to run for board positions, for the
7	leadership positions, and if so, if any
8	faculty trustee has ever served in any of
9	these roles? Because I seems to me like we
10	have some excellent trustees and I would love
11	to see them take on some of those leadership

- 12 roles.
- 13 BLONDER: Well, thank you very much, Jennifer.
- 14 Sheila Brothers was actually the board's
- secretary when she was staff trustee, which
- is quite prestigious. As far as -- we are
- 17 eligible, but I don't think there's been a
- 18 faculty trustee who's chaired a committee
- 19 for, maybe, 20 years. Davy, I think you've
- done some work on this. But, yeah, we're
- eligible, but you know, so far it hasn't
- happened in a while.
- 23 JONES: There was an occasion back at the
- 24 interface of Charles Wethington-Lee Todd era
- in which for a meeting, Faculty Trustee Loys

Mather was actually the chair of the board's

2	Executi	ve Committee. So there is a
3	precede	ent.
4	CRAMER:	So, next year, I'll faculty trustees
5	will sta	rt a block to try to win support
6	among	the board members.
7	SWANSON:	Is that our challenge, Dr. Bird-Pollan?
8	BIRD-POLLAN:	Indeed. I would love to see it.
9	CRAMER:	Jennifer's correct. Our board
LO	represo	entation is very effective, so I
l1	believe	e that despite the gauntlet having bee

12	thrown down, I think if anyone can do it,
13	they can. Bob?
14	GROSSMAN: Yeah. I was just going to mention the
15	way the elections work is the Nominating
16	Committee comes up with a invites
17	nominations, but then comes up with a slate
18	that everyone either votes up or down. And
19	it's always been a mystery to me exactly how
20	the Nomination Committee works. But I think
21	if there was ambition to serve as chairs of
22	committees or on the Executive Committee,
23	that would be the place to go.
24	BLONDER: I don't know if you saw the election
25	this year, Bob, but this year we had

1	elections from the floor during the meeting,
2	and that, I don't believe, has happened since
3	the '90s.
4	GROSSMAN: Oh, well, maybe the procedures change
5	CRAMER: It was interesting to watch them count.
6	I was in the room over in the Senate Chamber
7	over in the student center and watched them
8	very carefully, made sure they got all the
9	votes counting and checked it twice. So it
10	was a free and fair election, I would say,
11	but that's right. There was a nomination

12	from the floor, a couple nominations from the
13	floor for the board Executive Committee.
14	SWANSON: You know, some of our question would be
15	what are the criteria by which these people
16	are chosen to chair these committees?
17	CRAMER: So we'll entrust our faculty trustees to
18	look into these items.
19	We'll move on to our first committee
20	report. Our first committee report's going
21	to be given by the chair of our Retroactive
22	Withdraw Appeals Committee, Jim Donovan, who
23	I think I saw on here. Give me one second to
24	look through these lists to make sure he's
25	not here. So unless I hear objection now,

1	I'm go	ing to skip this item and come back to
2	it if Jin	n joins us. If you have an
3	object	ion, waive your hand, but otherwise,
4	we'll n	nove on to our next item for the time
5	being	and come back to this one later in the
6	agend	a.
7	MICHAEL:	That's actually the appropriate use of
8	tabling	g an item.
9	CRAMER:	So let's see. We should but I can
10	still d	o it without objection; right, Doug?
11	Doug	did I lose you?

12	Well, still, without objection, we will
13	move on, and if we see Jim rejoin us I
14	think we saw him earlier, but maybe he
15	dropped off. If Jim rejoins us, we'll come
16	back to that item.
17	So the next item of business is a
18	proposal that went through the Academic
19	Organization and Structures Committee. Zack
20	Bray is the chair of that committee. I know
21	I saw Zack.
22	BRAY: I'm here.
23	CRAMER: Perfect. So, Zack, do you want to go
24	through this proposal?
25	BRAY: Sure. I'm happy to do it. And I'm not

1	sure if Karen Badger is here now. I was		
2	looking for her while we were hunting for		
3	Jim. And, Karen, I don't know if if I do		
4	hear you in the next 30 seconds and I don't		
5	see you		
6	CRAMER: Zack, she is here.		
7	BRAY: Oh, is she? Great. I thought I saw her		
8	at the beginning. So Karen can also, of		
9	course, answer any substantive questions.		
10	And, Karen, just please talk over me at any		
11	point when you'd like. I think we're going		

12	to be back in front of you all, this
13	committee, I mean at greater length later on,
14	so I mean, I'm happy to talk about this more.
15	But it was straight forward. The vote
16	was unanimous. And I think, with everybody
17	weighing in in the committee, the proposal is
18	to transfer the educational unit Center for
19	Muscle Biology from the College of Medicine
20	to the College of Health Sciences, aligning
21	this educational unit with its resources,
22	academic home of the faculty leadership.
23	Multiple people on the committee said this is
24	one of the easy ones. Everybody seems
25	totally happy, and that's what I have to

1	report from our committee.
2	CRAMER: And just a reminder: This is a transfer
3	of a center. This is an endorsement action
4	by the senate to endorse the administrative
5	structural change to move this center from
6	the College of Medicine to the College of
7	Health Sciences.
8	Are there any questions for either the
9	committee chair or the proposer on this
10	proposal? Not seeing any questions, there's
11	a motion from the committee that the

12	University Senate endorse the transfer of the
13	Center for Muscle Biology from the College of
14	Medicine to the College of Health Sciences.
15	Is there any debate on this motion?
16	Seeing none, voting senators, if you
17	wish to vote in favor of this motion, please
18	use the "raise hand" feature now. I'm going
19	to lower the hands now.
20	Voting senators, if you'd like to vote
21	against the motion, please use the raise hand
22	feature now.
23	And, now, if you'd like to abstain on
24	this proposal, please use the "raise hand"
25	feature now. Keep your hands up for a moment

1	to give Stephanie a chance to get them.		
2	The motion passes. Thank you, Zack.		
3	BRAY: Thank you.		
4	BADGER: Thank you.		
5	CRAMER: One second. Jennifer, let me make sure		
6	we haven't got Jim back. I guess I can ask		
7	you guys to pay attention to see if Jim comes		
8	back.		
9	So the next item, then, is a item from		
10	the Admissions and Academic Standards		
11	Committee. Jennifer Bird-Pollan is the		

12	chair. Jennifer, do you want to describe
13	this proposal?
14	BIRD-POLLAN: Sure, although I also want to
15	acknowledge the total dominance of the
16	College of Law in this portion of the
17	meeting. Had our friend and colleague, Jim
18	Donovan, had actually shown up, we would be -
19	- no, really. But anyway, then I was
20	actually going to talk about the dominance of
21	Jennifers with respect to this proposal,
22	Jennifer Siebenthaler from Gatton College of
23	Business and Economics is the proposer here.
24	And Jennifer Osterhage on our committee also
25	led the review. So it brought me back to my

1	third grade classroom where there were five
2	of us in the class.
3	So this is the proposal from the Gatton
4	College of Business and Economics for direct
5	admission to the college. So the university
6	is losing out on several very promising high
7	school seniors who are dissuaded from joining
8	our freshmen cohort because they have to wait
9	to apply for standing in the college until
10	after they've progressed through the pre-
11	major requirements.

12	So this proposal would allow very
13	promising high school seniors to be admitted
14	directly to the college. The specific
15	standards will be published annually by April
16	1st. And it won't change the prerequisites
17	for any particular course, so students would
18	still have to take, to satisfy prerequisites
19	with respect to courses that they take in the
20	upper division, that the folks in Gatton
21	believe this will make them much more
22	competitive in recruiting high-quality
23	students. So our committee unanimously
24	approved it and it was unanimously approved
25	by the Senate Council as well. I think

1	Jennifer Siebenthaler is also here today to		
2	answer questions.		
3	CRAMER: So if anyone has questions for Jennifer		
4	Siebenthaler about the proposal? I'm sitting		
5	here looking at my screen and all I see is		
6	law professors on my participant window.		
7	Richard.		
8	CHARNIGO: Just for clarification: In the text that		
9	we received in the PDF document, should it be		
10	calendar year or academic year when we're		
11	talking about April 1st and the next		

12	freshmen? In other words, is it 4 months or
13	16 months leave time?
14	BIRD-POLLAN: I think the answer is that that should
15	say the following academic year, but I think
16	that's a very good catch. Thank you,
17	Richard, but if Jennifer Siebenthaler's here,
18	maybe I'll ask her to weigh in on that.
19	SIEBENTHALER: Yes. I think it is actually calendar
20	year because of the timing of the application
21	process. So, for example, April of 2021, the
22	decision would be made and it would be for
23	the cohort entering Fall of '22.
24	BIRD-POLLAN: That's right, because the applications
25	would be mostly done by April of '21 for the

1	Fall of '2	21.
2	CRAMER:	Any other questions for either our
3	commit	tee chair or the proposer? Herman.
4	FARRELL:	Yeah. Just one point of information.
5	Jennifer	, and maybe Sheila can help out with
6	this. I w	vas looking at the previous Senate
7	Rule tha	nt's being changed, and it was listed,
8	I think, a	as 4.3.1 when it's really 10.3.1.9.
9	Am I rigi	ht about that, or is
10	BIRD-POLLAN:	No. The rules have just changed. So

this proposal is over a year old. It's been

12	it came through Gatton in the winter of
13	2019. And just in August, the rules and the
14	ordering of the rules were updated, and this
15	particular rule was change. So in its
16	updated version it would have to be it
17	would go into Section 10.
18	CRAMER: So the updated version of the rules was
19	posted last month, and that's right, part of
20	it was to move programs, specific information
21	like this, into a later section.
22	FARRELL: And then my question is about the review
23	going through the Senate Council and through
24	your committee. Jennifer, I'm curious to
25	know if there was any conversation about the

1	two pathways that are now going to be part of
2	the system at Gatton? I don't even know if
3	there is a problem with this, but is there
4	potential for, you know, disparate treatment
5	between students who come in the pathway
6	that's available now, and then this new
7	pathway of direct admissions. I just want to
8	know what the impact is on the students when
9	there's a very way for them to make their way
10	in to the majors. I think it's a very fair
11	proposal in that it does give those students

12	who come in, who would've come in under the
13	old pathway, to move up into this other
14	opportunity. But I'm just curious to know
15	what the conversation was like, even at the
16	college level, about maybe some sort of
17	disparate treatment among students.
18	BIRD-POLLAN: I want to let Jennifer S. talk about the
19	college a little, because she did, in the
20	Senate Council meeting, talk about of the
21	people at the college level had not
22	necessarily voted in support of it. It was a
23	small number, but there were a few, and those
24	objections tended to at least from what we
25	heard, tended to be along the lines that

1	you're raising, concerns about disparate
2	treatment, and also concerns about whether
3	this would affect the diversity of the
4	students in the program. At the committee
5	level and at the Senate Council conversation
6	we did ask we talked a little bit about
7	the impact that this would have on current
8	students, the impact that this would have on
9	current students, the impact it would have on
10	students who did not enter through this, sort
11	of, more direct pathway. And because the, I

12	guess, indirect pathway still exists, and
13	because Jennifer Siebenthaler confirmed the
14	commitment of the college to sufficient seats
15	in the upper level classes to allow students
16	who are taking the more traditional path to
17	advance through the program the way that they
18	needed to. We thought that there wouldn't be
19	the sort of problems for those students that
20	we might otherwise have worried about. But
21	if Jennifer Siebenthaler's here, she might
22	talk a little bit about the conversation they
23	had inside the college.
24	SIEBENTHALER: So one of the things that was brought up
25	was concern over a difference between what

1	this might do as far as diversity, first-gen
2	students, Pell grant students, for example,
3	as well. And so we did spend quite a bit of
4	time looking at the impact for the
5	demographics in the college.
6	So, really, the only thin we could look
7	at was if we did a look-back to see the last
8	five years, if we selected a certain measure,
9	what would that composition look like? And
10	that is something that we would continue to
11	look at to ensure the composition is

12 consistent, that it's not heavily weighted, 13 and that it would be different than our 14 overall compensations. And it was not 15 difficult to get that with some reasonable 16 measures. 17 The intent is not to -- I guess what I 18 should say is this is an opportunity for some 19 of our more motivated younger students. It's 20 certainly something that would attract them 21 to our college, whereas they may not have 22 been interested. They may choose IU. IU has 23 a direct admit over us simply because of that 24 structure. But it's important to us that we

keep the other pathway open in order for

25

1	students to get a chance, to always have a
2	chance to get into upper division, even if
3	they did not come in under direct admit, or
4	if they transfer from another university, or
5	even from another college. We always want to
6	have that path open and available for them.
7	FERRELL: So I guess I have one more question,
8	then. So the folks who are currently in
9	you know, the currently enrolled students who
10	have come in who are going to be sophomores
11	next year, how is that going to impact them

12	when direct admit or the freshmen now who
13	will be sophomores next year when direct
14	admits would already go forward. And then
15	this one class would be in a position of kind
16	of being behind the classes, now put ahead of
17	them and sorry if I'm not being very
18	articulate, but you follow what I'm saying?
19	SIEBENTHALER: Yes. The sequencing of the courses
20	remains the same for these students, so they
21	are not skipping any step, other than the
22	application step into upper division. So
23	
	they still must take the same sequencing of
24	they still must take the same sequencing of courses. They do not get a priority

1	some you know, for Honors, for example,
2	athletes, that sort of thing. So the only
3	exemption these students are getting is the
4	exemption to have to actually apply for that
5	upper division status. But they still have
6	to do the prerequisite coursework before they
7	can take those upper division courses.
8	CRAMER: I'm going to go to Alan Brown. Dean
9	Cox, you had your hand up, but then we lost
10	you. So if you still have a question, raise
11	your hand again. Alan Brown.

12	BROWN: Yeah, thanks, Aaron. Just a quick
13	question: I want to make sure I understand
14	correctly on the April deadline. So we're
15	talking about juniors, right? We're talking
16	about kids that 16 years old in high school.
17	I just wanted to make sure I understood that.
18	So we're talking about students who haven't
19	finished their junior year in high school. I
20	guess that's my first question. I just
21	wanted to make sure I understood the
22	timeline.
23	BIRD-POLLAN: The college is committing to publishing
24	the standards for this direct admission by
25	April, which means that as they're

1	approaching their senior year in high school,
2	they will know what the standards for direct
3	admission are as they put their applications
4	in in the fall of their senior year.
5	BROWN: Oh, I see. So what I misunderstood was
6	you're just talking about the publication of
7	the standards versus okay. That makes a
8	big difference. I was, like, wow, you're
9	excepting people April of their junior year,
10	and that's not the case. Thank you.

Any further questions for the committee

11

CRAMER:

12	chair or proposer? Then we have a motion
13	from the committee that the senate approve
14	the proposed changes to the Senate Rules
15	regarding direct admissions for Gatton
16	College of Business and Economics.
17	Is there any debate on this motion?
18	Seeing none, voting senators, use the "raise
19	hand" feature to vote yes on the motion. I'm
20	going to lower the hands.
21	Senators wanting to vote no on the
22	motion, use the "raise hand" feature.
23	And now voting senators who would like
24	to abstain on the motion.
25	The motion passes. Thank you, Jennifer

1	and Jennifer. All right. Jim's here with
2	us, so we'll go back to the report on the
3	Retroactive Withdrawal Appeals Committee.
4	Jim? I thought I saw him. There you are.
5	Jim Donovan, are you ready to give the report
6	from the Retroactive Withdrawals Appeals
7	Committee?
8	DONOVAN: I'm sorry. I was talking to myself.
9	So, yes, the earlier tallies the tallies
10	from the last year have been circulated. So
11	although there's a slight drop-off from the

12	record high of last year, they're still quite
13	high, enough to justify moving forward with
14	our plan, changes to our procedures to
15	streamline the workflow. We're confident
16	that at some point those will come out of
17	committee and we'll be able to implement
18	those.
19	Beyond that, what I'd like to point out
20	is that the basis of the approved appeals are
21	broken up in the record as well. So although
22	the administrative reasons are not
23	overwhelming, they are consistent. And,
24	usually, what they are is that a student has
25	tried to withdraw in a timely way online, and

1	they're not allowed to completely withdraw
2	online. But they go online and they do it,
3	and not having done it, I don't know exactly
4	what signaling is given to them that they
5	have not yet completely withdrawn, but they
6	think that they have until they get, you
7	know, the failing grade report. So because
8	they've done what they thought was the right
9	thing to do, we always approve those. So we
10	have suggested that somehow better
11	communication be given to the students, that

12	they have not completely withdrawn when they
13	go online so that this is, you know, not the
14	problem that it has been for many of our
15	students.
16	Other than that, work continues at pace
17	and I'll happily take any questions.
18	CRAMER: Do we have questions for our committee
19	chair, Jim? Specifically, this question of,
20	you know, students have dropped all their
21	courses but don't actually drop the last one.
22	I think that was something the registrar
23	suggested, that she was able to run reporting
24	to note such students. And so, hopefully,
25	we'll be able to address that particular type

1	of administrative error shortly. Any other
2	questions for Jim Donovan?
3	Well, we certainly appreciate Jim's
4	continued service and the service of his
5	committee members as well.
6	DONOVAN: Thank you.
7	CRAMER: Thank you, Jim.
8	The next item on our agenda is a report
9	from the Dean of Libraries, Doug Way, on the
10	state of the libraries. Doug, are you
11	prepared to

12	WAY:	Yes, I am, and I have some slides to
13		share.
14	CRAME	R: I think you should now have permission,
15		so
16	WAY:	Thanks. Well, thank you, Aaron, for the
17		opportunity just to come in and talk a little
18		bit about the libraries budget, and I
19		especially want to spend most of the time
20		talking about the collections budget since we
21		know this is a universal resource that
22		supports, you know, teaching and research
23		across the university.
24		So just to dive right in, the libraries
25		budget overview this past spring, the

1	libraries, like everyone across campus, had
2	budget reductions. Our reduction was about
3	11 1/2 percent. And we spread purposely
4	across personnel, operations and collections.
5	So in personnel, we ended up eliminating 15
6	open positions. Operations, we cut our
7	operating budget by 50 percent, and then
8	collections I'll sort of dive into that,
9	which we also saw significant reductions.
10	A couple challenges we faced as we
11	worked on the budget: One was we had an

12	internal structural deficit with Provost's
13	Office where it is taking money from open
14	personnel lines and used those to support
15	increases to the collections budget, and
16	those open lines were eliminated. We had a
17	deficit we had to close, which sort of
18	exacerbated our challenges.
19	Then, on top of that, as you may be
20	aware, UK's libraries have significant
21	endowments. And in March, we were told to
22	budget for a decrease in endowment revenue.
23	Now, whether we'll see that in the end or
24	not, we're still budgeting, but, basically,
25	it's what the university is telling us to do,

1	although, certainly, as we're all aware, the
2	stock markets seem somehow detached from
3	reality, so we'll see whether that actually
4	ends up being the case in the long run
5	In terms of collections impact, we're
6	looking at about a \$2.2 million reduction to
7	the collections budget. It's about a 20
8	percent reduction. About \$1.8 million of
9	that was straight reduction, and of that,
10	about half of that was a budget reduction and
11	the other half was having to close that

- 12 structural deficit we faced.
- 13 And then we're also looking at about a
- \$400,000 decrease in endowment revenue.
- We're going to be spreading those reductions
- over three fiscal years, using some reserves
- to, sort of, feather those more over time.
- 18 It would be very challenging to make those
- cuts all at once, and we want to gather more
- input as we make those cuts over time.
- 21 For this fiscal year we're looking at
- about \$957,000 in journal cancellations.
- That's about 600 titles. And we're looking
- 24 at about a 15 percent reduction in book
- 25 expenditures. I say "books," meaning one-

1	time purchases. So that'll be books, scores,
2	DVDs, things like those types of things.
3	In terms of the next two fiscal years
4	we're looking at about almost another
5	million, 958,000 in additional journal and
6	database cancellations, as well as likely
7	additional reductions and expenditures on
8	books and other one-time purchases. Again,
9	if endowment revenue comes back higher than
10	we're budgeting for, those will certainly go
11	a long ways toward lessening the blow of

12 these reductions, although they are, again, 13 very large. 14 Just for a little bit of extra context 15 here, I just have a couple charts here that 16 provides some peer comparisons. On the left 17 we have SEC institutions and on the right are 18 the institutional benchmarks. We the 19 institution name, the HERD ranking, that 20 research expenditure ranking, and then the 21 library collection expenditure. So if you 22 look at the SEC schools, you can see we are 23 about -- pretty close to average in terms of 24 expenditures. If we look at that fiscal year 25 '21 estimate, you can then see that right now

1	we'll be about \$3 million below. That'll be
2	not quite as low of a drop because we're
3	going to use some one-time funds to continue
4	to make some additional acquisitions to,
5	again, lessen the blow of those.
6	But we end up about \$3 million below
7	average. If you look at that third ranking,
8	you can see we're falling between Ole Miss
9	and Auburn. It's research expenditures. I
10	would not consider them to be a PR
11	institution in that way.

12	If you look on the right side, the
13	institutional benchmarks, you can see, to
14	begin with we were about \$4 million below the
15	average for our institutional benchmarks. We
16	moved to second-to-last, and we're about \$6
17	million below average for our institutional
18	benchmarks. You can see we're above UC
19	Davis. I should point out: In the UC
20	systems, the Office of the President does a
21	lot of central purchasing that doesn't
22	necessarily show up in that institutions
23	collections expenditures, things that are
24	spent for Davis and UCLA and all the UC
25	schools together.

1	Some additional opportunities and
2	challenges we've been looking at here, a
3	couple things: We've been reallocating funds
4	to further develop more diverse collections,
5	specifically investing in African American
6	and Africana studies, as well as gender
7	women's studies. I think it's important that
8	we continue to reallocate dollars into those
9	areas to increase our spending in those
10	areas. We're also going to reallocate funds
11	to support online programs, primarily by

12 acquiring additional electronic books.

13 In terms of challenges, inflation for 14 library resources continues to be a huge 15 issue. You know, one of the things we need 16 to think about in academe is thinking about 17 the library collection budget, really, like a 18 utility. Our Microsoft license goes up every 19 year. That cost goes up every year what we 20 pay to Microsoft. The cost of natural gas 21 goes up every year. The cost of electricity 22 goes up every ear. We need to account for 23 the increase to library resources there. We 24 don't need to be spending 6 and 7 percent

increases on that, but even if we were

1	benchmarking through the CPI, that would put
2	us in a far better position than we're in
3	today.
4	The other challenge we have is, really,
5	growth and research in academic programs at
6	the university. So we all are aware of that
7	growth in the research expenditures over the
8	last seven, eight years. We have not seen a
9	corresponding increase in investing on
10	library collections during that same time.
11	And with academic programs, again, as we come

12	up with new academic programs, we are
13	generally not seeing new dollars come to the
14	libraries to support new resources for those
15	collections.
16	And so what happens then is a new
17	program comes on board, and either we're not
18	going to be collecting in that area, or we
19	have to reallocate resources away from a
20	specific area to support a new area there.
21	So I think that certainly creates some
22	challenges there. And I'll be the first to
23	acknowledge it. These are all zero-sum
24	games. I am not aware of anyone sitting on a
25	giant pile of cash that they're waiting to

1	give to the library for when ask. So it is
2	taking from giving money to the library
3	means taking away from something else that is
4	also very important, very valued.
5	In terms of short and medium-term steps,
6	certainly, we are actively looking and
7	working with publishers as we try to
8	renegotiate agreements, and we've had some
9	success. I'll use one example: SAGE; we went
10	to SAGE and said, we need to spend less money
11	and we want to keep the same amount of

12	content we've had in the past. And SAGE
13	actually said, okay, we'll lower your price
14	and we're going to give you more content.
15	We've gone others, Springer Nature as an
16	example. We went to Spring Nature and said
17	the same thing. And what they said, and this
18	is a quote, "When it comes to pricing, there
19	are winners and losers in the library
20	marketplace." And, essentially, that puts us
21	in the, sort of, losers category here.
22	We then told Springer if you're not
23	going to lower our costs, we're going to have
24	to cancel titles, and we had to go ahead and
25	cancel it. And then they raised our prices

1	of other titles through those cancellations,
2	which then led us to have to cancel
3	additional titles on top of that. This is
4	sort of the way this library marketplace
5	works and these publishers work.
6	So that's a challenge we're faced with.
7	We continue to leverage resource sharing
8	networks. I'm sure all of you have taken
9	advantage of interlibrary loan. It works
10	wonderfully. We have a great network across
11	the Southeast and across the rest of the

12	country. But we also know there's
13	opportunity costs. You may have to way a day
14	or two days to get your hands on an article.
15	That's just an opportunity cost to me. It
16	filled the form.
17	We are trying to continue to facilitate
18	access to open versions of content, but we
19	need to be clear that, really, open access if
20	really about equity and access. It's really
21	about equity here. It is not about saving
22	dollars there. Open access is not saving us
23	money. It's really about providing access to
24	resources to people across the country and
25	around the world that may not have the

1	ability to pay for access to those materials.
2	And we'll continue to work with faculty
3	on cancellations, communicating on
4	cancellations. We already did a little bit
5	in the spring, but not the way we'd want to.
6	These cuts came in really late, as you're all
7	aware, in the year, and we had to make
8	choices pretty quickly in what we needed to
9	move away from. We will continue to work
10	with you, though, as we go over the next two
11	years, looking at additional cancellations to

make sure you're able to provide input. 13 And then if we look at the long term, again, we need to think about what types of 14 15 resources we need to be able to make 16 available, and you know, what types of 17 choices as an institution are we making in 18 terms of what types of library collections we 19 want to have or where do we want to be. 20 We've changed a lot from where we were 20 21 years ago when we had a Top 20 public 22 research university and library collection 23 budget to where we're at today, which is a 24 different point in time. We're in the 70s or

so, and again, sort of ranking between Auburn

12

1	and Ole	Miss.
2	So th	ose are my comments. I tried to
3	keep it	to ten minutes. I came pretty close,
4	Aaron, o	on that. Here's my contact
5	informa	tion. If there's time for questions,
6	l'd be h	appy to answer them. I'd also
7	encoura	ige you just to reach out to me, or
8	Janet Ca	arver, who's also from the Libraries
9	on Sena	te.
10	CRAMER:	Thank you, Dean Way. I think we have

some time for some questions. Jennifer Bird-

- 13 BIRD-POLLAN: Well, there's two of us in the --
- 14 CRAMER: Right.
- 15 BIRD-POLLAN: So, Dean Way, I really appreciate this
- presentation, and I have to take this
- opportunity to ask you about that I have been
- really talking a lot about with people
- recently, which is: It strikes me that this
- is the perfect moment to ask the Investment
- 21 Committee to reconsider the spending policy
- 22 on the endowment. So you noted that the
- payouts of the endowments are lower right
- 24 now, as we all know, but also, that you have
- 25 fixed costs associated with running the

1		library. I really like your analogy to the
2		utility. I wondered whether you've
3		considered seeking out higher payouts on the
4		endowment, potentially even paying out from
5		the principle of the endowment, which I think
6		there is a strong argument for in this
7		climate.
8	WAY:	So we have had regular conversations
9		about this, I will say. So you may be aware,
10		most of the libraries' endowments are from
11		the Young endowments, thrown from Mr. Young

12	and money he was able to help raise. There
13	continues to be a Young Endowment Committee
14	that has considerable influence on payouts,
15	and it sort of sets what those payouts are.
16	And we continue to value our relationship
17	with the Youngs and the Young Endowment
18	Committee.
19	And so we try to respect their feedback
20	and input on those, and try to talk about,
21	sort of, the importance of increasing that
22	payout with that committee there. So it's a
23	little bit of a unique situation. There are,
24	perhaps, other endowments there. I think if
25	they I'll just leave it at that, so

1	CRAIVIER: Su	san Barron.
2	BARRON: Hi	. I understand how difficult all this
3	is, and I gu	ess, I didn't really realize
4	that, like, 6	600 journal subscriptions haven't
5	been rene	wed. So for students and for
6	faculty, tha	at may be a big deal. I guess I
7	have a cou	ple questions about that; one is:
8	Are studer	its and for us. Does that mean
9	we just go	interlibrary loan more? Is that
10	still availa	ble? And then the second: I
11	guess a lit	tle bit more about how it's

12		decided what types of journals are canceled
13		in terms of the subscriptions?
14	WAY:	So, certainly, yes, you'll be able to
15		rely on your library alone, and you'll start
16		to go to interlibrary more often for those.
17		One of the challenging things we have when we
18		talk about these cancellations: It's a delay.
19		So these cancellations will be effective
20		January 1st, and then probably March, an
21		issue will come out of a journal, and some of
22		you will say I couldn't find that. That
23		wasn't available, and then go through
24		interlibrary loan. We're only seeing three
25		or four issues come out a year on journal.

1	It may be a couple years before you actually
2	start to see the impact, depending on what
3	discipline you're in.
4	If you're in medicine, you're going to
5	see it a lot earlier. If you are in history,
6	it might be a little bit of a longer delay
7	before you start to notice some of those
8	impacts on that access there. But it takes a
9	few years before you really start to see that
10	because of the, sort of, delayed nature and
11	this trickle of articles in that way. But

12	interlibrary loan will still be available. We
13	will still continue to fill those articles.
14	We have no doubt if you need access to an
15	article or to a book or whatever it is, we
16	will get our hands on it for you in a timely
17	fashion there.
18	In terms of how things are decided, it is
19	a wide variety of metrics we look at. We look
20	at how things are used. We look at publishing
21	from UK of those journals. We look at the
22	impact of those journals, in terms of journal
23	impact factor and other metrics in there.
24	And we did try to gather feedback as well
25	from faculty on that. That's the sort of

1	challenge we had because we had to make
2	decisions late in the fiscal year about what
3	we were having to do. That's not the way we'd
4	want to do that. We want to be having a far
5	more inclusive process where we are talking to
6	folks early on in that process to gather that
7	feedback. And that's the expectation as we go
8	through this year, but you will be hearing
9	from your liaisons. And when they reach out,
10	I'd love for you to be able to work with them
11	on giving that feedback on what's important,

12 and perhaps, you know, what can we make 13 different choices on there. 14 BARRON: Thank you. 15 CRAMER: So it occurred to me that I've been 16 remiss in reminding you to say your name and 17 your college when you ask a question. So Molly Blasing is going to -- she reminded me 18 19 because she has A&S next to her name in her 20 Zoom name. So Molly's going to say Molly 21 Blasing, Arts & Sciences, and then she'll ask 22 her questions. And Bob Grossman, who's next, 23 will also do this. And we'll remember that, 24 one day, we'll have to do this again very 25 formally when we're in actual in-person

1	senate meetings. Just because I can see an
2	your names and faces now doesn't mean we
3	should out of the habit. Molly.
4	BLASING: Thanks. Molly Blasing, A&S. I
5	represent a number of faculty members in
6	International Film Studies, and this is an
7	area of our college that we're trying to
8	grow. We have a certificate now. We're
9	hoping in the next few years to develop a
10	major in the college.
11	And currently the Film and Media Depot

12		has a really robust collection, but it's
13		mostly on DVDs and it's I feel like
14		there's a disconnect between how delivery
15		film and media context and what our students'
16		expectations are and, kind of, what the
17		libraries' services are currently. I wonder
18		if you could tell us anything about
19		conversations that you're having about how
20		to, sort of, transition to digital content
21		delivery in ways that might support faculty
22		using film in their courses?
23	WAY:	Yeah. It's really we'd probably
24		spend a couple hours talking about this, so
25		I'll try to be short. So, you know, it's

1	really hard. This is something so I've
2	been here for about 16 months. Came from U
3	of Wisconsin-Madison. Had the same issues
4	there where it is a real struggle in terms of
5	rights and access, and how much risk as an
6	organization in terms of how much do you want
7	to stretch, fair use and copyrights to meet
8	the needs there. And I am in favor of
9	stretching copyright as far as we possibly
10	can, taking advantage of every single right
11	that we have in this.

12	So we are not where we need to be in
13	those conversations in terms of what we
14	digitize and what level of risk we're willing
15	to take in terms of making content available
16	to be streamed for classroom use. And when I
17	say classroom use, use in the classroom and
18	for a specific class. Because we usually
19	want them to watch that outside of class so
20	we can talk about it in the class there.
21	In the library marketplace, it's still,
22	I would say, a little bit of a "Wild West,"
23	kind of where we saw electronic books, maybe
24	eight years ago. It was where streaming
25	video is. They are still trying to figure

1	out what is a business model that meets the
2	needs of the artists and distributors that
3	are craving this content and paying for this
4	content, but also works within library
5	budgets that often again, for us, it's a
6	zero-sum game. To acquire more videos, that
7	means we have to buy, often, fewer books. So
8	that's a difficult sort of way that we're
9	trying to do in those pieces there.
10	But I think we need to look at how do we
11	make content digitally available. I've had

12	some initial conversations with folks in CELT
13	about this. And, internally, we've sort of
14	had some conversations about this.
15	And then how do we do acquisitions in a
16	way that lets us either get the streaming
17	rights, or negotiate them at a price that is,
18	frankly, reasonable and sustainable for a
19	budget that's shrinking at this point and not
20	growing there. That's a very vague answer.
21	I'd be happy to have more conversations about
22	this. It's something I have a great deal of
23	interest in, and I think it's something we
24	really need to focus on.
25	BLASING: Thanks.

1	CRAMER: Bob.
2	GROSSMAN: Yeah. I have more of a comment than a
3	question. And this is actually addressed to
4	my colleagues in the senate who are in
5	journal disciplines.
6	The journal publishers take advantage of
7	free labor and free content from us, and we
8	can make a statement by refusing to do peer
9	review that is not paid by these journal
10	publishers who are jacking up the prices of
11	their journals year after year after year and

12	sucking the lifeblood out of universities.
13	So I publish, largely, in journals from
14	the American Chemical Society and I will
15	review for journals from the American
16	Chemical Society, but I won't review for
17	Elsvier because of their publishing model.
18	So this is something that we could do,
19	organize and try to make a bit of a
20	difference in the business models of the
21	commercial publishers.
22	CHISHTI: If I may add to that, this is Aftab
23	Chishti from College of Medicine. I totally
24	agree with Mr. Grossman about the fact that
25	these journals are not only making us as free

1	labor, but even when we submit to them, many
2	of them are charging some exorbitant amount
3	of money. So we definitely, as an overall
4	faculty body, we need to work on something of
5	that order so that we can work.
6	CRAMER: That's been a trend, I think, in higher
7	education, broadly, for faculty, pay
8	attention to this. I think one of Dean Way's
9	points is that we're actually, sort of, not
10	in a strong negotiating position as an
11	institution with respect to the specific

12		publishers the way that some of the larger
13		systems are. But it's certainly something
14		that should all pay attention to as scholars.
15	WAY:	And if I could just add, publishers are
16		listening to you, whereas in Wisconsin we
17		were having difficult negotiations with Rural
18		Society of Chemistry. And they wanted to
19		increase our prices by 2 percent, and we
20		couldn't get anywhere with them. And so we
21		went to the faculty and said, you know, would
22		you reach out, those of you who are boards,
23		who are editors and who are peer reviewers,
24		and it works. You know, it doesn't work
25		every time, but they listen to you, so I

1	appre	eciate those comments.
2	CRAMER:	Well, thank you, Dean Way. I think you
3	spoke	e of the library as a sort of shared
4	resou	irce that we all should have some
5	intere	est in and responsibility for, so this
6	is hel	pful.
7	Th	e next item on our agenda is Leslie
8	Vince	nt. Leslie, do you have any slides
9	besid	es
10	VINCENT:	No.
11	CRAMER:	Well, this is the result of the survey

12	that we did. The senators were surveyed on
13	behalf of their constituents a few weeks ago.
14	You guys did a good job of, sort of, reaching
15	out to your constituents and gathering
16	feedback, tons of feedback, a lot to digest.
17	Senate Council did some work distilling
18	themes. Leslie did a lot of work on the
19	qualitative responses, which is where you
20	work so hard to get responses from your
21	colleagues. Richard Charnigo worked on some
22	statistical analysis, and that's all included
23	with the PDF that's posted with the agenda,
24	but Leslie should describe, sort of, the big,
25	main themes, and we can talk a little bit

1	about what some of the next actions
2	anticipated are.
3	VINCENT: Well, thanks. So I'm sure you've all
4	seen the PDF. We tried to put it into a
5	concise report, but also, you know, provide
6	illustrative quotes and things to really
7	highlight what we learned from this survey.
8	Interestingly enough, without
9	collaborating together, a lot of the themes
10	around the quantitative side mapped
11	perfectly, you know, in terms of concerns

12	mapped exactly to the themes that we were
13	able to extract from the open-ended
14	responses.
15	And so if you look at the quantitative
16	feedback, you know, the areas in terms of the
17	faculty side where we saw the greatest
18	concern were related to personal exposure to
19	COVID-19 compliance with the safety
20	protocols, and then faculty evaluation and
21	faculty workload.
22	And so then, after going through the
23	open-ended responses and categorizing the
24	comments provided, there were five, kind of,
25	major things that emerged across all of the

comments, very similar in nature to what the

2	quantitative results showed: Compliance, in
3	terms of the safety protocols; reporting, the
4	university's reporting of COVID-19
5	statistics. There were several comments
6	either around delay in reporting or confusion
7	or missing information.
8	One of the biggest areas that emerged in
9	looking at the comments really focused around
10	faculty workload and faculty evaluation. And
11	so we separated those out in, kind of, our

12	theme analysis because there were different
13	elements that came out of those. But in
14	terms of faculty workload, some comments were
15	more general in nature, you know, talking
16	about how faculty have never worked harder,
17	the number of hours, just the demands of the
18	semester.
19	The second, kind of, subcategory within
20	faculty workload were some of the challenges
21	or additional demands placed on faculty in
22	terms of managing modalities. You know, so
23	the one comment I talked about, how a faculty
24	member felt like an air traffic controller
25	trying to juggle, you know, those students

1	that are Zooming in and then those that are
2	in the classroom. And all of this came from
3	trying to provide the most flexible
4	environment for students, but it did change
5	the workload and the demands on the faculty
6	member.
7	And then the third, kind of, subtopic
8	under faculty workload included a lot of
9	comments related to worklife balance. So,
10	you know, certain subgroups within our
11	faculty and staff that, you know, are being

12 impacted by the fact that, you know, the 13 public school system is not open and they're 14 juggling, you know, schooling for their 15 children in addition to the demands of, you 16 know, teaching their courses here at UK. So 17 those were the primary things under faculty 18 workload. 19 And then faculty evaluation had some 20 similar things where discussion around how 21 faculty should be evaluated with respect to 22 teaching, productivity. Again, some groups 23 may have stronger demands, or different types 24 of demands, I guess is what I want to say, 25 based on their personal situation. And so

1	how do we provide for, you know, fair faculty
2	evaluation, you know, how do we take that
3	into account, especially for those that may
4	be on the tenure clock or things like that.
5	And then, finally, the last theme that
6	emerged from the faculty side of the survey
7	related to personal exposure to COVID. So
8	how will you be notified, what steps need to
9	be taken and that kind of thing
10	We also looked at results from student
11	responses, and so in terms of the

12	quantitative results, greatest concern:
13	Again, related to personal exposure to COVID,
14	awareness of strategies to limit COVID,
15	access to cleaning supplies or availability
16	of PPE, and then, again, compliance with
17	protocols.
18	There were not as many open-ended
19	responses provided to the student portion of
20	this survey, but those that were provided
21	focused around things like compliance,
22	different levels of compliance. Again,
23	course modality, so discussions of
24	flexibility or, you know, the impact that
25	offering courses, both in person, as well as

1	having a synchronous online option. And then
2	the third topic that was mentioned was either
3	COVID testing, either when they needed to do
4	it or working with Corps, things like that.
5	So those were the primary results from our
6	survey that we compiled and worked through on
7	the Senate Council.
8	CRAMER: So just in terms of next steps, that
9	report that's posted with the agenda did get
10	sent by Senate Council to the President and
11	the Provost, so they have that. Senate

12	Council is also now in the process of
13	drafting some recommendations based on these
14	themes. I think we're getting close. I need
15	to double-check my email and make sure I know
16	where we are on that, but we are getting
17	close to a set of recommendations that will,
18	likewise, probably go to the President and
19	the Provost.
20	Sort of not parallel with that, but sort
21	of oriented, and we'll call it, at least, an
22	orthogonal direction in a sort of similar
23	direction, let's say, is this exercise that
24	we've been approached by the Provost with
25	respect to worklife balance. Our survey

1	didn't focus on worklife balance. It's
2	certainly a theme that emerged in the faculty
3	responses, but that wasn't the the theme
4	of our survey was, basically, how the
5	pandemic's going this fall altogether. And
6	so, certainly, there are items that were
7	uncovered there that aren't related to
8	worklife balance.
9	But, otherwise, the President has, at
10	some point, directed the Office for Faculty
11	Advancement and Human Resources to meet with

12	the leadership in the different colleges, and
13	to get an understanding of where they are on
14	worklife issues within their colleges.
15	There was a report that was sent out to
16	you, part of that as, sort of, executive
17	summary of the findings from that exercise.
18	And they're trying to figure out how do we
19	prioritize items or potential actionable
20	items in that. And so that's why I asked you
21	along those lines to try to go through that
22	and try to identify what's relatively
23	important. If we have a good representation
24	from the senate in terms of responses to
25	that, then that represents a really broad

1	cross-section of campus. I can argue, hey,
2	this really represents a big sweep of our
3	peers here. We should give that a little bit
4	of weight as we consider these items.
5	So, please I think I asked for that
6	by tomorrow. If you have an opportunity
7	again, looking at, sort of, median response
8	times for these, it takes about five minutes
9	to fill out this survey. But that'll help us
10	sort of understand what the priorities in
11	terms of actionable responses to worklife

- balance issues might be.
- So are there questions for Leslie or for
- Senate Council, broadly, about this? I see
- 15 Alan Brown. You're going to say Alan Brown,
- 16 Arts & Sciences.
- 17 BROWN: Yeah. Alan Brown, Arts & Sciences. I
- 18 just -- ironically enough, I have COVID, so
- 19 I'm at home. Yeah, there you go, and it's
- 20 not nice. It's not very fun. But that's not
- 21 what I wanted to make a comment on. I wanted
- 22 to comment about the survey. I think going
- forward we're going to be needing to collect
- 24 more and more -- or these kinds of surveys
- 25 will be sent out more and more to,

1	potentially, senators and/or faculty. And
2	what I did is put it into Qualtrics and I
3	sent it to my constituents, the ones that I'm
4	closely affiliated with, and it's easy,
5	meaning it's easy to get the results.
6	And so I just want to encourage the
7	Senate Council or whoever is requesting these
8	data that they just throw it into a Qualtrics
9	and send it to every faculty, you know, that
10	you can. And then we can get the results
11	across the whole faculty, and then I think it

12	takes a little bit of the workload off of the
13	senators. Because I'm assuming that next
14	semester we're going to be having similar
15	kinds of surveys that will be sent out, and
16	it's just a recommendation because I found it
17	very efficient and effective. Thank you.
18	CRAMER: Yeah. I would say this goes into the
19	"lessons learned" category with the way that
20	this went for our survey here. I feel like
21	we had successful done one on facilities,
22	maybe, last spring, but it did not go
23	smoothly this time. We'll call this one, the
24	way I sent out the Provost's request, as a
25	half step towards asking the senators

1	specific	cally to just fill out the Qualtrics
2	one, bı	ut that would be something that could,
3	for exa	mple, scale to a larger group if we
4	wanted	d to survey faculty more broadly. So
5	conside	er that noted. Alan, please get well
6	soon	This is yeah.
7	BROWN:	Yeah. It's a bad time to get sick.
8	Trust n	ne.
9	CRAMER:	Herman.
LO	FARRELL:	Yeah, I echo the sentiments towards Alan

and I hope you get well, as well as to also

12	echo what he said about the way he operated.
13	We communicated Herman Farrell, College of
14	Fine Arts. We communicated about the way
15	they were doing it and the way we were doing
16	it in the College of Fine Arts, and we found
17	that it was a little problematic that we had
18	to, kind of, engage in this kind of filtering
19	process. So I'm really appreciative of what
20	you've just said, Aaron, about the next time
21	we do this. The Qualtrics seems to be the
22	best way to kind of make sure that we got the
23	full responses. And I think it also is good
24	for us, as representatives of all of our
25	colleagues, for them to feel like their

1	voices are heard.
2	I mean, I guess one of the things we
3	could also do if we're all passing these PDFs
4	up the line to a variety of different
5	constituencies I know Aaron will probably
6	pass that on to the Faculty Affairs
7	Committee, the workstream, but in addition to
8	that, I guess, all of us on the University
9	Senate should send that PDF back out to our
10	colleagues and our colleges so that they can
11	see what the results were, and also, really

12	hear because, again, it's the opportunity
13	for us to hear what everybody else is
14	thinking outside of our silo. So, yeah, I'm
15	looking forward to us getting it right the
16	next time to do it, or getting it better the
17	next time we do it. So, thank you. And,
18	last, I would say I really appreciate all the
19	work that everybody did up the line to do
20	that filtering. And, Leslie, thank you as
21	well. That sound I read it and I was very
22	impressed with the capturing of all the
23	different views, so, cool.
24	CRAMER: That's, actually, part of the reason why
25	this went out this time. The exercise,

1	somenow, is to identify the top five set of
2	things that could be done in terms of
3	worklife balance. I'm, like, how are we
4	going to take a four-page document and reduce
5	it to the top five items. So getting, sort
6	of, earnest reactions to each of the items in
7	there seemed like a good way. And then I can
8	rank it, actually, and maybe even more than
9	just the top five would have some sort of
10	value there. When we get the quantitative
11	results of the survey you mean sorry.

12	I shouldn't be reading questions. Sorry.
13	The survey that the senate did, the
14	survey that Leslie just described, when we
15	get the quantitative results. Well that's
16	I mean, that's up to anybody that asks, we
17	can do that. I don't think that's a problem.
18	The question was whether people wanted to
19	read more than what Leslie just presented on
20	But if you send me an email, we could
21	certainly get you the results.
22	But the other thing, as per Herman's
23	question, and maybe Alan's as well, is a
24	Qualtrics survey where the only people that
25	see it are sitting, sort of, in Senate Council

1	or in the Senate Council Office, even, doesn't
2	provide a good opportunity for senators to
3	understand what's happening in their colleges.
4	And so if we did something like that, I'd have
5	to find a way that I do think we need to be
6	able to know what the people in your college
7	answered. And so I think that that's
8	something that that would have to be answered,
9	because the senators will have a hard time
10	representing their constituents if they don't
11	know how their constituents answered. And so

12	I think that that would be something if
13	we're going to survey the faculty broadly, we
14	would need to know how to also share those
15	results as a slice or be able to organize the
16	results in a way that senators from, say, the
17	College of Engineering, would be able to see
18	how the engineers answer.
19	But, again, answerable problems. We can
20	address that as we get to it. Thank you,
21	Leslie. Of course, if you have please,
22	definitely, if you have thoughts on what
23	Leslie said, share them with Senate Council
24	members.
25	If you do fill out that survey that I

1	sent out last week, that'll help me, sort of,
2	argue in favor of some of the issues that
3	we're thinking about.
4	The last item in the agenda is items
5	from the floor. It's 4:35. That doesn't
6	mean you have to have items from the floor.
7	It does mean that, perhaps, we're all a
8	little more amenable to items from the floor,
9	but if there are items that you think senate
10	should consider taking up, or Ken.

Ken Calvert, College of Engineering. We

11

CALVERT:

12	got an update from the President on budget
13	matters, and this was something that I heard
14	from my constituency in the survey.
15	Unfortunately, when I got to the last page of
16	the survey, I thought there might be another
17	box to put unstructured comments in, and
18	there wasn't, so I didn't get to put this in.
19	But the question about the decision to
20	cut the retirement benefit with as far as
21	I know, without consultation of the faculty
22	or input from the faculty I don't I was
23	not on the senate last spring, so I don't
24	know how that happened. But I haven't heard
25	anybody mention about plans going forward for

1	that, so I wondered if we could request from
2	the administration some sort of update on
3	that or thinking about that, or is that our
4	place to do that?
5	CRAMER: Well, certainly, the senate has some
6	role in advising the administration with
7	respect to benefits for faculty. So that is
8	a formal responsibility of the Senate. I can
9	share with you what I do know, because I've
10	got another senator that sent me an email
11	along these lines that I haven't had a chance

12	to reply to yet either. But I think that
13	that's right. We received an update,
14	essentially, confirming the news that it was
15	reported that the governor said there wasn't
16	going to be or shouldn't be a need for a
17	mid-year cut, this sort of 8 percent cut that
18	the university had been warned to plan for.
19	So there is I would say they're still
20	being described as challenging budget
21	conditions with unanticipated expenses
22	related to COVID-19.
23	There's I can't remember the exact
24	numbers, but you know, tens of millions of
25	dollars of, sort of, additional expenses in

2	And then, also, despite record
3	enrollment, being short on tuition relative
4	to budget numbers, too, this seemingly has to
5	do with the way that students are selecting
6	their course modalities. And if they're out-
7	of-state students but they choose only online

that area.

1

8

9

10

11

And so I would say all those, as far as

paying out-of-state or non-resident tuition.

course modalities, they pay a much lower

tuition bill than they would've if they were

12	I know and I've certainly raised the issue
13	with Executive Vice President Monday. He did
14	not sound like, oh, this is something we have
15	money to deal with and fix and try to restore
16	that 5 percent retirement match, for example,
17	in this fiscal year. He did continue to
18	indicate that it was a priority to
19	reestablish it as soon as possible, and he
20	did sound optimistic that next fiscal year
21	that would be something that could return.
22	But he did not at all sound optimistic that
23	that was going to be something that gets
24	restored this fiscal year.
25	CALVERT: Okay. Thanks for that. But the one

1	specifi	c thing that I heard was the question
2	of whe	ether people some people might
3	actual	ly prefer to take a pay cut rather than
4	have i	t taken out of their retirement, or
5	wheth	er there might be other options. So
6	l'll just	throw that out there, again, just
7	as an i	nformation items.
8	CRAMER:	Sure. I can certainly have you heard
9	a lot o	f that, Ken?
10	CALVERT:	I won't say it was a lot. I have heard

it maybe more than once.

12	CRAMER: Okay. Because, yeah, I had not heard
13	anybody saying, man, I wish they wouldn't cut
14	my retirement. I'd rather I have not
15	heard that before, so this is I have heard
16	people ask about the 5 percent, and
17	certainly, that's something that I think
18	everybody that works here is interested in.
19	But, yeah, I've not heard that specific
20	suggestion, so I can bring that up when I
21	have conversations along these lines again.
22	Richard.
23	CHARNIGO: Just a related remark. If economic
24	circumstances are better than anticipated,

but yet, restoration of the 5 percent is not

1	feasible, is it possible the administration
2	might consider a middle ground, such as
3	bumping from 5 to 7 percent, and then at some
4	later time going back to 10? Just an idea.
5	Sometimes when we think about binary options,
6	yes or no, we overlook intermediate
7	possibilities.
8	CRAMER: Right. That's certainly fair. The
9	thing I will say is that when it was being
10	described at the time it was implemented, it
11	was being described as this is is the last

12	thing we want to do, and they would be the
13	first dollars back. And to be fair, that is
14	still the, sort of, concession. I think
15	people are — let's say these decisions are
16	being driven by continued uncertainty,
17	despite the fact that things might be
18	we're not going to, perhaps, see a state
19	budget cut mid-year. Perhaps there's some
20	possibility of a stimulus bill that will help
21	the university's finances in the near future.
22	I think there's still the thought that
23	there's uncertainty. So, you know, perhaps
24	being considered binarily in a way to, like,
25	hold back against that uncertainty, but I

1	think that's certainly something I can
2	continue to bring up. Roger.
3	BROWN: Thank you, Aaron. Roger Brown, CAFÉ. I
4	just wanted to draw to the attention of all
5	of the elected faculty senators that I sent
6	an email out just before the senate meeting
7	today asking everyone, please, to consider
8	the nominees or the people who could be
9	nominated for Senate Council Chair. Please
10	look at that email. You can click on each of

the candidates, and let me know if you want

12 to nominate any of those. The deadline is 13 Friday, so please do that this week. Thank 14 you. 15 CRAMER: Thanks. That went out this afternoon, 16 right, Roger? 17 BROWN: Yes. That's correct. 18 CRAMER: Davy. 19 JONES: Sorry. I got out of order. I was going 20 to make a comment on the previous thing about 21 the benefits. And maybe Lee Blonder's here. 22 Something tickles my memory that six, eight 23 months ago there was a change to the 24 administrative regulations that made this

reduction, and that was one of the ARs that

1	went to the board for approval. Lee Blonder
2	caught that it was only supposed to say it
3	was for this year, and she got that put in
4	there that it's only for this year that it is
5	in effect.
6	So, essentially, it sunsets, and it
7	would take another overt action to continue
8	it being reduced. Am I misremembering this?
9	BLONDER: That's correct, Davy. It was left open-
10	ended and the administrative regulation it
11	open-ended that the administration was going

12	to be cutting their contribution by 5
13	percent. So I emailed Eric Monday and Kim
14	McCann, the Chair of the Finance Committee,
15	and Dave Melanson, the Staff Trustee was
16	supportive of this. And I can't remember all
17	the details, but they changed it so that it
18	was just one year, meaning that if the cut
19	it approved it has to be approved again,
20	probably in May or June budget that we would
21	continue the cuts, so it will come up in the
22	spring.
23	CRAMER: Shannon Oltmann.
24	OLTMANN: Shannon Oltmann, College of
25	Communication and Information. I'm sorry if

1	this has	s been brought up before. I had to
2	step av	vay for a minute. But will Dean Way's
3	slides b	pe available?
4	CRAMER:	They are on the website with the agenda.
5	I think	they are on the website with the
6	agenda	
7	OLTMANN:	Thank you.
8	CRAMER:	Well, I'll bring an item from the floor.
9	It's Nov	vember. We're almost to the end. I
10	know i	it's been a struggle for a lot of us,
11	but yo	u know, hang in there. We're almost to

12	the finish line of the semester. Take care
13	of yourselves and take care of each other as
14	you move forward. I hope you have a chance
15	to reflect. I mean, it feels like a lot, but
16	you've also done a lot, and I think that
17	that's something that I don't want you to
18	lose, because it's easy for me to lose. I
19	get pessimistic all the time. It's, like,
20	we're just trudging through this, but, no,
21	we're actually trudging through this. And so
22	I think that that's something to keep in
23	mind.
24	So that's my item from the floor. Hang
25	in there, finish as well as you can through

1	the end of the semester, and I hope that the
2	winter is good for you. Of course, we do
3	have a senate meeting scheduled for December
4	14th, so this is not the last senate meeting
5	of the semester, per se, but it's the last
6	one before classes end on campus.
7	So unless there are objections now, we
8	will adjourn. I'll give you about five
9	seconds to object.
10	Seeing none, then we will be adjourned.
11	Remember, the next senate meeting is December

12 14th, again by Zoom, so I will see you then.

Take care. Have a great afternoon.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

STATE OF KENTUCKY )

)

COUNTY OF FAYETTE )

I, TRISHA B. MORLEY, the undersigned Notary Public in and for the State of Kentucky at Large, certify that at the time and place stated, the proceedings were conducted via teleconference; that the proceedings were taken in stenotype, later reduced to computer-aided transcription under my direction, and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of said proceedings.

My commission expires: April 23, 2022.

## IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunder set my hand and

seal of office on the 3rd day of December, 2020.

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

**Notary Public** 

My commission expires: 4/23/22