Complete 1a - 1f & 2a - 2c. Fill out the remainder of the form as applicable for items being changed.

1.	Genera	l Information.				v	
a.	Submit	ted by the College of	: <u>Design</u>		Today's Date: 08/	16/2010	ΛΑ. (1. β.). (
b.	Departi	ment/Division: <u>In</u>	nterior Design				
c.	is there	a change in "owners	ship" of the course?	**************************************	Υ	es 🗌 💮 no 🔯	
	If YES, v	what college/departn	nent will offer the cou	rse instead?	5		MALE CONTA
d.	What t	ype of change is bein	g proposed? 🛛 🗎 M	ajor 🔲 Minor¹ (pla	ce cursor here for minor	change definition)	
e.	Contac	t Person Name: A	nn Dickson	Email: <u>hdsawd@</u>	Quky.edu Phon	e: <u>7-7767</u>	Comment: Excerpt from SR 3.3.0.6.2
f.	Reques	ted Effective Date:	Semester Follow	ring Approval OR	Specific Term ² :		Definition. A request may be considered a minor change if it meets one of the following criteria:
2.	Design	ation and Descriptio	n of Proposed Course				a: change in number within the same hundred series*;
a.	Curren	t Prefix and Number	: ID 234 Pro	posed Prefix & Number:	<u>ID 234</u>		b, editorial change in the course title or description which does not imply change in content or emphasis:
b.	Full Tit	le: Research, Beha Theory	emphasis, c. a change in prerequisite(s) which does not imply change in content or emphasis, or which is made necessary by the elimination or significant alteration				
c.	Curren	t Transcript Title (if f	full title is more than 4	0 characters):			of the prerequisite(s); d. a cross-listing of a course under conditions set forth in SR 3.3.0.E;
Ç.	Propos	ed Transcript Title (if	full title is more than	40 characters):			e, correction of typographical errors.
d.	Curren	it Cross-listing:	N/A OR Cur	rrently ³ Cross-listed with	(Prefix & Number):		*,for the specific purposes of the minor exception rule, the 600-799 courses are the same "hundred
	Propos	ed – 🔲 ADD ³ Cross-	listing (Prefix & Numb	er):			series," as long as the other minor change requirements are complied with [RC 1/15/09]
	Propos	sed – REMOVE ^{3, 4}	Cross-listing (Prefix &	Number):		vanara vanar	V/AMPA/A/
e.		es must be described for each meeting pa		meeting patterns belov	v. Include number o	of actual contact	
<u></u>						lndep.	
Cu	rrent:	X Lecture	Laboratory ⁵	Recitation	Discussion	Study	141 January
Laterton		Clinical _	Colloquium	Practicum	Research	Residency	A Andrewson
		Seminar -	Studio	Other – Please expla	ln:		
Pro	oposed:	X Lecture _	Laboratory	Recitation	 Discussion	Indep.	
		<u>Clinical</u>	Colloquium	Practicum	Research	Residen	cy
			Studio =xp	Other – Please Iain:			

¹ See comment description regarding minor course change. Minor changes are sent directly from dean's office to Senate Council Chair. If Chair deems the change as "not minor," the form will be sent to appropriate academic Council for normal processing and contact person is informed.

Courses are typically made effective for the semester following approval. No course will be made effective until all approvals are received.

³ Signature of the chair of the cross-listing department is required on the Signature Routing Log.

⁴ Removing a cross-listing does not drop the other course – it merely unlinks the two courses.

⁵ Generally, undergrad courses are developed such that one semester hr of credit represents 1 hr of classroom meeting per wk for a semester, exclusive of any lab meeting. Lab meeting generally represents at least two hrs per wk for a semester for 1 credit hour. (See SR 5.2.1.)

f.	Current Grading System: Letter (A, B, C, etc.) Pass/Fail							
	Proposed Grading System: 🔀 Letter (A, B, C, etc.) 🔲 Pass/Fail							
g.	Current number of credit hours: 3 Proposed number of credit hours: 3							
h.	Currently, is this course repeatable for additional credit?							
	Proposed to be repeatable for additional credit?							
	If YES: Maximum number of credit hours:							
	If YES: Will this course allow multiple registrations during the same semester? YES NO							
i.	An exploration of the relationships between the built environment and people. Topics include human factor issues that relate to the design of interior space such as personality, preference, proxemics, privacy, culture, symbolism, perception, anthropometrics, universal design and the application of behavioral research to the design process.							
	An exploration of the relationship between the built environment and people, with special emphasis on understanding how varying social and cultural norms are relevant to design decision-making. Topics include human factor issues that relate to the design of interior spaces such as: foundational theories of environmental psychology; the psychology of behavior; human perception and environmental design; personality and design; preference; culture; symbolism; universal design; and the use of behavioral research in design programming.							
j.	Current Prerequisites, if any: Enrollment in ID 274 or consent of instructor.							
	Proposed Prerequisites, if any:							
k.	Current Distance Learning(DL) Status: N/A Already approved for DL* Please Add Please Drop							
	*If already approved for DL, the Distance Learning Form must also be submitted <u>unless</u> the department affirms (by checking this box) that the proposed changes do not affect DL delivery.							
ì.	Current Supplementary Teaching Component, if any: Community-Based Experience Service Learning Both							
/ / 2/22 VA	Proposed Supplementary Teaching Component: 🔲 Community-Based Experience 🔲 Service Learning 🔲 Both							
3.	Currently, is this course taught off campus?							
	Proposed to be taught off campus?							
4.	Are significant changes in content/teaching objectives of the course being proposed? YES 🔀 NO 🗌							
	If YES, explain and offer brief rationale:							
	+To fulfill CIDA accreditation requirements & to address the Univ. Upper Level Writing Requirement for interior design students							
5.	Course Relationship to Program(s).							
а	. Are there other depts and/or pgms that could be affected by the proposed change? YES NO							
	If YES, identify the depts. and/or pgms:							
b	. Will modifying this course result in a new requirement for ANY program?							

Rev 8/09

⁶ You must *also* submit the Distance Learning Form in order for the course to be considered for DL delivery.

	If YE	ES ⁷ , list the prog	gram(s) here:
6.	Info	rmation to be	Placed on Syllabus.
a.		Check box if changed to 400G or 500.	If <u>changed to</u> 400G- or 500-level course you must send in a syllabus and <i>you must include the differentiation</i> between undergraduate and graduate students by: (i) requiring additional assignments by the graduate students; and/or (ii) establishing different grading criteria in the course for graduate students. (See <i>SR</i> 3.1.4.)

⁷ In order to change a program, a program change form must also be submitted.

Signature Routing Log

General Information:

Course Prefix and Number:

ID 234

Proposal Contact Person Name:

Ann Dickson

Phone: <u>7-7767</u>

Email: hdsawd@uky.edu

INSTRUCTIONS:

Identify the groups or individuals reviewing the proposal; note the date of approval; offer a contact person for each entry; and obtain signature of person authorized to report approval.

Internal College Approvals and Course Cross-listing Approvals:

Reviewing Group	Date Approved	Contact Person (name/phone/email)	Signature
School of Interior Design	8/16/2010	Ann Dickson / 7-7767 / hdsawd@uky.edu	En Docker
CoD Curriculum Committee	8/26/2010	Terry Rothgeb / 77762 / hdstdr@uky.edu	wan, bother
CoD Assembly Meeting	9/10/2010	Mark O'Bryan / 77617 / mark.obryan@uky.edu	Mark Olon
	The state of the s	1 1	
		. / /	

External-to-College Approvals:

Council	Date Approved	Signature	Approval of Revision ⁶
Undergraduate Council	1/18/2011		
Graduate Council	-		
Health Care Colleges Council			
Senate Council Approval		University Senate Approval	

· ·	
!	

⁶ Councils use this space to indicate approval of revisions made subsequent to that council's approval, if deemed necessary by the revising council.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY APPLICATION FOR GRADUATION WRITING REQUIREMENT (GWR) SECTION OF A COURSE

l.	Submitted by College	of Design	Date A	August 16, 2010
	Department/division	offering course Interior Design	-	
٤.	Course information (a) Prefix and num	per <u>LD 234</u>		
	(b) Title En	rironmental Theory		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	(c) Credits 3			•
	(d) Effective date	Spring 2012 Semester and year		$\gamma = \nu$
3.	To be cross-listed as	NA Prefix and number	Signature: Depar	rtment Chair
4.	Are the prerequisites	(first-year writing and 30+ hours of credit) included	on the syllabus?	⊠ Yes □ No
5.	Are the upper-tier G	WR learning outcomes included on the syllabus?		⊠ Yes ☐ No
6.	Does the course requ	ire students to write a minimum of 15 pages of form	al writing?	⊠ Yes □ No
7.	Are all formal writing	g assignments required to be drafted, reviewed, and	revised?	⊠ Yes □ No
8.	Are at least 10 of the	15 pages single-authored assignments?		Yes No
9.	Are all assignments	that are included in the 15-page total at least 4 pages	long?	Yes No
10.	Is one of the assigni	nents a 4-page paper written for a general university	audience?	⊠ Yes □ No
11.	Do the course's gra all formal assignme	ling requirements stipulate that, to pass the course, thats (in draft and final form) and earn a grade of C or lead to the course.	ne student must sub higher on each?	omit ⊠ Yes □ No
12.	Do the course's gra below must be revi	ding requirements stipulate that any major assignmented to reflect competency and resubmitted?	nt that receives a D	or ⊠ Yes □ No
13.	Does the syllabus in	clude a substantive discussion of what constitutes pl	agiarism?	⊠ Yes □ No
14.	Is this an already e. If not, has the new	isting course? course proposal been submitted to the Undergrac	duate Council?	Yes No
15.	Within the departer Name: Ann Dic	ent, who should be consulted for further information (son	on the proposed co Phone extension	ourse change? m: <u>7-7767</u>
<u>Co</u>	urse Syllabus: Pie	ase attach the course syllabus to this application. v		
Ap	plication Vetted	y Writing Initiative (see attached GWR (<u>Checklist)</u>	
	3.0	Lll	_ 5/	/ 19/10
	X	Director of Writing Initiative		4
Sig	mature of Appro	<u>val</u>		
				Date
		Undergraduate Council		Date

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY APPLICATION FOR WRITING-INTENSIVE (W) SECTION OF A COURSE

Recommendations

Graduation W Notes:	Vriting Requirement Commi Recommend approval	ttee	Recommend approval, with changes	Recommend rejection
Director of W	Vriting Initiative Recommend approval		Recommend approval, with changes	Recommend rejection
Signature	of Approval			
	Undergradu	iate Co	ouncil	 Date

Rev 5/05

ID 234

Environmental Theory

Lecture: 3 hrs. credit

Meeting time: TR 12:30-1:45 FB 216

Instructor Information:

Ann W. Dickson 107 Pence Hall

Office Hrs.: MW 11-12 (also by appointment)

E-mail: hdsawd@uky.edu

Course Description: An exploration of the relationship between the built environment and people with special emphasis on understanding how varying social and cultural norms are relevant to design decision-making. Topics include human factor issues that relate to the design of interior spaces such as: foundational theories of environmental psychology; the psychology of behavior; human perception and environmental design; personality and design; preference; culture; symbolism; universal design; and the use of behavioral research in design programming.

Student Eligibility

This is a writing-intensive (W) course approved to fulfill the upper tier of the graduation writing requirement (GWR) for Interior Design students. To receive W credit for this course, you must have successfully completed the first-year writing requirement (ENG 104 or its equivalent) and have completed at least 30 hours of coursework.

Subject Matter Learning Outcomes:

- 1. To demonstrate through analysis of memory an understanding of social and cultural norms and their influence on the way people perceive and are influenced by space. (CIDA 3.a)
- 2. To apply human factor theories to the analysis of spatial design and function to understanding the relationship between buildings, people, cultural influences, perception in space, and behavior in space. (CIDA 3.b.)
- 3. Demonstrate an understanding of research methodologies that can be used in the design process to assist in uncovering issues and challenges that will facilitate design thinking. (CIDA 3.a)
- 4. Demonstrate understanding of the social responsibility that interior designers have to positively assist, support, and influence human activity and relationships through analysis of universal design decisions for maximum well-being and enrichment. (CIDA 3.d.)

Writing Learning Outcomes:

- Write a paper that is essentially free of mechanical errors (grammar, punctuation, spelling, and syntax) and awkwardness, using a style that is appropriate to the purpose and audience.
- Demonstrate an ability to discover, evaluate, and clearly present evidence in support of an argument in the subject area and utilize documentation that conforms to the formats and the citation conventions of the subject area.
- Be aware that composing a successful text frequently takes multiple drafts, with varying degrees of focus on generating, revising, editing, and proofreading.
- Write a capable, interesting essay about a complex issue (discipline-specific) for a general university audience.

Course Outline:

Weeks 1-3 En

Environmental psychology & foundational theories

Weeks 4-6

Human perception of space, preference, culture, & symbolism

Weeks 7-11:

Human behavior: Human motivating factors that are influenced by the design of

space

Weeks 12-16:

Design for all: Research and analysis of spatial solutions in regards to universal design. The formal writing assignments will be drafted, reviewed for feedback,

and must be revised before final submission. sign standards and

recommendations.

Course Project Type:

Part 1: Personal Environmental Analysis

35%

These assignments will require students to analyze personal space from 3 perspectives using environmental preference theory criteria. The formal writing assignments will be drafted, reviewed for feedback, & must be revised before final submission.

(3 Analysis papers {mim. 4 pgs.} [50%] & 1 Space Document {2 pg. max.} [50%]

Part 2: Human Motivating Factors & Environmental Theories Demonstrated in Public Space 35% This formal writing assignment will require students to observe, analyze human behavior & response to public space using multiply environmental theories, and pictorially document (research paper mim. of 10 pgs. of text plus illustrations). The formal writing assignments will be drafted, reviewed for feedback, & must be revised before final submission.

Part 3: Design for All

30%

(Building Analysis Checklist [40%] & Research Presentation [50%] (to include PowerPoint plus 4-page learning assessment paper). This formal writing assignment will require students to analysis their development in light of the stated subject matter outcomes and CIDA standards. The formal writing portion of this project will be drafted, reviewed for feedback, & must be revised before final submission.

Minimum Writing Requirements for the Course:

- Students will be required to write a minimum of 15 pages of formal writing.
- At least 10 of these pages must be single-authored assignments.

No assignments requiring fewer than 4 pages may be included in the 15-page minimum.

 These 15 pages must go through a draft, review, and revision process. Peer review is sufficient to meet the review requirement.

Note: Individual writing assignments will define these in greater detail.

Grading Policy:

A students final grade will be calculated on a percentage basis as weighted above and defined under Course Project type. Since this course is a GWR the following grading conditions defined by the university apply:

- To pass the course, students must earn a grade of "C" or higher on ALL FORMAL assignments. Instructors can consider additional formal writing, writing other than the formal writing, or additional projects and assignments in the final grade computation. Thus, students can receive lower than a "C" as a final grade and still receive GWR credit.
- Any major assignment that receives a D or below must be revised to reflect competency and resubmitted. Instructors may limit the number of revision attempts and set time restrictions on revisions.
- At the discretion of the instructor, students who fail to achieve competency may receive an "I" (incomplete) grade, but in no case may a student whose writing fails to reach the level of "C" (competent) receive a passing grade in a course that satisfies the University Writing Requirement.

For the purposes of final grade calculations, letter grades shall be translated as follows (out of ten points):

When calculating *final* grades the *sum total of the parts* with a fraction greater than .5 will be automatically rounded up to the higher letter grade and final grades will not reflect plus and minus.

Plagiarism:

Part II of Student Rights and Responsibilities (6.3.1; online at http://www.uky.edu/StudentAffairs/Code/part2.html) states that all academic work, written or otherwise, submitted by students to their instructors or other academic supervisors, is expected to be the result of their own thought, research, or self-expression. In cases where students feel unsure about a question of plagiarism involving their work, they are obliged to consult their instructors on the matter before submission. When students submit work purporting to be their own, but which in any way borrows ideas, organization, wording or anything else from another source without appropriate acknowledgment of the fact, the students are guilty of plagiarism. Plagiarism includes reproducing someone else's work, whether it be published article, chapter of a book, a paper from a friend or some file, or whatever. Plagiarism also includes the practice of employing or allowing another person to alter or revise the work which a student submits as his/her own, whoever that other person may be. Students may discuss assignments among themselves or with an instructor or tutor, but when the actual work is done, it must be done by the student, and the student alone.

When a student's assignment involves research in outside sources or information, the student must carefully acknowledge exactly what, where and how he/she has employed them. If the words of someone else are used, the student must put quotation marks around the passage in question and add an appropriate indication of its origin. Plagiarism also includes making simple changes while leaving the organization, content and phraseology intact. However, nothing in these Rules shall apply to those ideas which are so generally and freely circulated as to be a part of the public domain.

Text:

Environmental Psychology for Design

By: DAK Kopec

Some Place Like Home: Using Design Psychology to Create Ideal Places

By Toby Israel