# Senate Council October 22, 2007

# Senate Council Ad Hoc Committee on Senate Committee Structure (CSCS)

Established: by unanimous vote of the Senate Council on August 27, 2007

<u>Members</u>: Raphael Finkel, Richard Greissman, Connie Wood, Kaveh Tagavi, Ernie Yanarella. (Administrative resource – Sheila Brothers, Office of the Senate Council)

Chair: TBD

<u>Background/Rationale</u>: for the past few years, only a handful of the Senate's many committees (see next page for list) have had a heavy workload, while other committees may not have even met. Also, questions have repeatedly arisen regarding why a proposal that has received approval of the college and of a council of the Senate<sup>1</sup> needs to be approved by yet another university level committee (i.e., a committee of the Senate) before being reviewed by the Senate Council and the Senate.

Another issue posed by the Office of the Provost pertains to UCAPP<sup>2</sup> and its subcommittees, which are "jointly" appointed/selected by the Provost and SC. It is possible there could be a meshing of the UCAPP subcommittees and some committees of the Senate.

#### Charge of the Committee on Senate Committee Structure (CSCS):

- 1. Examine the charge and suggest to the SC any revisions to the charge after the first meeting of the CSCS.
- Examine the existing Senate committee structure (see list of cmtes on next page) and suggest a new structure that fits the University and University Senate's need in a more efficient manner.
  - a. Determine if there is a way to eliminate duplication of effort between UCAPP efforts and Senate committee efforts.
  - b. If so, recommend what aspects of such a partnership should be pursued.
- 3. Examine whether or not a proposal that has been approved by a council of the Senate should still require approval from one or more Senate committees.
- 4. Determine if the 10-day transmittal can be used more often in lieu of full Senate approval and whether or not clearer guidelines should be developed to describe what would trigger a full Senate consideration.
- 5. Utilize the institutional knowledge of the Office of the Senate Council personnel during deliberations and prior to any interim and/or final report.
- 6. Present a final report to SC no later than September 1, 2008.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> i.e. GC, UC, or HCCC – all elected, although chaired by administrators

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> University Committee on Academic Planning and Priorities

## Standing Committees Chaired by a University Senator (SR 1.4.2):

- 1. Senate Rules and Elections Committee (SREC)
- 2. Senate Admissions and Academic Standards Committee (SA&ASC)
- 3. Senate Academic Facilities Committee (SAFC)
- 4. Senate Library Committee (SLC)
- 5. Senate Research Committee (SRC)
- 6. Senate Academic Programs (SAPC)
- 7. Senate Academic Planning and Priorities Committee (SAP&PC)
- 8. Senate Academic Organization and Structure Committee (SAO&SC)
- 9. Senate Institutional Finances and Resources Allocation Cmte (SIFRAC)
- 10. Senate Reinstatement Committee (SRIC)
- 11. Senate Committee on Committees
- 12. Senate Advisory Cmte on Appointment, Promotion and Tenure

## Standing Committees Not Necessarily Chaired by a University Senator (SR 1.4.3):

- 13. Senate University Studies Committee (SUSC)
- 14. Senate Admissions Advisory Committee (SAAC)
- 15. Senate Retroactive Withdrawal Appeals Committee (SRWAC)
- 16. Senate Academic Advising Committee (SAcAC)