The Senate Council met in regular session at 2 pm on Monday, April 30, 2018 in 103 Main Building. Below is a record of what transpired. All votes were taken via a show of hands unless indicated otherwise.

Senate Council Chair Katherine M. McCormick called the Senate Council (SC) meeting to order at 2:07 pm.

1. Minutes from April 23, 2018 and Announcements

The Chair reported that there were no changes or corrections to the minutes. There being **no objections**, the minutes from April 23, 2018 were **approved** as distributed by **unanimous consent**.

The Chair reminded SC members about the special meeting on Thursday, May 3, to discuss the proposed changes to *Administrative Regulations 6:2* ("Policy and Procedures for Addressing and Resolving Allegations of Sexual Assault, Stalking, Dating Violence, and Domestic Violence").

The Chair reported that she would not be present for the May 14 SC meeting, or for the May 18 SC retreat, noting that she would be off-campus for a good portion of the month of May. In response to a question from Bird-Pollan, the Chair confirmed that the day's meeting would be the Chair's last SC meeting as chair.

The Chair said that unless anyone objected, presenters would serve as acting chair for purposes of answering questions of fact only. Tagavi asked that the Chair, in the future, change the language used to begin by saying "hearing no objections" instead of "if there are no objections." There were no objections from SC members.

2. Old Business

- a. Senate's Academic Organization and Structure Committee (SAOSC) Al Cross, Chair
- i. Proposed New Center for Innovation in Population Health

Cross, chair of the Senate's Academic Organization and Structure Committee (SAOSC), reminded SC members that they had voted at their last meeting to defer review of the proposal from Public Health. Cross outlined the revisions that had been made, as well as described a handful of editorial changes that had already been emailed to the SC office. There were no questions of fact from SC members.

The Chair explained that the first **motion** from the SAOSC was a recommendation that the SC approve the proposal, a multidisciplinary research center (MDRC), on its academic merits. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was necessary. There was no debate on the first motion. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

The Chair said that the second **motion** was a recommendation to endorse the proposal, based on its organizational and structural merit, as an MRDC. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was necessary. There was no debate on the proposal. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

3. Faculty Evaluation of the President

The Chair explained that she asked Bird-Pollan, the vice chair, to oversee the activities surrounding the annual evaluation of the president. Because Schroeder will be the next vice chair, the Chair suggested Schroeder also be included, along with Wood, who had played a major role in past evaluation activities. There were a few questions and comments. Wood reiterated that the survey was anonymous and that

the results could not be broken down by college, title series, or any other demographic detail, and that if additional information was requested by President Capilouto or the Board of Trustees, it was feasible to correlate answers to questions with other answers. In response to a question from Ms. Brothers, Wood indicated that a file with names, college, department, email, and title series was needed to conduct the survey. SC members also discussed how long the survey would be available and opted to extend the response period beyond the date when nine-month faculty leave [May 15] because the survey was starting a little later than in years past.

Tagavi strenuously objected to the survey window being open past May 15, stating that it was symbolically the wrong move. Wood commented that there had been a number of other activities going on. Bird Pollan said she would be happy to have the minutes reflect that the delay in starting the evaluation process was her responsibility as vice chair.

Cross urged that the email language accompanying the survey be strengthened, to clarify that the time taken in filling out the survey was certainly not wasted time. There were additional questions and comments.

4. Proposed Change to College of Medicine 2017-18 Calendar and 2018-19 Calendar

The Chair described the proposed changes. Bird-Pollan **moved** to approve the proposed changes to the College of Medicine 2017-18 Calendar and 2018-19 Calendar and Cross **seconded**. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

The Chair noted that the next invited guest had not yet arrived and suggested moving on to curricular proposals. There were no objections from SC members.

The Chair said that unless anyone objected, presenters would serve as acting chair for purposes of answering questions of fact only. There were no objections from SC members.

6. Committee Reports

- a. Senate's Admissions and Academic Standards Committee (SAASC) Herman Farrell, Chair
- i. Proposed Change to BSBN Biosystems Engineering

Guest Herman Farrell, chair of the Senate's Admissions and Academic Standards Committee (SAASC), explained the proposal. There were no questions of fact from SC members.

The **motion** from the SAASC was a recommendation to approve the proposal from the College of Engineering, Department of Biosystems & Agricultural Engineering (Biosystems Engineering program) to change credit hours to 128. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was necessary. There was no debate on the proposal.

A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

ii. Proposed Change to BFA Interiors: Planning / Strategy / Design

Farrell explained the proposal. There were no questions of fact from SC members.

The **motion** from the SAASC was a recommendation to approve the proposal from the College of Design, Department of Interiors: Planning/Strategy/Design involving a change of total credit hours from 132 to 128. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was necessary. There was no debate on the proposal.

A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

iii. Proposed Change to PhD Nursing

Farrell explained the proposal. Farrell responded to one question of fact.

The **motion** from the SAASC was a recommendation to approve the proposal from the College of Nursing, involving a change to the PhD program in Nursing, effective fall 2018. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was necessary. There was no debate on the proposal.

A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

iv. Proposed Changes to PharmD Technical Standards

Farrell explained the proposal. There were no questions of fact.

The **motion** from the SAASC was a recommendation to approve the proposal from the College of Pharmacy involving changes to the technical standards for admission to and completion of the Doctor of Pharmacy. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was necessary. There was no debate on the proposal.

A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

The Chair thanked Farrell before he left, noting that he and his committee had done a tremendous amount of work over the past two semesters. Brion asked Farrell to share with SAASC members SC's thanks, noting that they had done yeoman's work. She added her personal note of thanks, for the timely review and approval of a program change proposal from her department.

The Chair said that unless anyone objected, presenters would serve as acting chair for purposes of answering questions of fact only. There were no objections from SC members.

b. Senate's Academic Programs Committee (SAPC) - Margaret Schroeder, Chair

i. Proposed New Undergraduate Certificate in Medical Behavioral Science

Schroeder, chair of the Senate's Academic Programs Committee (SAPC), explained the proposal. Schroeder responded to one question of fact and clarified that the proposal would need to be revised by removing references to cross-listings with Honors courses. Ms. Brothers said that she would make that change on behalf of the program faculty and Guest Mitzi Schumacher (ME/Behavioral Science) indicated that was acceptable.

The **motion** from the SAPC was a recommendation to approve the establishment of a new Undergraduate Certificate in Medical Behavioral Science, in the Department of Behavioral Science, in the College of Medicine. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was necessary. There was no debate on the proposal.

A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

ii. <u>Proposed New Dual Degree: BS Human Health Sciences (BS HHS) and MS Athletic Training (MS AT)</u> (3+2)

Schroeder explained the proposal. There were no factual questions from SC members.

Given the number of invited guests, the Chair suggested that those present introduce themselves.

The **motion** from the SAPC was a recommendation that the that the University Senate approve the establishment of a new 3+2 Program between a BS Human Health Sciences and MS Athletic Training within the College of Health Sciences. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was necessary. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

iii. <u>Proposed New University Scholars Program between the BS Agricultural Economics and MS</u> Agricultural Economics

Schroeder explained the proposal and noted that it was considered as part of the University Scholars Program (USP) initiative to offer expedited reviews to University Scholars Programs that were currently being utilized but had not been submitted to the Senate for approval. This particular USP was, however, considered separately from the other proposals in the USP initiative because it was not on the list of USPs on the Graduate School site. Guest Roger Brown (AG/Agricultural Economics, director of undergraduate studies) said there were examples of students having been in the Agricultural Economics USP ten years ago.

Wood stated for the record her objection to the Senate forcing the units with USPs not approved by Senate to submit paperwork. Wood said the initiative was not doing anyone any favors.

There were no factual questions from SC members.

The **motion** from the SAPC was a recommendation that the Senate approve the establishment of a new University Scholars Program between a BS Agricultural Economics and MS Agricultural Economics in the Department of Agricultural Economics within the College of Agriculture, Food, and Environment. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was necessary. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with one opposed.

iv. <u>Proposed New University Scholars Program between the BSCOE Computer Engineering and MSEE Electrical Engineering</u>

Schroeder explained the proposal. There were no factual questions from SC members.

The **motion** from the SAPC was a recommendation that the approve the establishment of a new University Scholars Program between a BSCOE Computer Engineering and MSEE Electrical Engineering in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering within the College of Engineering. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was necessary. There was no debate on the proposal. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with one opposed.

v. Proposed New Undergraduate Certificate in Production Engineering

Schroeder explained the proposal. There were a few questions about the unifying content of the certificate, as well as some debate. Guests Nelson Akafuah (EN/Mechanical Engineering) and Michael Renfro (EN/Mechanical Engineering, department chair) answered questions. Nelson explained that their corporate sponsor identified a gap in the entry-level engineers who were recruited by the sponsor; students needed much more hands-on experience. Schroeder clarified that the common theme was automotive production.

The **motion** from the SAPC was a recommendation that the Senate approve the establishment of a new Undergraduate Certificate in Production Engineering, in the Department of Mechanical Engineering within the College of Engineering. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was necessary. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with one opposed.

vi. <u>Proposed New Undergraduate Certificate in Food Systems and Hunger Studies</u> Schroeder explained the proposal. There were a couple of factual questions from SC members.

The **motion** from the SAPC was a recommendation that the Senate approve the establishment of a new Undergraduate Certificate in Food Systems and Hunger Studies, in the Department of Dietetics and Human Nutrition, within the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was necessary. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

vii. <u>University Scholars Programs approved by Graduate Council or the Graduate School, but not approved by the University Senate - BA Anthropology and MA Anthropology [pending receipt of final SAPC documentation]</u>

Schroeder explained that this particular University Scholars Program (USP) was part of the clean-up approved by the Senate in May 2017. It was separated from the bundle of USPs because SAPC requested some clarification, which was received after the SC agenda was posted. There were a few questions. Wood asked for clarification as to what would happen to students who earned the USP in the past, if the SC did not approve the proposal.

The **motion** from the SAPC was a recommendation that the Senate approve the establishment of a new University Scholars Program between a BA Anthropology and MA Anthropology in the Department of Anthropology within the College of Arts and Sciences. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was necessary. Some SC members expressed concern that the motion language implied that the USP was being approved in 2018, not that approval was being reaffirmed.

Cross **moved** to amend the SAPC motion to remove the words "establishment of a new" and Tagavi **seconded**. Bird-Pollan asked if the Agricultural Economics USP, approved earlier in the meeting, had a similar problem with wording of the motion. A **vote** was taken on the amendment to the motion and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

A **vote** was taken on the amended motion to approve the University Scholars Program between a BA Anthropology and MA Anthropology in the Department of Anthropology within the College of Arts and Sciences and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

Bird-Pollan **moved** to amend the motion regarding the Agricultural Economics USP to remove the words "establishment of a new" and Cross **seconded**. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

A **vote** was taken on the amended motion that the Senate approve the University Scholars Program between a BS Agricultural Economics and MS Agricultural Economics in the Department of Agricultural Economics within the College of Agriculture, Food, and Environment and the motion **passed** with one opposed.

viii. <u>University Scholars Programs approved by Graduate Council or the Graduate School, but not approved by the University Senate - BA Spanish and MA Hispanic Studies [pending receipt of final SAPC documentation]</u>

Schroeder explained that this particular USP, like the one from Anthropology before it, was part of the clean-up approved by the Senate in May 2017. The Spanish/Hispanic Studies USP was also separated from the bundle of USPs because SAPC requested some clarification, which was received after the SC agenda was posted.

The **motion** from the SAPC was a recommendation that the Senate approve the establishment of a new University Scholars Program between the BA Spanish and MA Hispanic Studies in the Department of Hispanic Studies within the College of Arts and Sciences. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was necessary.

Cross **moved** to amend the SAPC motion to remove the words "establishment of a new" and Brion **seconded**. A **vote** was taken on the amendment to the motion and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

A **vote** was taken on the amended motion that the Senate approve the University Scholars Program between the BA Spanish and MA Hispanic Studies in the Department of Hispanic Studies within the College of Arts and Sciences. The motion **passed** with one opposed.

ix. <u>University Scholars Programs approved by Graduate Council or the Graduate School, but not approved by the University Senate</u>

Schroeder explained the proposals and further explained the rationale for bundling a series of proposals together. The bundled USPs had been in existence and operating in departments for a while. They were approved by the Graduate Council or the Graduate School but were not approved by the Senate. The bundling was part of the USP clean-up process approved by the Senate in May 2017.

The **motion** from the SAPC was a recommendation that the Senate approve the establishment of University Scholars Programs in: BS Agricultural Economics and MA Diplomacy and International Commerce in the Department of Agricultural Economics within the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment and the Patterson School of Diplomacy and International Commerce within the Graduate School; and BA Modern and Classical Languages, Literatures and Cultures – Track Classics and MA Classics in the Department of Modern and Classical Languages, Literatures and Cultures within the College of Arts and Sciences; and BSCS Computer Science and MS Computer Science in the Department of Computer Science within the College of Engineering; and BA Modern and Classical Languages, Literatures and Cultures – Track French and Francophone Studies and MA French and Francophone Studies in the Department of Modern and Classical Languages, Literatures and Cultures within the College of Arts and Sciences; and BS Physics and MS Physics in the Department of Physics within the College of Arts and Sciences.

Bird-Pollan **moved** to amend the SAPC motion to remove the words "establishment of" and Cross **seconded**. A **vote** was taken on the amendment to the motion and the motion **passed** with one opposed.

A **vote** was taken on the amended motion that the Senate approve the University Scholars Programs in: BS Agricultural Economics and MA Diplomacy and International Commerce in the Department of Agricultural Economics within the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment and the Patterson

School of Diplomacy and International Commerce within the Graduate School; and BA Modern and Classical Languages, Literatures and Cultures – Track Classics and MA Classics in the Department of Modern and Classical Languages, Literatures and Cultures within the College of Arts and Sciences; and BSCS Computer Science and MS Computer Science in the Department of Computer Science within the College of Engineering; and BA Modern and Classical Languages, Literatures and Cultures – Track French and Francophone Studies and MA French and Francophone Studies in the Department of Modern and Classical Languages, Literatures and Cultures within the College of Arts and Sciences; and BS Physics and MS Physics in the Department of Physics within the College of Arts and Sciences. The motion passed with none opposed.

x. <u>University Scholars Programs without documentation of Graduate Council or Graduate School</u> Approval and not approved by the University Senate

Schroeder explained the proposals, noting that the particular USPs had been in existence and operating in the departments for a while. There is no documentation that they were approved by the Graduate Council or the Graduate School and they were not approved by the Senate. These USPs are part of the USP clean-up process approved by the Senate in May 2017. The **motion** from the SAPC was a recommendation that the Senate approve the establishment of University Scholars Programs in: BSCH Chemical Engineering and MS Chemical Engineering in the Department of Mechanical and Materials Engineering within the College of Engineering; and BS Family Sciences and MS Family Sciences in the School of Human Environmental Sciences within the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment; and BSMAE Materials Engineering and MSMSCE Materials Engineering in the Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering within the College of Engineering; and BM Music Performance and MM Music Performance in the School of Music within the College of Fine Arts; and BA History and MA History in the Department of History within the College of Arts and Sciences. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was necessary.

Tagavi **moved** to separate the individual USP proposals and Bird-Pollan **seconded**. SC members discussed the motion. A **vote** was taken and the motion **failed** with three in favor and five opposed.

Schroeder **moved** to amend the SAPC motion to remove the words "establishment of" and Cross **seconded**. A **vote** was taken on the amendment to the motion and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

A **vote** was taken on the motion to approve the University Scholars Programs in: BSCH Chemical Engineering and MS Chemical Engineering in the Department of Mechanical and Materials Engineering within the College of Engineering; and BS Family Sciences and MS Family Sciences in the School of Human Environmental Sciences within the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment; and BSMAE Materials Engineering and MSMSCE Materials Engineering in the Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering within the College of Engineering; and BM Music Performance and MM Music Performance in the School of Music within the College of Fine Arts; and BA History and MA History in the Department of History within the College of Arts and Sciences. The motion **passed** with one opposed.

xi. Confirmation of Not Having a USP Proposal

Schroeder explained the proposal, noting that there were currently no USPs in either of the two departments. Both the SC office and Graduate School asked that the SAPC provide documentation [for recordkeeping purposes] that neither department wanted a USP. Schroeder further explained that the two USPs from Chemistry and from Geography had been in existence at some point in years past. There was no documentation that they were approved by the Senate. As part of the USP clean-up process

approved by Senate in May 2017, both departments were given the opportunity to submit their USPs for Senate approval but chose to not have a USP. The **motion** from the SAPC was a recommendation that the Senate confirms that the USPs in the Department of Chemistry and in the Department of Geography, both within the College of Arts and Sciences, do not exist. Because the motion came from committee, no **second** was necessary.

There was extensive debate regarding whether the wording of the motion was correct. Cross **moved** to amend the SAPC's verbiage to indicate that the USPs in Chemistry and Geography no longer exist. Schroeder **seconded**. There were some concerns that the revised language did not accommodate the fact that the USPs did at one time exist. Cross **withdrew** his motion and Schroeder **withdrew** her second.

Cross **moved** to amend the SAPC's language from "recommendation that the Senate confirms that the USPs in the Department of Chemistry and in the Department of Geography, both within the College of Arts and Sciences, do not exist" to "suspend admissions into the USPs in Chemistry and in Geography." Schroeder **seconded**. There was additional debate about the verbiage of the motion. Guest Anna Bosch (AS/Linguistics, associate dean for undergraduate programs) stated that she would request an email from both department chairs that would explicitly request a suspension of admissions into their respective departments' USPs. Osterhage asked about an effective date and it was generally agreed that the suspension of admissions into the two USPs would be effective immediately upon Senate approval.

A **vote** was taken on the motion to amend and the motion **passed** with none opposed. A **vote** was then taken on the amended motion to suspend admissions into the USPs in Chemistry and in Geography and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

xii. <u>Proposed USP Sociology – BA Sociology and MA Sociology [pending receipt of final SAPC</u> documentation]

Schroeder explained that the item would need to be deferred to fall.

5. Degree Recipient

a. Honorary Degree(s)

The Chair welcomed Guest Morris Grubbs, the Graduate School's assistant dean for graduate student professional development, who presented the nominee for an honorary degree. There were no questions from SC members. Cross **moved** that the elected faculty members of SC approve VGC as the recipient of an Honorary Doctor of Humanities, for submission through the President to the Board of Trustees. Bird-Pollan **seconded**. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

7. Tentative Senate Agenda for May 7, 2018

SC members discussed the tentative Senate agenda for May 7, including the removal of one item. Wood **moved** to bundle together as one agenda item all of the approved University Scholars Proposals that were part of the May 2017 Senate initiative. Cross **seconded**. After brief discussion, a **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with one opposed and one abstained.

SC members discussed various aspects of the planned discussion on the proposed changes to AR 6:2 at the Senate meeting. During discussion, SC members determined the following: Bird-Pollan would prepare a concise description of what her committee [SC's ad hoc Committee on AR 6:2 ("Policy and Procedures for Addressing and Resolving Allegations of Sexual Assault, Stalking, Dating Violence, and Domestic Violence")] had recommended that could be distributed prior to the Senate meeting; there

would be a timeline of activities related to the ad hoc Committee's activities; UK's Title IX officer would explain the proposed changes; and Bird-Pollan would (perhaps in conjunction with her other item) identify the areas where the proposed changes differed from the ad hoc Committee's recommendations.

In response to a question from Ms. Brothers, it was confirmed that the Senate agenda should include the current AR and proposed AR, and Bird-Pollan's item would be included when it became available. Cross **moved** to approve the tentative Senate agenda as discussed and Tagavi **seconded**. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with none opposed.

8. <u>Discussion on Processing Proposed Changes to Administrative Regulations 6:2 ("Policy and Procedures for Addressing and Resolving Allegations of Sexual Assault, Stalking, Dating Violence, and Domestic Violence") [pending receipt of proposal]</u>

SC members discussed various aspects of the proposed changes, as well as the current version.

10. Items from the Floor (Time Permitting)

Brion explained that if a faculty member used Canvas and had a student who was on an athletic scholarship, additional people were added to the Canvas gradebook and reports, presumably because of the student athlete. Brion added that she had experienced this situation and one of the newly added individuals emailed her to explain they were monitoring the progress of a student. Provost's Liaison Turner said that she was unaware of any such initiative from the Provost's area, but said she would look into the matter.

There were a handful of questions from SC members, but Brion indicated she was unable to answer questions because she did not know who authorized the addition of other individuals to Canvas, nor did she know when the practice started.

Given the lack of any other business items, the Chair solicited a motion to adjourn. Wood **moved** to adjourn and Brion **seconded**. A **vote** was taken and the motion **passed** with none opposed. The meeting was adjourned at 5:01 pm.

Respectfully submitted by Katherine M. McCormick, Senate Council Chair

SC members present: Bird-Pollan, Blonder, Cross, McCormick, Osterhage, Schroeder, Spear, Tagavi, and Wood.

Provost's liaison present: Turner.

Invited guests present: Nelson Akafuah, Kim Anderson, Anna Bosch, Elliot Bloomer, B. J. Brandenburg, Roger Brown, Tricia Freeman-Rippetoe, Phillip Gribble, Morris Grubbs, Hanna Hoch, James Lumpp, Michael Renfro, and Mitzi Schumacher.

Prepared by Sheila Brothers on Friday, May 11, 2018.