

Item A-1

Proposed Amendments to the proposal to change the election process for faculty members to the Board of Trustees

Amendment #1: [last paragraph, 3rd sentences should read] Each voter **may** indicate a first and a second choice....

Rationale of person proposing the amendment: To avoid forcing faculty members to vote for individuals against their wishes. Right now, if a vote is cast for the first choice but is left blank for the second choice will be discarded. It is true that making the voting for a second choice optional may cause having an eventual winner with less than a majority vote. However this is a price worth paying in order to avoid forcing faculty members to vote for individuals against their wishes. Additionally the policy as proposed does not guarantee that we would always have a winner with a majority vote anyway.

On September 24, the Senate Council considered this amendment and voted to recommend approval.

Amendment #2. [the paragraph below item C, line 2] Switch "50% or more" to "more than 50%".

Rationale of person proposing the amendment: it seems the intent of the original statement has been to ensure that those who are elected as representative of the faculty are assigned more faculty duties than administrative duties. This change, which closes a gap of one percent, ensures just that.

On September 24, the Senate Council considered this amendment and voted to recommend disapproval because department chairs and faculty members with part-time administrative appointments often have 50% administrative duties on the DOE; those persons would be ineligible to serve under this proposal.

US Agenda Item: 10.8.01
Item A-1