1	UNIVERSITY OF REINTOCKY
2	SENATE COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	**** ***
5	OCTOBER 12, 2020
6	**** ***
7	
8	AARON CRAMER, CHAIR
9	DESHANA COLLETT, VICE CHAIR
10	SHEILA BROTHERS, ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR
11	STEPHANIE WOOLERY, STAFF ASSISTANT
12	TRISHA B. MORLEY, STENOGRAPHER
13	
14	***

17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

16

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1 *******

12

_	
2 CRAM	ER: Welcome to the October 12th University
3	Senate meeting. Senate members, please type
4	your name and affiliation into the chat box
5	for attendance purposes. The chat function's
6	only used for attendance. It's not monitored
7	during the meeting, so refrain from having,
8	sort of, substantive discussions in the chat
9	during the meeting.
10	Mute yourself when not speaking, but
11	Stephanie and I can mute others as needed, if

you forget or something comes up. This

13	meeting's being recorded for note-taking
14	purposes. And if any member of the Senate
15	becomes disconnected and cannot reconnect at
16	all, please send an email to Sheila brothers
17	at sbrothers@uky.edu so that we're aware.
18	Okay. Senate meetings are open meetings.
19	We follow Robert's Rules of Order. There will
20	be no voting by proxy. Be civil. Be a good
21	citizen. That, here specifically, means
22	communicate with your constituents in your
23	colleges and departments. And participate,
24	please. Us the "raise-hand" feature.
25	Stephanie's watching the participants.

1	If they raise hands and stuff, she'll
2	see it and will let me know she's sitting
3	about 12 feet that way so that I can call
4	on you.
5	Just some reminders about how we're
6	handling this meeting via Zoom. Zoom
7	participants are divided into two categories:
8	Panelists and attendees. Both can
9	participate in the meeting. Both can raise
10	their hand and be acknowledged.
11	Panelists are primarily being used for

voting senators. We're using this

12

13	distinction to delineate voting from
14	nonvoting participants. Panelists would've
15	received a specific email from Stephanie
16	Woolery with a specific Zoom login
17	information and are eligible to vote.
18	Nonvoting senators and any guests that
19	are not voting senators are attendees.
20	Attendees can use the general Zoom link from
21	the senate site. And do not vote during
22	discussion to indicate you want to speak.
23	Click on the Zoom feature, "raise-hand."
24	Panelists, click on "participants" and
25	then click on the symbol of a hand in the

1	lower right-hand corner. Attendees, click on
2	the "raise-hand" button at the bottom of the
3	screen in the center.
4	To vote: Voting members and panelists
5	will use the "raise-hand" feature in Zoom
6	when the chair calls for votes in favor,
7	opposed and abstained. So I'll state the
8	motion and ask for voting members these
9	are the people that are panelists wishing to
10	vote in favor to click on the Zoom feature
11	"raise-hand." The vote count will be
12	recorded and the hands will be cleared. I'll

13	then ask for members who wish to vote oppose
14	to raise their hands in Zoom. The count will
15	be recorded and the hands will be cleared.
16	And, finally, I'll ask for voting
17	members who wish to abstain from voting to
18	raise their hands and the count will be
19	recorded, and then the hands will be cleared.
20	It's difficult to note individual names when
21	there's 100-plus senators voting, so we'll
22	document we will document the minority and
23	those abstaining. These are smaller numbers,
24	and so if it's clear that those in favor have
25	it, then we'll assume you're in favor, unless

1	you voted against or abstaining. And so
2	we're only going to record the names of those
3	either voting with the minority or
4	abstaining.
5	If the vote's perceived as close, we'll
6	have to do a role call vote to make sure that
7	we can know who goes with which votes.
8	The minutes from the September 14th
9	meeting were distributed. No changes were
10	received by the Senate Council office as of
11	this morning. Unless objections are heard
12	now, the minutes from the September 14th,

13	2020 University Senate Meeting will stand
14	approved as distributed by unanimous consent.
15	Can you see the participants window? Do we
16	have any people gesturing for okay.
17	So hearing no objections, those minutes
18	are approved.
19	Some announcements: Good news last week;
20	Senate Council office's Joanie Ett-Mims was
21	the 2020 winner of the Staff Senate's
22	Faculty-nominated Outstanding Staff Award.
23	Joanie is the office's course expert. She
24	handles course proposals, primarily. She's a
25	Curriculog administrator. She also

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	administratively manages the senate's UK Core
2	Education Committee and the Undergraduate
3	Council. The staff in the Senate Council is
4	excellent, and we are so fortunate to have
5	had Joanie recognized in this way.
6	Some other announcements: Me and, also,
7	the SGA President, Courtney Wheeler, who are
8	members of this body also, actually, the
9	deans who are also senators, and a number of
10	the other ex officio members also
11	participated in anti-racist training workshop
12	facilitated by the College of Education's

13	Professor Candice Hargons last month.
14	Other announcements: The Senate was
15	interested last year in learning more about
16	university protocols surrounding monetary
17	donations and naming rights. For example,
18	colleges and departments. So we will plan,
19	because of the senate's interest last year,
20	to schedule a presentation for senators later
21	this year. We would've probably done that in
22	the spring, but the pandemic set a number of
23	things like this off-course a little bit, but
24	we'll come back to that question, because I
25	think there was some significance in the

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	interest	in	these	questions
L	IIILEIESL	111	uicse	questions

- 2 We've also received multiple queries,
- actually, about students with long periods of
- 4 excused absences that would trigger the
- 5 so-called "20 percent rule". This is in
- 6 SR5.2.4.2.3.1. Essentially, it states a
- 7 student shall not be penalized for the -- a
- 8 student shall not be penalized for an excused
- 9 absence, but when they're required, for
- 10 example, to take an incomplete grade, as this
- 11 had negative ramifications in terms of, for
- example, financial aid and so forth. Senate

13	Council is currently working to investigate
14	this, and also considering the question of
15	whether an instructor has any freedom to
16	refuse to assign an incomplete grade.
17	So this is a question that's come up.
18	It came up, actually, before the pandemic
19	but it's being, sort of, made again, more
20	acute by the pandemic.
21	You would've received an email from
22	Roger Brown earlier this morning about
23	election of three senators to serve on the
24	Senate Council. Roger chairs the Elections
25	Subcommittee of the Rules and Elections

1	Committee. The election will be conducted in
2	October and November, with the results of the
3	election announced within 24 hours of the
4	election. And so make sure you read that
5	email carefully.
6	Senate Council terms begin on January
7	1st and are for three years. The Senate
8	Council meets weekly on Mondays from 3:00 to
9	5:00, so the time period we're meeting now.
10	Senate Council meets all the other Mondays.
11	We have three Senate Council members
12	whose terms are ending: Dr. Andrade, Gail

13	Brion and Allison Soult. And so we have to
14	have three senators to fill these spots.
15	This is a vitally important role for the
16	Senate. And I felt like it was important
17	before, but having been through, say, the end
18	of spring and through the summer and where we
19	are in the fall, having people that can
20	represent the voice of the faculty in these
21	spots is really important.
22	And, moreover, it establishes, sort of,
23	who can be eligible Senate Council chairs.
24	And I think that this is important, too, that
25	you have people in the Senate Council who can

1	represent the Senate and who can be eligible
2	to be the Senate Council chair and can help
3	provide leadership to this body. It is
4	really important, so I really I want you
5	to read through Roger's email really
6	carefully. Look through the list. Think
7	about who's there. Encourage people.
8	Nominate people. And, really, have a
9	meaningful conversation with other senators
10	and with people that you nominate and want to
11	encourage to run for this to do so,

because I think that it really -- I thought

12

13	it was important before, and somehow, even
14	thinking it was important before, it's become
15	clear to me it's, like, even much more
16	important than I thought it was. And so I
17	really I think this is something that
18	really try to take seriously over the next
19	month.
20	If you have any questions about what it
21	means to serve on the Senate Council and so
22	forth, feel free to send me an email. I'd be
23	happy to talk with you about what's entailed
24	and what the responsibilities and time
25	commitments and so forth look like.

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	A reminder of curricular proposal
2	deadlines: If you're seeking to submit a
3	curricular proposal or someone in your
4	colleges or departments are seeking
5	curricular approval, these have to be
6	received in the Senate Council office. That
7	means through the academic councils by
8	February 1st for a new degree program
9	proposal, by March 15th for other proposals
10	that require Senate Committee review.
11	These would be like new certificates,
12	transfers of a degree, new departments,

13	changes to credit hours required for
14	graduation, significant program changes, et
15	cetera, things that don't have to go to the
16	Board of Trustees.
17	And then April 12th for courses or other
18	program changes in minors, things that don't
19	have to go to a Senate Committee. These are
20	you know, deadlines where you really can't be
21	it'd be unreasonable to expect that the
22	proposal would be completed before a fall
23	2020-'21 effective date if these deadlines
24	aren't met.

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

25

Unveristy of Kentucky

Senate Council

October 12, 2020

- 1 So that's the minutes and announcements
- 2 for the Chair's Report. Recall that the
- 3 Senate Rules give me and, also, Senate
- 4 Council authority to take some actions on
- 5 behalf of the senate, as long as they're
- 6 reported to the senate. So here I'll report
- 7 some of the actions that have been taken.
- 8 Actually, let me go ahead and call on
- 9 Alan Brown.
- 10 BROWN: Yeah. Sorry. Yeah, quick question,
- 11 Aaron -- sorry -- on the dates. I just
- 12 wanted -- because our department is going

13	through this. So the March 15th because
14	I'm reading here, it says, "Curricular
15	proposals must be reviewed by the appropriate
16	academic councils and received in the Senate
17	Council office by," so I'm assuming there are
18	other dates to get this to the corresponding
19	council even before March 15th; correct?
20	CRAMER: That's right. Right. To have it
21	through, I don't know what the proposal
22	you're imagining is, but say it had to go to
23	Undergraduate Council; that's right. It
24	would have to two Undergraduate Council, so
25	out of the college and to Undergraduate

Unveristy of Kentucky

Senate Council

October 12, 2020

1 Council in time for them to schedule it and 2 discuss it and if there are any concerns to 3 be addressed by it --4 BROWN: So, okay --5 CRAMER: By the review at Undergraduate Council. 6 That's right. 7 BROWN: Okay. That leads me to the question, 8 then: Where are those -- where's the first deadline for a major program? In this case, 9 it's our doctoral programs in the Graduate 10 11 Council. Where are the deadlines for -- you

know, what's the first deadline that we'd

12

15	nave to meet:		
14 CRAW	IER:	One second, Alan. Alan, I would say the	
15	best th	ing to do, and actually, probably for	
16	anyboo	dy that's in a similar place, is to	
17	check v	with the coordinator of that academic	
18	council	. So, in this case, it would be Roshan	
19	Nikou -	-	
20 BROW	VN:	Oh, okay.	
21 CRAW	IER:	for the Graduate Council, but check	
22	with th	em about when they've got meetings	
23	schedu	led and, sort of, the timeline for	
24	getting	things through the academic councils.	
25 BROW	/N:	Yeah	

13

have to meet?

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

Unveristy of Kentucky

Senate Council

October 12, 2020

- 1 CRAMER: It's a little bit variable depending on
- 2 the type of proposal and which council.
- 3 BROWN: Yeah. Okay, because these dates, I was
- 4 telling my colleagues these dates, but these
- 5 dates aren't really relevant. I mean,
- 6 they're relevant, but not really. We need to
- 7 have stuff in way before this.
- 8 CRAMER: They're not the first relevant thing,
- 9 we'll say.
- 10 BROWN: Got it. Thank you.
- 11 CRAMER: Sure. Okay. So I was reporting some
- actions taken by Senate Council and by the

13	Senate Council Chair; specifically, I
14	approved a revised calendar for Spring 2021.
15	Senate Council had previously provided
16	significant input on the proposal and also
17	indicated that they had no objections to me
18	approving it on their behalf. So the revised
19	calendar for Spring 2021, I approved. Also,
20	the dates for the 2020-2021 winter calendar,
21	again, with Senate Council providing
22	significant input prior to that approval,
23	were approved by me.
24	Senate Council, on September 21st,
25	approved nonstandard course calendars for DHN

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	courses. Also, waived a portion of Senate
2	Rule 5.2.4.6 about Dead Week for PAS 650.
3	This is a course where the creditor requires
4	face-to-face evaluation for a practical exam,
5	and since the exams are being administered
6	remotely after Thanksgiving and Dead Week's
7	before Thanksgiving, Senate Council permitted
8	them to conduct the practical part of the
9	exam during Dead Week in order to meet that
10	accreditation requirement.
11	On September 28th Senate Council
12	approved the College of Pharmacy Spring 2021

13	calendar. Recall that certain colleges that
14	have professional programs are permitted to
15	operate on their own calendars, and so we
16	approved the College of Pharmacy's calendar.
17	Also, approved nonstandard course
18	calendars for some AAD, EMBA Courses AT641
19	and CSD659. Largely, these are some of
20	these are related to scheduling of things
21	where one semester runs into the other or
22	issues with scheduling clinical experiences.
23	And then also approved the Summer 2021
24	calendar, which again, because of the changes
25	of the spring calendar, it got shifted a

1	little	bit.

2	On October 5th Senate Council approved
3	nonstandard course calendars for PAS courses,

- 4 again, for similar reasons. And then on
- 5 October 10th, over the weekend, I approved a
- 6 change in the academic calendar to allow
- 7 students to drop until midnight on January
- 8 29th for the spring semester without
- 9 financial penalty. This gives students five
- 10 days to attend class and drop the class
- 11 without a financial penalty. This is similar
- to what was done for the fall semester. So

13	this was approved over the weekend.
14	Also in the Chair's Report there were
15	some concerns and they were mentioned to the
16	senate last time regarding the Arts &
17	Sciences dean and adherence to the specific
18	provisions and Governing Regulation VIII
19	regarding university appointment.
20	The provost has met with Arts & Science
21	faculty, Senate Council members a number of
22	times. An email was sent to all the
23	senators. I hope you saw it, because it was
24	sent to the senators on October 5th
25	describing the provost's resolution of this

1	concern. So that's where that stands.
2	And then a final announcement: I was
3	asked to lead one of the DEI project groups.
4	This is Project 3, which is about creating a
5	faculty advisory group for the campus DEI
6	efforts. And so that work is underway now;
7	if you have particular thoughts on that, feel
8	free to send me an email. But that was about
9	providing a faculty advisory group for advice
10	for the Diversity, Equity and Inclusion work

12 All right. Before we move on to the

that's being undertaken

11

13	Provost's Report, Herman?
14	FARRELL: Yeah. Hi. Can you hear me?
15	CRAMER: Yes.
16	FARRELL: So, Aaron, just with regard to the DEI,
17	I'm just curious to know, and maybe this will
18	be addressed in a moment, but I'm just
19	curious to know if you've heard anything
20	about President Trump's Executive Order,
21	which basically, seems, you know, contrary to
22	all efforts of diversity on campus.
23	And as well as, sort of, reaching into
24	teaching, I'm wondering if that has already
25	come up on your radar? And what has been the

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	Senate's response and what are we hearing
2	about what the administration is doing in
3	response to that? I understand there was
4	some pushback by presidents of colleges
5	across the country with regard to those
6	recommendations well, not recommendations,
7	the executive order. So I'm just curious to
8	know what UK is doing, from a faculty
9	perspective, and then the administration?
10	CRAMER: That's right. This executive order's
11	been, sort of, slowly unfolding. First, as

it applies to the federal government -- oh,

12

13	wait. It actually, maybe, applies to people
14	that take certain types of federal oh,
15	maybe it applies to everyone that takes
16	federal money, including, potentially,
17	universities.
18	I have not heard specific and sort of
19	very specific response on the question, but I
20	can certainly ask. I have a sense of what
21	the type of response is that I would hear,
22	but I've not heard anything yet. I'll look
23	into that and make sure I can report on that
24	next time.
25	Any other questions? Okay. So there

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

Unveristy of Kentucky

Senate Council

October 12, 2020

- 1 won't be a Provost's Report today. Deshana,
- 2 do you have a Vice Chair's Report?
- 3 COLLETT: No, sir. I do not today.
- 4 CRAMER: Okay. No report from the vice chair.
- 5 Doug, do you have a Parliamentarian's Report?
- 6 Okay. He said he does not. No report from
- 7 the parliamentarian.
- 8 Trustees, do you have a report?
- 9 SWANSON: Yes. I'm giving the report today.
- 10 CRAMER: So Hollie Swanson will report for the
- 11 trustees.
- 12 SWANSON: Good afternoon. The Board of Trustees

13	will meet this upcoming Thursday and Friday,
14	so I'll be telling you mostly about what
15	we're going to do.
16	First, we've been assigned our committee
17	membership. Lee and I are both on the
18	Academic and Student Affairs Committee. Lee
19	is on the Finance Committee and Human
20	Resources and University Relations. I'll be
21	on the Investment Committee and the UK
22	HealthCare.
23	During the committee meetings on
24	Thursday, the Academic and Student Affairs
25	Committee will receive updates for the

1	student government, provost, and the Vice
2	President for Student Success and Vice
3	President for Institutional Diversity.
4	Health Care Committee will receive financial
5	updates, will be approving FCIs on budget
6	revisions and improvements in the UK
7	HealthCare Pavilions.
7	HealthCare Pavilions. We'll hear reports on the Vice President
8	We'll hear reports on the Vice President
9	We'll hear reports on the Vice President Eric Monday, and Vice President Lisa Cassis.

13	Council reported by Chair Aaron Cramer. At
14	the Board meeting, we'll be voting on the
15	Board of Trustees Chair. Bob Vance has been
16	recommended. The Board meeting on Friday
17	will also include a strategic plan update by
18	the provost and visioning for the future by
19	the president. Lee, did you have anything
20	else to add?
21	BLONDER: Thank you, Hollie. The only other thing
22	I'd like to add is that if you want to watch
23	the board meetings Thursday and Friday, I
24	think the Thursday meeting begins at 1:00 and
25	Friday's in the morning. It's going to be

- streaming on YouTube, and the link is on the
- 2 Board of Trustees website. That's it.
- 3 CRAMER: Davy Jones.
- 4 JONES: Hollie, normally, October is the more
- 5 philosophical Board retreat, and I guess
- 6 they're not having that this year. Are there
- 7 plans for when that might happen this year?
- 8 SWANSON: No. We're not retreating. We're going
- 9 to move forward. No. I haven't heard
- anything about it, but you know, we're still
- 11 meeting virtually. And I think part of the
- issue is that a lot of the board members do

13	want to meet in person. So, Lee, that's kind	
14	of my impression that we were hoping to mee	et
15	at some point in person. Have you heard	
16	anything about that, Lee.	
17	BLONDER: Well, I think the original plan about a	
18	month was that we would meet in the Woodf	ord
19	room at the stadium, socially distanced.	
20	But, then, given the rise in cases and the	
21	concerns about modeling our best behavior to)
22	the university community, it was decided that	
23	we would meet virtually.	
24	And I think that the retreat because	
25	we're meeting virtually, the retreat lasts,	

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	you know, usually 12 hours the first day and
2	a half a day the second day. And I think
3	that it was decided that, for this particular
4	year, we would have, more or less, a regular
5	board meeting and not have a retreat at this
6	point.
7 CRAM	MER: All right. Thank you. Any other
8	questions for our trustees? So recall we ran
9	a little bit tight on schedule last time, and
10	we have a few business items that we deferred
11	till this month. Particularly, this is a
12	2019-2020 report from the Senate's Academic

14 chair. Leslie, are you ready?		
15 VINCENT:	Yes. I'm ready.	
16 CRAMER:	I think you can can she share her	
17 screen	yet?	
18 VINCENT:	It said disabled. Wait.	
19 CRAMER:	Oh.	
20 VINCENT:	Maybe I can get it now. Hold on. I	
21 think I -	okay. Are we good?	
22 CRAMER:	Yep.	
23 VINCENT:	All right. So this is the update from	
24 last yea	r's activity on the Senate Academic	
25 Progran	ns Committee. Our chair last year was	

Programs Committee with Leslie Vincent as

13

- 1 Aaron Cramer. And so I just wanted to give
- 2 you an update of everything that, kind of,
- 3 went through our committee.
- 4 We're very busy. We had -- let's see --
- 5 about 31 proposals come through the
- 6 committee, and I broke them out based on the
- 7 type of proposal, so lots of activity in
- 8 terms of master degree programs, and seven
- 9 proposals at the undergraduate level, 20 for
- graduate, and then we had four that included,
- 11 kind of, both levels, either as a -- it
- should be USP, I think, instead of UPS, but

13	and, then, PLUS programs. So lots of
14	activity on the committee; I felt like we
15	stayed pretty busy throughout the year.
16	A few takeaways from last year's
17	proposal reviews: The new forms that were
18	created were really helpful and really helped
19	speed up the process for review. And so
20	using Curriculog in addition to the Excel
21	workbooks that were developed really helped
22	I think, create standardization in the
23	process in terms of how things were
24	submitted, which really facilitated, I think,
25	a much more efficient review for the

1	committee.
L	committee.

2	we also	impiemente	ed the	eariy

- 3 notification process, which I think was
- 4 really successful in terms of making sure
- 5 that all of the different areas around campus
- 6 and departments that may want to be involved
- 7 in interdisciplinary certificates had an
- 8 opportunity to review those and have the
- 9 potential to be engaged. So we saw a lot
- 10 less of that coming up in our committee
- 11 review where we were, you know, asking
- proposers to go back and discuss with other

13	departments that may have courses that would
14	relate to certain certificates or programs
15	and things like that.
16	Some things that, maybe, could be
17	improved, just based on what we learned from
18	last year, is we did see a lot of joint
19	programs in the review process, and so having
20	those programs where we would you know,
21	the example that's coming to mind is the
22	master's in supply chain management, and then
23	the master's in supply chain engineering.
24	They happened to come through the committee
25	at different times, and when we're looking at

1	collaborative programs like that, we thought
2	there could be some value in, really, sort
3	of, keeping them on track together in the
4	review process.
5	There was also a lot of discussion in
6	the past year about what PLUS programs are
7	and the types of forms that should be used
8	when a department wants to propose one of
9	these PLUS programs. And so that's something
10	that the SAPC Committee is working on
11	currently. Discussions about what that
12	process would entail and the type of forms or

13	extra information that we may need when we're		
14	14 evaluating these programs in our review		
15	15 process.		
16	So t	hat is the update from SAPC.	
17 CRAME	ĒR:	Are there any questions for Leslie,	
18	or		
19 VINCE	NT:	Or you?	
20 CRAME	ER:	I don't know this SAPC you mentioned.	
21 VINCE	NT:	Well, thank you.	
22 CRAME	ĒR:	Thanks, Leslie. Okay. I'll figure out	
23	how to	share my screen again.	
24	The	next item of old business that we	
25	didn't	we pushed off from last month was	

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

- 1 an update -- remember there was a facilities
- 2 survey that was conducted by the Senate's
- 3 Academic Facilities Committee last year. The
- 4 current chair is Kevin Donohue, but this is a
- 5 report on work that was conducted last year.
- 6 So Chris Pool, the past chair, is here to
- 7 discuss this. Chris, do you have slides?
- 8 Are you going to share your screen?
- 9 POOL: I do. Just a second here. Okay. Can
- 10 people see my screen? Great. Thanks.
- So, yes, thanks for having me back.
- 12 Let's see. Wait a minute. Okay. So, first

13	of all, let me something's happening here.
14	Let me acknowledge my committee from
15	last year. Kevin Donohue, of course, is
16	chair this year. Alan DeSantis, Claire
17	Fraser, Arthur Hunt, Charles Lofton, Joe
18	Stemple, Allison Carll-White were all
19	instrumental in creating this survey. Ned
20	Crankshaw and Mitzi Vernon were ex officio
21	members. I want to also thank Richard
22	Charnigo and Leslie Vincent for helping with
23	the survey after we created a draft of it.
24	And Stephanie Woolery was the maven who put
25	together the Qualtrics survey, so you can

thank	her	for	that,	as l	do
tnank	ner	TOT	mat,	as	u

- 2 So the charge of the Senate Academic
- 3 Facilities Committee includes the provision
- 4 of information and recommendations to the
- 5 senate about the alteration, construction and
- 6 allocation of all property and physical
- 7 facilities that may affect the education
- 8 objectives at the university.
- 9 We did take a vote last year and modify
- this by omitting a very detailed list of
- things that were under the committee's
- 12 purview, including up to musical instruments.

13	And I think that still needs to be updated on
14	the website, and maybe in the ARs.
15	We also served the administration a
16	source of faculty information and opinion
17	about these matters, and that was the impetus
18	behind this survey, to allow us to do that
19	job better.
20	I think the timeline for this is
21	significant, so let me just hit the high
22	points. We, initially the committee
23	voted, on my birthday I might add, to survey
24	the senators and the needs that the senate
25	saw as most critical. And then, later in

1	November, we appeared before the Senate
2	Council to ask permission to do this through
3	January. We drafted the survey, and that's
4	when Stephanie helped us with turning into a
5	Qualtrics survey. And then we got some
6	additional input from Richard and Leslie on
7	that.
8	It was February when we presented the
9	survey to the Senate Council and received
10	some additional suggestions. Then the survey
11	was presented by Senate Council Chair,
12	Jennifer Bird-Pollan in the March meeting.

13	And so the survey was not actually
14	administered until March 11th. Of course, we
15	were going on spring break and dealing with
16	the pandemic by that time, and so we also
17	extended the deadline, our initial deadline,
18	to April 6th.
19	So the results of this survey are
20	they're very much, you know, kind of a
21	product of their moment, and I think we need
22	to recognize that as we look at some of the
23	results. But it's a moment where we really
24	didn't know all the implications of the
25	pandemic yet.

1	And so it does reflect, kind of, long-
2	standing concerns of faculty. The format, as
3	many of you will remember, had 18 different
4	needs listed in the first question, and you
5	were asked to write them on a 5-point scale
6	from not critical to very critical.
7	The next series of responses, 2, 3 and 5
8	were open responses asking what specific
9	buildings people saw as most critical, in
10	most critical need of renovation or
11	replacement, asking what the issues were with

those, what other thoughts you had. But,

12

13	also, asking you to identify your unit in a
14	multiple-choice question.
15	The response rate was pretty good. We
16	got 40.7 percent response rate, 55 people in
17	all. The average by unit as almost 40
18	percent, so pretty close to the same. There
19	were there was one unit that every
20	representative in the Senate responded to.
21	There were a couple of smaller units where no
22	one of the 2 or 3 responded. I won't
23	identify those, but you know, I feel like
24	it's a pretty good sample across the
25	university by representatives in the senate.

1	I won't go through every need and tell
2	you what the ranking was, but the five most
3	critical needs that were identified were
4	upgrades and modernization of current
5	classroom spaces, additional parking. I
6	think at the moment additional parking is not
7	a crucial need, from what I've observed, but
8	it may be so again.
9	Renovation to ensure the safety and
10	health in the workspace, of course, is
11	something that we've seen quite a bit done

with recently.

12

13	Renovation to ensure ADA compliance and
14	additional classroom space. Then there's
15	some statistics there, but basically, these
16	were scored on the mean. The mean was about
17	4 points out of the 5. The mode on these
18	five most critical was 5, for very urgent.
19	And so and 65 percent of the respondents
20	scored it as 4 or 5. So these are widely
21	or at the moment were widely recognized
22	needs.
23	The least critical needs, and this is in
24	reverse order from very least to less least,
25	our expansion of athletic facilities,

1	renovation of athletic facilities, renovation
2	of recreational facilities, more on-campus
3	housing and expansion of recreational
4	facilities, with everything else kind of in
5	the middle.
6	We also asked which buildings were
7	recognized as in need of either renovation or
8	replacement, and these this set received
9	multiple mentions across multiple colleges.
10	So they're, kind of, widely recognized: White
11	Hall classroom building; Castle Hall; "The
12	Quad" of Bowman, Breckinridge, Kinkead and

13	Bradley; Chem-Phys, which has been receiving
14	some renovation; Blazer Dining, where
15	communications has been, and then the College
16	of Public Health. And people identified
17	either the College of Public Health,
18	generally, or the research facility more
19	specifically.
20	Within colleges, there was a wider range
21	of buildings. Of course, A&S is one that,
22	you know, is a college whose, kind of,
23	buildings are mostly used or which house the
24	departments and classroom spaces are also
25	widely used across campus. So those they

Unveristy of Kentucky Senate Council

October 12, 2020

1	also received multiple mentions across the
2	units.
3	In addition to White Hall, Castle,
4	Bowman, Chem-Phys, Funkhouser and Patterson
5	Office Tower, which houses most of the
6	faculty of the college, were identified. The
7	College of Agriculture, Food and Environment,
8	North and Garrigus buildings also received a
9	lot. There were a lot of concerns about
10	Blazer Dining, once again.
11	The College of Education, Taylor Ed. and

Dickey Hall are frequently recognized by

12

13	members of that college.
14	Engineering has a broader view of
15	things, I think. They seem to focus on the
16	engineering aspects, and so they identified
17	the academic core in general, as well as
18	Anderson.
19	Fine Arts Building. There's others on
20	Rose Lane. As I said, the CPH Building and
21	Research Facility, and the Nursing Building.
22	Now, all of these have you know, these
23	represent a variety of concerns, and let me
24	identify well, I'll get to those in a
25	moment. But environmental concerns are, kind

1	of, the most prevalent among these.
2	And then you can go to the report itself
3	to see the list of other specific buildings
4	oh, I thought I mentioned those other
5	specific buildings within colleges. So these
6	were just individual mentions, and yes, I was
7	the one who identified the archeology lab,
8	and a colleague recognized Lafferty Hall and
9	Anthropology.
10	But what I want to point out here is
11	that, simply, the number of mentions, kind of
12	the quantitative data don't necessarily

13	reflect the severity of the issue with the
14	building. There may be buildings that have,
15	you know, relatively few mentions that aren't
16	used widely, but that are really in critical
17	need. And there's a variety of those; the
18	Science and Engineering Library, in
19	particular, with regard to storage is one
20	that seems very critical.
21	So the issues, as I said, the most
22	prevalent issues, were environmental issues,
23	HVAC and ventilation, generally. These were
24	all related, right, mold, temperature and
25	climate control; some buildings were

1	experiencing flooding and leaking. ADA
2	compliance was identified for everything from
3	White Hall bathrooms to parts of POT to
4	various other places on campus.
5	Technology within the classrooms with
6	audiovisual and Wi-Fi at the time being
7	identified as particularly critical. I think
8	a lot's been done with regard particularly to
9	the recording of lectures recently, just poor
10	layouts of space. And then, as I mentioned,
11	general storage conditions, the
12	representatives of libraries identified

13	leaks, climate mold, the operation of compac
14	shelving in some cases. But for the Science
15	and Engineering Libraries, specifically,
16	these issues plus doors that don't seem to
17	function and a new elevator that's needed.
18	But each of you will recognize the
19	particular issues that you have within your
20	own colleges. And, again, you can look back
21	at the report we submitted in May for the
22	details on this.
23	I just want to conclude with a couple of
24	you know, a handful of thoughts. As I
25	said, you know, this survey was done right as

1	COVID-19 was erupting, and so it represents
2	frustrations with long-term issues in the
3	colleges, but not necessarily those that were
4	emerging at the time. And it was designed,
5	really, to give the committee a set of
6	priorities to start looking at more deeply.
7	That'll be Kevin's job, so have fun with
8	that, Kevin.
9	The COVID response, I think, has
10	addressed or begun to address some of these,
11	so as we know, there was a lot of new
12	technology put in classrooms in order to

13	provide synchronous, kind of, lecturing and
14	to record lectures that were being done.
15	We've seen a lot, of course, with Zoom and
16	with Echo 360. I think there will be, kind
17	of, an evaluation of which of those have
18	worked best. But as students return to
19	classrooms, you know, some of the other
20	issues with the classrooms are going to
21	remain important.
22	You know, progress has been made on
23	parking. We're not pressed for parking at
24	the moment, and the Limestone structure has
25	eased some of the previous parking problem.

1	So that may, you know, fall a bit in ranking.
2	But as students return, upgrading classrooms
3	or as more and more students are actually
4	on campus, in classrooms, the upgrading of
5	classrooms will be an issue. I don't know
6	how much more how much spacing out we're
7	going to continue to do for how long, but of
8	course, that becomes a space issue.
9	And then just general renovations for
10	safety and health and ADA compliance, I
11	think, are going to remain high-priority

12

areas.

13		So that's my report. I'm happy to take
14	qı	uestions.
15	CRAMER:	So in terms of, you know, what's
16	ha	appened with this since then, Chris served
17	as	the Senate's representative on the
18	fa	cilities workstream over the summer and was
19	ab	ole to, sort of, convey the message from
20	th	is survey into that work as well. And,
21	th	en, this report I forwarded relatively
22	lat	tely, towards the end of summer, to the
23	ad	Iministration to make sure they had it, but
24	Ιv	vanted to distance it as much as I could
25	fro	om the, sort of, immediate pandemic-related

- 1 response issues because some of these are
- 2 longer-term issues, but that was sent to the
- 3 administration for their advice. Do we have
- 4 a question or two for Chris? Kevin.
- 5 DONAHUE: Yeah. Hi, Chris. Thanks for your
- 6 leadership in putting this together. It kind
- 7 of occurred to me -- it didn't occur last
- 8 year when we were looking at this -- is that
- 9 a lot of these are, like, work-related, like
- repair, right, and I'm wondering how many of
- these things have been reported to Facilities
- 12 Management. You know, they have a work-

13		request form. Did you get any kind of
14		feedback from faculty you talked to in terms,
15		you know, if these things were reported and
16		just ignored or just a matter of not being
17		reported?
18 I	POOL:	I didn't really get feedback from
19		faculty, beyond my own department about
20		these. You know, we recognized that well,
21		in Lafferty, we've had you know, we've
22		reported things for years and there has been
23		some progress made, but I don't have as good
24		an understanding more generally.
25 1	ΡΟΝΔΙ	HIIF: Yes hecause we're planning on again

- 1 getting someone from Facilities Management to
- 2 attend on our subcommittee meetings. And
- 3 that'll probably be things we'll ask since it
- 4 seems like a lot of these things, even
- 5 looking at the comments, are just, like,
- 6 repair/work-form issues. Yeah.
- 7 POOL: Yeah. I mean, they write down, pretty
- 8 generally, between just, kind of, you know,
- 9 work issues and then student issues,
- 10 classroom issues. And they're interrelated,
- but, yes.
- 12 CRAMER: Shannon Oltmann.

13	OLTMA	ANN: I	can just sh	nare, anec	dotally, wit	:hin
14		the College	of Comm	unication	and Inform	natior
15		what are m	nain conce	rn is: Blaz	er Hall, Bla	zer
16		Dining Hall	. A numbe	er of these	e issues hav	⁄e
17		been raised	d, frankly,	repeatedl	y, to	
18		Facilities, a	nd their re	esponse h	as, sort of,	
19		been ad ho	oc or temp	orary fixe:	s. The feeli	ing
20		is that ther	e's not t	there's eit	her not	
21		money or r	not willpov	ver not	will to	
22		implement	more per	manent fi	xes. There	's one
23		data point	for you.			
24	POOL:	Than	ıks. And A	lan DeSar	itis was on	the
25		committee	last year	and had b	een for a co	ouple

- of years. And he represented that well to
- the committee, I think.
- 3 CRAMER: One last question for Chris. Trace
- 4 Williams.
- 5 WILLIAMS: Hey. I'm also a part of the College of
- 6 Communication and Information. So I
- 7 basically just agree with everything that
- 8 Ms. Oltmann said. I hope I pronounced that
- 9 correctly. But I just want to say that --
- 10 I'm just curious to know if there's any,
- like, again, long-term solutions for this?
- 12 I know that some faculty and staff in

13		the college have told us that in terms of
14		getting a new building for the College of
15		Communication and Information, they were told
16		that they had to fundraise the money
17		themselves. So I don't know how standard
18		this is for colleges to be responsible for
19		fundraising their own money, or how would the
20		university help out some? I just want to
21		know, for the students in the college and the
22		faculty and staff, how can we move forward in
23		the most positive way?
24	POOL:	Well, I don't know. I mean, certainly
25		there are buildings that have been funded by,

- 1 you know, fundraising through the units. The
- 2 Jacobs Science Building, for example, is a
- 3 prime example of that. But I think that
- 4 communications had been told they would be
- 5 moved out of the Blazer Hall, you know, at
- 6 some point, and that has not happened yet,
- 7 so...
- 8 And, Kevin, if you remember differently,
- 9 then please correct me on that.
- 10 CRAMER: All right. Thank you, Chris, for this.
- We will move on to our next item.
- 12 Annie Weber, are you ready to give an

13	update on changes
14	WEBER: Yeah.
15	CRAMER: for the CIP 2019-2020?
16	WEBER: I am. I'll just pull up the report in
17	case anybody has questions. I am battling a
18	bad cold, so I apologize if I sound like I
19	have COVID. Actually, I got tested on
20	Friday. I'm waiting for my results, so I'm
21	pretty sure it's just a cold.
22	So we have worked out a partnership with
23	the senate in the last few years where
24	changes to programs that involve only their
25	CIP codes get processed through my office,

- and then I come back to the Senate and tell
- 2 you what we did.
- 3 So a CIP is -- stands for the
- 4 classification if instructional programs.
- 5 This is the standard way that the federal
- 6 government matches programs that might have
- 7 different names across different
- 8 universities. It's not a restrictive list,
- 9 so -- and it doesn't -- it's not a list of
- what's allowed and what's not allowed. It
- tries to describe the various domains. So we
- had a lot of activity this year because the

13	Department of Education released the 2020 CIP
14	codes.
15	So prior to June, I guess, is when we
16	made the switch. We were using the codes
17	that were established in 2010. Now we have
18	switched to the codes for 2020. And we had
19	23 programs that changed their CIP codes due
20	to that. So how we did this is that, in my
21	office, we reviewed all of the new and
22	changing CIP codes.
23	If we had a program and a code that was
24	changing, or if we saw something that would
25	match better with a new code, we sent those

Unveristy of Kentucky Senate Council

October 12, 2020

1	lists to each college and asked them to make
2	a decision and only made any changes at their
3	request. So we were a little bit more
4	proactive this year because we had to get us
5	in line with the new codes.
6	But I'm happy to take questions.
7 CRAM	ER: Any questions for Annie Weber about the
8	CIP code changes for the last year? Let's
9	see. Davy?
10 JONE	S: Yes. Annie, was CPE substantively
11	involved with any hands-on here or are they,

more or less, letting you mind the backyard?

12

13	WEBER:	They let us mind the backyard. We
14	no	tified them of our changes so that all of
15	ou	r reporting would go through appropriately,
16	bu	t, yeah.
17	JONES:	Okay. Thank you.
18	CRAMER:	Any other questions for Annie about the
19	CIP	codes? Okay. Well, Annie's report's
20	ava	ailable with the agenda, so if you want to
21	go	through in detail and see what different
22	cha	anges were made, enjoy.
23		Now we're on to new business: Our next
24	ite	m, we have a process for changing the
25	gov	verning regulations of the university where

1	the changes are proposed to the Board of
2	Trustees once at a meeting, and then there's
3	opportunities for different constituencies to
4	endorse these changes. And then they're
5	finally adopted by the Board of Trustees at
6	the next meeting. This is the meeting that
7	our faculty trustees indicated is happening
8	later this week.
9	This is, specifically, proposed changes
10	to Governing Regulations II, which is about
11	governance of the University of Kentucky. We
12	have Senior Associate General Counsel, Marcy

13	Deaton. Marcy, do you want to give a brief
14	discussion of what this is?
15	DEATON: Thank you, Aaron. Yeah, so this particula
16	Governing Regulation II has two proposed
17	changes. They have already been to the board
18	and accepted for the first reading as
19	required by our GR XIII.
20	As you mentioned, GR XIII also requires
21	the Staff Senate, University Senate and SJA
22	to have the opportunity to recommend on the
23	proposed provisions prior to final approval,
24	and that's why we're here today. So the
25	first of these two revisions is on page 4.

Unveristy of Kentucky Senate Council

October 12, 2020

- 1 You all have the PDF in your package?
- 2 CRAMER: It should be -- well, I mean, they have
- 3 it with the agenda. It's linked in the PDF.
- 4 DEATON: Okay. Unless you want me to screen-
- 5 share it, if I know how?
- 6 CRAMER: If you want to, you can.
- 7 DEATON: Well, I'll try. I don't know how.
- 8 Okay. Wait. Maybe I do.
- 9 CRAMER: Marcy, put it up so we can share, if
- 10 needed, if there's a specific question that
- would be -- nope. You've got it. Never
- 12 mind.

13	DEATON:	I've got it.
14	CRAMER:	It's there. We're good.
15	DEATON:	So the first what you're looking at
16	right no	w is the Board action item that went
17	to the B	soard in September. So the first
18	change	relates to the Kentucky legislature
19	amendi	ng KRS 164.131(1)(d) in 2017 so that
20	the gov	ernor can remove board members from
21	state ur	niversities for cause pursuant to
22	criteria	and procedures that are outlined
23	into oth	er well, one other KRS in three
24	sections	s, and that's the KRS 63.080(2),(3)
25	and (4).	So what we did for our GR, because

1	SACS requires us to have a provision about
2	how board members can be removed, is we
3	needed to update our reference to the statute
4	and add the new language that the legislature
5	added in 2017.
6	So can you all still see my screen?
7	Because I can't see it on there anymore, but
8	I'm going to go down to page 4 and show you.
9	So what we did so we actually just added a
10	quote from the new revised KRS 164.131, and
11	there it is in yellow.

12 And because there was discussion at

13	Senate Council, I'll just point out that KRS
14	63.080, which contains the criteria and
15	procedures for the governor to remove board
16	members, clarifies that it only is the
17	appointed members, not the elected members.
18	So if the governor appoints board members,
19	these are the statutes that explain how the
20	governor can remove the members that he or
21	she appointed.
22	So should I take questions on these
23	separately, Aaron, or go through the next
24	part first?
25	CRAMER: Maybe just go through the parts, and

1		then if	yeah.	I think that's probably
2	DEATC	N:	Okay.	So that's the first revision.

- 3 The second one is on page 12, so I will go
- 4 all the way down there quickly. The reason
- 5 for this revision is to add a new
- 6 responsibility of the board, because SACS has
- 7 added a requirement that the Board conduct a
- 8 regular self-evaluation, and so this is an
- 9 entire new No. 12 here in yellow, which says
- that our board will define and evaluate
- itself, you know, per SACS.
- 12 And then if this gets -- once this

13	approved, we hope, in December at that board
14	meeting, not the one coming up right now in
15	October, that that will give us time to, at
16	least, conduct one or maybe two of the board
17	self-evaluations before our next SACS review.
18	CRAMER: Okay. Are there questions of fact
19	relating to the proposed changes to GR II?
20	Davy Jones.
21	JONES: Marcy, on the first sentence there of 12
22	you got it on the screen? "Define and
23	regularly evaluate the Board's
24	responsibilities and expectations." Is it
25	clear here that this means the board's

- 1 expectations of itself and not the Board's
- 2 expectations of the university?
- 3 DEATON: I would have to go and read the entire
- 4 SACS Standard for that, but I do have some
- 5 more information, but just give me a second.
- 6 I got my other screen up here. Let's see,
- 7 Davy. I have it -- no, I don't have it down
- 8 there. Wait a minute. I apologize. Just a
- 9 second. Okay. The SACS Standard on this --
- 10 let's see. It asked our board to ask itself,
- "How are we doing? What are we doing? Are we
- as effective as a board as we can be?" It's

13		SACS Standard 4.2.g. So I believe it's all
14		of their responsibilities, what they believe
15		them to be and what their statutory
16		responsibilities are.
17	JONES:	I think the word "expectations" is our
18		word here, rather than SACS's word?
19	DEATO	N: No. I don't have the actual SACS
20		Standard in front of me, but I would have to
21		think we used "expectations" if that was a
22		SACS word.
23	CRAM	ER: Marcy, can I ask you zoom in, sort of
24		as much as you can on the text that you're
25		focusing on for the senators

Unveristy of Kentucky

Senate Council

October 12, 2020

1 DEATON: Like, make it larger?

2 CRAMER: Yeah. Some of the senators might be

3 having some trouble seeing it.

4 DEATON: Okay. Hold on. Is that better?

5 CRAMER: At least 50 percent better.

6 DEATON: I can make it bigger.

7 JONES: Since this is SACS, is Annie still

8 online? Does she --

9 DEATON: Yeah. If Annie's online, we could see

10 what it -- I'm not sure what the actual SACS

11 (inaudible) is.

12 CRAMER: I was going to call on Annie Weber next,

13	so Annie? Annie, I think you can talk now.
14 WEBE	R: How about now?
15 CRAM	ER: Yes.
16 WEBE	R: Okay. Sorry about that. The exact
17	wording of the standard is, "The governing
18	board defines and regularly evaluates its
19	responsibilities and expectations." So it's
20	referring to the responsibilities of the
21	board and the expectations of the board.
22 CRAM	ER: Did you have another comment there,
23	Annie, or was that what
24 WEBEI	R: No. I was just going to try to help add
25	to the questions you already had on the

1 floor.

2 DEATON: Am I speaking?

3 CRAMER: Yes.

4 DEATON: Okay. So I would just say that whenever

- 5 we possible can, when adding something like
- 6 this to a GR, like the changed statute on
- 7 board removal or this new SACS requirement,
- 8 you know, if we can use the exact language
- 9 from, you know, a statute or a SACS Standard,
- we do. So we did.
- 11 CRAMER: Okay. I have been remiss in reminding
- people that when they speak, they should

13		state their name and also their college, so
14		I'm getting ready to call on Ken Calvert, who
15		is going to say, "Ken Calvert, College of
16		Engineering," before he speaks. Ken, go.
17	CALVEI	RT: Ken Calvert, College of Engineering, and
18		recognizing the irony of that comment, Aaron.
19		But am I the only one that feels like there's
20		a little bit of a disconnect between the
21		phrase, "regularly evaluate its
22		responsibilities and expectations," and the
23		phrase the description, "systematically
24		ask itself how are we doing." I mean, what
25		are those responsibilities and expectations?

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	Responsibilities is clear. I'm not sure
2	about expectations, but the second the
3	"how are we doing things" sounds more like
4	evaluating its performance, which seems like
5	a different thing to me. I mean, maybe I'm
6	just being pedantic there, but I just wanted
7	to bring that up.
8 CRAM	ER: Marcy, do you have any
9 DEATO	ON: Well, again, those questions that we
10	added to the board action item to try to help
11	explain this requirement are directly from
12	what SACS has in their guidebook on what

13	we're supposed to answer when we do this
14	evaluation. So, you know, I actually, I
15	don't see a lot of difference in, you know,
16	how are we evaluating our "self" performing
17	our responsibilities. That is how we're
18	doing, so I don't see much difference there,
19	personally.
20	CRAMER: Davy Jones.
21	ONES: This is a SACS requirement, and we have
22	an imminent SACS review coming up, and I
23	think there was the word "annually" here.
24	Maybe the two trustees or Annie, is there an
25	expectation an anticipation that there

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

- 1 will be at least one of these things happen
- 2 before the actual upcoming SACS review?
- 3 CRAMER: I thought Marcy said that --
- 4 DEATON: That is our hope, yes. That is the
- 5 plan.
- 6 CRAMER: Okay. So given the structure in which
- 7 we -- the board hears a change to the GR,
- 8 then the various representative bodies have
- 9 an opportunity to endorse the changes. And
- then the board votes, finally, on those
- changes. What we're being asked to do now is
- 12 endorse the proposed changes to Governing

13	Regulations II. This is not a motion from
14	the committee. This is a motion that should
15	be made by a senator. So if a senator would
16	like to make this motion now, that would be
17	in order. Bob Grossman.
18	GROSSMAN: Yes. I so move.
19	CRAMER: Okay. Gail Brion.
20	BRION: Seconded.
21	CRAMER: So we have a motion and a second that
22	the University Senate endorses the proposed
23	changes to GR II. Is there any debate on
24	this motion? Seeing none, then we'll call
25	for a vote. The motion on the floor is that

1	the University Senate endorse the proposed
2	changes to Governing Regulations II. For the
3	voting senators, raise your hand if you'd
4	like to vote yes on this motion. Okay. I'm
5	going to lower all the hands. If you'd like
6	to vote no on this motion, please raise your
7	hand.
8	I'm going to lower the hands. And if
9	you'd like to abstain on this motion, please
10	raise your hand. Tell me when you have them.
11	That motion passes. Thank you, Marcy.

The next item on the agenda is the

12

13	annual report from the Senate's Advisory
14	Committee on Privilege and Tenure. Michael
15	Healy is the chair of this very hardworking
16	committee. Is Michael set up? I think he's
17	only presenting audibly. Michael, go ahead
18	and unmute yourself when you're ready.
19 HEALY	Sorry. Actually, I thought I was on the
20	whole time. Okay. You know, I'm giving the
21	2019-2020 report for the Advisory Committee
22	on Privilege and Tenure. I began work as
23	chair last summer. I had the good fortune of
24	being able to meet with Jenny Minier, who had
25	done this work previously, and she gave me a

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	good background in what the committee's work
2	is and how it conducts itself.
3	And we ended up having a very busy year,
4	which began when we considered this two cases
5	of dismissal from employment regarding
6	research misconduct. The committee had those
7	cases under consideration through the fall
8	and provided the president with our
9	recommendation at the end of the fall
10	semester.
11	We then had a case involving a potential
12	tenure issue that came up that just involved

13	preliminary discussion, but nothing ever came
14	of that case. No appeal was brought.
15	And then toward the end of the academic
16	year, we had a case involving a claim of
17	potential constructive dismissal of a faculty
18	member that was resolved informally. There
19	was no recommendation from the committee as
20	to that.
21	And then we had a case involving a claim
22	of a violation of privilege. It was a
23	scholar that was ongoing at the time that I
24	submitted my report on behalf of the
25	committee. And I just wanted to I did

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	want to thanks my committee members for the
2	hard work that they did on this committee
3	during the academic year. I was honored to
4	work with Carl Bradley, Christia Brown, Karen
5	Butler, Brian MacPherson, Kevin Schuer, Kate
6	Seago, David Silverstein and Greg Smith, who
7	were excellent colleagues on the committee.
8	And that's, basically, a summary of what
9	we did during the year.
10	CRAMER: Okay. So I can confirm I've only been,
11	sort of, loosely aware of the committee's

work until recently when I had the

12

13	opportunity to observe some of the
14	deliberations. They're very thoughtful,
15	think through issues very carefully, and do
16	their work in a way that's a credit to us.
17	Any questions from the assembled for
18	the go ahead and use the "raise-hand"
19	feature if you have questions for Michael.
20	Davy Jones.
21	JONES: Aaron and Michael, have either of you in
22	the past year been at a vantage point to
23	know: Has there been an official-called
24	meeting in the past year of the Senate
25	hearing panel?

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

Unveristy of Kentucky

Senate Council

October 12, 2020

- 1 HEALY: I don't know.
- 2 CRAMER: I don't know of any situation off-hand.
- 3 I would also guess -- I think Jennifer Bird-
- 4 Pollan's on here. I don't know if she has
- 5 any knowledge on --
- 6 BIRD-POLLAN: I do not think that that committee has
- 7 met.
- 8 CRAMER: That was my thought, too. Any other
- 9 questions for Michael? Okay. Well, I would
- 10 thank Michael for his continued service on
- this important committee.
- 12 HEALY: Thanks.

13	CRAME	R: The next it's convenient we had
14	J	lennifer already, like, ready to go, because
15	\$	she's going to be presenting with Martha
16	,	Alexander on the next item, which is a report
17	f	from the joint Senate Council President
18	V	working group on sexual assault and sexual
19	I	narassment policies.
20		This was co-chaired by past Senate
21	(Council Chair, Jennifer Bird-Pollan. Martha
22	,	Alexander's title is very long, and I'll get
23	i	t wrong, so I'm not going to quite attempt
24	i	t. It's related to, specifically, the new
25	-	Title VIII Regulations that were released

Unveristy of Kentucky Senate Council

October 12, 2020

- 1 over the summer from the Department of
- 2 Education and what the university needed to
- 3 do to respond to those changes. So,
- 4 Jennifer, are you speaking first, or do you
- 5 have --
- 6 BIRD-POLLAN: Yeah.
- 7 CRAMER: Do you have slides, or...
- 8 BIRD-POLLAN: I don't have any slides. I'm just going
- 9 to talk, so -- and I'm going to do most of
- the talking today. Martha is here, as are
- several members of our committee, mainly to,
- sort of, answer your questions. But we are

13	here to gather your feedback, so thanks to
14	Aaron and the Senate Council for giving us
15	some time today.
16	I'm just going to give you some brief
17	background on where this report comes from
18	The report was available with your agenda for
19	today. It's a robust and detailed report,
20	but created with a lot of effort and care by
21	the members of this committee. So a little
22	bit of background for those of you who are
23	new to this area: Title IX is the federal
24	statute that prohibits discrimination on the
25	basis of sex and access to education. So

1	many of us knew about Title IX, primarily,
2	because of the requirement that it made that
3	women and girls have access to sports. That
4	was where Title IX got it's first prominence.
5	But under the Obama Administration,
6	there was some specific guidance that
7	expanded not necessarily expanded, but
8	clarified that Title IX had implications for
9	universities faced with sexual assault and
10	sexual harassment on campus.
11	And so the University of Kentucky has an
12	obligation under Title IX to protect students

13	from these extreme forms of sex
14	discrimination. Sexual assault and sexual
15	harassment under Title IX are extreme forms
16	of sex discrimination. So the university has
17	an obligation to prevent those from happening
18	on campus, to do everything it can to prevent
19	them. And when they're fed allegations of
20	this kind of discrimination, the university
21	has an obligation to act.
22	So that's my 5 no, 30-second
23	background on Title IX. You might remember,
24	if you've been on the Senate for a while,
25	that this is actually the second committee to

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	deal with Title IX in the past few years.
2	Some people will remember that back in May of
3	2018 the Senate voted to endorse a new
4	version of Administrative Regulation 6:2,
5	which is our campus sexual assault and sexual
6	harassment policy, which encompasses Title
7	IX. That was the result of, also, about 18
8	months' worth of closer to two years worth
9	of effort on the part of that committee,
10	which I also chaired.
11	But, then, Secretary Betsy DeVos of the
12	Department of Education released new federal

13	guidance in November of 2018, so just about
14	six months after we had released our new
15	regulation on UK at the UK campus.
16	And so, again, our university needed to
17	be prepared to respond, so in January of 2019
18	we formed this joint working group, which is
19	co-sponsored by the Senate Council and
20	President Capilouto. We had members from
21	representatives of the Staff Senate, the SGA
22	and the Senate. Not necessarily members, but
23	sponsors nominated by those different groups.
24	So we had faculty, staff and students on this
25	committee, and we started meeting in January

1	of 2019 with the hope and expectation that
2	the federal guidance would be released
3	shortly thereafter, but it wasn't actually
4	released until May of 2020.
5	You probably saw this in the news: Betsy
6	DeVos released the new Title IX guidance, the
7	federal regulations in May of 2020 with the
8	requirement that certain elements of those
9	new rules be implemented by August 14th of
10	2020. So great timing in the midst of a
11	national pandemic and university settings

where most people were off-contract.

12

13	But, nonetheless, the committee
14	continued to respect and respond to its
15	charge, and we met pretty regularly over the
16	course of this past summer in order closely
17	review the guidance, the regulations, and
18	prepare a response.
19	You received an email from President
20	Capilouto in August which let you know about
21	a new interim AR 6:2. That went into effect
22	on August 14th in order to ensure that the
23	university was in compliance with the
24	Department of Education Regulations. But the
25	thing was, there were certain things the

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	university had to do and had to do those by
2	August 14th, but there were also several
3	items that were left up to the discretion of
4	the university, and that's really why we're
5	here today. And that's the substance of most
6	of the memo that was shared with the agenda
7	So we had a survey to solicit community
8	feedback. That survey was open for about 2
9	1/2 weeks. And we were asking for specific
10	feedback on the five issues that I'm about to
11	go over with you: We received about 50
12	replies, primarily, from students and staff.

13	Some were anonymous, so we don't know who
14	submitted those. Only about five faculty
15	replied to the survey, at least who
16	identified themselves as faculty.
17	And the other thing that the committee
18	wanted to do was to get formal feedback from
19	the Staff Senate, the University Senate and
20	the SGA. So I met with the Staff Senate last
21	week with Jeff Bosken, who's a staff member
22	who was on our committee, and on Wednesday
23	evening, I and a couple of members of our
24	committee the student members and some of
25	the faculty members will go to a student

T	senate meeting	as well.	20 I m	going to

- 2 briefly lay out the five issues.
- 3 If you read the memo, this is already
- 4 familiar to you, but just a brief recap for
- 5 those of you who didn't have a chance: Five
- 6 issues, four of which, I think, are -- well,
- 7 the committee came to a universal -- sorry --
- 8 a unanimous recommendation with respect to --
- 9 the fifth one is the hard one and the one I'd
- 10 really like to hear feedback from you that we
- could share with the president.
- So the first issue was, should our

13	policy which is our Administrative
14	Regulation 6:2 should it be broader than
15	is required by Title IX?
16	So we could have one policy in place to
17	address the claims that are brought under
18	Title IX, things specifically prohibited by
19	Title IX. And then a separate policy related
20	to other prohibited behaviors associated with
21	sexual harassment and sexual assault. The
22	committee's view was that dividing the
23	policies in that way was both confusion and
24	complicated, and that the recommendation of
25	the committee the unanimous recommendation

1	was to, again, keep all of our policies
2	related to sexual assault and sexual
3	harassment in the same regulation, even those
4	that went broader than were specifically
5	required by Title IX.
6	The second issue is whether our policy
7	under AR 6:2 should reach further than is
8	required by Title IX with respect to
9	jurisdictional limits. So Title IX does not
10	require that we have a policy that addresses
11	alleged violations that occur off-campus in
12	nonschool-sponsored events.

13	So, for example, in an off-campus
14	apartment, allegation of sexual assault or
15	harassment in an off-campus apartment would
16	not fall under Title IX. It also doesn't
17	require the policy to apply outside the
18	United States, like on a study-abroad
19	program, but the unanimous recommendation,
20	again, of the committee, was to maintain the
21	current jurisdictional reach of our policy,
22	which would include both such scenarios.
23	The third issue is whether or not to
24	prohibit sexual exploitation under our rule.
25	The current definition of sexual exploitation

1	in our regulation is the non-consensual or
2	abuse taking non-consensual or abusive
3	sexual advantage of another, including
4	situations in which the conduct does not fall
5	within the specific definitions of sexual
6	harassment or sexual assault. It's not
7	explicitly covered by Title IX. This might
8	be, for instance, taking photos of someone
9	without their knowledge, and again, the
10	committee unanimously recommended that AR 6:2
11	continue to prohibit sexual exploitation.

The fourth and final non-controversial

13	recommendation of the committee has to do
14	with alternative dispute resolution
15	strategies. So Title IX the new Title IX
16	guidance does not require that universities
17	offer alternative dispute resolution options
18	to people involved in Title IX disputes, but
19	it permits universities to do that.
20	Our committee unanimously recommended
21	that UK think about this and explore options
22	here. We viewed it as, sort of, both beyond
23	the scope of our committee and also not
24	possible to do in our time limit to think
25	about what that would look like in detail,

- 1 but we did think that it was something that
- 2 the university should explore.
- 3 So the final, and then, I think, in my
- 4 view and the view of the committee, the most
- 5 controversial and difficult issue to resolve
- 6 is the standard of proof question.
- 7 So currently any hearing under AR 6:2 is
- 8 operated using a preponderance of the
- 9 evidence standard, meaning that the hearing
- panel will determine that with slightly more
- than 50 percent likelihood the person did or
- 12 did not commit the alleged act. So that's

13	the standard we've used as long as we've had
14	these hearings.
15	The other option for a standard would be
16	something called the clear and convincing
17	evidence standard, which is generally
18	understood to be closer to 70 or 80 percent
19	certainty that the action did or didn't
20	occur. So it's a much higher burden for the
21	university to prove in order to have a
22	finding of responsibility.
23	Title IX, the new guidance, does not
24	require one or the other of these standards.
25	So it is up to UK to decide which to use.

1	But the new guidance introduces a more
2	complicating rule for us, which is that the
3	new requirements require that all hearings
4	that determine responsibility for alleged
5	violations of Title IX use the same standard
6	of evidence. They all have to use the same
7	standard of evidence. The reason this raises
8	an issue for us is that well, okay. So
9	under the current arrangement, if a faculty
10	member is held responsible in an AR 6:2
11	hearing, which uses the preponderance of the
12	evidence standard, one consequence of that

13	might be that the university seeks to
14	terminate that faculty member.
15	If they did that, there are several
16	steps we have in place that would be required
17	following steps with respect to the
18	termination process; one of which is that
19	they would go to Mike Healy's committee, who
20	you just heard from. And the second of which
21	is what Davy Jones just asked about, which is
22	the University Hearing Panel on Privilege and
23	Tenure.
24	So we have a formal hearing process
25	it's a Senate committee that gets written

1	into the governing regulations as a
2	protection for faculty who are being
3	terminated. The standard of evidence for
4	that committee is the clear and convincing
5	standard, which means that built into our
6	current system is a conflict between the two
7	standards if the university seeks termination
8	because of an alleged Title IX violation.
9	The final step for any faculty member
10	who's being terminated is a hearing in front
11	of the Board of Trustees. The Board of
12	Trustees, under state law, have wide

13	discretion about how they do that hearing.
14	And so, again, they could use a higher
15	standard of evidence, which would, again, be
16	in violation of Title IX.
17	So the final step of the memo is to
18	offer to you the five scenarios that our
19	committee came up with as solutions to this
20	problem. Of the five, only two seem workable
21	to us, although we never had a unanimous
22	agreement on which would be best. I'm going
23	to just describe the two that the majority of
24	people thought was a possibility, but again,
25	we did not express preferences between these

1	two. The first feasible option would be to
2	change the standard of the termination
3	proceedings to preponderance of the evidence
4	in cases where the university was seeking to
5	terminate a faculty member because of a
6	finding of responsibility in an AR 6:2
7	hearing. So that would mean that the
8	subsequent hearings would all happen with the
9	preponderance of the evidence standard,
10	which, again, is a lower standard than is
11	currently in place.

The second option would be to say that

13	in AR 6:2 hearings we distinguish between
14	hearings where the university seeks
15	termination of the accused, that meaning
16	either expulsion of the student from school,
17	termination of the faculty or staff member.
18	We distinguish between those kinds of
19	hearings and hearing with a less-severe
20	sanction. So hearings that might result in a
21	student having to have a temporary
22	suspension, but that they could come back, or
23	a faculty member on a six-month leave or
24	something like that, or changes to their work
25	requirements. And on those in those

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	hearings, the standard would remain the lower
2	standard of preponderance of the evidence.
3	So, and as I said, there were a couple
4	of others that we came up with. We are
5	extremely eager to hear from you if you have
6	alternatives, but I'm also, just generally,
7	eager to hear what your thoughts are. Are
8	committee will meet again, probably in about
9	two weeks, to summarize all that we hear in
10	this meeting and our other two meetings and
11	the feedback we received from the community

12

forum.

13		So I'm happy to talk now, answer
14		questions or hear your comments, but also,
15		you can always reach me by email or phone and
16		I would happily talk to anybody who wants to
17		talk more about this.
18	ROHR:	Jennifer, this is Jurgen Rohr, College
19		of Pharmacy. I have a question to Title IX:
20		How is that affecting, like, events at
21		football, at regular times? I mean, when
22		everybody likes these tailgate events, which
23		are partly on campus, partly not?
24	BIRD-P	OLLAN: So the distinction is, is it an
25		on-campus space or at a university-sponsored

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	event? So the question will depend on
2	whether, you know, if the tailgate happens on
3	campus, on physical campus property, it's
4	certainly covered by Title IX. If it happens
5	at a university-sponsored event that's
6	off-campus, it's also covered by Title IX.
7	But if it happened at a private event
8	off-campus, it would not be covered by Title
9	IX, but under our current policy, the way
10	it's written, it would still be a prohibited
11	behavior under our university policy.

So it would still be a violation of AR

13 6:2 and it would still be eligible
14 eligible is the wrong word. There would
still be a hearing if there was an accusation
16 that this had occurred.
17 ROHR: Thank you.
18 CRAMER: Lee Blonder.
19 BLONDER: Yes. Lee Blonder, Faculty Trustee.
Jennifer, I believe that the AAUP recommends
clear and convincing evidence; are you aware
22 of that?
23 BIRD-POLLAN: Yeah. I think that's right, and I know
Davy Jones is on here and he may have he
25 actually Davy was great over the summer.

1 He reached out to, sort of, our equivalent at 2 a number of different universities to ask how 3 they were handling this. 4 So the AAUP issued a paper where, in 5 their view, they thought that the subsequent 6 faculty termination proceedings could have 7 that higher standard and that that wouldn't 8 necessarily violate Title IX, even if that was with a higher standard, and the AR 6:2 or 9 10 equivalent hearing happened with a lower 11 standard. I think that is a live question.

I think we don't know how the federal

13	government feels about that. It's, at least,
14	pushing up against what people what the
15	federal government has issued as guidance to
16	say that you could have the AR 6:2 hearing
17	with the preponderance of the evidence and
18	the termination proceeding with clear and
19	convincing, but that is the question.
20	The other thing I should say is that
21	and Martha can, maybe, help me out here.
22	It's my understanding that, actually, right
23	now there are only two universities in the
24	whole country that use clear and convincing
25	as the standard of evidence for Title IX

Unveristy of Kentucky Senate Council

October 12, 2020

1 hearings. Martha, are you out there? Can 2 you --3 ALEXANDER: I'm here. That was the case before the 4 new regulations became effective. I'm not 5 sure what the status of that is right now. I 6 haven't heard of any changing their standard 7 to be higher than it was. 8 BIRD-POLLAN: So we knew that before, and obviously, this is all still, sort of, a live question 9 for lots of universities. 10

12 GROSSMAN: Yeah, this -- sorry. Bob Grossman, A&S.

Bob Grossman.

11 CRAMER:

13	•	This idea of changing the standard depending
14	ı	on whether expulsion or termination is a
15		possibility versus whether it's not a
16		possibility, so does would this preclude a
17		finding of responsibility but imposing a
18		lower penalty than termination or expulsion?
19		Do you understand my question?
20	BIRD-PO	OLLAN: Well, I do. I think this is, actually
21		for those people who did not like this
22		option, I think this is one of the concerns,
23		right, is that we'd have to consider how to
24	,	create such a policy. Like, would it be the
25		case that there were multiple sanctions

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	sought by the university, some of which were
2	the most severe, and therefore, then also
3	required the highest standard of proof, some
4	of which were less severe.
5	I think there is, sort of, a policy
6	concern here about you know, this is a
7	legislative design question; right? It's a
8	policy concern about will the university stop
9	seeking the most severe penalty if they have
10	to meet that higher threshold? Is that
11	something we would be okay with or something
12	we don't want to see happen? You know, is

13	there a way to design it so tha	it there are
14	multiple options on the table	for the hearing
15	panel to consider? I think, yo	u know, it's,
16	obviously, much more compli	cated and more
17	confusing, I think, for the hear	ring panelists
18	and for both complainants an	d respondents
19	going through the system to t	hink about
20	something with a multi-tier st	andard
21	associated with that.	
22	CRAMER: Jon?	
23	CHAIT: I have a question, and t	hat is: To me,
24	if I understand you right, Jenn	ifer, we could
25	have a situation where a facul	ty member was

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	found guilty under Title IX of sexual
2	harassment or even sexual assault, and yet,
3	because it was the preponderance of evidence
4	standard, might not be terminated because the
5	standard was clear and convincing evidence.
6	And I think that would be very awkward. I
7	think that would leave the university an
8	extraordinarily awkward and I think from a
9	PR standpoint, to me, untenable, but I
10	suppose that's in the eye of the beholder
11	position. That to turn around and it
12	would sound like somebody, you know, in the

13	minds of the public, got off on a
14	technicality.
15	BIRD-POLLAN: I mean, I think you put your finger on
16	exactly what is concerning about this. Yeah.
17	I'll just say that. Thank you for that.
18	CRAMER: Molly Blasing.
19	BLASING: Molly Blasing, A&S. Jennifer, I am not
20	familiar with how these hearings proceed.
21	Who typically determines what is being
22	sought, termination or otherwise, and are
23	there currently different levels? Is it the
24	person accusing or the university? And I
25	think I'm having trouble understanding if

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	we're talking about faculty situations or
2	faculty and student situations as your first
3	option. Is that higher standard, Board of
4	Trustees, is that only for faculty
5	termination but not a student's termination?
6	So two questions: How does this typically
7	operate in the hearings, and then could you
8	clarify for me if we're talking only about
9	faculty or faculty and students?
10 BIRD-	POLLAN: Yeah. So this is the new rule
11	introduced by the Department of Education,

basically, that you can't have a different

13	standard for faculty than you have for
14	students. So if a faculty member is accused,
15	the standard of evidence must be the same as
16	it would be if a student were accused or a
17	staff member. And that has always been true
18	for us at our university. It's always been
19	preponderance of the evidence, regardless of
20	who the respondent was.
21	The complainant is the person who has,
22	sort of, alleged the violation of the policy,
23	but it's the university who brings the
24	charge. So in this way, right, it sort of
25	mirrors the structure of a criminal

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	proceeding because it's the university, the
2	state, that sort of says this allegation
3	this happened. Here's the evidence. And
4	it's usually Martha Alexander and her team
5	who've done the investigation. And then, you
6	know, maybe I'll turn it over to Martha to
7	see who actually actually, I'm not sure I
8	know that, who makes the decision to move
9	forward, but it is a university-level
10	decision, not a decision of the person who's
11	made the complaint.

12 ALEXANDER: The decision to move forward and the

13	charges that an individual are charged with
14	are made by the Title IX coordinator.
15	Currently, that's me, but if it were anyone
16	else, it would still be the Title IX
17	coordinator.
18	And the way that that is done is what
19	we're calling the probable cause
20	determination stage, which is after an
21	investigation and then after both parties
22	have responded to a preliminary investigative
23	report. So, based on the facts of that
24	report, the Title IX coordinator is tasked
25	with determining whether there's probable

1	cause to move the matter forward to a
2	hearing, and at that stage, determines what
3	the appropriate charge is.
4	BIRD-POLLAN: And the one other thing to say about

- 5 that is, you know, the reason that the
- 6 problem -- we describe the problem in terms
- 7 of the faculty termination proceeding is
- 8 because that's the one that involves a
- 9 standard that's actually written into the
- 10 governing regulations. So that's where we'd
- really have to see if -- whatever happens,
- something has to change with regard to our

13	policy, because we have a conflict written
14	into our regulations. Students who are
15	expelled, or I think we just call it
16	suspended, they're not eligible for another
17	hearing, at least as far as I know, after
18	they're found responsible under a Title IX
19	hearing or an AR 6:2 hearing.
20	Staff do have another hearing, but the
21	level of I just don't think there's that
22	much procedure written into the staff
23	termination hearing proceedings the way there
24	is for faculty. So that's why the
25	distinction and the problem is most dramatic

1	when you think about it in the context of
2	faculty.
3 CRAM	ER: Richard Charnigo.
4 CHARI	NIGO: Richard Charnigo, Public Health. It
5	seems like whatever might be done here would
6	have pros and cons, but I would just like to
7	weigh in and say that the idea of a student
8	being expelled or a faculty member being
9	terminated if it were thought there's a 55
10	percent chance the person is guilty, that
11	makes me very uncomfortable. Preponderance

of the evidence feels like a very weak

12

13	standard for a drastic course of action.
14	And, in my own daily life on small matters, I
15	don't like to decide things based on 55
16	percent, for example.
17	The other thing I'll point out: Without
18	making a prediction about the outcome of the
19	election in November and without saying which
20	way one person wants it to go or another
21	person wants it to go, the reality is it is
22	very possible there might be a different
23	administration in a few months. And if
24	that's so, would it make sense, if that
25	happens, to appeal this difficult aspect of

1	the guidance. And, maybe, if that were
2	changed, a solution might be found for our
3	institution that might be preferable to
4	either option being considered now. Thank
5	you.
6 BIRD-	POLLAN: So that's a very good point, Richard. I
7	mean, I think just maybe I'm state the
8	complete obvious here, but Department of
9	Education is an administrative agency, and of
10	course, the guidance that we had under the
11	Obama administration is different than the
12	guidance we have under the Trump

13	administration. We don't know what is coming
14	down the pike and what that guidance might
15	look like in the future.
16	And this is the frustration of this job,
17	right, as I said. We enacted AR 6:2 in June
18	of 2018, and then it got new guidance in
19	November. So it's a good point, and I think,
20	maybe, our committee can make that
21	recommendation to the president.
22	If a GR is going to be changed, as we
23	just heard from Marcy, it has to go before
24	the board twice and it has to come through
25	Staff Senate, SGA and University Senate. So

1	if we moved in the direction of the GR
2	changing, that's a longer-term process.
3	Obviously, we're not going to be on the
4	October board meeting. It's earliest at the
5	December board meeting, and that would only
6	be the first of two hearings. So, certainly,
7	before that process was finished, we would
8	know who the next president would be.
9	So that's a very good point and
10	something worth remembering. Thanks,
11	Richard.

12 CRAMER: So I think that Jennifer and Martha

13	would be glad to have any sort of further
14	comments or anything via email, so please do
15	that. I think that this is, obviously,
16	something that affects faculty employment.
17	It affects how students are regarded and so
18	forth as well, so please do that. Davy, do
19	you have one final comment on this?
20	JONES: Yeah. So what is the actual last moment
21	that people can send comments in? Like, if
22	we got a college faculty council meeting
23	coming up next week, is it too late for them
24	to discuss it and when is the actual hard
25	deadline here for the comments to come in?

1	BIRD-POLLAN: So the survey, the online survey, is
2	closed already. I think we're going to hope
3	for a faculty I'm sorry for a committee
4	meeting the week of the 26th of October. So
5	anything we could get before then, we'll be
6	able to inform our final what I hope will
7	be our final committee meeting. But, you
8	know, I think anything people want to send in
9	in the next two weeks or so would be
10	something we would find a way to share with
11	the president as well.

12 CRAMER: Okay. So you've got two weeks to send

13	further comments to Jennifer and Martha.
14	Don't wait. The earlier you can share with
15	the committee, the working group, the earlier
16	they can, sort of, consider that and
17	incorporate it within their recommendations
18	to the president.
19	All right. The next item: At the last
20	Senate meeting there were some concerns
21	raised regarding international faculty, and
22	so we have the Associate Provost for
23	Internationalization, Sue Roberts, here to
24	provide an update on International Center
25	activities with respect this topic. Sue, I

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

Unveristy of Kentucky

Senate Council

October 12, 2020

- think when you're set up, you can share your
- 2 screen. Is she muted still?
- 3 ROBERT: Okay. Is that --
- 4 CRAMER: Yep.
- 5 ROBERT: Can everybody see the one slide, not the
- 6 presenter -- okay. Great. Thank you,
- 7 Dr. CRAMER:, and hello everybody. It's good
- 8 to be with you virtually to give you an
- 9 update on matters since the issue was raised
- 10 at the Senate's last meeting regarding what's
- going on with green card processing for
- faulty. So if you'll all indulge me, I'll

13	take you through a presentation on what we're
14	doing in this way.
15	So I'll briefly tell you what the
16	International Center does. I'll introduce
17	you to the work of the International Student
18	and Scholar Services team, which is the team
19	that oversees all matters to do with
20	immigration.
21	I'll give you a quick overview of some
22	numbers, tell you a little bit about the
23	current stresses we're under. Not just us,
24	but our international students and faculty
25	colleagues. And then, this is the meat of

1	it, talk to you about the H-1B Visa to
2	permanent residency, also known as green card
3	process. And, specifically, I will tell you
4	how we have responded to the concerns that
5	were raised in the Senate meeting last time
6	you guys met.
7	So really briefly, the International
8	Center is kind of the hub of organizing
9	resources to support internationalization at
10	UK. And we are advised by an international
11	advisory council of faculty from each of the
12	colleges.

13	So there's a list of these faculty
14	representatives on the website, and they are
15	your, kind of, connection to the
16	International Center. But you are also free
17	to directly contact us, of course, with any
18	concerns or issues.
19	The International Center is made up of
20	several units. You probably know some of
21	their work. You may have come across
22	Education Abroad. You may have worked with
23	Tim Barnes on some partnership and agreement
24	issues. You may know about the Confucius
25	Institutes programs. You may know about the

1	Global Health Initiative, and you may have
2	registered your international travel through
3	the travel registry, which is part of our
4	International Health Safety and Security
5	office, which provides support to all UK
6	traveling students and employees, faculty and
7	staff.
8	But the issue sorry. The matter
9	about which I'm before you is to do with the
10	work of the International Student and Scholar
11	Services Team. And this group of individuals
12	work with students and faculty and post docs

13	on immigration advising and compliance. The
14	support international students with an eye to
15	student success, well-being and retention,
16	and they put on some intercultural and social
17	programming.
18	And they support hiring and hosting
19	scholars, scientists, physicians and a range
20	of expert individuals that come to campus,
21	either in a permanent role or temporarily.
22	You know, probably, about our
23	international students. We have both
24	undergrads and grads. The gray line here is
25	graduate and professional students, so you'll

1	see it's very typical for us to have more
2	graduate and professional students from
3	outside the United States than we do
4	undergraduates from outside the U.S.
5	These are last year's numbers: We're
6	down about 20 percent in terms of
7	international students or 15 to 20 percent.
8	You probably know all about the problems of
9	students getting visas to come to the United
10	States in time, and also, some travel
11	restrictions put on, not by the United
12	States, but by other countries that made

13	travel physically impossible for some of our
14	students.
15	But a number you might so here is the
16	183 H-1B faculty and staff. So these are
17	folks who are hired here on this H-1B visa to
18	come and work at UK. And so you'll see in
19	this long list of everything that ISS or
20	ISSS, we sometimes call them, does.
21	You'll see that Immigration Services is
22	a major feature of what they do. And they
23	support colleges and departments and other
24	units when it comes to things like hiring
25	international personnel.

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1 So I just want to quickly, probably,

belabor something that you're aware of. The

2

- 3 little clipping here at the bottom of the
- 4 slide is actually from yesterday's New York
- 5 Times where I don't know if you read the
- 6 editorial board's op-ed, but basically, the
- 7 headline here says, "Trump's overhaul of
- 8 immigration is worse than you think," which
- 9 didn't exactly make my day. But, also, made
- me not feel so bad for feeling like I'm
- 11 living in this horrible situation, right,
- dealing with immigration lately.

13	It really is quite I'll say serious.
14	It is quite serious. We're living in a time
15	when our government is changing a whole set
16	of rules; some of them very minor; some of
17	them very major, in very short order. Some
18	of them through proposed rules such as the
19	current one that's affecting F&J students and
20	scholars, which you're probably aware of and
21	the deans are aware of, which is very serious
22	and limits what's called the duration of
23	status for students in the U.S., limiting
24	their time to get their degree without it
25	being extended in a complicated way.

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

- 1 And so, from that, which is a proposal
- 2 from the Department of Homeland Security, to
- 3 others which are already being, actually, put
- 4 in as rules, even where there's some kind of
- 5 perfunctory comment period that impact H-1Bs,
- 6 actually.
- 7 So it's mostly hitting the tech sector,
- 8 so Silicone Valley is really raising a stink
- 9 about it, but it's also impacting
- 10 universities. So we're fighting -- or, we're
- 11 not fighting this. I'm trying to help the
- 12 university's external relations figure out

13	how what the impacts are of all these
14	changing regulations.
15	But, meanwhile, the ISSS team, these are
16	professionals who work, you know, in the
17	trenches working with students and faculty
18	and scholars to keep their visas compliant
19	with the law to make sure that they're able
20	to travel home if they need to go home, make
21	sure their work has gotten so complicated
22	and so politicized, and it's a stressful time
23	for them. But I say all that because we know
24	it's also a very stressful time for our
25	students and our scholars and our faculty

1	members and staff members who are here on
2	visas of various kinds.
3	So I just want to, kind of, lay the
4	scene a little bit there, but this is a
5	kind of a convergence of unpleasantness for
6	many, many folks on campus. And our
7	priority, let me just say, is to maintain the
8	legal status of all of our students, staff,
9	faculty and scholars, and their work
10	authorize in the cases where they have those.
11	So that's the number-one priority.

So this just gives you a little

13	breakdown of that number of 183 where H-1
14	at UK are coming from. China and India are
15	the big ones, just as the are in the country
16	as a whole and for the whole U.S. economy.
17	You'll see South Korea, Canada, Spain and
18	some others. So we have a diverse group of
19	international faculty. We absolutely know
20	they're one of the strengths of a research
21	university.
22	When you're hired as an international
23	faculty member at UK, typically, there are
24	many exceptions, right, but typically, you
25	come on a H-1B visa. That's the, kind of

1	the specialist visa, if you'd like. And this
2	cartoon with the maze here is very accurate,
3	right? Getting to the green card from an
4	H-1B is not easy. There are multiple
5	pathways. There are many options or,
6	there are several possible options.
7	And, in fact, if you did a search on
8	Google, Google images for how to get a green
9	card, you would come up with things that are
10	kind of real, not cartoons, that are flow
11	charts that look, actually, kind of like
12	this, that look like some kind of modern

13	artwork that are you know, it's
14	ridiculously complicated. But getting the
15	green card is a step towards citizenship and
16	it's a step it is what designates
17	permanent residency.
18	So I've already said it takes there
19	are several pathways. It's a very
20	complicated process, and I failed to mention
21	it takes a long time. So even if you have
22	access to a, kind of, relatively quick way to
23	get it, it still takes a long time.
24	Who is responsible for this? Well, the
25	university, as the employer, is responsible

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	for the preliminary steps that allow the
2	faculty member or the employee to apply for a
3	green card. So it's not that the university
4	has to go get the green card for the faculty
5	member. Technically, the university does all
6	the paperwork in collaboration with the
7	faculty member or the employee to get to the
8	point where that employee can apply for his
9	or her own green card. And the preliminary
10	steps includes what's called the PERM
11	application. That, basically, is about
12	prevailing wage and making sure that the

13	international person is not being hired as
14	some exploitative low wage. Of course, that
15	would have he effect of, perhaps, crowing out
16	of the U.S. citizen for the same position, so
17	that is the reason for that.
18	And, also, for filing paperwork with
19	U.S. Customs and Immigration. So it involves
20	interacting with at least two government
21	agencies here.
22	After these preliminary steps are done,
23	can the faculty member file what's called the
24	I-485 for their permanent residency
25	application. And, again, that takes a long

1	time and there are steps involved in there.
2	We do have a graphic, which doesn't show up
3	very effectively on our slide because it's,
4	unfortunately, kind of vertical and not
5	horizontal, but I'm happy to send this along
6	to Sheila and she can send it out to you
7	guys. It breaks down the process, a typical
8	process. There are variations that a faculty
9	member may go through to get a green card.
10	So we, basically, help with these steps here,
11	and then Stage 4 is the is, kind of, on

the faculty member.

12

13	So our last Senate meeting I was
14	actually not at the whole meeting. I had to
15	leave for a reason. But, anyway, I missed
16	Senator Oltmann's comments, but I heard about
17	them, and then I communicated with Chair
18	Cramer and also with Professor Oltmann
19	herself about the concerns. So, of course, I
20	was really worried about the situation that
21	was being reported to the Senate. So as soon
22	as we learned of the issue, as soon as I
23	learned of it, I talked with Elizabeth
24	Leibach, who is the director of the
25	International Student Scholar Services team.

1	And as soon as we figured out what the, kind
2	of, trigger issues were, we addressed them
3	immediately. So I hope that we have, at
4	least, kind of righted the ship in some ways
5	in terms of the immediate concerns which were
6	being voiced to Senator Oltmann and, perhaps,
7	others on the Senate.
8	So we met with college and departmental
9	staff in A&S and in Communications and
10	Information, and also in Engineering. If
11	there were other colleges where there were
12	impacts that we should've addressed, I would

13	be very pleased to know about them and happy
14	to also address them quickly and clearly.
15	But, at the same time, as we try to
16	mitigate the exact situations that were
17	causing the stress and causing the concerns
18	by the senator, we also began a comprehensive
19	review of all of the preliminary steps and
20	all of the processes and procedures that we
21	undergo. And we've come up with a whole set
22	of changes to those we're not throwing
23	everything out and starting again, but we've
24	certainly tweaked them in quite significant
25	ways to make sure that we are making

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	everything much clearer, making everything
2	much more transparent, being much more or
3	as clear as we can be about the timeline.
4	Sometimes we can't be clear, but being as
5	clear as we can be. And tracking internally
6	so that we don't, kind of, lose track of any
7	of these cases, because they're very
8	complicated and it's really important that
9	we're on top of them. So that's some of the
10	steps we've taken.
11	In addition sorry. In addition, we,
12	kind of, took a big step back, and a lot of

13	things this semester have made us, kind or,
14	recognize how complex and stressful this
15	experience is, especially for the folks going
16	through it, right?
17	So it's stressful for the departmental
18	staff that are helping with the paperwork,
19	for the chairs who are trying to mentor
20	these, often, beginning faculty through their
21	tenure process and their research program,
22	and all the while, they've got this
23	immigration issue to deal with.
24	So we are trying to recalibrate, as it
25	were, all of our communications from this

1	starting point where we realize the stress
2	that everybody's undergoing and trying to be
3	extremely professional, calm, and clear and
4	supportive in everything that we do to engage
5	with faculty members, their administrators
6	and the deans or department chairs or school
7	heads, whoever it is that's the stakeholder
8	there.
9	We're also developing programming.
10	We've always done this a bit, but we're, kind
11	of, ramping up programming to give
12	information to stakeholders about options.

13	So sometimes those options are beyond the
14	university and have to do with immigration
15	attorneys from outside, so we're bringing in
16	a leading immigration attorney to help answer
17	direct questions about options for faculty
18	members and their departments.
19	And we will be working with faculty
20	advancement to provide clear information
21	regarding any tenure and promotion
22	considerations. There really aren't any in
23	as much as the immigration status is not
24	connected to tenure and promotion, right? So
25	it's easy for me to say, but what would be

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

1	helpful, I think, for faculty members who are
2	worried about that is to have that clearly
3	stated. So I'm working with my colleague,
4	G.T. Lineberry, to make sure that we're
5	addressing that. That hasn't happened yet.
6	That is happening now.
7	And, lastly, we're setting up one-on-one
8	meetings with Will Arnold, who is the person
9	who handles H-1Bs and with all the
10	administrators who handle green card and H-1B
11	cases. And we're setting we're
12	establishing an advisory committee to

13	continuously review this process.
14	So these are people who are engaged in
15	the process to give us feedback all the time,
16	like what we heard about this, what's
17	happening with this, or I ran into this
18	issue, to how did everyone else handle to, to
19	just basically share information and be more
20	communal in our work on this, I guess.
21	So lots of things are going on to try
22	and make this process better, to take
23	seriously the feedback we've received, and
24	especially to respond to these extremely
25	stressful circumstances that we're currently

- 1 living in and working in.
- 2 So thank you for your time. If you have
- 3 any questions, comments, concerns, feedback,
- 4 please let me know. And here's my email and
- 5 my phone number. Thanks, everybody.
- 6 CRAMER: Are there any questions for Associate
- 7 Provost Roberts?
- 8 ROBERTS: And while we're waiting, if I could just
- 9 add that I so appreciate the Senate's concern
- about this matter, because a lot of people
- are kind of oblivious to the strains on our
- international colleagues, and so I'm very

13		grateful to Professor Oltmann and others	for
14		raising concerns with me on this.	
15	CRAM	ER: All right. Well, you have Dr. Rob	erts'
16		contact information. Certainly, feel free	to
17		reach out. I think this is a case where a	
18		concern expressed by the Senate Shan	non
19		Oltmann, do you have a comment?	
20	OLTM	ANN: I was just going to thank Associ	ate
21		Provost Roberts for her quick handling of	=
22		this and finding effective solutions. I	
23		appreciate it.	
24	CRAM	ER: Exactly what I was going to say.	Thank
25		you, Shannon Oltmann. Thank you, Sue	

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

- 1 Roberts. If you have concerns or comments or
- 2 feedback for Sue Roberts, make sure to share
- 3 that with her. I'm going to flip back over
- 4 to my slides real quick.
- 5 So now -- let's see. It's about 4:55.
- 6 This is an opportunity for senators to raise
- 7 issues that are not on the agenda. So if you
- 8 have any such issues you'd like to bring to
- 9 the Senate's attention, now is an opportunity
- to do that. Well, seeing none, then, unless
- 11 I hear any objections now, we will adjourn.
- 12 I'll give you a moment to object if you'd

13	like to object, but otherwise we will be
14	adjourned. Have a great afternoon.
15	* * * * * * *
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
Unveristy of Kentucky
Senate Council
October 12, 2020
```

STATE OF KENTUCKY)

)

COUNTY OF FAYETTE)

I, TRISHA B. MORLEY, the undersigned Notary

Public in and for the State of Kentucky at Large, certify

that at the time and place stated, proceedings were held

via Zoom teleconference, that I transcribed the

proceedings in stenotype, later reduced to computer-aided

transcription under my direction, and that the foregoing

is a true and accurate record of said proceeding.

My commission expires: April 23, 2022.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunder set my

hand and seal of office on the 29th day of October, 2020.

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter

Notary Public, ID#599770

My commission expires: 4/23/22