COURSE CHANGE FORM

Complete 1a – 1f & 2a – 2c. Fill out the remainder of the form as applicable for items being changed.

1.	Gener	al Information.						
a.	Submitted by the College of: Education Today's Date: 10/28/10							
b.	b. Department/Division: <u>Curriculum & Instruction</u>							
c.	Is ther	e a change in "owi	nership" of the cours	e?		YES	s 🗌 NO 🖂	
	If YES,	what college/depa	artment will offer the	course instead?				
d.	What	type of change is b	eing proposed?	Major	1inor ¹ (pla	ace cursor here for minor c	hange definition)	Comment [OSC1]: Excerpt from SR 3.3.0.G.2 Definition. A request may be considered a minor
e.	Contac	ct Person Name:	Christine A. Malloz	z <u>zi</u> Email:	christine uky.edu	e.mallozzi@ Phone:	<u>859-257-4127</u>	change if it meets one of the following criteria: a. change in number within the same hundred series*:
f.	Reque	sted Effective Date	e: Semester Fo	ollowing Approval	OR 🔀	Specific Term ² : <u>S</u> 1	ummer 2011	b. editorial change in the course title or description which does not imply change in content or
2.	Design	nation and Descrip	tion of Proposed Co	urse.				emphasis; c. a change in prerequisite(s) which does not imply
a.	Currer	nt Prefix and Num	ber: EDC618	Proposed Prefix &	Number:	<u>EDC618</u>		change in content or emphasis, or which is made necessary by the elimination or significant alteration
b.	Full Ti	tle: Advanced St of Reading	udy in theTeaching	Proposed Title:	Advance	ed Study in the Teachin	ng of Reading	of the prerequisite(s); d. a cross-listing of a course under conditions set forth in <i>SR 3.3.0.E</i> ; e. correction of typographical errors.
c.	Currer	nt Transcript Title	(if full title is more th	an 40 characters):				*for the specific purposes of the minor exception rule, the 600-799 courses are the same "hundred
c.	Propos	sed Transcript Title	(if full title is more to	han 40 characters):				series," as long as the other minor change requirements are complied with. [RC 1/15/09]
d.	Currer	nt Cross-listing:	N/A OR	Currently ³ Cross-l	isted with	(Prefix & Number):		
	Proposed – ADD³ Cross-listing (Prefix & Number):							
	Propos	sed – 🔲 REMOVE	^{3, 4} Cross-listing (Prej	fix & Number):				
e.		es must be describ for each meeting		f the meeting patte	erns belov	v. Include number of	actual contact	
Cur	rent:	15 Lecture	Laboratory ⁵	Recita	ation	22.5 Discussion	Indep. Study	
		Clinical	Colloquium	Pract	icum	Research	Residency	
Seminar Studio Other – Please explain:								
Pro	Proposed: 15 Lecture Laboratory Recitation 22.5 Discussion Indep. St		Indep. Study					
	Clinical Colloquium Practicum Research Residency		Residency					
		Seminar	Studio	Other – Plea	se explair	1:		
f.	f. Current Grading System:							
	Proposed Grading System: Letter (A, B, C, etc.) Pass/Fail							
g.	g. Current number of credit hours: 3 Proposed number of credit hours: 3							

¹ See comment description regarding minor course change. *Minor changes are sent directly from dean's office to Senate Council Chair*. If Chair deems the change as "not minor," the form will be sent to appropriate academic Council for normal processing and contact person is informed.

Courses are trained by mode offentive for the council for normal processing and contact person is informed.

Courses are typically made effective for the semester following approval. No course will be made effective until all approvals are received.

³ Signature of the chair of the cross-listing department is required on the Signature Routing Log.

⁴ Removing a cross-listing does not drop the other course – it merely unlinks the two courses.

⁵ Generally, undergrad courses are developed such that one semester hr of credit represents 1 hr of classroom meeting per wk for a semester, exclusive of any lab meeting. Lab meeting generally represents at least two hrs per wk for a semester for 1 credit hour. (See SR 5.2.1.)

COURSE CHANGE FORM

h.	Currently, is this course repeatable	e for ac	Iditional credit?	YES	NO	
	Proposed to be repeatable for additional credit?					
	If YES: Maximum number of credit hours:					
	If YES: Will this course allow mu	ltiple re	egistrations during the same semester?	YES _	NO	
i.	An advanced course for classroom teachers which focuses on selection and implementation of reading assessment and instructional procedures. The theoretical bases of the reading process and the knowledge of research in reading will be related to the design of classroom instruction. This course is to become an option in Area 7 of both the Elementary and Secondary Standard Certification programs. Prereq: EDC 330 or 339 or 533 or equivalent.			of		
	An advanced course for classroom teachers which focuses on selection and implementation of reading assessment and instructional procedures. The theoretical bases of the reading process and the knowledge of research in reading will be related to the design of classroom instruction. This course is to become an option in Area 7 of both the Elementary and Secondary Standard Certification programs. Prereq: EDC 330 or 339 or 533 or equivalent.			7 o <u>f</u>		
j.	Current Prerequisites, if any:	EDC33	0, 339, 533, equivalent, or consent of instructor			
	Proposed Prerequisites, if any: \underline{I}	Proposed Prerequisites, if any: <u>EDC330, 339, 533, equivalent, or consent of instructor</u>				
k.	Current Distance Learning(DL) Status: \square N/A \square Already approved for DL* \square Please Add 6 \square Please Drop			e Drop		
	*If already approved for DL, the Distance Learning Form must also be submitted <u>unless</u> the department affirms (by checking this box) that the proposed changes do not affect DL delivery.				ing this	
I.	Current Supplementary Teaching Component, if any: Community-Based Experience Service Learning Both			Both		
	Proposed Supplementary Teaching Component: Community-Based Experience Service Learning Both			Both		
3.	Currently, is this course taught off campus?					
	Proposed to be taught off campus	?		YES	NO	
4.	Are significant changes in content	t/teach	ning objectives of the course being proposed?	YES	NO	\boxtimes
	If YES, explain and offer brief ratio		<u> </u>			
	<u> </u>					
5.	Course Relationship to Program(s	:).				
э. a.			could be affected by the proposed change?	YES	NO	\square
	If YES, identify the depts. and/or p		To an ested by the proposed charise:			
b.	Will modifying this course result in		requirement ⁷ for ANV program ²	YES 🗌	NO	M
D.	If YES ⁷ , list the program(s) here:	anew	requirement for Airi program:	IE3 [_]	NU	
6.	Information to be Placed on Syllabus.					

⁶ You must *also* submit the Distance Learning Form in order for the course to be considered for DL delivery.

⁷ In order to change a program, a program change form must also be submitted.

COURSE CHANGE FORM

a.	Check box if changed to 400G or 500.	If <u>changed to 400G- or 500-level</u> course you must send in a syllabus and <i>you must include the differentiation</i> between undergraduate and graduate students by: (i) requiring additional assignment by the graduate students; and/or (ii) establishing different grading criteria in the course for graduate students. (See <i>SR 3.1.4.</i>)	

RSE CHANG JRM

Signature Routing Log

General Information:

Course Prefix and Number:

EDC618

Proposal Contact Person Name:

Christine A.

Phone: 859-257-

Email:

ct Person Name:

Mallozzi

4127

christine.mallozzi@uky.edu

INSTRUCTIONS:

Identify the groups or individuals reviewing the proposal; note the date of approval; offer a contact person for each entry; and obtain signature of person authorized to report approval.

Internal College Approvals and Course Cross-listing Approvals:

Reviewing Group	Date Approved	Contact Person (name/phone/email)	Signature
EDC FACULTY	11/12/2010	Porker Faisson / 0767/ parker. fauxone	Parle Taws
C+C Committee	1/20/10	Doug Smith 7-1824 desmit 12	Doerfles & Smilk
Education Faculty	12/14/16	Robert Shapiro 7995/ 1shap 123	POLT Sh
l		/ /	/
		1 1	

External-to-College Approvals:

Council	Date Approved	Signature	Approval of Revision ⁸
Undergraduate Council			
Graduate Council			
Health Care Colleges Council			
Senate Council Approval	l l	Jniversity Senate Approval	-

Comments:	

⁸ Councils use this space to indicate approval of revisions made subsequent to that council's approval, if deemed necessary by the revising council.

Distance Learning Form

This form must accompany <u>every</u> submission of a new/change course form that requests distance learning delivery. This form may be required when changing a course already approved for DL delivery. **All fields are required!**

<u>Introduction/Definition</u>: For the purposes of the Commission on Colleges Southern Association of Colleges and Schools accreditation review, *distance learning* is defined as a formal educational process in which the majority of the instruction (interaction between students and instructors and among students) in a course occurs when students and instructors are not in the same place. Instruction may be synchronous or asynchronous. A distance learning (DL) course may employ correspondence study, or audio, video, or computer technologies.

A number of specific requirements are listed for DL courses. The *department* proposing the change in delivery method is responsible for ensuring that the requirements below are satisfied at the individual course level. It is the responsibility of the instructor to have read and understood the university-level assurances regarding an equivalent experience for students utilizing DL (available at http://www.uky.edu/USC/New/forms.htm).

	Course Number and Prefix: EDC 618 Date: 11/3/09
	Instructor Name: Christine Mallozzi Instructor Email: christine.mallozzi@uky.edu
	Check the method below that best reflects how the majority of course of the course content will be delivered. Internet/Web-based Interactive Video Hybrid Hybrid
	Curriculum and Instruction
1.	How does this course provide for timely and appropriate interaction between students and faculty and among students? Does the course syllabus conform to University Senate Syllabus Guidelines, specifically the Distance Learning Considerations?
	Timely and appropriate interaction will be assured through daily use of asynchronous online discussion groups. Discussions will be faciliated by faculty member. Chats will also be available for use for synchronous discussions. The syllabus does conform to the University Senate Guidelines and includes Distance Learning Considerations and information.
2.	How do you ensure that the experience for a DL student is comparable to that of a classroom-based student's experience? Aspects to explore: textbooks, course goals, assessment of student learning outcomes, etc.
	I have included an Equivalence of On-Campus and Online Delivery Option and syllabus. In brief, they show that readings, the learning outcomes, course objectives, and assessment of student learning outcomes are identical to a face-to-face class. The course temporal lengths, office hours, class interaction and participation, presentation of content, evaluation of students, and assignments will be modified and comparable to the face-to-face class features. This online course uses multiple modes for course presentation. For example, in this class, the class-based discussion in the face-to-face class is active using electornic discussion boards and online chat. Class materials are available from the Blackboard, and assignments are distributed and collected online. Students in the course will participate in online activities. All students will participate in the same experiences.
3.	How is the integrity of student work ensured? Please speak to aspects such as password-protected course portals, proctors for exams at interactive video sites; academic offense policy; etc.
	The integrity of student work is ensured by requiring the same requirements as a face-to-face class. As an advanced graduate class, course assessment are based on developed projects rather than examinations. The security of student work is facilitated by the security affordanced of UK's Blackboard course system and UK's academic offense policies apply.
4.	Will offering this course via DL result in at least 25% or at least 50%* (based on total credit hours required for

Distance Learning Form

This form must accompany <u>every</u> submission of a new/change course form that requests distance learning delivery. This form may be required when changing a course already approved for DL delivery. **All fields are required!**

	completion) of a degree program being offered via any form of DL, as defined above?
	No.
	If yes, which percentage, and which program(s)?
5.	*As a general rule, if approval of a course for DL delivery results in 50% or more of a program being delivered through DL, the effective date of the course's DL delivery will be six months from the date of approval. How are students taking the course via DL assured of equivalent access to student services, similar to that of a student taking the class in a traditional classroom setting?
	Course readings will be available online through UK's Blackboard course platform. Textbooks will be available for purchase online and at the UK bookstore. The instructor will maintain virtual office hours that will be individually arranged via email and via telephone when applicable. Students may also participate in online chat sessions. The syllabus includes details for accessing student services on campus for technology suppport and library support.
	Library and Learning Resources
6.	How do course requirements ensure that students make appropriate use of learning resources?
	The discussion boards and chats will be tracked for evidence of participation. Readings will be monitored for download. Downloaded readings will be the subjects of discussion boards and chats. Assignments require the use of technology and publication resources.
7.	Please explain specifically how access is provided to laboratories, facilities, and equipment appropriate to the course or program.
	Technology tools used in the course will be available to students in class and in the College of Education Instructional Technology Center (ITC).
	Student Services
8.	How are students informed of procedures for resolving technical complaints? Does the syllabus list the entities available to offer technical help with the delivery and/or receipt of the course, such as the Teaching and Academic Support Center (http://www.uky.edu/TASC/index.php) and the Information Technology Customer Service Center (http://www.uky.edu/UKIT/]? Students are informed in the actual syllabus.
9.	Will the course be delivered via services available through the Teaching and Academic Support Center?
	Yes No In the students enrolled in DL courses are able to use the technology employed, as well as how students will be provided with assistance in using said technology.

Distance Learning Form

This form must accompany <u>every</u> submission of a new/change course form that requests distance learning delivery. This form may be required when changing a course already approved for DL delivery. **All fields are required!**

10.	Does the	e syllabus contain all the required components, below? 🔀 Yes
		Instructor's virtual office hours, if any.
		The technological requirements for the course.
		Contact information for TASC (http://www.uky.edu/TASC/ ; 859-257-8272) and Information Technology
		Customer Service Center (http://www.uky.edu/UKIT/; 859-257-1300).
		Procedure for resolving technical complaints.
		Preferred method for reaching instructor, e.g. email, phone, text message.
		Maximum timeframe for responding to student communications.
		Language pertaining academic accommodations:
		o "If you have a documented disability that requires academic accommodations in this course,
		please make your request to the University Disability Resource Center. The Center will require
		current disability documentation. When accommodations are approved, the Center will provide
		me with a Letter of Accommodation which details the recommended accommodations. Contact
		the Disability Resource Center, Jake Karnes, Director at 859-257-2754 or ikarnes@email.uky.edu ."
		Information on Distance Learning Library Services (http://www.uky.edu/Libraries/DLLS)
		o Carla Cantagallo, DL Librarian
		 Local phone number: 859 257-0500, ext. 2171; long-distance phone number: (800) 828-0439
		(option #6)
		o Email: dllservice@email.uky.edu
		O DL Interlibrary Loan Service: http://www.uky.edu/Libraries/libpage.php?lweb-id=253&llib-id=16
11.	I, the ins	structor of record, have read and understood all of the university-level statements regarding DL.
	Instructo	or Name: Christine A. Mallozzi Instructor Signature:

EDC 618 Advanced Study in the Teaching of Reading

Equivalence of On-Campus and Online Delivery Option Instructor: Christine A. Mallozzi

Office: 321 Dickey Hall Office Telephone: 859-257-4127 Email: christine.mallozzi@uky.edu

"Advanced Study in the Teaching of Reading" (EDC618) is an advanced course for classroom teachers, which focuses on selection and implementation of reading assessment and instructional procedures. The theoretical bases of the reading process and the knowledge of research in reading will be related to the design of classroom instruction.

Equivalent course activities for the on campus and online delivery of the course are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Equivalence of On-campus and Online Course *

Feature	On Campus Delivery	Web Delivery
Course temporal length	One academic term, as	Temporal length of the course
	described in the UK Academic	will "correspond roughly to
	Calendar	that of the term (semester or
		summer) in which it is
		offered"**
Readings	One book, reading available	One book, reading available
	via Blackboard	via Blackboard
Office Hours	Regular campus office hours,	Arranged individually through
	email, and telephone	email, plus telephone when
		applicable
Class interaction and	In class discussions, threaded	Threaded online discussions,
participation	online discussions, and emails	chat discussions, and emails
	related to assigned readings	related to assigned readings
	and projects	and projects
<u>Presentation of content</u>	In class lecture/discussion and	Content arranged in modules.
	PowerPoint presentations	Online discussions and
		PowerPoint presentations with
		audio voice over
Evaluation of Students	Checklists and rubrics	Checklists and rubrics
		including criteria that matches
		delivery of assignments in
		online platform
Assignment 1) Online	Threaded online discussions	Threaded online discussions
Discussion Participation		
Assignment 2) Literacy	Presentation to class	PowerPoint presentation (or
Assessment Presentation		other presentation platform)
		with audio commentary (when
		appropriate) posted to
		Blackboard
Assignment 3) Position Paper	Electronically submit written	Electronically submit written
	document to instructor	document to instructor

*Note 1 : For both the online and on campus course the Web portion of the course will be developed and delivered using Blackboard.

**Note 2 : See Approval Guidelines for Delivery of Graduate Courses in Multi-Media Format (litt ://www.rgs .uky .edu/gs/GSMulti-Media .htrnl).

EDC 618 Advanced Study in the Teaching of Reading

Equivalence of On-Campus and Online Delivery Option

Instructor: Christine A. Mallozzi Office: 321 Dickey Hall Office Telephone: 859-257-4127 Email: christine.mallozzi@uky.edu

"Advanced Study in the Teaching of Reading" (EDC618) is an advanced course for classroom teachers, which focuses on selection and implementation of reading assessment and instructional procedures. The theoretical bases of the reading process and the knowledge of research in reading will be related to the design of classroom instruction.

Equivalent course activities for the on campus and online delivery of the course are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Equivalence of On-campus and Online Course *

Feature	On Campus Delivery	Web Delivery
Course temporal length	One academic term, as	Temporal length of the course
	described in the UK Academic	will "correspond roughly to
	Calendar	that of the term (semester or
		summer) in which it is
		offered"**
Readings	One book, reading available	One book, reading available
	via Blackboard	via Blackboard
Office Hours	Regular campus office hours,	Arranged individually through
	email, and telephone	email, plus telephone when
		applicable
Class interaction and	In class discussions, threaded	Threaded online discussions,
participation	online discussions, and emails	chat discussions, and emails
	related to assigned readings	related to assigned readings
	and projects	and projects
Presentation of content	In class lecture/discussion and	Content arranged in modules.
	PowerPoint presentations	Online discussions and
		PowerPoint presentations with
		audio voice over
Evaluation of Students	Checklists and rubrics	Checklists and rubrics
		including criteria that matches
		delivery of assignments in
		online platform
Assignment 1) Online	Threaded online discussions	Threaded online discussions
Discussion Participation		
Assignment 2) Literacy	Presentation to class	PowerPoint presentation (or
Assessment Presentation		other presentation platform)
		with audio commentary (when
		appropriate) posted to
		Blackboard
Assignment 3) Position Paper	Electronically submit written	Electronically submit written
	document to instructor	document to instructor

*Note 1 : For both the online and on campus course the Web portion of the course will be developed and delivered using Blackboard.

**Note 2 : See Approval Guidelines for Delivery of Graduate Courses in Multi-Media Format (litt ://www.rgs .uky .edu/gs/GSMulti-Media .htrnl).

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY

College of Education - Department of Curriculum & Instruction

Course Syllabus for EDC 618* - "Advanced Study in the Teaching of Reading" Summer Semester, 2011

Instructor:	Christine A. Mallozzi
Office Location	321 Dickey Hall; Mailbox in 335 Dickey Hall
Phone Number	706-983-9581 (cell); 859-257-4127 (office)
Email	Christine.mallozzi@uky.edu
Virtual Office Hours	Arranged individually through email
Technological Requirements	Computer with internet access or access to UK computer facilities. Access to digital video & audio recording devices (e.g., laptop webcam with microphone)
For Technological assistance	Contact TASC at http://www.uky.edu/TASC or call 859-257-8272 Contact Information Technology Customer Service Center http://www.uky.edu/UKIT or 859-257-1300
Technical Complaints	Contact the College of Education Instructional Technology Center at 859-257-7967 or contact Information Technology Customer Service Center http://www.uky.edu/UKIT or 859-257-1300
Preferred method for contacting instructor	Email or Blackboard
Anticipated Response Time	2 days
Information on Distance Learning Library Service	http://www.uky.edu/Libraries/DLLS
DL Librarian	Carla Cantagallo, DL Librarian; local 859-257-0500 ext 2171 Long distance: 800-828-0439, option 6 dllservice@email.uky.edu
DL Interlibrary Loan Service	http://www.uky.edu/Libraries/libpage.php?lweb_ide=253&llib_id16

Course Overview

This is an advanced course for classroom teachers, which focuses on selection and implementation of reading assessment and instructional procedures. The theoretical bases of the reading process and the knowledge of research in reading will be related to the design of classroom instruction. This course is to become an option in Area 7 of both the Elementary and Secondary Standard Certification programs. Prereq: EDC 330, 339, 533, equivalent, or consent of instructor

This course will address the four themes of the conceptual framework for the UK professional education unit: *research*, *reflection*, *learning*, *and leading*. Students will be given the opportunity to review, analyze, discuss, and apply research from diverse perspectives in education. Reflection will also be integrated into students' learning opportunities through the production of written responses and analyses of teaching experiences. This course emphasizes the commitment of the professional education unit to ensure that its graduates are equipped for life-long learning as educators who will be active in leading colleagues in their schools, districts, and professional organizations.

Learning Outcomes and Course Objectives

Specific learner expectations for this course are that students will:

- 1. demonstrate a knowledge of the major components of the reading process and an ability to reflectively apply those components through participation in class discussions and activities and completion of job-embedded course assignments. This is assessed through Course Requirement #1 (by addressing readings process topics in course online discussion participation).
- 2. increase their repertoire of reading instructional procedures which may be used with students of differing reading abilities and from diverse backgrounds at the age/grade level they teach or are certified to teach and which are inextricably linked to the Kentucky LGAE, IRA/NCTE Standards for English Language Arts, the Kentucky Experienced Teacher Standards, CCA and POS. This is assessed through Course Requirements #1 (by addressing readings process topics in online discussion participation) and #2 (by conveying knowledge of assessments of reader abilities in a literacy assessment presentation).
- 3. increase their knowledge of literacy assessment so they may meet the diverse literacy needs of the individuals in their classrooms through research-based instruction that enhances learning closes achievement gaps among various sub-populations of learners. This is assessed through Course Requirement #2 (by conveying knowledge of assessments of reader abilities in a literacy assessment presentation).
- 4. exhibit a habit of life-long learning and increased leadership potential through the reading of self-selected professional texts. This is assessed through Course Requirements #2 (by the self-selected topic and texts of the literacy assessment presentation) and #3 (by developing a rationale for literacy practices described in a position paper that could be used as a literacy leader in response to being challenged).

Course Delivery

This proposed course is designed as an online course. Course participants will attend daily class meetings online throughout the term in an environment such as Blackboard. During this time students will work in on independent research projects, group projects, and participate in online discussions and online chats.

Required Materials

Required for this course:

Morrow, L. M., Gambrell, L. B., & Pressley, M. (2007). *Best practices in literacy instruction* (3rd ed). New York, NY: Guilford. [BPLI]

Readings – available electronically on Blackboard, an online communication tool that will be used weekly. Reference list of readings available is on the last pages of this syllabus.

Policies and Procedures

Attendance Policy

Class attendance is mandatory and crucial toward students' understanding of course material. If you are absent, it is each student's responsibility to make up the work and inform the instructor of the absence, preferably in advance. You can miss one online class period for any reason (i.e., excused or unexcused absences) without consequence. No verifications of absences are needed. If you miss two online class meetings, your final grade may be lowered by one letter grade (i.e. you will lose ten points), at my discretion. If you miss three online class periods or more, you may be asked to withdraw from the course, at my discretion.

Students anticipating an absence for a major religious holiday are responsible for notifying the instructor in writing of anticipated absences due to their observance of such holidays no later than the last day for adding a class. Information regarding dates of major religious holidays may be obtained through the religious liaison, Mr. Jake Karnes (859-257-2754).

Lateness of Work Policy

Due to the truncated nature of this summer course, I will not accept late work. Any deviation from this policy will only be at my discretion.

Preparation of Written Work

Regarding formatting of more formal assignments (e.g., Position Paper), certain written work should be typed and double-spaced with 12-point font and one-inch margins. In some cases, APA (6th ed.) guidelines should be followed. Please submit assignments electronically.

Substance is the primary criterion for evaluating and grading your written products in this course. In other words, *what* you say (its clarity, depth, insight, etc.) is the most important factor in determining your grades on written work. However, *how* you express yourself in writing (i.e., the form of the written work) will also be used to evaluate your products. Therefore, correct grammar, proper punctuation, correct spelling, neatness, and adherence to assignment guidelines will also be part of the grading process. Practicing and prospective teachers must be able to express themselves in writing clearly and cogently, so both substance and style will factor into grading your work.

Cheating, Plagiarism, and Academic Honesty

Cheating and plagiarism are serious offenses that lead to significant consequences. To better understand issues and consequences of cheating and plagiarism, please read the pdf. "Plagiarism: What is it?" (http://www.uky.edu/Ombud/Plagiarism.pdf) from the UK Office of Academic Ombud Services and UK's New Academic Offenses Policy (http://www.uky.edu/Ombud/acadoffenses/index.htm). The goal to create a culture of academic honesty is the responsibility of all students.

Disability Statement/Accommodations

If you have a documented disability that requires academic accommodations in this course, please make your request to the University Disability Resource Center. The Center will require

current disability documentation. When accommodations are approved, the Center will provide me with a Letter of Accommodation which details the recommended accommodations. Contact the Disability Resource Center, Jake Karnes, Director at 859-257-2754 or jkarnes@email.uky.edu.

Course Requirements and Assessments

1. Online discussion participation – As part of being a reflective learner, you will earn approx. 3 points for an assignment. You will submit a total of 10 substantive online contributions. Students will be placed in 2 groups (Group A and Group B). The schedule for 7 online contributions will be as follows:

Dates	Group A	Group B
July 13 th	Post to Blackboard by Wed., the 13 th	Read posts; respond to Blackboard
	at 11 a.m.	by Wed., the 13 th at 5 p.m.
July 15 th	Read posts; respond to Blackboard	Post to Blackboard by Fri., the 15 th
	by Fri., the 15 th at 5 p.m.	at 11 a.m.
July 18 th	Post to Blackboard by Mon., the 18 th	Read posts; respond to Blackboard
J	at 11 a.m.	by Mon., the 18 th at 5 p.m.
July 20 th	Read posts; respond to Blackboard	Post to Blackboard by Wed., the 20 th
	by Wed., the 20 th at 5 p.m.	at 11 a.m.
July 22 nd	Post to Blackboard by Fri., the 22 nd	Read posts; respond to Blackboard
	at 11 a.m.	by Fri., the 22 nd at 5 p.m.
July 25 th	Read posts; respond to Blackboard	Post to Blackboard by Mon., the 25 th
	by Tues., the 25 th at 5 p.m.	at 11 a.m.
July 27 th	Post to Blackboard by Wed., the 27 th	Post to Blackboard by Wed., the 27 th
	at 5 p.m.	at 5 p.m.

For example, on Wed., the 13th at 11 a.m. **Group A** will post about the readings due for that day. The posts will be graded according to the following criteria:

 Response includes pertinent references to at least 2 <u>readings</u> showing student
learned from the texts.
 Response incorporates a personal <u>viewpoint</u> on the readings.
Response shows that student has thought about the complexities of the
educational issues.

Satisfying all three criteria will earn 3 points, two criteria 2 points, and 1 criterion 1 point.

Group B will be responsible for reading posts from classmates and using those posts to develop a response. A student can explain how a post from the other Group pushed the person's thinking, ask a question about a post, etc. An individual's performance will be graded according to the following criteria:

 Contribution includes references to the <u>reading</u> and classmates' <u>post</u> .
 Contribution to the discussion incorporated a personal <u>viewpoint</u> on the readings
and responses.
 Contribution enriched the class online discussion and encouraged others' learning

Satisfying all three criteria will earn 3 points, two criteria 2 points, and 1 criterion 1 point.

In addition to the schedule above, you will need to have an additional 3 responses submitted as you choose. These can be giving a thoughtful answer to a posed question, enriching the online discussion though a post or by sharing and discussing "outside" materials on the topic. These

will be assessed using the same criteria above. Each student's total online discussion "submissions" will be 10.

30 points Due date: weekly (Mon., Wed., Fridays) Assessed by checklist

2. Literacy Assessment Presentation – You will engage in a self-guided investigation into an assessment tool (a list of potential assessments will be distributed). Your final product for this project will be a presentation to your classmates.

30 points Due dates: Varies Assessed by analytic rubric

Trait/Score	4	3	2	1
Description of assessment including sample items	Student described the assessment thoroughly and provided sample questions.	Student gave a general description of the assessment and offered only one sample question.	The student gave a general description of the assessment, but offered no sample questions.	Student gave no description of the assessment and offered no sample questions.
Comparison to other assessments	Student compared the assessment to other tests used for same purposes and explained thoroughly why or why not this test should be used over others.	Student showed comparison assessment to other tests, but offered no explanation as to why or why not this assessment should be used over others.	Student offered only a vague comparison and offered no explanation of why or why not this assessment should be used.	Student gave no comparison of this assessment to others.
Understanding of the subject matter and ability to answer questions	Student has a clear understanding of the material presented and is able to accurately answer almost all questions posed by prof./classmates about the topic.	Student has a clear understanding of the material presented and is able to accurately answer most questions posed by prof./classmates about the topic.	Student seems to somewhat understand the material and is able to accurately answer a few questions posed by prof./classmates about the topic.	Student does not seem to understand the material presented and is unable to accurately answer questions posed by prof./classmates about the topic.
Presentation Style	Presentation was highly polished in regards to style and organization.	Presentation was polished in regards to style and organization.	Presentation was moderately polished in regards to style and organization.	Presentation was unpolished in regards to style and organization.

- **3. Position Paper** This 3-5 page paper is a chance to synthesize your thinking in this course to develop a position about a classroom literacy practice. Imagine someone is challenging you about why you chose to teach or assess a certain way in regard to literacy. Your job as a professional is to justify with strong evidence that you are making sound educational decisions.
- 1) State your position on a literacy practice (instruction, assessment, etc.). Be as specific as you can about the population and setting you are proposing for this practice (e.g., my fourth grade class in Lexington, KY comprised of heterogeneous reading abilities, economic levels, races, and English-language proficiency). Remember, it's important to know why your practice is right for your students not a group of students across the country.
- 2) Support your position with evidence. McMillan (2008) in *Educational Research:* Fundamentals for the Consumer stated that there are 4 sources used to make decisions in education: Personal experience (e.g., "I tried this and..."), Tradition (e.g., "We've always done it this way..."), Authority (e.g., "My principal told me to..."), and Research (e.g., "Kuhn found that instruction in fluency..."). Your paper can include all 4, but it MUST include research.

40 points Due date: July 30th Assessed with an analytical rubric.

Reflective Essay Rubric					
trait/score	4	3	2	1	
Position statement (a matter of clarity)	Position is stated with extreme clarity, prompting no questions as to position.	Position is stated with clarity.	Position is stated with moderate clarity.	Position is unclear.	
Setting & population (a matter of clarity)	The target setting and population is extremely specific and clear, prompting no questions pertinent to the position statement.	The target setting and population is specific and clear.	The target setting and population is somewhat specific and clear.	The target setting and population is too general and unclear.	
Support for position (a matter of effectiveness)	Essay contains highly relevant examples to support statements.	Essay contains relevant examples to support statements.	Essay contains moderately relevant examples to support statements.	Essay contains irrelevant examples to support statements.	
Support from the literature (a matter of effectiveness)	Essay contains highly appropriate citations or quotes from literature to support statements.	Essay contains appropriate citations or quotes from literature to support statements.	Essay contains moderately appropriate citations or quotes from literature to support statements.	Essay contains inappropriate citations or quotes from literature to support statements.	
Cohesive structure (a matter of clarity)	Essay was exceptionally focused in content and organizational structure.	Essay was generally focused in content and organizational structure.	Essay lacked focus in content and organizational structure.	Essay was unfocused in content and organizational structure.	
Presentation style (a matter of effectiveness)	Essay was highly polished in regard to APA formatting, grammar, and written expression.	Essay was polished in regard to APA formatting, grammar, and written expression.	Essay was moderately polished in regard to APA formatting, grammar, and written expression.	Essay was unpolished in regard to APA formatting, grammar, and written expression.	

Grades

The point distribution and assessment tools for course requirements are as follows:

Requirement	Total Points	Assessment Tool	Due date
1. Online Discussion Participation	30 points	Checklist	Mondays, Wednesdays, Fridays
2. Literacy Assessment Presentation (product)	30 points	Analytic rubric	Varies
3. Position Paper	40 points	Analytic rubric	July 29 th 11:59 p.m.
Total Possible Points = 100 points	100 points		

A course grade will be determined as follows: A final point total (0-100) will be computed. This total will be converted to a course grade as follows: A = 90-100 points; B = 80-89.99 points; C = 70-79.99; E = 0-69.99 points. Instructor reserves the privilege to round partial points totals up or down at her discretion. According to the *Graduate Bulletin* (http://www.research.uky.edu/gs/bulletin/current/bull09_Part1.pdf) the UK grading systems assigns the following quality labels to grades: A is *High achievement*; B is *Satisfactory achievement*; C is *Minimum passing*; and E is *Failure*. These same quality labels should be used to interpret grades on course requirements and the final course grade. D grades may not be awarded to graduate students. Graduate courses (400G-799) may not be taken Pass/Fail.

Content Calendar					
DATE	TOPIC	READINGS DUE	PAGE TOTAL	TASKS DUE	
#1face to face July 11	Introductions, Course Overview: Assessment/Instruction link serves as				
	organizational structure for course				
#2online meeting July 12	Exploration of the tensions that exist as the field tries	Gough (1995) New London Group (2000) Heath & Wollach (2007)	45 pages		
#3online meeting July 13	literacy: The findings of the NRP report get translated into classroom instruction with implications for students	Pearson et al. (BPLI, pp.30-54) NICHD (2000) Armbruster et al. (2006)		Online discussion (Group A due 11 a.m. & Group B due 5 p.m.)	
#4online meeting July 14	assessment and Afflerbach's agenda provide background for an exchange b/w Riedel & Samuels, an example of	Afflerbach (BPLI, pp. 264-282) McKenna & Stahl (2009a) Riedel (2007a) Samuels (2007) Riedel (2007b)	56 pages		
#5online meeting July 15	historical perspective:	Alexander & Fox (2004) McKenna & Stahl (2009b)	58 pages	Online discussion (Group B due 11 a.m. & Group A due 5 p.m.)	
#6online meeting July 18	with technology.	(2007) Leu et al. (2004)	67 pages	Online discussion (Group A due 11 a.m. & Group B due 5 p.m.)	
meeting July 19	Phonics: Two of the 5 literacy pillars (ala NRP report), often attended to in early literacy development.	(2004) Brown (2003)	61 pages		
meeting July 20	pillar may include speed, prosody, accuracy, volumeit depends on your definition.	Kuhn & Rasinski (BPLI, pp. 204-219) Miller & Schwanenflugel (2008) Kuhn et al. (2010)	61 pages	Online discussion (Group B due 11 a.m. & Group A due 5 p.m.)	
#9online meeting July 21	words involves morphology and context.	Blachowicz & Fisher (BPLI, pp. 178-203) Lesaux & Kieffer (2010)	57 pages		

#10 online meeting July 22	Understand the text is often		55 pages	Online discussion (Group A due 11 a.m. & Group B due 5 p.m.)
#11 online meeting July 25	Struggling readers: If every child can learn, can every child also become a reader?	Allington & Baker (BPLI, pp. 83-103) Triplett (2004)	28 pages	Online discussion (Group B due 11 a.m. & Group A due 5 p.m.)
#12 online meeting July 26	1 1	Carlo (BPLI, pp. 104- 126) Perry (2009) Fitzgerald et al. (2008)	70 pages	
#13 online meeting July 27	Critical literacy: Power is prevalent in literacy matters and deserves attention in teaching & learning.	Gibson (2010) Gainer (2010) Rozansky (2010) Clarke (2006)	52 pages	Online discussion (each person must post by 5 p.m.)
#14 online meeting July 28	Digital literacy: Technology is not just a tool; it shapes thinking.	McKenna et al (BPLI, pp. 344-372) Tarasiuk (2010)	37 pages	
#15 Online meeting July 29	Literacy in the content areas: How can room be made for content learning with and despite the literacy push?	Fang & Schleppegrell (2010) Montelongo (2010)	20 pages	Position Paper due 11:59 p.m.

Reference List

- Gough, P. B. (1995). The new literacies: caveat emptor. *Journal of research in reading*, 18(2), 79-86.
- The New London Group. (2000). A pedagogy of multiliteracies: Designing social futures. In B. Cope and M. Kalantzis (Eds.), *Multiliteracies: Literacy Learning and the Design of Social* Futures (pp. 9-38). London: Routledge.
- Heath, S. B. & Wollach, R. (2007). Vision for learning: History, theory, and affirmation. In *Handbook of research on teaching literacy through the communicative and visual arts*. Vol. II. J. Flood, S. B. Heath, & D. Lapp (Eds.) (pp. 3-12). New York: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Pearson, P. D, Raphael, T. E., Benson, V. L., Madda, C. L. (2007). Balance in comprehensive literacy instruction; Then and now. L. B. Gambrell, L. M. Morrow, & M. Pressley (Eds.). *Best practices in literacy instruction* (3rd ed.) (pp. 30-54). New York: Guilford.
- National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. (2000). Report of the National Reading Panel. Teaching children to read: An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction (NIH Publication No. 00-4769). Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Armbruster, B.B., Lehr, F., & Osborn, J. (2001). *Put reading first, kindergarten through grade* 3: The research building blocks for teaching children to read (3rd ed.). National Institute for Literacy [R372.4 ARM 159990]. Download at www.nifl.gov/partnershipforreading/publications/PFRbookletBW.pdf
- Afflerbach, P. (2007). Best practices in literacy assessment. L. B. Gambrell, L. M. Morrow, & M. Pressley (Eds.). *Best practices in literacy instruction* (3rd ed.) (pp. 264-282). New York: Guilford.
- McKenna, M. C. & Stahl, K. A. D. (2009a). General concepts of assessment. In *Assessment for reading instruction* (pp. 24-40). New York: Guilford.
- Riedel, B. W. (2007). The relation between DIBELS, reading comprehension, and vocabulary in urban first-grade students. *Reading research quarterly*, 42, 546-562.
- Samuels, S. J. (2007). The DIBELS tests: Is speed of barking at print what we mean by fluency? *Reading research quarterly*, 42, 563-566.
- Riedel, B. W. (2007). A response to Samuels. Reading research quarterly, 42, 567.
- Alexander, P. A. & Fox, E. (2004). A historical perspective on reading research and practice. In R. B. Ruddell & N. J. Unrau (Eds.), *Theoretical Models and Processes of Reading*. (5th ed.) (pp. 33-68). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- McKenna, M. C. & Stahl, K. A. D. (2009b). Introduction to reading assessment. In *Assessment For reading instruction* (pp. 1-23). New York: Guilford.
- Lankshear, C. & Knobel, M. (2007). Sampling "the New" in new literacies. In M. Knobel & C. Lankshear (Eds.), *A New Literacies Sampler* (pp. 1-24). New York: Peter Lang.
- Leu, Jr., D. J., Kinzer, C. K., Coiro, J. L., Cammack, D. W. (2004) Toward a Theory of New Literacies Emerging From the Internet and Other Information and Communication Technologies. *Theoretical models and processes of reading (5th ed.)* (pp. 1570-1613). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Cunningham, P. (2007). Best practices in teaching phonological awareness & phonics. L. B. Gambrell, L. M. Morrow, & M. Pressley (Eds.). Best practices in literacy instruction (3rd ed.) (pp. 159-177). New York: Guilford.

- Yopp, H., & Yopp, R. (2000). Supporting phonemic awareness development in the classroom. *The Reading Teacher*, *54*, 130-143
- Bloodgood, J. W., & Pacifici, L. C. (2004). Bringing word study to intermediate classrooms. *The Reading Teacher*, 58(3), 250-263.
- Brown, K. J. (2003). What do I say when they get stuck on a word? Aligning teachers' prompts with students' development. *The Reading Teacher*, *56*, 720-733.
- Kuhn, M. R. & Rasinski, T. (2007). Best practices in fluency instruction. L. B. Gambrell, L. M. Morrow, & M. Pressley (Eds.). *Best practices in literacy instruction* (3rd ed.) (pp. 204-219). New York: Guilford.
- Miller, J. & Schwanenflugel, P. J. (2008). A Longitudinal Study of the Development of Reading Prosody as a Dimension of Oral Reading Fluency in Early Elementary School Children. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 43, 336-354.
- Kuhn, M. et al. (2006). Teaching children to become fluent and automatic readers. *Journal of literacy research*, 38, 357-387.
- Blanchowicz, C. L. Z. & Fisher, P. J. (2007). Best practices in vocabulary instruction. L. B. Gambrell, L. M. Morrow, & M. Pressley (Eds.). *Best practices in literacy instruction* (3rd ed.) (pp. 178-203). New York: Guilford.
- Lesaux, N. K., Kieffer, M. J., & Faller, S. E. (2010). The effectiveness and ease of implementation of an academic vocabulary intervention for linguistically diverse students in urban middle schools. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 45(2), 196–228
- Block, C. C. & Pressley, M. (2007). Best practices in teaching comprehension. L. B. Gambrell, L. M. Morrow, & M. Pressley (Eds.). *Best practices in literacy instruction* (3rd ed.) (pp. 220-242). New York: Guilford.
- McKeown, M. G., Beck, I. L., Blake, R. G. K. (2009). Rethinking Reading Comprehension Instruction: A Comparison of Instruction for Strategies and Content Approaches. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 44, 218–253.
- Dewitz, P., Jones, J., & Leahy, S. (2009). Comprehension Strategy Instruction in Core Reading Programs. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 44(2), 102-126
- Allington, R. L. & Baker, K. (2007). Best practices for struggling readers. L. B. Gambrell, L. M. Morrow, & M. Pressley (Eds.). *Best practices in literacy instruction* (3rd ed.) (pp. 83-103). New York: Guilford.
- Triplett, C. F. (2004). Looking for a struggle: Exploring the emotions of a middle school reader. *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy*, 48(3), 214-222.
- Carlo, M. S. (2007). Best practices for literacy instruction for English-Language Learners. L. B. Gambrell, L. M. Morrow, & M. Pressley (Eds.). *Best practices in literacy instruction (3rd ed.)* (pp. 104-126). New York: Guilford.
- Perry, K. H. (2009). Genres, contexts, and literacy practices: Literacy brokering among Sudanese refugee families. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 44, 256–276
- Fitzgerald, J., Amendum, S. J., Guthrie, K. M. (2008). Young Latino students' English-reading growth in all-English classrooms. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 40, 59-94.
- Gibson, S. (2010). Critical Readings: African American Girls and Urban Fiction. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 53, 565-574.
- Gainer, J. S. (2010). Critical media literacy in middle school: Exploring the politics of representation. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy* 53, 364–373.

- Rozansky, C. L. & Aagesen, C. (2010). Low-achieving readers, high expectations: Image theatre encourages critical literacy. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy 53*, 458–466.
- Clarke, L. W. (2006). Power through voicing others: Girls' positioning boys in literature circle discussions. *Journal of literacy research*. *38*, 53-79.
- McKenna, M. C., Labbo, L. D., Reinking, D., & Zucker, T. A. (2007). Effective use of technology in literacy instruction. L. B. Gambrell, L. M. Morrow, & M. Pressley (Eds.). *Best practices in literacy instruction* (3rd ed.) (pp. 104-126). New York: Guilford.
- Tarasiuk, T. J. (2010). Combining traditional and contemporary texts: Moving my English class to the computer lab. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, *53*, 543–552
- Fang, Z. & Schleppegrell, M. J. (2010). Disciplinary literacies across content areas: Supporting secondary reading through functional language analysis. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 53, 587–597.
- Montelongo, J., Herter, J. A., Ansaldo, J., Hatter, N. (2010). A Lesson Cycle for Teaching Expository Reading and Writing. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 53, 656–666.