PLEASE NOTE: To ensure that a series of changes to an existing degree program does not essentially create a new program, the Southern Association for the Accreditation of Colleges and Schools (SACS) requires submission of its Substantive Change Checklist for every program change. Prior to college-level review, you must fill out and submit the <u>SACS Substantive Change Checklist</u> to the Office of Institutional Effectiveness. Contact Institutional Effectiveness (<u>institutionaleffectiveness@uky.edu</u>) for assistance.

Once approved at the college level, your college will send the proposal to the appropriate Senate academic council (HCCC and/or UC) for review and approval. Once approved at the academic council level, the academic council will send your proposal to the Senate Council office for additional review and then a 10-day posting online, during which senators review on their own and have an option to register an objection if they so desire. If no objection is raised to the Senate Council Office within ten days of the posting the proposal, then the program change is approved. The Senate Council Office will report approvals to the Provost, Registrar and other appropriate entities, including the contact person.

For every proposed change, you MUST also include the existing requirement.

				•					·			
					SUMMARY	OF C	HANGES					
					Check all	that	apply.					
⊠ Coι	urses	☐ Pro	gram	name	☐ Total req	uired	d credit hours Student learning outcomes					
	☐ Cri	iteria fo	radr	nissions/prog	ression/termir	natio	n 🔲 Certif	ficate assessi	ment	⊠ Oth	er	
1. Gen	eral Informa	tion										
1a	Date of cor	ntact w	ith In	stitutional Eff	ectiveness (IE)	1: 5	September 9	, 2016				
	Appended to the end of this form is a PDF of the reply from Institutional Effectiveness.											
1b	b College ² : College of Education De			Dep	partment ² : STEM Education		ation					
1c	CIP code ³ :		13.1	.399			Today's Date:		9/9/16			
1d	Current major name: area > (Mather		emistry, Physics,		najor name:	STEM Education and <content area=""> (Mathematics, Computer Science, Chemistry, Physic Earth Science, and/or Biology)</content>		> Computer stry, Physics	S,			
1e	Current De (BA, BFA, e	_	Bach	elor of Science	e		Proposed a	legree:	Sam	me		
1f	Will there	be any	chang	ges regarding	a track(s) for th	ne pr	ogram?			Yes 🛛	No 🗌	
1g	Accrediting	gagenc	y, if a	pplicable: (Council for the	Accr	editation of	Educator Pre	parati	on		

¹ Prior to college-level review, you must fill out and submit the SACS Substantive Change Checklist to the Office of Institutional Effectiveness. You can reach Institutional Effectiveness by phone or email (257-2873 or institutional effectiveness @uky.edu).

² It is not possible to change the home academic unit of a degree program via this form. To change the home unit, visit http://www.uky.edu/faculty/senate and search for forms related to academic organizational structure.

³ The CIP code is provided by Institutional Effectiveness. If a different CIP code is necessary, the program may undergo a review similar to the new program approval process.

1h	Date of most recent periodic program review for this degree: Fall 2016								
1i	Requested effective date:	oroval. OR Sp	ecific Date ⁴ : Fall 20						
		/- 1 1 1	10.11						
1j	·		@uky.edu / 859-257-8974						
2. Ove	Dr. Margaret Mohr-Schroeder mmohr2@g.uky.edu/257-3073 2. Overview of Changes								
2a	Describe the rationale for the changes, including results from word limit)	n the most recent progra	m review if applicable. (450						
	Currently there is not a pathway to get teacher certification in to add this option to expand our current undergraduate STEM additional pathway to becoming a biology teacher. Dr. Brett of multiple meetings with the Director of Undergraduate Studies Osterhage) to ensure that all content knowledge appropriate for would be attainable through the biology coursework. The single change to the BS in STEM Education was necessing Professional Standards Board (EPSB) designated at 16 KAR (http://www.lrc.state.ky.us/kar/016/005/060.htm). This regula and secondary programs such as the STEM Education, "shall adolescent literacy across the disciplines." The response of the mandate that all programs not already having a literacy course EDC 533 - Literacy Across the Disciplines in their programm program did not already have such a course, it was necessary inclusion in a program already containing the maximum credit EDC 533 had to be sustituted for another course. The course Education in American Culture. This decision was based on the core STEM Education classes (SEM 421, 422, and 423), the Steaching (SEM 435), a course on adolescent development (EL (EDS 516). The program faculty, graduates of the program, as removal of this course would have a negative impact on program order to meet the GCCR requirement for the STEM Educations between the GCCR option for the disciplinary major of the feel that this meets the spirit of the GCCR requirement of discipline and as appropriate for their future career as a science.	PLUS program and to proceed the control of this described by a regulation passe of the control o	rovide students an ocument, engaged in then Dr. Jennifer gy in the high school d by the Education dates enrolled in middle and skills in teaching this regulation was to regulation would include the STEM Education order to make this red double major), then stituted out was EPE 301 - reation course included 3 ressociated with student relassroom management department did not feel the ing that students can on GCCR course, EPE 301.						
2b	Use the fields below, as applicable, to identify the areas in w	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
		Current	Proposed						
i.	Credit Hours of Premajor Courses:		21						
ii.	Credit Hours of Preprofessional Courses:		11						
iii.	Credit Hours of Major Core Course Requirements		21						
iv.	Minimum Credit Hours of Guided Electives:		22 - 24						
v.	Minimum Credit Hours of Free Electives:		3						
vi.	Credit Hours for Track 1 (name): Biology	120							
vii.	Credit Hours for Track 2 (name): Computer Science	120							
viii.	Credit Hours for Track 3 (name): Chemistry	120							

 $^{^{\}rm 4}$ No program change(s)will be effective until all approvals are received.

ix.	Credit Hours for Track 4 (name): Earth Science	120					
x.	Credit Hours for Track 5 (name): Physics	120					
xi.	Credit Hours for Required Minor:						
xii.	Total Credit Hours Required by Level: 100-level:			30			
	200-level:			13			
	300-level:		•	46			
	400-level:		•	28			
	500-level:			3			
	TOTAL CREDIT HOURS REQUIRED FOR GRADUATION:		<u>1</u>	<u>20</u>			
XV.	If the total hours required for graduation have changed, expla	in below. (<i>150 word limi</i>	it)				
	N/A						
	Will the requested change(s) result in the use of courses from	anothereducational					
2c	unit?	Yes 🖂	No 📙				
	If "Yes," describe generally the courses and how they will use	d.					
	These are the courses required to attain a major in biology (BA).						
	If "Yes," two pieces of supporting documentation are required.						
	☐ Check to confirm that appended to the end of this form is chair/director ⁵ of each unit from which individual courses will ☐ Check to confirm that appended to the end of this form is a few that the end of this few that the	be used.	ir/director of	each			
	affected unit has consent from the faculty members of the un minutes.	it. This typically takes th	e form of me	eting			
2d	Will the proposed change(s) affect an associated minor?		Yes 🗌	No 🛛			
	If "Yes," the department must also submit a change form to ch	nange the minor.					
3. Cou	rse Sharing						
3a.	Will the requested changes result in the use of courses from a		Yes 🛛	No 🔲			
	If "Yes," describe generally the courses and how they will use	d.					
	These are the courses required to attain a major in biology (BA	۹).					
	If "Yes," two pieces of supporting documentation are required	d.					
	Check to confirm that appended to the end of this form is chair/director ⁶ from which individual courses will be used.	a letter of support from	the other un	its'			
	Check to confirm that appended to the end of this form is unit has consent from the faculty members of the unit. This ty						

⁵ A dean may submit a letter only when there is no educational unit below the college level, i.e. there is no department/school.

⁶ A dean may submit a letter only when there is no educational unit below the college level, i.e. there is no department/school.

3. UK	Core Courses				
	Are there any proposed changes to the UK	Core requirem	ents for the progi	ram? (If	
3a	"Yes," indicate and proceed to next quest	ion. If "No," indi	cate and proceed	l to 4a.) Yes [☐ No 🖾
	If "Yes," note the specific changes in the g	rid below.			
		Current	Current	Proposed	Proposed Credits
	ore Area	Course	Credits	Course	- 1
I. Int	ellectualInquiry				
	Arts and Creativity				
	Humanities				
	Social Sciences				
	Natural/Physical/Mathematical				
II. Co	omposition and Communication				
	Composition and Communication I	CIS/WRD 110	3	CIS/WRD 110	3
	Composition and Communication II	CIS/WRD 111	3	CIS/WRD 111	3
III. Q	uantitative Reasoning				
	Quantitative Foundations				
	Statistical Inferential Reasoning				
IV. C	itizenship (one course in each area)				
	Community, Culture & Citizenship in USA				
	Global Dynamics				
	Total UK Core Hours				
3b	Provide the Bulletin language about UK Co	ore.			
4.6					
4. Gr	aduation Composition and Communication F Will the Graduation Composition and Com		uiromont ho char	agod2 (If	
4a	"Yes," indicate and proceed to next quest	•		AUC.I	⊠ No □
	If "Yes," note the specific changes below,				
	,	0.0			
	If the course(s) used are from outside the	home unit, one	piece of support	ing documentatio	n is required.
	☐ Check to confirm that appended to the	e end of this forn	n is a letter of sup	port from the ot	her units'
	chair/director ⁷ from which individual cour	rses will be used			
	Current			Proposed	
į.	Single course in home unit: EPE 301		Single course in I	nome unit:	
ii.	☐ Multiple courses in home unit.		Multiple courses	in home unit.	
iii.	Single course outside home unit.		Single course out	tside home unit.	
iv	☐ Multiple courses outside home unit.		Multiple courses	outside home un	it.
V.	Course(s) inside & outside home unit.		Course(s) inside or oved GCCR for a	& outside home u content major.	nit. EPE 301 or
		, ,		•	

⁷ A dean may submit a letter only when there is no educational unit below the college level, i.e. there are no departments/schools.

4b	Provide the Bulletin language about GCCR below.								
5. Oth	er Cours		•	2/15/04 !!					
5a			lege-level requirements change the grid below. If "No," indicate			•	Yes 🗌	No 🖂	
			Current			Proposed			
	Sta	nda	rd college requirement			Standard college requi	rement		
	Spe	ecifi	ccourse			Specific course			
Prefix Nmb			Title	Prefix & Nmbr	Credit Hrs	Title	Cou	rse Status ⁸	
							Select c	ne	
							Select c	ne	
							Select c	ne	
						I.			
5b	5b Will the existing language in the Bulletin about college-level requirements change? Yes No 🗵								
	If "Yes,	" pro	ovide the new language below.						
5c	indicat	e an	e-major or pre-professional cour d note the specific changes in th question 5e.)	•			Yes 🖂	No 🗌	
	•		Current			Proposed		1	
Prefix Nmb			Title	Prefix & Nmbr	Credit Hrs	Title	Cou	rse Status ⁹	
EPE 30)1 3		Education in American Culture	EDC 533	3	Teaching Adolescent Literacy Across the Disciplines	No	o Change	
							Sel	ect one	
							Sel	ect one	
							Sel	ect one	
							Sel	ect one	
5d	Provide	e the	Bulletin language about pre-m	ajor or pre-	profession	onal courses below.			
5e			njor's core course requirements anges in the grid below. If "No,"	• •	•		Yes⊠	No 🗌	
			te the specific changes in the gri		•	. 0,	<u> </u>	1	
			Current			Proposed			
Prefix	& Cre	dit	Title	Prefix &	Credit	Title	Cour	rse Status ¹⁰	

⁸ Use the drop-down list to indicate if the course is a new course ("new"), an existing course that will change ("change"), or if the course is an existing course that will not change ("no change").

⁹ Use the drop-down list to indicate if the course is new, exists but will change, or exists but will not change.

¹⁰ Use the drop-down list to indicate if the course is new, exists but will change, or exists but will not change.

Nmbr	Hrs		Nmbr	Hrs				
					Please see attached Biology Curriculum Contract. All courses already exist and are not being changed.	s No	Change	
						Sele	ect one	
						Sele	ect one	
						Sele	ect one	
						Sele	ect one	
						Sele	ect one	
						Sele	ect one	
						Sele	ect one	
						Sele	ect one	
						Sele	ect one	
						Sele	ect one	
						Sele	ect one	
						Sele	ect one	
						Sele	Select one	
						Sele	Select one	
	<u> </u>	1	\					
5f	Provide the	e Bulletin language for majo	r core course re	equireme	ents.			
5g		ided electives change? (If "Y low. If "No," indicate and pr			he specific changes in	Yes⊠	No 🗌	
	the Brid be	Current			Proposed			
Prefix of Nmbr		Title	Prefix & Nmbr	Credit Hrs	Title	Cour	se Status ¹¹	
					Please see attached Biology Curriculum Contract. All courses already exist and are not being changed.	No	No Change	
						Sele	ect one	
						Sele	ect one	
						Sele	ect one	
						Sele	ect one	
						Sele	ect one	
	1							
5h	Provide the	e Bulletin language for guide	ed electives.					

 $^{^{11}}$ Use the drop-down list to indicate if the course is new, exists but will change, or exists but will not change.

5i				es change? (If "Yes," in " indicate and proceed			specific changes in the	Yes 🗌	No 🖾	
5j			•	change affect any track v. If "No," proceed to qu			ne specific changes	Yes 🔀	No 🗌	
	If mor		n one tra	ck is affected, click <u>HE</u>	RE for a te	mplate. Ap	ppend a PDF for each af	fected track	to the end of	
Track N	Track Name: Biology New Track Changed Track								ed Track	
			Curren	t			Proposed			
Prefix Nmb		edit Irs		Title	Prefix & Nmbr	Credit Hrs	Title	Cour	Course Status ¹²	
					Please see attached Biology Curriculum Contract. All courses already exist and are not being changed.		o Change			
					Sel	Select one				
								Sel	ect one	
								Sel	ect one	
								Sel	ect one	
								Sel	ect one	
5k	Provid	de the	Bulletin	language for the track						
C Com		C	tD							
List be	low the	typic		ster-by-semester progr ks and append a PDF o	feach trac	-	nultiple tracks are avail s to the end of this form		<u>ERE</u> for a	
(e.g. "l	L – FALL BIO 103;	; 3 cre	edits")	See attached projected schedule for the Biolo program		EAR 1 – SP	RING:			
YEAR 2	2 - FALL	:			YE	AR 2 – SP	RING:			
YEAR 3	B - FALL:	:			YE	EAR 3 - SPF	RING:			
YEAR 4	l - FALL:	:			YE	AR 4 - SPF	RING:			
7. App	rovals/	Revie	ws							

Information below does not supersede the requirement for individual letters of support from educational unit administrators and verification of faculty support (typically takes the form of meeting minutes).

 $^{^{12}}$ Use the drop-down list to indicate if the course is new, exists but will change, or exists but will not change.

	Reviewing Group Name	Date Approved	Contact Person	Name/Phone/Email			
a '	(Within College)						
	STEM Education	9/11/2015	Jennifer Wilhel jennifer.wilhel	m / 859-257-4235 / m@uky.edu			
	Courses & Curricula	10/21/2016	Justin K. Nichols/257-4748/justin.nichols2@uky.edu				
	College of Education	11/18/2016	Rosetta Sandidge/8-2887/rosetta.sandidge@uky.e				
			/ /	,			
7b	(Collaborating and/or Affected U	5/24/2015	Jennifer Osterhage / 859-257-9322 / jennifer.osterhage@uky.edu				
			/ /				
			1 1	,			
			/ /				
			1 1				
'c	(Senate Academic Council)		Date Approved	Contact Person Name			
7c	(Senate Academic Council) Health Care Colleges Cour	ncil(if applicable)	Date Approved	Contact Person Name			

2b Tracks continued

Credit hours for Track 6 (name): Mathematics Current – 120 hours Proposed (No

Change)

	Sample Degree Plan - Biology							
Fall 1	16	Spring 1	17					
MA 137	4	CHE 107	3					
Comm 1	3	CHE 113	2					
CHE 105	4	Comm 2	3					
CHE 111	1	SEM 110	2					
BIO 148	3	PSY 100	4					
BIO 155	1	BIO 152	3					
Fall 2	14	Spring 2	16					
BIO 303	4	BIO 304	4					
CHE 236	3	UK Core (HUM)	3					
CHE 231	1	UK Core (CIT)	3					
UK Core (GDY)	3	STA 296	3					
EDP 202	3	BIO Elec 1	3					
Fall 3	16	Spring 3	17					
BIO 315	4	SEM 421	3					
BIO Elec 2	3	BIO 325	4					
EDS 516	3	BIO 350**	4					
UK Core (ARC)	3	BIO Elec 3	3					
PHY 151	3	EGR 199	3					
Fall 4	17	Spring 4	12					
SEM 422	3	SEM 435	10					
BIO 425	1	SEM 423	2					
BIO Elec 4	3							
BIO Elec 5	3 or 4							
BIO 430**	4							
Free Elective	3							
EDC 533***	3							
TOTAL	128							

ľ	
Ì	
ŀ	
ŀ	
ļ	
ļ	
ľ	
ŀ	
ŀ	
ŀ	
ļ	
ļ	
ļ	
ľ	
ŀ	
ŀ	
ŀ	
ŀ	
ļ	
ļ	
j	
ŀ	
ŀ	
ļ	
ļ	





Education Program Provider

Department of Science, Technology, Engineering, & Mathematics Education Curriculum Contract

Bachelor of Science in STEM Education and Bachelor of Arts in <select content area>
KY Initial Rank III Certification (grades 8-12) – Mathematics, Biology, Chemistry, Physics,
or Earth Science and Optional Computer Science Endorsement

Name UK Email			Stud	ent ID		
Address	Street		City	State	2	Zip
Dhama				•		
Phone		Link Blue ID	Semest	er of Admissic	on to Pro	gram
	nis form, I verify that I have receive g eligibility for certification.	ed a copy of it and underst	and the require	ments for my	degree p	orogram
Student Sign	nature			Date		
	Requirements:					(32 hour
	with content major requirements. M	ay not overlap with Content				
Course	Title		Т	erm	Grade	Credits
Intellectual			1			
	The Nature of Inquiry in the Nat	tural, Physical, & Mathema	atics			3
	Sciences					_
PSY 100						4
PSY 100	The Nature of Inquiry in the Soc					
PSY 100	The Nature of Inquiry in the Hu	manities				3
	The Nature of Inquiry in the Hui The Nature of Inquiry in the Art	manities				3
PSY 100 Communica	The Nature of Inquiry in the Hui The Nature of Inquiry in the Art	manities				3
	The Nature of Inquiry in the Hun The Nature of Inquiry in the Art ations Writing I	manities				3
Communico	The Nature of Inquiry in the Hun The Nature of Inquiry in the Art actions Writing I Communication	manities				3
Communico Quantitativ	The Nature of Inquiry in the Hun The Nature of Inquiry in the Art ations Writing I	manities				3
Communico	The Nature of Inquiry in the Hun The Nature of Inquiry in the Art actions Writing I Communication	manities s & Creativity				3
Communico Quantitativ MA 113 or	The Nature of Inquiry in the Hun The Nature of Inquiry in the Art actions Writing I Communication We Reasoning	manities s & Creativity				3 3 3
Communicative Quantitative MA 113 or MA 137	The Nature of Inquiry in the Hun The Nature of Inquiry in the Art actions Writing I Communication We Reasoning Mathematical, Logical and Statis	manities s & Creativity				3 3 3
Communicative Quantitative MA 113 or MA 137 STA 296	The Nature of Inquiry in the Hun The Nature of Inquiry in the Art actions Writing I Communication We Reasoning Mathematical, Logical and Statis	manities s & Creativity				3 3 3

EPE 301 or the disciplinary GCCR can be used here

Course Used		Hours						
Foreign La	nguage Proficiency							
Two Sem	esters of College Coursework							
Two Year	☐ Two Years of Foreign Language in High School							
Testing	☐ Testing							
Required S	STEM Education Core:				(29 hours)			
Course	Title		Term	Grade	Credits			
SEM 110*^	Introduction to STEM Education				2			
EDP 202* ^	Human Development and Learning	<u>-</u>	·		3			
FDS 516*	Principles of Behavior Management and Instru	uction			3			

Title	Term	Grade	Credits
Introduction to STEM Education			2
Human Development and Learning			3
Principles of Behavior Management and Instruction			3
STEM Methods I			3
STEM Methods II			3
Assessment in STEM Education (concurrent with SEM 435)			2
STEM Student Teaching in the Secondary School			10
Teaching Adolescent Literacy across the Disciplines			3
	Introduction to STEM Education Human Development and Learning Principles of Behavior Management and Instruction STEM Methods I STEM Methods II Assessment in STEM Education (concurrent with SEM 435) STEM Student Teaching in the Secondary School	Introduction to STEM Education Human Development and Learning Principles of Behavior Management and Instruction STEM Methods I STEM Methods II Assessment in STEM Education (concurrent with SEM 435) STEM Student Teaching in the Secondary School	Introduction to STEM Education Human Development and Learning Principles of Behavior Management and Instruction STEM Methods I STEM Methods II Assessment in STEM Education (concurrent with SEM 435) STEM Student Teaching in the Secondary School

^{*} Requires field experience hours

Specialization STEM Content Coursework. This will serve as your secondary major.

⁺ Eligible to meet a Gen Ed Requirement All content courses require a "C" or better and at least a 2.75 GPA

Biology Major				(66 hours)
Course	Title	Term	Grade	Credits
Pre-major Require	ments	•	•	•
MA 137 or 113 ⁺	Calculus I with Life Sciences Applications or Calculus I			4
CHE 105+^	General College Chemistry I			4
CHE 111+^	Laboratory to Accompany General Chemistry I			1
CHE 107^	General College Chemistry II			3
CHE 113^	Laboratory to Accompany General Chemistry II			2
BIO 148^	Introductory Biology I			3
BIO 152^	Principles of Biology II			3
BIO 155^	Laboratory for Introductory Biology I			1
Major Requiremen	ts	•	•	•
BIO 303	Introduction to Evolution			4
BIO 304	Principles of Genetics			4
BIO 315	Introduction to Cell Biology			4
BIO 325	Ecology			4
BIO 350 or 430G	Animal Physiology or Plant Physiology			4
BIO 425 or 499	Biology Seminar or Biology Research Seminar			1
Additional Major R	equirements	•	•	
PHY 151 or PHY	Introduction to Physics or General Physics			3 or 5
211				
CHE 230 or CHE	Organic Chemistry I or Survey of Organic Chemistry			3
236				

[^]SEM 110 and EPE 301 or EDP 202 required for TEP Application

CHE 231	Organic Chemistry Lab I			1		
Biology Electives (1	Biology Electives (15 hours minimum) – Fifteen hours to be chosen from 300+ level BIO courses. A maximum of 6 credits					
of BIO 395 may be เ	ised as electives in this section. These could include BIO 300 (Gener	ral Entomolog	y), BIO 302			
(Introduction to Neuroscience), BIO 308 (General Microbiology), BIO 351 (Plant Kingdom), BIO 375 (Behavioral Ecology				cology		
and Sociobiology) with other options.						

Free Electives – 3 hours

Select 3 hours of your choice. You may not double count these courses with your major content course requirements or UK Core requirements or your STEM content support courses. All courses should be approved by advisor prior to taking.

Course	Title	Term	Grade	Credits

[^]Required for TEP Application

STEM Content Support Courses – take up to 120 hours required for graduation

Select from each area of interest - <u>you must choose at least 3 hours from Engineering unless you are a computer science major.</u>
You may not double count these courses with your major content course requirements or UK Core requirements. <u>This list is not inclusive</u>. All courses should be approved by advisor prior to taking. SEM 575 is required for mathematics and computer science majors. It is highly encouraged for other majors.

Course	Title	Term	Grade	Credits
Course	Mathematics/Statistics	Term	Grade	Credits
FIN 350	Personal Investing and Financial Planning	1		3
STA 281	Probability and Statistics Using Interactive Computer			3
31A 281				3
CTA 202	Techniques Descriptive Statistics			2
STA 292	Descriptive Statistics			3
MA 501/502	Seminar in Selected Topics			3
OR/STA 524	Probability			3
EDC/EDP/EPE	Educational Tests and Measurements			3
522				
SEM 575	See Blue Mathematics Clinic (Required for Math Certification)			3
	Engineering	1		T
ME 599	Special Topics			3
EGR 101	Introduction to Engineering			4
EGR 199				3
EGR 199				3
	Technology	•		JI
CS 115	Introduction to Computer Programming			3
TEL 201	Communication Technologies and Society			3
INF 401G	Informatics Fundamentals			3
EDC 543	Digital Game Based Learning and Instruction			3
EDC 544	Use and Integration of Instructional Media			
CS 215	Introduction to Program Design, Abstraction, and Problem			3
C5 215	Solving			
CS 221	First course in computer science for engineers			2
CS 316	Web Programming			3
C5 510	web i rogialililing			<u> </u>
	Science	1	1	1
CHE 105	General College Chemistry I			4
CHE 103	General College Chemistry Lab I			1
BIO 150	Principles of Biology I			3
BIO 150	Principles of Biology Laboratory I			2
				1
PHY 231/241 PHY 241	General University Physics Laboratory			4
	General University Physics Laboratory		1	1
GLY 220	Principles of Physical Geology		-	4
			-	

Total Credit Hours

Minimum 120 credit hours required for graduation and Rank III certification

Basic Skills Testing (Successful testing required on one of the following exams)					
PRAXIS Core Academic	Reading (156)	Math (150)	Writing (162)	Date of Test	

PRAXIS Core Academic Reading (156) _____ Math (150) _____ Writing (162) _____ Date of Test _____

Required GPAs (minimum 2.75 in each area)

Note: A minimum grade of "C" is required for all STEM Education Core and Content Major courses. In addition, a minimum GPA of 2.75 is required overall, as well as in the STEM education core, Content Major Courses, and STEM Content Support Courses.

Pre-TEP Content Major	
Pre-TEP Overall	
STEM Education Core	
STEM Content Support Courses	
Content Major	
Overall	

Continuous Assessment Checklist

Checkpoint	Date
Admission to TEP	
Satisfactory Mid-point Review	
Admission to Student Teaching	
Satisfactory Exit TPA/Review	

Required PRAXIS II Testing

Kentucky educator certification requirements are subject to change. Before registering for the test(s), please check the Education Professional Standards Board website at www.kyepsb.net for current test requirements and current cut scores. You may also contact an EPSB certification specialist at 502.564.4606 or toll free at 888.598.7667. To receive a UK recommendation that you are eligible for a state educator certificate, you must have taken the Kentucky EPSB required examinations and met the Kentucky EPSB cut score requirements.

Required for all students	
Principles of Learning and Teaching: Grades 7-12 (Code	; Date of test:
5624; 160 min)	, but or test
Choose Content Area Test	
Chemistry: Content Knowledge (Code 5245; 147 min)	; Date of test:
Earth and Space Sciences: Content Knowledge (Code	; Date of test:
5571; 147 min)	, Date of test
Mathematics: Content Knowledge (Code 5161; 160 min)	; Date of test:
Physics: Content Knowledge (Code 5265; 133 min)	; Date of test:
Biology: Content Knowledge (Code 5235; 146 min)	; Date of test:

Carti	ficatio	n Che	cklict:
(erii		m t ne	CKIICI.

Appropriate Praxis II and PLT exams completed and passed	
By signing this form, I verify the above information is accurate. I verify that al reviewed and are understood.	l aspects of this program have been
Student Signature ************************************	Date *************
To be filled out by the advisor: By signing this form, I agree this student has completed all coursework requirem in Education.	ents needed to obtain a Bachelor of Science
Advisor Signature	Date
To be filled out by the Program Chair:	
By signing this form, I agree: 1) This student has met EPSB and CAEP standards for teacher certification. 2) This student should be recommended for certification.	
Program Faculty Chair Signature	Date



SACS Substantive Change Checklist

Alexander-Snow, Mia <mia.alexander-snow@uky.edu>

Fri, Sep 9, 2016 at 10:30 AM

To: "Schroeder, Margaret" < m.mohr@uky.edu>

Hi Margaret:

Thank you for the form. Given that you will be closing the Secondary Science and Secondary Mathematics Education programs that do not lead to teacher certification additional information is needed. if I can have the additional information by Friday, September 16 that would be great! (If not, please send me an email letting me know when I can expect to be in receipt of the requested information).

Please complete and submit the following attachments to Mia Alexander-Snow (mia.aexander-snow@uky.edu < mailto:mia.aexander-snow@uky.edu >):

- Teach-out Agreements for the Secondary Science and Secondary Mathematics Education Programs
- Senate program change form for the proposed Biology secondary major option

Let me know if you have questions or need assistance.

Best, Mia

Mia Alexander-Snow, PhD
Director, Planning and Institutional Effectiveness
Office of Institutional Effectiveness
UKFCU Building, 1080 Export Street, Suite 180

Phone: 859-257-2873 Fax: 859-323-3999

Visit the Institutional Effectiveness Website: http://www.uky.edu/ie

Follow us at: https://www.facebook.com/universityofky

[UK Lockup Inbetween]

This message is for the named person (s) use only. It may contain confidential, proprietary or legally privileged information. No confidentiality or privilege is waived or lost by any mistransmission. If you receive this message in error, please immediately delete it and all copies of it from your system, destroy any hard copies of it and notify the sender. You must not, directly or indirectly, use, disclose, distribute, print, or copy any part of this message if you are not the intended recipient.*

From: Schroeder, Margaret [mailto:m.mohr@uky.edu]

Sent: Friday, September 09, 2016 9:32 AM

To: Alexander-Snow, Mia

Cc: Criswell, Brett; Sandidge, Rosetta

Subject: SACS Substantive Change Checklist

Hi Mia-

We are adding the Biology secondary major option to our BS STEM Education Program this month. We have attached the checklist. Please let us know how we should proceed.

Best-Margaret

Professor of STEM Education | COE Faculty Council Vice Chairhttp://sites.education.uky.edu/facultycouncil/ | SAPC University Senate Committee Chair http://www.uky.edu/Faculty/Senate/committees_councils/standing_committees/academic_programs.htm | University Senator/Senate Council Memberhttp://www.uky.edu/Faculty/Senate/senat

[Image removed by sender.]

3 attachments



image005.jpg 1K



Memorandum of Agreement

Department of Educational Policy Studies & Evaluation and Partnering Programs on the Graduation Composition and Communication Requirement (GCCR) University of Kentucky

Effective Fall 2016 through May 31, 2018

Background: The University Senate transformed the former university graduation-writing requirement (GWR) into a degree graduation composition and communication requirement (GCCR) that is appropriate for the academic program a given major represents. The GCCR will be anchored by writing appropriate to the discipline. It will also include at least one other modality of communication—oral or visual. The Senate has established the principles and requirements of the GCCR. Faculty in partnering programs have voted to fulfill the requirement through successful completion ("C" or better) on core components of one class: EPE 301 "Education and American Culture". EPE301 is offered by the Department of Educational Policy Studies & Evaluation in the College of Education. The partnering programs have named EPE301 in their approved GCCR degree program plans.

EPE 301 Course Description: A multidisciplinary course exploring the context of teaching and learning in American society both within and outside the classroom, and enhancing critical examination of contending views, past and present, regarding the nature and role of educational institutions in society.

EPE301 has historically served as an upper division writing-intensive course and is well suited to meet GCCR program learning objectives for education and related majors. EPE301 also serves to meet the UK Core "Community, Culture, and Citizenship" requirement. Finally, EPE 301 satisfies a College of Education undergraduate certification requirement for field experience. As such, EPE301 is designed to support student achievement of the following learning outcomes:

UK Core Learning Outcome 4: Students will demonstrate an understanding of the complexities of citizenship and the process for making informed choices as engaged citizens in a diverse, multilingual world.

GCCR Course Student Learning Outcome for majors in Education and related fields: Students will demonstrate the ability to identify and critically examine one or more contending views of education in America from a cultural, historical, philosophical or sociological/social justice perspective, then communicate what they have learned through written and oral work.

Agreement:

- 1. EPE will offer multiple sections of EPE 301 (no more than 30 students per section) during the fall and spring terms and also a couple sections during summer school so that students from partnering programs can fulfill the GCCR.
- 2. EPE and partnering programs will coordinate scheduling of EPE 301 to minimize scheduling conflicts with other required courses.
- Partnering programs will maintain communication with EPE to inform their major students during advising periods and through course bulletin listings how GCCR requirement can be met.
- 4. The course will have the following specific requirements: written assignment (s) of at least 4,500 words in English (the equivalent of 15 pages of double-spaced, type written text), student oral presentations of at least 10 minutes in English, and evidence of draft-feedback-revision process on the required GCCR written and oral assignments(s).
- 5. EPE will provide a syllabus that (a) shows how EPE 301 meets the Senate criteria for GCCR and (b) provides students from partnering programs with the opportunity to conduct discipline specific Field Experiences. All sections of EPE301 will include these core features.
- 6. EPE will maintain communication with contact persons in partnering programs and/or the Directors of Undergraduate Studies to share rubrics used in scoring assignments. Grades on the grading rubrics provide evidence of student performance specifically on the GCCR components of the course for use in degree program assessment reporting.
- 7. Partnering programs are responsible for submitting assessment results based on the above data regarding degree program student learning outcome(s) for their students according to their GCCR assessment plan and the assessment review cycle established by the UK Office of Assessment.
- 8. Each student from a partnering program is responsible for keeping a record of submitted assignments (as artifacts) in their portfolio via OTIS.
- 9. Faculty designated by the EPE department chair and by chairs of partnering programs will consult annually about the partnering program GCCR assessment results, the course assignments, and scoring rubrics, and to recommend improvements/changes to the course and/or assignments to ensure consistency with the needs of various program graduates. The results will be reported to faculty in partnering programs and EPE (as an agenda item for the Program Faculty Chairs meeting).

Renewal: This initial agreement will be for 2 years with the possibility of renewal. In the year prior to expiration of the agreement, program faculty from each program will review and consider this agreement renewal. If agreed to by both parties, the renewal will be approved 6 months prior to contract expiration. Renewals will not be for less than a 3 year term.

Termination: In the event either program would like to terminate this agreement, the department initiating termination will give the other party a 1 year written notice of intent to terminate this agreement. Additionally, if the University Senate fails to approve the GCCR, eliminates the requirement once formally approved or significantly changes the requirement, then this agreement will become null and void.

Margaret Mohr-Schroeder	Margaret floter Schweder
Name of designated faculty	Signature
BS in STEM Education Partnering program name	December 6, 2016 Date
KILUBA L. NKULU	Kleen Dkeen
Name of designated EPE faculty	Signature
	12-06-20(6 Date

Ett, Joanie M

From: Yost, Scott A

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 2:09 PM

To: Schroeder, Margaret

Cc: Ett, Joanie M

Subject: RE: MOA Information for STEM Education

Margaret,

I am getting close to clearing out the loose ends. I have sent the proposal back to UC and it should move to SC from there. It was put forward that student can satisfy the GCCR with either the EPE301 course or the BIO specific GCCR course(s). But STEM Ed-biology students cannot use WRD204 to satisfy the GCCR without an MOU between your program and WRD directly (even though it is a roundabout way through Biology)

Make sense?

Scott

From: Schroeder, Margaret [mailto:m.mohr@uky.edu]

Sent: Monday, March 06, 2017 8:34 PM **To:** Yost, Scott A <scott.yost@uky.edu>

Subject: Re: MOA Information for STEM Education

Hi Scott-

I was wondering if we were ok to move forward given that WRD won't be an option? Our program is anxious to get this change passed on to the senate :)

Best-Margaret

Margaret J. Mohr-Schroeder, PhD | Associate Professor of STEM Education - Mathematics | COE Faculty Council Vice Chair | SAPC University Senate Committee Chair | University Senator/Senate Council Member | Secondary Mathematics Undergraduate Program Chair | Department of STEM Education | University of Kentucky | www.margaretmohrschroeder.com | Schedule a Meeting with Me

On Mon, Feb 27, 2017 at 8:28 PM, Schroeder, Margaret < m.mohr@uky.edu > wrote:

Hi-

OK, I spoke with our advisor and we won't have any students taking the WRD option. They will have to take the BIO GCCR courses or the EPE 301. WRD would add time on to their degree and that just isn't an option :/

All of our students thus far have taken EPE 301 and we don't anticipate that changing much. The content departments just wanted some flexibility in case the students did want to take some of their major coursework/GCCR. Does that make sense?
Thanks for your help with this!
Best- Margaret
Margaret J. Mohr-Schroeder, PhD Associate Professor of STEM Education - Mathematics COE Faculty Council Vice Chair SAPC University Senate Committee Chair University Senator/Senate Council Member Secondary Mathematics Undergraduate Program Chair Department of STEM Education University of Kentucky www.margaretmohrschroeder.com Schedule a Meeting with Me
On Mon, Feb 27, 2017 at 5:25 PM, Yost, Scott A < scott.yost@uky.edu > wrote:
Margaret,
Thanks for contacting me and trying to keep ahead of these things. I think there was some confusion. Yes there is a need for an MOA, but it was a bit more specific. Namely, Biology has various tracks to fulfill their GCCR, one of which is taking the WRD204 class. The other tracks are integrated into biology courses. What the GCCR committee is suggesting is that if you want to have STEM Ed students fulfill the GCCR in the technical program (i.e., biology) then you should have a direct MOA with WRD if students are allowed to take that track. If you want to restrict the students to take either EPE301 or the biology GCCR based on their courses, then no MOA is needed. But it still may be good to have one to cover these details. Does this make sense? Feel free to call me.
Attached are a few MOU you could use as a starting point, if needed. But I am sure you have seen the EPE301 MOA
Scott

 $\textbf{From:} \ Schroeder, \ Margaret \ [mailto: \underline{m.mohr@uky.edu}]$

Sent: Monday, February 27, 2017 10:59 AM

To: Yost, Scott A < scott.yost@uky.edu > Cc: Flanagan, Sara M < sara.flanagan@uky.edu > Subject: MOA Information for STEM Education
Hi Scott-
Sara relayed the information about the GCCR review of the STEM Education program and said we needed to have an MOA with Biology in case the students use their courses for their GCCR.
Is there somewhere I can get the information on the requirement/contents of the MOA please? I have searched the uky sites and have come up empty-handed. I would like to get this done asap since this holding up our entire program change.
Thanks for your guidance on this!
Best-
Margaret
Margaret J. Mohr-Schroeder, PhD Associate Professor of STEM Education - Mathematics COE Faculty Council Vice Chair SAPC University Senate Committee Chair University Senator/Senate Council Member Secondary Mathematics Undergraduate Program Chair Department of STEM Education University of Kentucky www.margaretmohrschroeder.com Schedule a Meeting with Me

STEM Education Department

September 11th 2015 Minutes

Attendees: Jennifer Wilhelm, Brett Criswell, Lisa Krause, Cindy Jong, Jonathan Thomas,

Rebecca Krall, Molly Fisher, and Margaret Schroeder

Minute Taker: Nora Whitehead

Location and Time: 122 TEB: 9:30 A.M.

1. Laurie Henry and Kevin Flora (retention and enrollment)

-Fall 2014 enrollment

-81.7% (257)

-Fall 2015 enrollment

-84.5% (309)

-this year has the highest enrollment

-Provost wants to increase enrollment 1% each year

-first time, full-time freshman

-STEM has nine students

-want to retain eight students

-students that are not ready for calculus I are already behind

-hard to retain those students

-responsible for students that claim STEM Ed as a major

-students will not give us the exact reason for leaving

-we need to build a relationship with students

-each faculty member will reach out to one student

-students will be able to ask questions

-Kevin will send out an updated sheet with Freshman

students data

- -Kevin Flora-3 ideas
 - analysis of students leaving within the university
 - -what's going on
 - where are the students coming from
 - -why are they coming in
 - early alert dashboard
 - -needs to be looked at once a week
 - -focus on students with these alerts
 - -advisors send faculty members emails about student alerts

-faculty members need more information

on student alerts

-166 has all internal systems

-students are getting mixed

information from 166 and APEX

- -email Kevin with any issues pertaining to students, enrollment, retention, etc.
- 2. Approval of August 20th minutes
 - -Margaret made a motion to approve the minutes
 - -Cindy seconded the motion
 - -all approved
- 3. Leftover items from August meeting: Program deletions and adding bio to STEM plus
 - -need to make a motion to suspend the secondary education program: math and science

-currently one student in the program

-the student will have 5 years to complete the program if the

program is suspended

-Margaret made a motion to suspend the mathematics secondary

education program and the science secondary education program

-Jennifer seconded the motion

-all approved

-bios

-biology was approved by biology department

-forms will be released next week

- 4. NCATE Report
 - -standards
 - -did well on 2,4,5, and 6
 - -problems with 1 and 3
- 5. Rank I and Rank II issues
 - -severe problems
 - -Dean and Rosetta want to get rid of Rank I and Rank II
 - -thoughts about Rank I going away
 - -we haven't been keeping a good track record (assignments and data)
 - -teachers want to go through Rank I
 - -stepping stone to Ph.D.
 - -Rosetta suggests
 - -delete Rank I right now and then resubmit
 - -we currently have 2 students getting their Rank I
 - -Candice and Floyd
 - -would be allowed to finish
 - -Rosetta will talk more about it in the program chair meeting
 - -everyone is on board to delete and bring back Rank I
 - -we'll be able to conglomerate hours together so students

will be able to get their Rank I

- -Rank II
 - -new things need to be added
 - -we do not want to get rid of it

-need to collect data every year-need to be aware of changes

- 6. REU update
 - -clean out the account
 - -we'll be able to pay for travel for students to go to conferences
 - -\$1,000/student
 - -Shelby and Mollie
 - -SSMA
- 7. Undergraduate Research Certificate (Arts & Sciences)
 - -in social science research
 - -committee
 - -Alice Turkington, Mark Whitaker, Sung-Hee Kim, and Tony Love
 - -minimum of 12 credit hours
 - -would we be interested in participating, or would we want to do our own
 - -interested in participating if our courses were included
 - -an email will be sent out with our courses listed
- 8. Committee Reports
 - -google doc.
 - -research committee
 - -Jon
- 9. Summer Schedule
 - -Margaret
 - -robotics
 - -Summer I

-EGR 599, EDU 300, and SEM 770 online

- -Margaret made motion to adjourn
- -Jennifer seconded motion
- -all approve motion

Adjourned at 10:33 A.M.

Biology Faculty Meeting

Friday, April 24, 2015 3:00pm THM 116

- Approval of Faculty Meeting Minutes; 2/27 Approved
- Faculty Search
 - o We have hired 2 lectures: Claire O'Quinn and Erin Richard who will take care of introductory Biology and non-majors Micro course.
 - We made formal offer to Julie Pendergast who is here today, just a few modifications necessary for her after her meeting with Hal Stills
 - We have been in discussions with Michelle Afkhami and Chris Searcy regarding their wish lists and we've been in discussions with the Dean regarding resources. A vote in favor of pursuing Dr. Searcy as a tenure-track faculty. In favor: 17, Opposed: 2, Abstentions: 3
- approved the STEM department, and out of that department came a new Science Education proposal, called STEM Plus. STEM Plus required an undergraduate degree in STEM education along with appropriate content knowledge in Biology, Chemistry, Physics and Math. This would allow them to be fully certified to teach these subject areas in high schools. A proposal for STEM Plus in Biology was brought but rejected due to the fact that it was extremely light on Biology. We recommended a double major: one in STEM and a BA in Biology. Dr. Beattie has been working with the College of Education preparing a proposal for a BA in Biology with a topical focus in STEM Education. They would be required to take all 4 upper level BIO courses. Is it good for Biology? A max of 5 students a year would be in the program and they are required to meet all of our BA requirements. Approved in favor with modifications (proposal currently lists BS requirements, but will be changed to BA). In favor: 24, Opposed: 0, Abstentions: 0
- Removing Cell as prerequisite for BIO 350 and 430G will allow for more flexibility and will reduce bottleneck from taking Senior year. In favor: 23, Opposed: 0, Abstentions: 0
- BIO 148/152/155 (see attachment) material just being rearranged.
- GAC David Westneat
 - Recruiting is essentially over. We had 83 applicants and gave offers to 18. 12 of those
 (66%) accepted for the fall. We are still talking to one applicant for a Master's.
 - Plan B Master's program received 0 applicants. Window will stay open through mid-Aug.
 - Ribble top-offs Ribble budget has been reconfigured and a new proposed budget has come out. Going forward, a faculty member will need to pay the difference from their grant or the student will need to take a half TA (versus whole TA), and fellowship recipients will actually make more as a half TA.

- Publication requirement (see attachment) Discussion around whether the publication should be in press, at minimum or accepted with revisions. What is the underlying goal?
 Publication experience? Dissertation of sufficient quality?
- Future faculty searches, if time allows

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION COURSES AND CURRICULA COMMITTEE MEETINGS

October 21, 2016 10:00-11:30am, 151 Taylor Education Bldg

Committee Members

- _x_ C&I, Margaret Rintamaa
- _x_ EDL, Tricia Browne-Ferrigno
- x EdSRC, Bob McKenzie
- _x_ EDP, Jon Campbell (Sycarah Fisher representing Jon Campbell)
- x EPE, Jane Jensen
- x KHP, Justin Nichols (Chair)
- x STEM, Brett Criswell
- Ex Officio, Rosetta Sandidge
- _x_ Staff, Martha Geoghegan
- x Staff, Gary Schroeder

Also in attendance, Martha Rogers from Rehabilitation Counseling to discuss RC550, RC552, and RC554.

Minutes from the Friday, September 23, 2016 Courses and Curricula Committee meeting were approved.

FROM EDUCATIONAL, SCHOOL, AND COUNSELING PSYCHOLOGY AND EDUCATION POLICY STUDIES AND EVALUATION

New Course Proposal – EDP 600 Lifespan of Human Development and Behavior

- 1. Ouestions and Discussion
 - a. This course was reviewed at the last meeting and tabled. (see minutes of the Sept. 23, 2016 meeting)
 - b. There was a discussion of how the course was being displayed in Curriculog. The red x indicated that this committee had rejected the course at the last meeting
 - c. The name of the course has been changed, because the committee had indicated that the course with the original name already exists in another department/program.
 - d. The title is now correct in curriculog, Martha Geoghegan says that she will make the necessary change on the College of Education website
 - e. The grading scale is now correct
 - f. All of the approvals from affected departments have been secured
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. none
- 3. Motion to Approve
 - a. Moved: Jane Jensen
 - b. Seconded: Margaret Rintamaa

- 4. Amendments:
 - a. none
- 5. Action: Approved

FROM EARLY CHILDHOOD, SPECIAL EDUCATION, AND REHABILITATION COUNSELING

New Course Proposal – RC 550 Ethics in Rehabilitation and Mental Health Counseling

- 1. Questions and Discussion
 - a. Tricia Browne-Ferrigno asked whether the syllabus includes both the undergraduate and graduate grading scale.... Yes it does
 - b. Justin Nichols mentioned that the SLOs are listed, but they are not aligned with assignments in a 2 x 2 table.
 - c. Tricia indicated that the SLOs should be put into a table with items related to how they are going to be accomplished.
 - d. Jane Jensen indicated that such a table has not been approved as a requirement in the university syllabus by the University Senate, even though having such a table is a good idea. It was stated that the use of the SLO/assessments table is being promoted by the university assessment office. Jane indicated that she was at the university senate meeting where requiring this table in the university approved syllabus template was not approved
 - e. There was a general discussion of whether the CoE should pass a rule requiring that the SLO table be included in all CoE syllabi.
 - f. Justin Nichols also indicated that he has been involved in a number of conversations where there is agreement from a variety of committees that the SLO table (as well as the SLO list) should be on the syllabus
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. none
- 3. Motion to Approve
 - a. Moved: Bob McKenzie
 - b. Seconded: Tricia Bowne-Ferrigno
- 4. Amendments:
 - a. none
- 5. Action: Approved

New Course Proposal – RC 552 Rehabilitation Technology in Education and Employment

- 1. Ouestions and Discussion
 - a. The same issue discussed in the previous course was recognized as being operative for this course as well.
 - b. Brett Criswell questioned the grading scales that were listed on the syllabus.... He wondered whether there are specific rules related to grading scales and schemes that need to be included on all syllabi. Jane indicated that there are not hard and fast rules for grading scales and schemes.
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. none

- 3. Motions
 - a. Moved... Tricia Browne-Ferrigno
 - b. Seconded... Bob McKenzie
- 4. Amendments:
 - a. none
- 5. Action: Approved

New Course Proposal – RC 554 Rural Rehabilitation

- 1. Questions and Discussion
 - a. Tricia Browne-Ferrigno requested to see the syllabus on the screen
 - b. Jane Jensen was curious about the American Indian Vocational Rehabilitation Program. Martha Rogers said that CORE doesn't have a specific rule to include this in an accredited program, but it is something that the department thinks is a good idea
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. none
- 3. Motion to Approve:
 - a. Moved: Tricia Browne-Ferrigno
 - b. Seconded: Bob McKenzie
- 4. Amendments:
 - a. none
- 5. Action: Approved

Minor course Change Proposal – EDS 612 Advanced Practicum: Special Education

- 1. Questions and Discussion
 - a. Bob raised the question about whether the Curriculog form for minor course changes has a place to request making the course repeatable
 - b. Allan Allday, the author, did check the box for the course being repeatable... but, he was concerned that there is not a place to show that the actual course change being requested is repeatability.
 - c. Jane Jensen mentioned that in Curriculog there isn't a place to provide a course change rationale, so that if you read the form, as a reader it may not be clear what is intended with the proposed change.
 - d. It was suggested that perhaps the author should be asked to write a memo indicating what the changes are, that can then be attached to the proposal.
 - e. Jane identified several things that ought to be discussed in this kind of memo; items that the faculty in the senate would like to know, even though they aren't on the course change form.
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. The author (Allan Allday) should do a short memo indicating that the intent of the course change is for the course to be repeatable. This should be done before the proposal is sent on to the Senate.
 - b. The author is also asked to provide Martha Geoghegan with a current electronic copy of the syllabus that can also be attached to the proposal.
 - c. Justin Nichols mentioned that the form should include a meeting pattern because the course is a three hour course.

- d. Justin also mentioned that there are no pre-requisites listed on the form. Probably it would be good to note that there are no pre-requisites for this course.... Even though it is not required.
- e. Justin mentioned that the impact report identifies this course as a prerequisite for other courses. As the committee looked at the form it agreed that there is no impact that needs to be dealt with for this course change.
- 3. Motion to Approve
 - a. Moved: Tricia Browne-Ferrigno
 - b. Seconded: Margaret Rintamaa
- 4. Amendments:
 - a. none
- 5. Action: Approved

New Course Proposal – EDS 599 Preparing Students for Interdisciplinary Teaming Education Abroad: India

- 1. Questions and Discussion
 - a. Bob McKenzie said that the author (Amy Spriggs) has been taking students to India for at least three years, but using a special problems course
 - b. The idea to this proposal is to have an actual course that will be used to support this study abroad activity
 - c. Margaret Rintamaa said that the "study abroad" form will be submitted later, after this course is approved.
 - d. Jane indicated that the two steps would be better..... First get the course approved without specifying that the course is a study abroad course in India. After the course is approved, then there can be a request to the Study Abroad Office to approve the course for use in a specific study abroad experience.
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. The form will need to be edited to clarify that the course will be more generic and not specifically for being taught in a study abroad experience in India.
 - b. The form should be edited to make the course more useable in a variety of settings
- 3. Motion to Approve
 - a. Moved: Tricia Browne-Ferrigno
 - b. Seconded.... Jane Jensen
- 4. Amendments:
 - a. Course is to be sent back to the author to make the changes to the proposal which will make the course more generic. (as suggested in the comments made during the meeting)
 - b. Also it is suggested that the author do a "Study Abroad" form at the same time
- 5. Action: Proposal Sent Back to Department

DISCUSSION

There was a discussion (via speakerphone) with Margaret Schroeder about whether the college is now requiring a matrix table for SLOs and assessment activities on all syllabi. Margaret said

that there wouldn't need to be a specific matrix on each syllabus at this time. However, each syllabus does need to identify the SLOs and also how they would be assessed.

From STEM Education:

Program Change Proposal – Delete Undergraduate Secondary Math Education

- 1. Ouestions and Discussion
 - a. The committee reviewed the change form at the meeting to consider the intent of this proposal
 - b. Margaret Rintamaa asked whether this change would mean that all MIC students will be coming to the program with a major in their content area(s)? Yes they will.
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. none
- 3. Motion to Approve
 - a. Moved: Tricia Browne-Ferrigno
 - b. Seconded: Jane Jensen
- 4. Amendments:
 - a. none
- 5. Action: Approved

Program Change Proposal – Delete Undergraduate Secondary Science Education

- 1. Questions and Discussion
 - a. none
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. none
- 3. Motion to Approve
 - a. Moved: Tricia Browne-Ferrigno
 - b. Seconded: Jane Jensen
- 4. Amendments:
 - a. none
- 5. Action: Approved

Program Change Proposal – Undergraduate STEM PLUS

- 1. Questions and Discussion
 - a. The committee reviewed the rationale statements on the proposal form in Curriculog.
 - b. Margaret Rintamaa asked whether now all of the STEM Plus Program options will no longer be taking EPE301. (not necessarily) Students in STEM Plus will have a couple of options for completing the GCCR requirement. EPE301 is used primarily for students to complete the GCCR.
 - c. She wondered whether this would also have an impact on the numbers for EPE301.
 - d. Margaret Schroeder (via speakerphone) indicated that there might be a decline in students taking EPE301, because probably it will only the math option students

- who wouldn't have a GCCR course in their programs... and would need to do EPE 301.
- e. Jane Jensen indicated that the STEM Plus faculty will need to prepare a new GCCR plan to replace the current one.
- f. All of the text related to adding the Biology option to stem plus was reviewed. Martha Geoghegan indicated that there would need to be some clarifying in the form to make sure that the interactions with the Biology department are clear and understandable by the senate committee.
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. The department is requested to prepare a GCCR plan to accompany this proposal
- 3. Motion to Approve
 - a. Moved: Margaret Rintamaa
 - b. Seconded: Brett Criswell
- 4. Amendments:
 - a. None
- 5. Action: Approved

ADJOURNMENT: 11:10

Minutes approved by Courses and Curricula Committee 11/18/2016

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION COURSES AND CURRICULA COMMITTEE MEETINGS

October 21, 2016 10:00-11:30am, 151 Taylor Education Bldg

Committee Members

- _x_ C&I, Margaret Rintamaa
- _x_ EDL, Tricia Browne-Ferrigno
- x EdSRC, Bob McKenzie
- _x_ EDP, Jon Campbell (Sycarah Fisher representing Jon Campbell)
- x EPE, Jane Jensen
- x KHP, Justin Nichols (Chair)
- x STEM, Brett Criswell
- Ex Officio, Rosetta Sandidge
- _x_ Staff, Martha Geoghegan
- x Staff, Gary Schroeder

Also in attendance, Martha Rogers from Rehabilitation Counseling to discuss RC550, RC552, and RC554.

Minutes from the Friday, September 23, 2016 Courses and Curricula Committee meeting were approved.

FROM EDUCATIONAL, SCHOOL, AND COUNSELING PSYCHOLOGY AND EDUCATION POLICY STUDIES AND EVALUATION

New Course Proposal – EDP 600 Lifespan of Human Development and Behavior

- 1. Ouestions and Discussion
 - a. This course was reviewed at the last meeting and tabled. (see minutes of the Sept. 23, 2016 meeting)
 - b. There was a discussion of how the course was being displayed in Curriculog. The red x indicated that this committee had rejected the course at the last meeting
 - c. The name of the course has been changed, because the committee had indicated that the course with the original name already exists in another department/program.
 - d. The title is now correct in curriculog, Martha Geoghegan says that she will make the necessary change on the College of Education website
 - e. The grading scale is now correct
 - f. All of the approvals from affected departments have been secured
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. none
- 3. Motion to Approve
 - a. Moved: Jane Jensen
 - b. Seconded: Margaret Rintamaa

- 4. Amendments:
 - a. none
- 5. Action: Approved

FROM EARLY CHILDHOOD, SPECIAL EDUCATION, AND REHABILITATION COUNSELING

New Course Proposal – RC 550 Ethics in Rehabilitation and Mental Health Counseling

- 1. Questions and Discussion
 - a. Tricia Browne-Ferrigno asked whether the syllabus includes both the undergraduate and graduate grading scale.... Yes it does
 - b. Justin Nichols mentioned that the SLOs are listed, but they are not aligned with assignments in a 2 x 2 table.
 - c. Tricia indicated that the SLOs should be put into a table with items related to how they are going to be accomplished.
 - d. Jane Jensen indicated that such a table has not been approved as a requirement in the university syllabus by the University Senate, even though having such a table is a good idea. It was stated that the use of the SLO/assessments table is being promoted by the university assessment office. Jane indicated that she was at the university senate meeting where requiring this table in the university approved syllabus template was not approved
 - e. There was a general discussion of whether the CoE should pass a rule requiring that the SLO table be included in all CoE syllabi.
 - f. Justin Nichols also indicated that he has been involved in a number of conversations where there is agreement from a variety of committees that the SLO table (as well as the SLO list) should be on the syllabus
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. none
- 3. Motion to Approve
 - a. Moved: Bob McKenzie
 - b. Seconded: Tricia Bowne-Ferrigno
- 4. Amendments:
 - a. none
- 5. Action: Approved

New Course Proposal – RC 552 Rehabilitation Technology in Education and Employment

- 1. Ouestions and Discussion
 - a. The same issue discussed in the previous course was recognized as being operative for this course as well.
 - b. Brett Criswell questioned the grading scales that were listed on the syllabus.... He wondered whether there are specific rules related to grading scales and schemes that need to be included on all syllabi. Jane indicated that there are not hard and fast rules for grading scales and schemes.
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. none

- 3. Motions
 - a. Moved... Tricia Browne-Ferrigno
 - b. Seconded... Bob McKenzie
- 4. Amendments:
 - a. none
- 5. Action: Approved

New Course Proposal – RC 554 Rural Rehabilitation

- 1. Questions and Discussion
 - a. Tricia Browne-Ferrigno requested to see the syllabus on the screen
 - b. Jane Jensen was curious about the American Indian Vocational Rehabilitation Program. Martha Rogers said that CORE doesn't have a specific rule to include this in an accredited program, but it is something that the department thinks is a good idea
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. none
- 3. Motion to Approve:
 - a. Moved: Tricia Browne-Ferrigno
 - b. Seconded: Bob McKenzie
- 4. Amendments:
 - a. none
- 5. Action: Approved

Minor course Change Proposal – EDS 612 Advanced Practicum: Special Education

- 1. Questions and Discussion
 - a. Bob raised the question about whether the Curriculog form for minor course changes has a place to request making the course repeatable
 - b. Allan Allday, the author, did check the box for the course being repeatable... but, he was concerned that there is not a place to show that the actual course change being requested is repeatability.
 - c. Jane Jensen mentioned that in Curriculog there isn't a place to provide a course change rationale, so that if you read the form, as a reader it may not be clear what is intended with the proposed change.
 - d. It was suggested that perhaps the author should be asked to write a memo indicating what the changes are, that can then be attached to the proposal.
 - e. Jane identified several things that ought to be discussed in this kind of memo; items that the faculty in the senate would like to know, even though they aren't on the course change form.
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. The author (Allan Allday) should do a short memo indicating that the intent of the course change is for the course to be repeatable. This should be done before the proposal is sent on to the Senate.
 - b. The author is also asked to provide Martha Geoghegan with a current electronic copy of the syllabus that can also be attached to the proposal.
 - c. Justin Nichols mentioned that the form should include a meeting pattern because the course is a three hour course.

- d. Justin also mentioned that there are no pre-requisites listed on the form. Probably it would be good to note that there are no pre-requisites for this course.... Even though it is not required.
- e. Justin mentioned that the impact report identifies this course as a prerequisite for other courses. As the committee looked at the form it agreed that there is no impact that needs to be dealt with for this course change.
- 3. Motion to Approve
 - a. Moved: Tricia Browne-Ferrigno
 - b. Seconded: Margaret Rintamaa
- 4. Amendments:
 - a. none
- 5. Action: Approved

New Course Proposal – EDS 599 Preparing Students for Interdisciplinary Teaming Education Abroad: India

- 1. Questions and Discussion
 - a. Bob McKenzie said that the author (Amy Spriggs) has been taking students to India for at least three years, but using a special problems course
 - b. The idea to this proposal is to have an actual course that will be used to support this study abroad activity
 - c. Margaret Rintamaa said that the "study abroad" form will be submitted later, after this course is approved.
 - d. Jane indicated that the two steps would be better..... First get the course approved without specifying that the course is a study abroad course in India. After the course is approved, then there can be a request to the Study Abroad Office to approve the course for use in a specific study abroad experience.
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. The form will need to be edited to clarify that the course will be more generic and not specifically for being taught in a study abroad experience in India.
 - b. The form should be edited to make the course more useable in a variety of settings
- 3. Motion to Approve
 - a. Moved: Tricia Browne-Ferrigno
 - b. Seconded.... Jane Jensen
- 4. Amendments:
 - a. Course is to be sent back to the author to make the changes to the proposal which will make the course more generic. (as suggested in the comments made during the meeting)
 - b. Also it is suggested that the author do a "Study Abroad" form at the same time
- 5. Action: Proposal Sent Back to Department

DISCUSSION

There was a discussion (via speakerphone) with Margaret Schroeder about whether the college is now requiring a matrix table for SLOs and assessment activities on all syllabi. Margaret said

that there wouldn't need to be a specific matrix on each syllabus at this time. However, each syllabus does need to identify the SLOs and also how they would be assessed.

From STEM Education:

Program Change Proposal – Delete Undergraduate Secondary Math Education

- 1. Ouestions and Discussion
 - a. The committee reviewed the change form at the meeting to consider the intent of this proposal
 - b. Margaret Rintamaa asked whether this change would mean that all MIC students will be coming to the program with a major in their content area(s)? Yes they will.
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. none
- 3. Motion to Approve
 - a. Moved: Tricia Browne-Ferrigno
 - b. Seconded: Jane Jensen
- 4. Amendments:
 - a. none
- 5. Action: Approved

Program Change Proposal – Delete Undergraduate Secondary Science Education

- 1. Questions and Discussion
 - a. none
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. none
- 3. Motion to Approve
 - a. Moved: Tricia Browne-Ferrigno
 - b. Seconded: Jane Jensen
- 4. Amendments:
 - a. none
- 5. Action: Approved

Program Change Proposal – Undergraduate STEM PLUS

- 1. Questions and Discussion
 - a. The committee reviewed the rationale statements on the proposal form in Curriculog.
 - b. Margaret Rintamaa asked whether now all of the STEM Plus Program options will no longer be taking EPE301. (not necessarily) Students in STEM Plus will have a couple of options for completing the GCCR requirement. EPE301 is used primarily for students to complete the GCCR.
 - c. She wondered whether this would also have an impact on the numbers for EPE301.
 - d. Margaret Schroeder (via speakerphone) indicated that there might be a decline in students taking EPE301, because probably it will only the math option students

- who wouldn't have a GCCR course in their programs... and would need to do EPE 301.
- e. Jane Jensen indicated that the STEM Plus faculty will need to prepare a new GCCR plan to replace the current one.
- f. All of the text related to adding the Biology option to stem plus was reviewed. Martha Geoghegan indicated that there would need to be some clarifying in the form to make sure that the interactions with the Biology department are clear and understandable by the senate committee.
- 2. Suggested Edits:
 - a. The department is requested to prepare a GCCR plan to accompany this proposal
- 3. Motion to Approve
 - a. Moved: Margaret Rintamaa
 - b. Seconded: Brett Criswell
- 4. Amendments:
 - a. None
- 5. Action: Approved

ADJOURNMENT: 11:10

Minutes approved by Courses and Curricula Committee 11/18/2016