1	
2	UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
3	SENATE COUNCIL MEETING
4	
5	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
6	
7	SEPTEMBER 9, 2019
8	
9	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
10	
11	JENNIFER BIRD-POLLEN, CHAIR
12	SHEILA BROTHERS, ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR
13	DOUG BLACKWELL, PARLIAMENTARIAN
14	BRENDA YANKEY, COURT REPORTER
15	
16	
17	* * * * * *
18	
19	
20	
21	* * * * * *
22	
23	
24	
25	

y CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: All right. I'm going to go ahead and call this meeting to order. Our September meeting of the University Senate. I'm Jennifer Bird-Pollen. I'm the chair of the University Senate Council. It's my great pleasure to welcome you back to the school year and to thank you all for your service to the university and to your colleagues here on the senate.

2.

1.3

2.2

Just a quick reminder of how we operate our meetings — just a reminder for those of you who haven't been here since May — none of you have been here since May I hope. If you were here, I wasn't here. So we follow Robert's Rules of Order. So we ask you to be civil and a good citizen, participate that means both here in the meeting and also to share information about what happens today with your colleagues back in your home unit, and then also to drop the clicker off on your way out the door so that we have those and we get them in working order for the next meeting.

So I'm very excited today -- oh, but about -wait. I'm -- I'm excited about the attendance
slide. We're going to start with (laughter). So
we're going to do the attendance slide first. So
select -- do this. So just -- this is a way to keep

```
track of who showed up today. So how comfortable is
 1
 2.
     the temperature in your building?
 3
     So, are we voting already, Sheila?
 4
          MS. BROTHERS: Uh-huh.
          CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Is this on? Or do I have
 5
 6
    to click forward to one of those --
 7
          MS. BROTHERS: Polling's up. So --
          CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Polling's open.
 8
                                               So you can
 9
    vote. Is it too hot, too cold, or just right?
10
               (Indiscernible cross-talk.)
11
                               Let me tell you the law
           CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN:
12
     school, everything's perfect in the law school.
                                          Okay.
1.3
            UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                   Yeah.
14
            CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: It's going to be a week,
    but we're fine.
15
16
    All right. Are we good? How are doing?
17
              MS. BROTHERS: Last vote.
18
             CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Okay. All right.
                                                    So
19
     last votes just for the attendance slide. Perfect.
20
    Excellent. Oh, just great. Look at that.
21
    majority vote. Okay. So, now, I am very excited to
2.2
     introduce to you the chair of the University Senate
23
     and our president, Eli Capilouto.
24
          PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: Thank you, Jennifer, and
     I'll try to be brief because I want to be able
25
```

engage the audience. If -- if you have comments or questions I'll welcome those at this time. But I come here as I usually do in deep gratitude. A couple of more recent experiences for me one that you had direct influence and control.

I confess to the people who are awarded honorary degrees that I had nothing to do with it, but I have the honor to call them and inform them. Many of you may know that Doris Wilkinson one of the first African-American undergraduates to receive a degree from the University of Kentucky, and is one of our honorary degree recipients.

She was going to be at the Trevor Noah Event to be recognized. Her health misfortunes made that impossible so the provost, Jennifer went to the nursing home where she resides and it was let me say, a very touching --

CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Uh-huh. We --

PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: -- moment; right?

CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: -- we have the photos,

21 actually.

2.

1.3

2.2

PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: Oh, do you? Okay. Well, she -- she said, "You know, I taught for 50 years and so my feet are tired." She said, "But I'm standing up for this." And she stood up in her

regalia and we awarded her degree, and a couple of her former students were there. I didn't know they would inform students, and to hear the meaningful impact that she had on them and -- and so many others.

2.

2.2

I've also observed -- I haven't gone back to this kind of stuff, I say the university honors those who honors them and who we chose to honor says a lot about us.

Okay. If you looked at the honorary degrees across many research universities, public research universities our's will reflect more diversity than most, and I greatly appreciate your choice in that matter.

I also had the honor of asking people for money, and as — as many people have heard me say time and time again, the ask for these huge gifts and small gifts really started decades ago when somebody was a student here when a group of faculty so moved them, when they feel like their lives were transformed, and I had the opportunity to ask them to invest in this university at a time in their lives when they had the means and want to invest back, and they do that because of their experiences when they were here, typically. But because of what

they see going on campus today and I thank you for that.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So I will say that we have some problems. I call them high class problems, and we don't have enough space on campus for housing and dining for all the students who want to come here. We've got to figure that out. We don't have enough beds in our hospital. Periodically, we go don't encourage it, but we can't accept any more patients.

And just about three years ago we opened our Healthy Kentucky Research Building in the basement. A couple floors finished out of about six. We were supposed to raise half of that money. The legislature gave us the initial \$130 Million without I — even asking the legislature, I'd admit. I got a phone call I'd get an answer in an hour. The hour came and they allocated another \$40 Million. Lisa Cassis was just successful, it looks like, in getting an NIH construction grant. So we're down to one pool and to be honest with you we should trying — planning our next research building.

Our hospital, No. 1 for the third year in a row, and we don't have anything to do with this selection, but when Forbes Magazine and Chronicle, and RH and others recognize you it's a good place to

work and a good place to work in terms of diversity. That update is a tribute to you and I thank you.

Now, I want to share some numbers with you. I know this is not the only way to measure success(07:25.1)

-- excuse me -- they're convenient numbers and I think they're proxies for a lot of good things. Our first-year retention is a record level.

2.

1.3

2.2

Our four-year graduation rate looks like it's going to be 50 percent. Just four years ago it was only 39 percent. A six-year graduation rate, which stubbornly stood for a decade at 60 percent is now, at 66 percent. There are only 150 universities out some 4,500 in this country that follow the straight federal definition of a six-year graduation rate are above 70 percent. That's where we want to be.

We're closing the gaps in the graduation rates among our under represented minorities. We have work to do in our first generation in fellow students, but I believe our LEADS program where we targeted financial needs will help us move those numbers.

Our state, as you may know, uses a performance funding model to allocate funds to higher education.

As a result of our performance, we get our first increase in state funding in -- I know I said five to eight years, but that's eight years -- probably,

ten. But when you look at the 11 measures they use, last year was on the university to achieve levels to receive funding because of our performance on 11 out of 11. The next university had 5 (09:07.8). This year we had 10 out of 11. The next university had 5, two had 4 and the others had 3, 2 or 0. So that's another indicator.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

If you look at our enrollment of graduates under represented minority numbers are up a few percentage points. At the graduate level and at the undergraduate level we're up to nearly some 17 percent. Our faculty executive numbers I expect to be positive, but I don't get those until November. In terms of the growth of our faculty and the numbers of women and minorities we're attracting but I feel good.

And then when you look at our research and development output again, I want to say these numbers are not the only measure. They're convenient while that they're important. Our total in terms of our expenditures, how much we spent on research this year was \$393 Million compared to just 40 years ago we had like 328 Million. But a more telling number is -- we've hovered around 330, \$350 Million in terms of new awards made. This year we

went up by some nearly 20, 25 percent to \$417

Million in new awards. So I predict that there'll

be more expenditures that's why I say we need more
research space.

2.

2.1

2.2

Our publications, Lisa Cassis has a nice program that lets us look at many other measures that our research successes and over 50 percent are in the top 50 percent of the journals in their disciplines, and our licenses have gone from 100 to 130 on an annual basis.

We had a record year in fundraising, \$212

Million as part of our Kentucky Can Campaign, and that represented some 45,000 donors, and I'm quite encouraged about some major gifts, which I hope we'll be able to announce in the next few weeks and the month. So we have people investing because we have momentum and it takes everybody to create this kind of buzz, so I thank you.

Yes, we have some priorities. Certainly, the well-being, mental health challenges that our student population face. Certainly, we care about our faculty and our staff too. This is community of belonging that we all must embrace remains I think a — a challenge that we willfully take on. They're in conversations, more of those we have to have

further the reckoning and the reconciliation, and this year when we celebrate 70 years of Lyman T Johnson's courageous step to get us to open our doors to African-Americans. I want to thank Sonja Feist-Price and so many others who are using this as a magnificent time for us to reflect and engage. This summer as part of my reading I read Trevor Noah's book, "Born a Crime" and that was followed by Lyman T Johnson's book, "The Rest of the Dream." I highly recommend those, and you're going to be hearing more about Lima T Johnson and the life he lived and the trails that he blazed.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So I have to be honest and say we -- we have headwinds. We've always had headwinds in higher education. I want to mention some of those to you. First, it's this composition. You can see it in public opinion surveys when people think we cost too much. Do you need a college degree? What's the return? Gee, are you offering it to -- the right programs? Are you teaching people what to think instead of how to think? So a liberal or progressive agenda in college campuses when you look at the different reasons people have for concern about what goes on.

In a recent Washington -- from the Federal

Reserve store -- study that's reporting wildly. I see these almost weekly now. You know, the title was, "Is College Worth it?" Depressing, turned down.

2.

1.3

2.2

If you go back and look at some serious work ——
I think I mentioned to you before Rod Shaggs' work
it looked at economic mobility, and he does it by
college in the country and so you can go look at the
University of Kentucky's economic mobility and
that's —— we don't do as well as we think. But I
think as we work even harder to make certain that
those Kentuckians who need financial assistance to
succeed we work harder on those things.

We're raising more money, investing more of our money in our UK Leads Program. 25 percent of all the Kentuckians who come here who fill out a FASFA form — so these are students that are in need — come from families who's average income is \$19,500. I'm happy to say for all those students when we break them down into core costs their net cost of tuition has gone down the last three years. We want to shed more money that way. And we know if we target it the right way, we raise even further than those graduation numbers, and I think we certainly have a responsibility to do that to reveal to the

public these are our priorities. There is a value here.

2.

2.1

2.2

I'd also mention state support, while you know we still have challenges in terms of pension program, new Medicaid costs, and I'll share with you too, the Council on Postsecondary Education feels a heightened responsibility to look more closely at program evaluations. Not to alarm you, but they have consulted with an outside firm Bray Associates and they're undertaking a statewide review of all the university's academic program portfolios to answer questions like which programs are no longer needed. Which programs are needed but not presently offered? Which programs are unnecessarily duplicated across campuses?

So trust me we will be quite diligent in the efforts to -- to make certain that whatever's produced in this kind of study is in the right context, the right caveats. I think under reflection what is the value, what are we paying for? And I also want to recognize too that we get \$257 Million state dollars, we get \$80 Million that goes out the door to mandated programs, while the dollars have increased we're educating many more students. We've opened two four-year medical

campuses in other cities, and I tell people if you think we're not spending our money appropriately. I think we're being prudent and what. So we'll have an opportunity to make that case and I'm certain that it will resinate.

2.

2.2

Another tension that is appearing part of our international work is this — this tension over foreign influence, and you've certainly read about it — concerns about intellectual property being a problem when shared. We get robbed in this country because of our openness and our transparency in research.

In some ways this dampens it, although, recently in some of our top researchers — not necessarily by name — but the percentage of the publications they have that are co—authored with those that don't live in this country is astounding. Another observation that in our discovery in our research, curiosity is international are we do it with partners. So how do we navigate this heightened area of compliance? Remaining true to the values that I think are precious to this university, society and country is something we will pay carful attention to.

So, in closing, I don't have anymore numbers but I'll move forward by stories. So I'll just tell you

a typical day for the president of the University of Kentucky. I went to Pikeville Thursday and Friday for the SOAR, Shaking Our Appalachian Region, and you know that that area of our state has chronically faced significant challenges. So when you walk through that big meeting and I got to give a few remarks the rest of the time people are pulling on your coat saying, "Thank you."

2.2

I want to express their request for help. So, you know, I met the mayor of small town of 400 people. "We're re-envisioning our city." I said, "Oh, what's it going to be?" "It's going to a retirement center for blue collar workers. It's going to be University Kentucky Health."

And then -- I mean, a crew that wants revitalize some railway system in eastern Kentucky. I think it's called STEAM. That's what they had on their shirts and they expressed their deep appreciation to our Rail Cats. Some of you in this room knows who our Rail Cats are. Now they feel so bad. All right. They're way back there. So we have this wonderful group of engineering students there -- and -- and help them resurvey these abandoned rail beds and to start putting rail down to get, you know, this railway system going.

And then I had -- the judge executive who pulls me over and says, "Your College of Dentistry came down here. We've got all these oral health problems. They were here for us."

Then, I visited Pit Source. Anybody know about Pit Source? I first learned about Pit Source watching the P -- PBS news and I read about them in the Wall Street Journal, and I recognized two of our engineering graduates that are spearheading this.

This is an effort to train out of work coal miners to code.

2.1

2.2

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Uh-huh.

PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: Okay. So they've always wanted me to visit. So I'll spend time, and I go in there expecting it -- it's probably abandoned old Coca-Cola bottling plant that they're re-purposing. You're walking and every person had a story there. This gentleman had to be in 60's had an MITT shirt. I said, I've got to ask you why do you have an MITT shirt on?

He said, "Well, I live there. First time I've ever been on an airplane." I said, "Why are you coming?" He said, "I learned this new block coding here and all that was developed in a nearby and I'm up here for a course. They were all excited because

this is the way they were going see that you create power, communities and all. So I'm now on their website. It's great. And then the technology that they are really developing how useful it is — is astounding.

1.3

2.1

2.2

And, again, University of Kentucky graduates caring about their community looking for other opportunities, and I can't say what we represent in terms of hope. You can't describe it adequately when it comes to this opioid epidemic. I mean, eastern Kentucky's the epicenter of this thing. It started there the earliest and we're going to be a part of changing it.

And we've engaged communities you probably saw
-- know about our -- our Heal Grant Healing \$90

Million. Two other announcements over the last few
weeks, more dollars towards getting community with
community to restore the fabric of a community by
helping individuals and families. So it is
fascinating to see when you go there -- well, like
this empty vessel that everybody's is pouring their
hope in.

But I tell you, they're so resourceful. They added into their timeline at this meeting faith and grit, and they determined to get -- get up when they

get knocked down, and it's a spirit. And I'll said, if I'm talking about them their priorities are ours because we are, I believe thanks to all of you, the University for Kentucky. So thank you very much, and I'm happy to take any questions.

(Applause.)

CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Any questions for the President?

PRESIDENT CAP: Bob.

2.

1.3

2.2

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Bob Grossman, Trustee. I'll start things off. Can you tell us what developments there are -- the Title VI cuts recently or expected to come?

PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: Yeah, so this question's about Title VI. Okay. You know, the Department of Education issued what were to be new guidelines and they got lots of responses to those. We were expecting that by now they would come up with their final regs, which we'll have to respect.

But -- but we thought that within those regs

there may be some flexibility and Jennifer co-chairs

the committee that we have together that, you know,

once those are -- are made available we've got to,

you know, have a good conversation about a lot and

-- and educate each other about how we would

1 proceed, but those haven't come out.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

All right. Very good. Thank you, again. Have a great semester.

(Applause.)

CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: All right. So we'll get into our business here. The first item is our minutes. So we circulated the minutes to you in the same email where you learned the agenda for today. We did receive some minor editorial changes, but unless we hear objections now —

No objections. Then, those minutes from May 6th of 2019 stand approved as amended by unanimous consent. Thank you for that.

And now I've got a series of announcements.

Just to keep you up to speed. You'll notice up here in the front of the room is a new face. This is

Stephanie Woolery. Stephanie has been working with the council office with us since May. We are thrilled to have her on board. So also thanks to the president's office for — for making this possible for us. We're really excited to have a third employee.

So you'll be receiving emails from Stephanie.

You'll -- she's responsible for a variety of areas
within the senate office.

One of which is the next thing on the agenda, which is our social media presence. So I hope you-all received our newsletter on Friday. We're very excited about this. So part of the motivation for that was Stephanie's experience with graphic design and — and other kinds of online things in the past. So we're really excited we have a Face-book page, a Twitter page now.

2.

2.1

2.2

Please, follow us. You know, re-Tweet, and add as your friend and -- and send ideas for content either to Stephanie or me directly. We're hoping to have, you know, a variety of stories on things that matter to you. So we'll have things about our new programs and things like that but also -- you know, somebody emailed me actually after the newsletter and said, "I don't remember ever voting for you how did you become the senate council chair?" And I thought that's very good question, but we don't have a story about that because not everybody understands the way that works.

So -- so please, you know, look out for us and if you have any feedback at all about that -- there is an un-subscribe button at the bottom, but don't un-subscribe. Okay. All right. So some additional announcements. The senate council sent

forward at the request of -- of the general counsel's office some nominees for the Healthcare's College's Code of Conduct Appeals award. This is a body that was -- has been around for a long time, but hasn't had any members for a very long time we discovered. So we sent forward nominees for now, but this another little group that we will populate every year now.

2.

2.1

2.2

So if you have friends or colleagues who might be interested in serving on that in the future, please send those names our way. We — there were — we've all — we're almost done naming all the senate committee chairs. Once we've got that finalized we'll announce those in October. But you should have — I hope — all received your committee assignments. If you haven't yet, please, reach out to me or to Stephanie directly we'll make sure you get assigned to a committee for the year.

One of the things I've been doing for the last year is trying to go to all the college's faculty council meetings just to sort of reach out and introduce myself, say hello, and talk about what we're doing here in the senate because I think we need more sort of collaboration between the senate and then those — then the colleges directly so.

These are the -- the colleges I've already -- either already visited or have on my schedules for sometime soon. If your college isn't on there, but you can help put me in touch with the department council in your college, that would be great. I'd be thrilled, and so you can either -- again, I -- email Stephanie or me directly and -- and I'll happily come and -- and meet with the members from your college.

Most of you know a little bit that our curriculum approval process goes out of our colleges and then to an academic council.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So the three academic councils have new chairs. While the graduate council's still chaired by the graduate school team, Bryan Jackson (28:54.6) also so he doesn't get to give that role up, but the other team has new chairs this year it's Corrine Williams from Public Health is the new chair of the undergraduate council.

We're very grateful to Amy Spriggs who chaired that committee for a number of years. And then in the Healthcare College's Council the new chair is Sheila Blonder from nursing. And, again, we're grateful to Carmine who's taken on a teaching position in North Carolina, I think. But now she will chair that council. So — so look out for

those -- for announcements from those people as well.

2.

2.1

2.2

Another call for volunteers, the undergraduate council has expanded its membership. Those of you who are not new to the senate remember how robustly the team we were last year with curriculum matters. The undergraduate council has wildly dense agenda so — so they are eager to have new participants and so the senate council's approved adding some members at least temporarily and so we're looking for additional volunteers to join that committee. If you or anyone you know would be willing to serve on the undergraduate council, please, send them our way.

Senate committees have mostly been formed —
again, if you haven't heard what committee you're
on, let me know. We sit right next to our staff
senate they have lots and lots of t-shirts left from
— from the employee appreciation day. So if you're
interested in the t-shirts, let us know. And then
the other thing for the staff senate — this was in
our newsletter — the — the staff senate has these
fantastic awards that they give out annually. One
of those awards was an award for someone who's been
nominated by the faculty.

So a faculty nomination for a staff award, and they would love to have additional nominations for that category. So there's a — there was a notice about that in the newsletter if you need more information about that, please feel free to reach out to me and I can put you in touch with John Gent who's the chair of the staff senate. This is a great way to sort of recognize people that you work with regularly who are doing a lot for the university.

1.3

2.2

One more announcement on behalf of the office of institutional diversity there are three finalists coming in this week for the -- the position of director of inclusive excellence in diversity education. They've invited senators to come to the open forums, which are Wednesday, Thursday and Friday of this week in the Lexmark Room in the main building.

So, again, if you've got the time, please stop into those events and — and meet these candidates. I think the idea is to get as much feedback as possible from all constituencies on campus including the faculty. So if you've got the time to attend those meetings, please do that.

Okay. So, again, if you -- if you were on the

senate last year you heard me talk about these deadlines. So, again, because we expect to have a robust curricular approval process this year again, we're asking you to respect these deadlines and to — to frankly, get the materials in as soon as possible. So please share these deadlines with your colleges.

2.

2.1

2.2

effective date. So these would be for — for curricular changes that will be effective next fall, these are the deadlines we're asking for. So if it's a new degree program we need to have it in the senate council office by February 3rd. So what that means is, it has to be already be through the academic council undergrad, grad or healthcare college's council. Okay.

So -- so we don't set deadlines for those councils. Those councils are sort of officially outside the senate. We're going to work with them to talk about what would be -- what would look like reasonable deadlines for those councils and we'll publish that information once we have it. But what these deadlines mean is through those academic councils to the senate council office. Those are the -- the ideal deadlines for approvals for fall

2020 and, frankly, earlier is better. So if -- so you don't have to wait until February 3rd. If you're done early, send it to us early. That'd be great too. If you remember those April and May meetings of last year, then, you remember that there's a lot to do.

2.

2.1

2.2

All right. Okay. So that — those were my announcements. And now we're move onto to the chair's report. So if you notice the senate rules gives the — either the chair or the senate council authority to make certain decisions on behalf of the senate when the senate isn't meeting. So we did a few things over the summer on your behalf.

One thing was a change to the university calendar that I approved on behalf of all of us.

Dean Jackson from the graduate school asked to change the graduate program registration window from Aug -- well, the beginning of that window's opening in August back to June. So I approved that request on our behalf.

We also -- the senate council in August -- in the August 19th meeting approved a change in the dentistry calendar. So this coming spring their commencement ceremony will be on Saturday instead of Friday. So there had been a request from students

and fac -- and family members to -- to move that
thing -- date so that more people could participate.
So the senate council approved that on your behalf.
I also, on our behalf, approved a number of
additions to the degree list. So these are people
who, for administrative reasons, had been left off
of earlier versions of the May 2019 degree list.
They'll be adding one PhD student and two
undergraduates who then earned degrees effective May
2019.

2.

2.1

2.2

And then at our retreat -- the senate council's retreat, which was back in the middle of May, the senate council approved a request on your behalf from the students that -- who had been awarded one degree with a double major but had actually earned two degrees. So we rescinded that single degree and instead awarded him the two degrees he had earned.

And then President Cap already mentioned this, but if you remember back in the spring we approved the awarding of an honorary degree to Dr. Doris Wilkinson, and we also approved an exception to the general rules in the senate, and the senate rules say that operating degrees are awarded at the commencement ceremonies unless the senate — the

senate officially approves an exception to that. At the request of Dr. Fiest-Price and others from her office — the request was to offer — to — to award this honorary doctorate at the university assembly that was going to happen in August, and the senate — we voted overwhelming to approve that exception. Unfortunately, we found out about a week or be — or so before the event that she was not going to be able to attend so we awarded this in — as President Cap already told you back in her living home.

2.1

2.2

So this would information about Dr. Wilkinson.

Again, you — the one you voted on the awarding of
the degree in spring, and then I'm just going to
show you a couple of pictures. So there we are. We
put on our robes and paraded ourselves through the
— the living facility, and she stood up to receive
the award. It was really very meaningful and
moving, and then we're also sharing with you a photo
of — of Dr. Wilkinson with her family. So we
invited some of her family members to be there.

(Applause.)

It's -- it was a very well-meaning event. So
-- so thank you -- all of you for making that
possible. I think it was very meaningful to her.
Three other items we wanted to let you know about.

So back at May senate council retreat we discussed three items and the fact that the senate council discussed these three items, we created sort of processes around these three items. So I'm going to tell you about each of these in turn.

So the first one is an early notice process around proposed new degrees and certificates. So, in particular, the senate academics program's committee last year had a proposal that part of the problem that was arising in various — in — in the course of various proposals was that there hadn't — didn't seem to be a lot of communication among various departments early in this process to try to both sort of flush out what might get objections, but also possible collaborations at a time at which changes could still easily be made.

So a lot of people weren't finding out about proposed programs until agendas were published or something like that. So we wanted to find a way to create more notice earlier in the process. So this proposal came out of the program's committee and was approved by the senate council in May. We've got what we are calling now a early notice system.

Is that what we're calling it? Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah.

2.

2.2

1 2

So we're going to attempt to increase

transparency and -- and communication through our

CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: An early notice system, and what's happening is the senate council receives notices of these potential proposals for new degrees and certificates from Dr. Annie Davis Weber's office, the office of strategic planning and institutional effectiveness.

So when proposals come into her office for new degrees or new certificates, we are getting that notice in the senate council office and so she'll be sending around a weekly email to all deans and associate deans in our college contacts — if yo9u want to be on the list, just let me know. I can get you on the list.

And -- and the idea is you're getting the name of the program, the contact person, a brief description of that program and -- and that allows -- and so we're asking those of you who are on the contact list -- distribution list, take that information, share it with the people for whom it will be relevant, and -- and sort of seek collaboration or raise objections sort of early in the process so we can try to make sure that there are fewer objections later in the process.

curricular approval process. So the first sort of a procedural change we approved at the retreat.

2.

2.1

2.2

The second one had to do with a change to the senate rules that the senate approved late in the spring of last year. Remember that we voted at the senate to allow nondegree students to enroll in undergraduate certificates at the university. So going forward any proposed undergraduate certificate will include a place for the proposer to tells us whether they want that certificate to be open to nondegree students or not, but what that left open was existing undergraduate certificates whether those were intended to be open to nondegree students or not.

So in May the senate council voted to have an expedited review process for these certificates. So any undergraduate certificate in place on campus already can fill out a sort of one-page program change form, as long as nothing else is changing about the admission's standards. So everything is the same as it was when it was only for degree students, and there's approvals at the college and below levels so the program department and college levels.

Then, they send those one-page forms to the

senate council office and we approve them automatically. So rather than going through the program change process including trips to the program's committee and the -- and the councils and things like that. We're approving those in an expedited way with the idea being the senate approved this broad change to the policy so we want to let existing certificates take advantage of that new change for the -- the second procedural change that came out of that May retreat.

2.

1.3

2.2

And then the third change has to do with planning master's degrees at the University of Kentucky during the fact report -- the -- the five-year report process last year, I guess, or earlier this year --

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Yeah. Uh-huh.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: -- the last academic year, we discovered that despite the facts requirement that all master's degrees were requiring 30 credit hours. We have master's degrees at the University of Kentucky that require 24 course hours plus a thesis without the requirement that -- that thesis be credit baring.

So some master's degrees are being awarded at the university for only 24 hours, which is a

potential violation of the fact standard, and also our conversations in the provost's office and dean of the graduate school about the fact that sort of best practices would be to be award credit for theses. Those who reflect the effort on behalf of the student and also on behalf of the — the faculty supervisor of that thesis.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So, again, the senate council contemplated how to approve changes like this relatively automatic without requiring each effected program to go through the whole program change process including program's committee and the graduate council. And, so again, we decided there's a one-time expedited approval forms for adding thesis hours — required number of thesis hours for most programs this is six hours of thesis credit — adding that to the program requirement. That approval, again, has to be made at the college level and below. Once that — come out of the college it comes to our office and senate council office will approve it on behalf of the senate.

So, again, an expedited change. We're working through the mechanics of that. We had a meeting with DGS and some effected programs a week or two ago and told the dean of the graduate school

to flush out some details, but I think this is a relatively easy solution to this problem.

Okay.

2.

2.1

2.2

So the senate rules permit -- actually require but we haven't always done it -- that the senate council name a liaison to each of the academic councils. So I was very excited this weekend to hear back from all three of the people that the senate council nominated to serve in these roles.

So Richard Charnigo from Public Health, Melinda
Wilson -- it will be on the HCCC -- Melinda Wilson
from Medicine is on the graduate council, and
Alberto Corso Arts and Sciences is going to serve on
the undergraduate.

So each of these people takes on the role of representing the senate council, but also participating in the good works of these councils for curricular reviews.

One more -- it's more like an announcement,
but the -- the women in charge of sort of managing
the commencement ceremony is asking -- has decided
effectively today to push back the afternoon
commencement ceremony by one hour and so the senate
council considered this and actually decided it
wasn't a calendar change because the time of the

commencement ceremonies isn't on the calendar so senate doesn't have the authority to approve or disapprove this. This is more of a notice saying to you that I think starting in December is the plan, the afternoon ceremony will start at 3:00 instead of 2:00.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What time is the change?

CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: I think so, yeah.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: So pushing it back one hour. I'm pretty excited about this one. So, you know, we're always looking for ways to approve the curricular approval process and the review process so we had a meeting a couple weeks ago with the chairs of those three councils, undergrad, grad and the HCCC plus Aaron Cramer is the chair of the program's committee. And we talked about how we could sort of standardize and streamline our approval process.

So we created checklists for both course approvals, course reviews and program reviews and those checklists are actually now available for anyone to look at. So the -- the councils themselves will use these forms as they look at

course proposals and program proposals, and all the councils will use the same form. So not everything on the form applies to every council, but the idea was we wanted — simpler is better. So we are using one form, some questions won't apply in every instance but those questions are on there.

The proposers can also look at these forms.

2.

2.2

So we're trying to be transparent so that you're not surprised by what people ask. So -- so all councils will be using the checklists that will be available there, and then we're also trying to -- to come up with sort of clear articulations of the steps of our approval process so that everybody knows what is it that the academic councils are looking for, what is it that the program's committee is looking for, what does the senate council typically ask about.

And so we're trying to help proposers to move through this process, which we know -- if you haven't done it before, you haven't served on the senate this is unfamiliar and so we're just trying to make it as clear as possible for people coming through this process. So I'm excited about that.

The last bullet point on there, so we have

lots of generous colleagues serving on these committees. Some of them will spend months emailing unresponsive proposer. So, you know, hey, why don't you update the syllabus? Hey, remember how a month ago I asked you to update the syllabus? You remember how six months ago I asked you to update the syllabus? And, you know, sometimes the senate gets blamed for something taking a year or two years to get through when really there's a six month delay because somebody doesn't check their emails. So we're working on a policy.

2.

1.3

2.2

So we're trying to make a decision how many times is reasonable to ask a proposer to review before we do something like reach out to an associate dean or a dean, or have a policy at -- at some point sending things back down the chain to say, "Look, I guess you're not as serious about this as you seem to be." We want to be efficient but we also sort of expect the same level of responsiveness and respect from proposers.

So -- so we're working on that at the council level and a program's committee level. If you have any feedback about that, have an idea, please share it with us. You know, we want to be considerate of our colleagues' time but we also want them to be

considerate of our time. So -- so that's the conversation we're having.

2.

2.2

Remember back December of last year, we changed the senate rules to sort of make it clearer what the parameters were around nondegree students enrolling at courses at the University of Kentucky, and so one of the things the senate council asked for in response to that was data about how many students — how many nondegree students had enrolled in summer courses in 2019.

So we got that information from Christine
Harper the associate provost for enrollment
management this year, and you can see here this past
summer the numbers were relatively low. There were
only 82 nondegree seeking students who were
enrolled. One of the things the senate council was
concerned about was whether any nondegree students'
enrollment had forced a degree seeking student out
of a course or onto a waitlist or something like
that.

So senate council asked for explicit information about that. Effectively, what we learned for the summer was that there were no wait lists for any courses. So we didn't have a consequence like that for the summer of 2019. We're waiting for the data

for the fall of 2019. We'll report that back to you as we learn it as well.

2.

2.2

This is just an update. Many of you -- some of you I think you remember a couple of years ago the senate considered the proposal to create the Institute for the Study of Free Enterprise in the College of Business Economics, the Gatton College.

One of the requirements associated with that proposal when it was approved by the senate was a — a two-year review of the institute since there were questions around academic freedom and external influence on the academic content of the faculty members associated with that institute. So it's been two years — actually, it's been two years like, this month, but one of the things that happened over the summer is that there's a new funding source associated with that institute and also the director stepped down. So now there's an interim director.

In the meantime, we do have an Ad Hoc committee that's working on a review of that institute. Their work has been affected by that a little bit by that — the sort of changes that have happened over the summer. Ernie Bailey is chair of that committee. Some of you remember Ernie was the

chair of the senate academic organization and structure committee during this period of the initial proposal. So the senate council thought it would be sort of a nice cohesion to ask Ernie to chair this Ad Hoc committee. They're meeting and doing their work officially their report was due this month, but it's not going to happen. It's going to be a couple of months late, but I just wanted to let you know they are, in fact, working and engaging in that review.

2.

2.1

2.2

Okay. The provost is not going to be here today, but he is going to give you a full report at the October senate meeting. So the next report we have is our vice chair, Jennifer Osterhage from arts and sciences and biology.

VICE CHAIR OSTERHAGE: So today I'd like to -- to award the outstanding senator award. So for those new senators out there a little background on the award. It was created by senate council in 2011 to recognize an outstanding senator, and the criteria were reevaluated in 2017 since the former senators were also eligible for the award.

And if you were on the senate last year I solicited nominations from the senate and we -- we decided on the award I think in April, but there was

a lot going on at that May meeting. So we decided to -- to award this in September when the agenda was a little lighter.

2.

2.1

2.2

So the criteria for this award, the outstanding senator award is outstanding service on one or more senate committees, communication with the senate and the faculty—at—large on important issues and being a strong voice for faculty government and the senate's larger agenda. I should also mention that the criteria state that current senate council members are not eligible.

So the nominee for this award was -- actually the winner of this award was actually nominated several times when she was member of senate council so was not eligible during that time, but then was nominated again last year after becoming eligible.

So I'd like to read a little bit about our -- our winner.

She was a very active member of the senate during her years of membership" -- and this is from the nominator -- quotes from the nominator -- "serving on the senate council and chairing the senate academic program's committee. Her dedication to the senate led to her spending hours every week interacting with other senators and with faculty

members all across campus to help improve their program proposals. She served as vice chair of the senate council until her appointed as associate dean with the college of education required her to step down from that role."

2.

1.3

2.2

Even now that she's had to give up her official senate affiliations she has volunteered to help the SAPC on an Ad Hoc basis to help them work through all the proposals that they had to work through last year as part of our path forward."

So the winner of the outstanding senator award, who just happens to be here today, is Margaret

Mohr-Schroeder.

(Applause.)

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just let me take one quick picture. All right. We're trying to beat UK Now on the -- Thank you.

(A LOT OF INDISCERNIBLE CROSS-TALK.)

CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Okay. So those of you who -- who are new to the senate may not have seen Margaret in action, but those of you who have been on for a while remember that nearly every staff meeting she was out here introducing and describing these proposals so I'm really grateful for everything Margaret's done for the senate.

Congratulations. Okay. Next report is from our parliamentarian, Doug Michael.

2.

1.3

2.2

PARLIAMENTARIAN MICHAEL: Thank you. It's a pleasure to be here. You asked how Jennifer got her job. You didn't ask how I got mine. I was appointed by the senate council. The rules don't specify a term. So I guess I'm here until they send me on my way. The chair also asked me once here to talk to you, otherwise, I'm seen and not heard, which is what we would all prefer.

Just a couple minutes background on my job. A parliamentarian is an advisor to the chair. I am not an advisor to the members, nor, do I hear appeals. Appeals of the chair's decision go to the assembly. I'm not her supervisor. Nonetheless, if for example, someone were to move to table a motion, which is almost always the wrong thing to do. I'm just going to sit here and not say anything until the chair says, "Is that the correct motion?"

Then, I'll say, no, what you need to do is post-pone to a definite time, or something like that. General rules of debate we do something -- many things here by motion. We've had one here so far, which is by unanimous consent. We're going to have one shortly. It comes -- like you've probably

all heard someone moves and the chair states the motion and asks for a second. If it's from the committee, the second is not needed, and then before we get to Item No. 3, according to Robert's Rules that's an important time because before No. 3 is done we can do what most of you usually call a friendly amendment, which means the proposer can change something that you might suggest should be changed.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

After the chair says words like so the motion before us is. Then, we're done with friendly amendments. The motion belongs to the assembly. If you want to change something no matter how nocuous, it needs to be an amendment so that everybody approves, or it can be done unanimous consent if everybody sees like, it was a typographical error or something like that.

So that is the importance of the chair stating the question, and then we -- I've been asked to clarify how the process of commenting goes after that. We have here established a difference between questions of fact, were you not clear, things are complicated at the university you want to have things clarified. That's a more informal discussion.

And then when we move to -- the time for debate you may state your opinion about what you think about it -- the -- the matter at hand and the chair always retains the task of assigning who will speak. The chair will often say, well, this first proposal's from the committee on moon landings. Let's have the chair of the committee come make a brief presentation. The chair is still in large -- and the chair not the other person presenting will decide whom to recognize.

2.1

2.2

Similarly, if you're recognized and you say, well, I know that there's a moon landing question here and I know that the chair of the moon landings here that's Professor Smith and she might have something to say — she may only have something to say if the chair recognizes her. So we keep things orderly that way to make sure there's not cross—talk or cross—debate, and no matter how much you want to talk, raise your hand and wait to be called on just like you learned.

This is a room full of ultra-educated people in the audience who have been speaking in public for a long time. So just be courteous if your fellow senators. (laughter)

CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Okay. Great. Thanks, Doug.

But Doug is my -- even though he's not my supervisor

2 | but actually he's my associate dean at the law

3 | school so he kind of is. But here -- here he's not

4 | that's the whole point.

Okay. Trustee's report, Bob Grossman and Lee

6 Blonder.

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

TRUSTEE BLONDER: Hi, everyone. I'm Lee
Blonder and this is Bob Grossman. We're your two
elected faculty trustees. Per our report, I want to
talk a little bit about the board right now. So we
had three board members — three appointed board
members go off in June, and Governor Bevin a couple
of weeks ago appointed three replacements, and the
three replacements are Kathy Black

. She replaces Angela Edwards. She's a UK grad and a senior producer at BBC liaison that's CBS News in New York. Second person is Joe Bowen who replaces David Hobb. Senator Joe Bowen is retired Kentucky state senator who represented the 8th district Owensboro and a small business owner.

And the third new trustee is Anthony Donahue who replaces Jane Booth. Anthony Donahue is the managing attorney of Johnny Law Group, which has offices in Kentucky and Ohio and focuses primarily on insurance subrogation. Sot those are our three

board members. We'll be meeting them at the meeting which is — our next meeting is the end of this week Thursday and Friday. So the meeting will be — we have the annual investment committee retreat on Thursday plus the healthcare meeting, and then on Friday we have a bunch of other meetings, and then the May board meeting. All this is posted. You can look at the agendas all these are open meetings. If anybody has a burning interest in knowing what's going on, please, feel free to come.

2.

2.1

2.2

The academic and student affairs committee which is the committee where all our proposals end up was chaired by Angela Edwards who rolled off so for this particular meeting Trustee Candy Grant is going to be the acting chair of the academic and student affairs committee.

The other thing that's going on is elections. So, as you may know, Chair Britt

Brockman has been the chair for several years. He's already — he's run out of terms according to the rules. So we're electing a new chair, a new vice chair, a new secretary and the three executive committee members plus the existing secretary. So we'll be doing that on Friday confirming the elections of these new officers and executive

committee members, and that concludes my report.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Okay. Just a few things to bounce off some off the things that Lee said. First of all, our last board meeting was in June so it was after this — this group met the last time. We approved a lot of the — we approved a lot of the curriculum for the proposals that had come through this body. We also heard from Mayor Linda Gordon at our University Relations Committee meeting.

And, apparently, this is the first time that anyone could remember that a mayor of Lexington came and spoke to the board of trustees. So their — our relationship with — with the city of Lexington did — used to be not quite as cooperative as it is now, and it's great to see that there's a lot more cooperation going on.

As illustrated, for example, by the land swap where UK -- the city land out in the -- outer New Circle, north New Circle Road for Exon development purposes and then we -- in turn, the city granted us control -- or ownership a lot of the streets on campus -- Rose Street and such, which is why you can now walk down the middle of Rose Street or I guess play Twister in the middle of Rose Street.

So the other thing I wanted to say about our

trustees, lots of times when people are talking to me, you know, I realize they have — they have an idea that the trustees are trust — want to be trustees either because they get good basketball tickets or because they are — which they do — or because — we have to pay taxes on them so it's not entirely free — or because they — their egos are so big they want to feel important and — but they don't really care about the university. They care only about themselves, and that — I have not found that to be the case at all.

2.

1.3

2.2

A lot of the people on the board are -- are very wealthy people, but a lot of them are self-made. One of our -- the -- Phil Gatton who paid large amounts of money for the Gatton College and the Gatton Student Center used to sell watermelons on the side of the road to raise money for the college here at UK, and they're -- there are lots of stories like that among the trustees. So they really appreciate the importance of the university to both empower people to earn a good living and also to live a good life, and -- although, some of them have certain ideas well, the university should be focusing a little bit more on this kind of thing rather than that kind of thing,

you -- you know all the national trends about micro-credentialing and things like that and so -they read the same articles that we read and so sometimes they -- hey, yeah. That's a good idea. Why don't we do more of this? But, in general, they have an enormous amount of respect for the faculty and what we do and what the university does as a whole. So I think you can be confident that the university's in pretty good hands at least in terms of our trustees. That's about all. CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Any questions for Bob or Lee while they're up here? Okay. TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Okay. Thank you. TRUSTEE BLONDER: Thank you. (Applause.) CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: All right. So, now, we have our very pretty agenda item. So a quick reminder that the only senators who can vote on this particular agenda item are the elected college faculty senators. So if you are an elected college faculty senator, we are going to ask you to vote. So we have a motion from the senate council that the elected faculty senators approve UK's late August

2019 list of candidates for credentials for

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

submission to the president for the board of So we will -- if we approve this motion today, we'll forward this list onto the board of trustees so they will vote at their at the end of the week on this same list of candidates. Is there any discussion about a motion? Okav. Since the motion came from the senate council we don't need a motioning and a second. I'm going to forward this and I'll ask for you to vote on the August 2019 late degree list. Okay. Is the voting open, Sheila? MS. BROTHERS: Yes. CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Okay. Go ahead and vote. It's working? Good, MS. BROTHERS: Yeah. CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Any last votes? Okay. And motion passes. Excellent. Thank you all very much. Very exciting. So those of you who have been around know that we actually approve the August degree list -- we have historically approved it in two groups. So there was a group of people we approved in the -- back in May, people who had applied early for their August degrees, and this is the second group of people who've applied for their degrees to be awarded effective August 2019.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

thank you for that. We'll send that information to the board of trustees.

2.

2.1

2.2

So the last item on our agenda is from -- from Dr. Weber from the provost's office. He's going to talk to us about zip codes.

Let me just introduce you to (Laughter). Get ready.

So -- so briefly tell you why we've asked Dr. Weber

to be here today. I don't even -- what does it

stand for?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Classification of instructional programs.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Zip code, classification of instructional programs. So this is a — a number that's attached to every program that we offer at the university and historically we considered that a program change. So if the zip code had to change it had to go through our program changing process, and we realized in many instances it's not optional.

It's not something that's really up for debate. So it seemed like the more efficient way to do this was to allow Annie's office to approve these changes on our behalf, but then report to us annually what has happened.

So -- so she's here today to provide the report that we asked for last year when we also

So thanks for helping us get her. 1 asked her to. 2. DR. WEBER: Thank you. 3 CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Yeah. 4 DR. WEBER: So, hi. I'm Annie Davis Weber. 5 So the report was linked there was six zip code 6 changes that we processed. They were all very 7 frankly minors -- one of them we caught that was an 8 error we never should have used that zip code in the 9 first place so we cleaned it up. Sometimes the 10 program has changed over time. 11 There were two here from economics that they --12 these were originated with the programs and so we 1.3 found no reason to hold up the request so we have --14 we've done everything. I can answer specific questions about them if you want, but otherwise this 15 16 is hopefully going to be a very short report. 17 Yes? Oh, sorry. I don't get to do that. 18 CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Davy, go ahead. 19 THE COURT REPORTER: State your name, 20 please. 2.1 CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Yes. Yes. I grant it. 2.2 MR. JONES: Davy Jones, College of Arts & 23 Science, with simple changes does that have to go 24 over to CPE for approval or is it an in-house 25 decision?

DR. WEBER: We notify CPE about that and they update their records. It's not part of an approval.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Yes?

2.1

2.2

MS. BRION: I'm just curious --

CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Name, please.

MS. BRION: Gail Brion, College of
Engineering. Sorry. How do these zip code changes
help us? What can -- I -- I know they're important,
but can you tell us how they're important? What
they can get us?

DR. WEBER: So the -- the zip code system is a taxonomy of academic disciplines and you chose the one that kind of best matches the -- the intent of your program. It gives us the ability to -- to compare ourselves with similar programs at other institutions.

So my go-to example is political science because that's what my undergraduate was so another college might call it the department of government but if you use the same zip code, then, it has the short-hand to be able to compare across all the different institutions, similar disciplines. We use it a lot internally for looking at the credentials of the faculty that we hire. So when you are hired

here we get your transcript, we assign a zip code for your discipline that matches to the discipline of the courses that you're teaching and then that's part of how we tell — that our faculty are qualified. That's one small part of a big picture of how we tell our — that our faculty are qualified.

There are some zip codes that are designated by various government agencies as STEM.

Everybody seems to have a different list. The SEC has a list. It — it's the same, but there's a particular list that the Department of Homeland Security recognizes and international students who study in those disciplines get a different kind of visa waiver and they have an extra year of residency after they graduate to try to find a job so they want to encourage people to come here and then stay here.

So they give them a little extra timeline. So there's kind of a -- a web of things that can happen, but really it's just about choosing the -- the right term to describe what you are. So -- Yeah -- oh.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Yeah, go ahead.

MR. JONES: Same question. So is the --

2.

1.3

2.2

MS. BROTHERS: Name, please.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MR. JONES: Davy Jones, biology — is choice of the zip code or change of the zip code a strategic item to field what the president said that CPU's not going to be looking at duplications across institutions?

DR. WEBER: So it -- it can be especially -- not so much in terms CPE but more in terms of this visa issue for international students. So there are some programs and one of them on this list the MS in finance that was one of their reasons -- first of all, they -- they thought it was a better description, but the -- the list that they moved into would allow the students to get that waiver and extra time and the one they had originally would not.

OPE does match programs across the state based on zip codes, but there is an understanding that it's not always exact. So I think just because — you know, one of our programs is one zip code off from a similar program at another institution. I don't think that's going to get us a blanket exemption. So it's — it's best to pick the code that —

MR. CRAMER: So we've seen --

1 MS. BROTHERS: Your name? 2 MR. CRAMER: Oh, sorry. Aaron Cramer, 3 Engineering. We've seen departments fight over zip 4 codes before when --5 DR. WEBER: I --6 MR. CRAMER: -- purposing new programs. 7 DR. WEBER: -- I never have. 8 MR. CRAMER: For the sake of everyone else 9 DR. WEBER: 10 Yeah. 11 MR. CRAMER: -- so we sort of delegated 12 this, but did -- are there circumstances you can 13 imagine where a program would request to change that 14 would not be so uncontroversial that you may feel 15 like it needed by virtue? 16 DR. WEBER: If I saw someone that came to my 17 office that -- that looked like a substantive --18 that's an actual change in the curriculum. If it --19 if it was more than just, you know, we've already 20 had these approved changes to the courses and things 21 like that and now we're changing the zip code. 2.2 -- if that piece wasn't there, then, I -- I would --23 I would tell them to hold up and --24 MR. CRAMER: Uh-huh. 25 DR. WEBER: -- and kick it back to the proper channels.

2.

1.3

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Yeah?

MR. BROWN: Roger Brown, College of Ag. I'm just thinking like other strategic things. Are the zip codes rationed in any way, or could any program decide that they too want to join a zip code that maybe another one has already got?

DR. WEBER: The answer is -- is no. So, yeah, we can have as many in a particular zip code as we want. That -- that wasn't true for a long time, or at least we highly discouraged it because in the past the zip code was one of the primary ways in our data system that would differentiate between different programs so we had -- we had a system where we encouraged people to have only one zip code per degree level.

So you could have a bachelor's, master's and a doctorate in one zip but we didn't want you to have two bachelors in the same zip code, but given the way the curriculum is evolving that's not practical. So we've actually -- we went through our revision two years ago --

Sheila, you were a part of that where we -the way we handled it was we tacked on two sort of
made up extra digits to the end of your zip codes.

So most zip codes are six digits long we have -- we just decided that we were going to do eight so that we could get around this problem and it wasn't a very good solution.

2.

2.2

So (laughter) because nobody else in the whole zip codes and they're -- they're 0.1 -- any way didn't match up. So, no, they're not rational and they're -- they're not descriptive either so it -- it's not -- some of them don't match a hundred percent. We -- we've had several programs come through that are in development right now, but we're just like, oh, you know, I don't like this. It's not exactly -- the zip code you want doesn't exist. Since you-all seem so fascinated by zip codes so I'll also say that -- yeah --

CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: It's early in the school year.

DR. WEBER: I know, right.

The -- the scheduled release for the next version is 2020. So we're looking at that very quickly and so some of the things that we've been wrestling with about not finding a good zip code to match a program and we want to air specifically that will be part of the new -- the new taxonomy that comes out because, yeah, this will -- changes all the time. So they go

through -- and it's about 10 years. So the one that 1 2. we're working from now is from 2010. They'll be a 3 new set in 2020. Most will just carry over and if 4 there's anything that needs to change, we'll --5 we'll deal with that when it comes to us. 6 MS. USHER: Ellen Usher, College of Ed. 7 new taxonomy you've got the Department of Homeland 8 Security or is that something else? 9 No, it's Department of Education. DR. WEBER: 10 MS. USHER: Department of Education. 11 DR. WEBER: Yeah. 12 MS. USHER: Okay. And how does that 13 interface with Department of Homeland Security's zip 14 code list? I would assume that those need 15 DR. WEBER: 16 to update their -- but also have to update based on 17 the rules. So --18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What is the department 19 20 DR. WEBER: In my understanding, the 21 Department of Education -- they sort of -- they set 2.2 it up and then other agencies use it; right so they 23 -- if -- if this changes the Department of Homeland 24 Security would and I'm sure that would happen in a 25 very timely manner.

1 CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Do we have any questions 2 for Dr. Weber? Okay. Thank you very much. 3 DR. WEBER: Thank you. 4 CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: All right. So that is 5 the last item on our agenda. Are there any items 6 from the floor today? Well, then, I will ask you 7 to remember this day (laughter) I -- it's not even 4:15 so -- so you owe me 45 minutes and I'll claim 8 9 them back later in the year. So until that time 10 then we'll take an adjournment -- oh. Oh, wait a 11 minute. Is there a motion to adjourn? 12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 13 TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: So moved. 14 CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: And a second? VICE CHAIR OSTERHAGE: 15 Second. 16 CHAIR BIRD-POLLEN: Okay. Second. 17 Motion from Bob Grossman. Second from Jennifer 18 Osterhage. You can all vote and stay for more votes 19 if you want to stay. Thank you all very much. 20 excited for the school year and I'm looking forward 21 to working with you-all. If you ever have any 2.2 questions or concerns or recommendations, let me 23 know and I'll see you-all in October. 24 (Meeting adjourned.)

25

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF KENTUCKY)
4	COUNTY OF OLDHAM)
5	
6	I, BRENDA YANKEY, the undersigned Court Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of Kentucky At
8	Large, certify that the facts stated in the caption
9	hereto are true, that at the time and place stated
10	in said caption, that said proceedings were taken
11	down in stenotype by me and later reduced to type
12	writing, and the foregoing is a true record of the
13	proceedings given by said parties hereto and that I
14	have no interest in the outcome of the captioned
15	matter.
16	My commission expires: January 31, 2020.
17	IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and
18	seal of office on this day November 4, 2019
19	Crestwood, Oldham County, Kentucky.
20	
21	
22	
23	BRENDA YANKEY, NOTARY PUBLIC STATE AT LARGE, KENTUCKY
24	NOTARY ID #546481
25	