

1. General Information

1a. Submitted by the College of: EDUCATION

Date Submitted: 11/20/2015

1b. Department/Division: Education Curriculum &Instr

1c. Contact Person

Name: Judy Embry

Email: jembry@uky.edu

Phone: 257-7859

Responsible Faculty ID (if different from Contact)

Name: Susan Cantrell

Email: susan.canrell@uky.edu

Phone: 257-6731

1d. Requested Effective Date: Semester following approval

1e. Should this course be a UK Core Course? No

2. Designation and Description of Proposed Course

2a. Will this course also be offered through Distance Learning?: Yes 4

2b. Prefix and Number: EDC 629

2c. Full Title: Leadership Practicum for Teacher Leaders, Advanced

2d. Transcript Title: Adv Leadrship Practicum for Tchr Leaders

2e. Cross-listing:

2f. Meeting Patterns

LECTURE: 1

DISCUSSION: 2

2g. Grading System: Graduate School Grade Scale

2h. Number of credit hours: 3

2i. Is this course repeatable for additional credit? No

If Yes: Maximum number of credit hours:

If Yes: Will this course allow multiple registrations during the same semester?

PECHNEL

MAR 22 2016

OFFICE OF THE SENATE COUNCIL



- 2j. Course Description for Bulletin: Careful attention to implementation is critical for a successful intervention. Reading Recovery has well-developed, context-sensitive and evolving mechanisms for ensuring quality implementation. Teacher leaders play a critical role in maintaining the quality of each implementation. In order to provide effective leadership, teacher leaders must be knowledgeable about the design principles of the intervention and skillful in problem solving issues that arise. Teacher leaders collect and analyze data to evaluate and strengthen the implementation of Reading Recovery. They create awareness, work collaboratively with stakeholders, and cultivate support for Reading Recovery at the building, district, site, and state levels.
- **2k. Prerequisites, if any:** Applied for and been accepted to a Reading Recovery position in a school district. EDC 502, EDC 503, EDC 622, EDC 623, EDC 624, EDC 627, EDC 628
- 21. Supplementary Teaching Component:
- 3. Will this course taught off campus? Yes

If YES, enter the off campus address: various school district training sites across the state (varies from year to year)

4. Frequency of Course Offering: Spring,

Will the course be offered every year?: Yes

If No, explain:

5. Are facilities and personnel necessary for the proposed new course available?: Yes

If No, explain:

- 6. What enrollment (per section per semester) may reasonably be expected?: 8
- 7. Anticipated Student Demand

Will this course serve students primarily within the degree program?: No

Will it be of interest to a significant number of students outside the degree pgm?: No

If Yes, explain:

8. Check the category most applicable to this course: Traditional – Offered in Corresponding Departments at Universities Elsewhere,

If No, explain:

- 9. Course Relationship to Program(s).
 - a. Is this course part of a proposed new program?: No

If YES, name the proposed new program:

b. Will this course be a new requirement for ANY program?: No

If YES, list affected programs:

- 10. Information to be Placed on Syllabus.
 - a. Is the course 400G or 500?: No



b. The syllabus, including course description, student learning outcomes, and grading policies (and 400G-/500-level grading differentiation if applicable, from **10.a** above) are attached: Yes

Distance Learning Form

Instructor Name: Melinda Harmon

Instructor Email: lindy.harmon@uky.edu

Internet/Web-based: No

Interactive Video: No

Hybrid: Yes

1.How does this course provide for timely and appropriate interaction between students and faculty and among students? Does the course syllabus conform to University Senate Syllabus Guidelines, specifically the Distance Learning Considerations? Yes, the course syllabus conforms to University Senate Guidelines, specifically the Distance Learning Considerations. This course will be offered synchronously online through Adobe Connect or a similar platform. The instructor will be available via email, pone, and online conferencing for consultation with students outside of class meeting times.

- 2.How do you ensure that the experience for a DL student is comparable to that of a classroom-based student's experience? Aspects to explore: textbooks, course goals, assessment of student learning outcomes, etc. Students will engage in similar readings, research investigations, and discussions (through CANVAS and Adobe Connect) that they would experience in a classroom-based setting. Classroom goals and assessments will be similar.
- 3.How is the integrity of student work ensured? Please speak to aspects such as password-protected course portals, proctors for exams at interactive video sites; academic offense policy; etc. The integrity of student work is ensured by having a password-protected CANVAS site for the course. No exams are given. All written work is submitted to the instructor for grading. The academic offense policy is stated in the syllabus.
- 4. Will offering this course via DL result in at least 25% or at least 50% (based on total credit hours required for completion) of a degree program being offered via any form of DL, as defined above? No.

If yes, which percentage, and which program(s)? N/A

- 5.How are students taking the course via DL assured of equivalent access to student services, similar to that of a student taking the class in a traditional classroom setting? Information about student services as well as information for students with special needs (e.g. religious absences, etc.) is also included in the syllabus.
- 6.How do course requirements ensure that students make appropriate use of learning resources? Links to course readings and online research activities are provided in the course CANVAS shell. Modules for each week will be posted in the course shell.
- 7.Please explain specifically how access is provided to laboratories, facilities, and equipment appropriate to the course or program. Access to laboratories and equipment is not needed for this course.



8.How are students informed of procedures for resolving technical complaints? Does the syllabus list the entities available to offer technical help with the delivery and/or receipt of the course, such as the Information Technology Customer Service Center (http://www.uky.edu/UKIT/)? Technological requirements, technological assistance information, and Technology Customer Service Center information is listed at the beginning of the syllabus.

9.Will the course be delivered via services available through the Distance Learning Program (DLP) and the Academic Technology Group (ATL)? YES

If no, explain how student enrolled in DL courses are able to use the technology employed, as well as how students will be provided with assistance in using said technology. N/A

10.Does the syllabus contain all the required components? YES

11.I, the instructor of record, have read and understood all of the university-level statements regarding DL.

Instructor Name: Melinda Harmon

SIGNATURE|MYRT|Martha L Geoghegan|EDC 629 NEW College Review|20160303 SIGNATURE|ZNNIKO0|Roshan N Nikou|EDC 629 NEW Graduate Council Review|20160322

New Course Form

Open in f	ull window to print or save						Ge
tachmen	ts:						
	Browse	Upload	File				
ID	Attachment						
	EDC 629-001-Spring-Leadership.						
<u>lete</u> 6479	EDC 629-001-Spring- REVISED.p	<u>df</u>					
	First 1 Last						
						٠	
			(*deno	otes required fields)			
	Information	NIGATION			4410010	045	
	Submitted by the College of: El			Submission Date	: ,11/20/2	015	
	Department/Division: Educa	ion Curriculum & Instr					
с.	* Contact Person Name:	Judv	Embry	Email: jembry@uky.ed	iu Pi	one: 257-7859	
	* Responsible Faculty ID (if differ			Email: susan.canreli@		one: 257-6731	
d. 4	Requested Effective Date: (9)	Semester following appr	oval OR O Sper	cîfic Term/Year 1			
ė,	gawaya aspatilet Dutter - %	renemnig appr	s. spor				
	Should this course be a UK Core C	Course? 🔘 Yes 🧐 No					
1	f YES, check the areas that ag	opły:					
	☐ Inquiry - Arts & Creativity	Composition	n & Communicati	ions - II		•	
	🖺 Inquiry - Humanities	🖺 Quantitative	e Foundations				
	Inquiry - Nat/Math/Phys Sci	Ctabletient I	nferential Reasor	nina			
	_						
	Inquiry - Social Sciences	UJU.S. Citizen	iship, Community	/, Diversity			
	Composition & Communicat	ions - I 🔲 Global Dyna	imics				
Designa	ation and Description of Propo	sed Course.					
a. *	Will this course also be offered t	through Distance Learnin	ıg? ⑥ Yes ≜ ⊜	' No			
ь, з	Prefix and Number: EDC	629				i .	
c. '*	Full Title: Leadership Practic	cum for Teacher Leader	rs, Advanced		7		
	Franscript Title (if full title is more			acticum for Tchr Leaders			
	To be Cross-Listed ² with (Prefix a			•	•		
	* Courses must be described by a		ng natterne hofou	v. Include number of actual c	ontact hours	for each meeting r	oattern type.
		Labora				2	Discussion
	Indep. Study	Clinica		Colloqu			Practicum
	Research	Reside	:ncy	Semina	r	_	Studio
	Other	If Other, Plea	se explain:				
g. *	* Identify a grading system:						
	C Letter (A, B, C, etc.)						
	O Pass/Fait	madiant students will	anhun a letter	do)			
	Medicine Numeric Grade (Non- Graduate School Grade Scale	medica: students will red	.e.ve a jetter gra	ucj			
	Number of credits: 3						

	j.	* Course Description for Builetin:
		Careful attention to implementation is critical for a successful intervention. Reading Recovery has well-developed, context-sensitive and evolving mechanisms for ensuring quality implementation. Teacher leaders play a critical role in maintaining the quality of each implementation. In order to provide effective leadership, teacher leaders must be knowledgeable about the design principles of the intervention and skillful in problem solving issues that arise. Teacher leaders collect and analyze data to evaluate and strengthen the implementation of Reading Recovery. They create awareness, work collaboratively with stakeholders, and cultivate support for Reading Recovery at the building, district, site, and state levels.
	k.	Prerequisites, if any: Applied for and been accepted to a Reading Recovery position in a school district. EDC 502, EDC 503, EDC 622, EDC 623, EDC 624, EDC 627, EDC 628
	1 .	Supplementary teaching component, if any: O Community-Based Experience O Service Learning O Both
3.	* Will	this course be taught off campus?
	If YES	, enter the off campus address: various school district training sites across the state (varies from year to year)
4.	-	ency of Course Offering.
		*Course will be offered (check all that apply): Fall Spring Summer Winter
	b.	* Will the course be offered every year? Yes No No No
5.	i Are	facilities and personnel necessary for the proposed new course available?
		explain:
	:	
6.	* Wha	at enrollment (per section per semester) may reasonably be expected?
7.	Antici	pated Student Demand.
	a.	* Will this course serve students primarily within the degree program?
	b.	* Will it be of interest to a significant number of students outside the degree pgm? ① Yes ⑨ No If YES, explain:
8.	* Che	ck the category most applicable to this course:
	_	ditional – Offered in Corresponding Departments at Universities Elsewhere
	_	latively New – Now Being Widely Established t Yet Found in Many (or Any) Other Universities
9.		e Relationship to Program(s).
	a.	* Is this course part of a proposed new program? O Yes ® No
		If YES, name the proposed new program:
	ь.	* Will this course be a new requirement ⁵ for ANY program? © Yes ® No If YES ⁵ , list affected programs::
10,	Inform	nation to be Placed on Syllabus.
	a.	* Is the course 400G or 500?
	Ь,	* The syllabus, including course description, student learning outcomes, and grading policies (and 400G-/500-level grading differentiation if applicable, from 10 attached.
ŗ	manage and services	Distance Learning Form
This	form m	ust accompany <u>every</u> submission of a new/change course form that requests distance learning delivery. This form may be required when changing a course already approved for DL fields are required!
		Definition: For the purposes of the Commission on Colleges Southern Association of Colleges and Schools accreditation review, distance learning is defined as a fo rocess in which the majority of the instruction (interaction between students and instructors and among students) in a course occurs when students and instructors

the same place. Instruction may be synchronous or asynchronous. A distance learning (DL) course may employ correspondence study, or audio, video, or computer technologies

A number of specific requirements are listed for DL courses. The department proposing the change in delivery method is responsible for ensuring that the requirement are satisfied at the individual course level. It is the responsibility of the instructor to have read and understood the university-level assurances regarding an equivalent expe students utilizing DL (available at <u>http://www.ukv.edu/USC/New/forms.htm</u>).

Course Number and Prefix:	EDC 629		Date:	9/21/2015
Instructor Name:	Melinda Harmon		Instructor Email:	lindy.harmon@uky.edu
Check the method below that	best reflects how the ma	ajority of the course cont Internet/Web-based		Hybrid ☑
urriculum and Instruction	1		.,,,,,,,,,	atus and African
How does this course prov	ride for timely and appro	priate interaction betwee	n students and faculty and amo	ong students? Does the course syllabus conform to University
Syllabus Guidelines, speci			to Cuidolines enegif	ically the Distance Learning
				Adobe Connect or a similar platform. The
How do you ensure that the of student learning outcome.		udent is comparable to ti	hat of a classroom-based stude	nt's experience? Aspects to explore: textbooks, course goals,
Students will enga	ge in similar rea			scussions (through CANVAS and Adobe om goals and assessments will be similar.
How is the integrity of stu policy; etc.	dent work ensured? Plea:	se speak to aspects such	as password-protected course	portals, proctors for exams at interactive video sites; academ
The integrity of a				ANVAS site for the course. No exams are cademic offense policy is stated in the
	a DL result in at least 25	% or at least 50%* (bas	ed on total credit hours require	ed for completion) of a degree program being offered via any f
as defined above?				
Which percentage, and wh	nich program(s)?	~		
*As a general rule, if appr months from the date of a		elivery results in 50% or	more of a program being deliv	ered through DL, the effective date of the course's DL delivery
	student services	as well as inform		t of a student taking the class in a traditional classroom setting th special needs (e.g. religious absences,
ibrary and Learning Resou	irces			
6. How do course requirements Links to course re week will be poste	adings and online	research activit		ne course CANVAS shell. Modules for each
7. Please explain specifically Access to laborate			and equipment appropriate to b	the course or program.
itudent Services				
P. How are students informs	d of procedures for resolu	vino technical complaints	2 Dage the cullabus list the ent	ities available to offer technical help with the delivery and/or r
the course, such as the In	formation Technology Cu ilrements, technol	stomer Service Center () ogical assistance	http://www.uky.edu/UKfT/)? information, and Tech	nnology Customer Service Center
9. Will the course be delivered				cademic Technology Group (ATL)?
® Yes ○ No				
	s enrolled in DL courses			w students will be provided with assistance in using said techn
N/A				
10. Does the syllabus contain	all the required compone	ents, below? 🗹 Yes		
 Instructor's virtua 	office hours, if any.			
 The technological r 	equirements for the cour	se.		
	n for Distance Learning p du/UKIT/Heip/; 859-218		y,edu/DistanceLearning) and in	nformation Technology Customer Service Center
 Procedure for resol 	ving technical complaints	3.		
. Broforrad mothod i	ior reaching instructor o	a omail ohona taxt ma	ccane	

Maximum timeframe for responding to student communications.

Language pertaining academic accommodations:

- "If you have a documented disability that requires academic accommodations in this course, please make your request to the University Disability Resource. The Center will require current disability documentation. When accommodations are approved, the Center will provide me with a Letter of Accommodation details the recommended accommodations. Contact the Disability Resource Center, Jake Karnes, Director at 859-257-2754 or <a href="mailto:letter-will-lette
- · Specific dates of face-to-face or synchronous class meetings, if any.
- Information on Distance Learning Library Services (http://www.uky.edu/Libraries/DLLS)
 - Carla Cantagallo, DL Librarian
 - Local phone number: 859 257-0500, ext. 2171; long-distance phone number: (800) 828-0439 (option #6)
 - Email: <u>dllservice@email.uky.edu</u>
 - Dt. Interlibrary Loan Service; http://www.uky.edu/Libraries/libpage.php?lweb_id=253&llib_id=16
- $11.\,\,$ I, the instructor of record, have read and understood all of the university-level statements regarding DL,

Instructor Name:
Melinda Harmon

Abbreviations: DLP = Distance Learning Programs ATG = Academic Technology Group Customer Service Center = 859-218-HELP (http://www.ukv.edu/UKIT/Help)

Revised 8/69

Rev 8/09

III Courses are typically made effective for the semester following approval. No course will be made effective until all approvals are received,

 $^{^{\}rm [2]}$ The chair of the cross-listing department must sign off on the Signature Routing Log.

III fin general, undergraduate courses are developed on the principle that one semester hour of cradit represents one hour of classroom meeting per week for a semester, exclusive of any laboratory meeting. Li meeting, generally, represents at least two hours per week for a semester for one credit hour. (from SR 5.2.1)

^[4] You must also submit the Distance Learning Form in order for the proposed course to be considered for DL delivery.

¹⁵¹ In order to change a program, a program change form must also be submitted.

University of Kentucky Course Syllabus for EDC 629-001 Leadership Practicum for Teacher Leaders, Advanced Spring, 2016 Tuesday, 1:00 p.m. – 3:30 p.m. 3 Credit Hours Dickey Hall, Room 323

Instructor

Dr. Melinda 'Lindy' Harmon Reading Recovery University Trainer Room 316, Dickey Hall Office hours: Available upon request 859-257-7859 (w) 859-533-2860 (c) lindy.harmon@uky.edu

Technological Requirements

Computer with internet access, webcam, and microphone or access to UK computer facilities.

Course website

Canvas: https://www.uky.edu/canvas/

Preferred method for contacting instructor

Email or Phone

Technological Assistance

Contact Information Technology Customer Service Center http://www.uky.edu/UKIT or 859-257-1300

Distance Learning Library Services

DL Librarian: Cantagallo, Carla

Phone: (859) 218-1240

Email: dllservice@email.uky.edu

DL Interlibrary Loan Service: http://libraries.uky.edu/page.php?lweb_id=253

Course Description

Careful attention to implementation is critical for a successful intervention. Reading Recovery has well-developed, context-sensitive and evolving mechanisms for ensuring quality implementation. Teacher leaders play a critical role in maintaining the quality of each implementation. In order to provide effective leadership, teacher leaders must be knowledgeable about the design principles of the intervention and skillful in problem solving issues that arise. Teacher leaders collect and analyze data to evaluate and strengthen the implementation of Reading Recovery. They create awareness, work

collaboratively with stakeholders, and cultivate support for Reading Recovery at the building, district, site, and state levels.

Prerequisites: EDC 502, EDC 503, EDC 622, EDC 623, EDC 624, EDC 627, EDC 628

UK College of Education Professional Themes

This course will address the four themes of the conceptual framework for the UK professional education unit: *research*, *reflection*, *learning*, and *leading*. Students will be given the opportunity to review, analyze, discuss, and apply *research* from diverse perspectives in education, including professional scholarship and practitioner inquiry, in order to reflect on their own practices as they study, observe, and practice in P-12 school and university classrooms. *Reflection* will also be integrated into students' learning opportunities through the production of written essays and analyses of observation and teaching experiences to help students take advantage of the analytical and problemsolving skills that comprise critical professional reflection on one's own teaching. This course emphasizes the commitment of the professional education unit to ensure that its graduates move into their professional lives equipped for life-long *learning* as educators who will be active in *leading* colleagues in their schools, districts, and professional organizations. The ultimate goal in addressing these four themes is to produce teacher leaders who work together to improve student learning among diverse populations and improve education in Kentucky and beyond.

Course Content

- The design principles of Reading Recovery
- Reading Recovery effectiveness: Evidence, challenges and responses
- Advocacy
- Establishing and maintaining a quality site
- Leadership and management of change
- Making a Case for Reading Recovery
- Key Principles of Leading Behind the Glass Lessons
- FIT/T Framework for Introducing Behind the Glass Lessons, Developing Cycles of Interactions/Chains of Reasoning, Generating Closing Summaries
- Frameworks for School Visits/Norms/Observational Notes

Course Learning Outcomes

The Teacher Leaders-in-training will:

- Understand theoretical concepts related to the processes of change in school reform and how they apply to Reading Recovery.
- Become knowledgeable about the role of Teacher Leaders as change agents in educational settings, especially how effective Teacher Leaders bring about change in teacher performance.

- Understand the role of compelling data in the change process; know how to analyze data from Reading Recovery to problem solve and evaluate the implementation at the district, state and national levels.
- Articulate challenges to the implementation of Reading Recovery and locate responses to critics.
- Learn the basic components of the Teacher Leader role.
- Understand issues that affect the professional development of teachers.
- Develop skills, strategies, and resources for training and supervising Reading Recovery teachers.
- Develop skills, strategies and resources for leading behind the glass for teachers in training.
- Attend teacher in training classes and work with affiliated sites as outlined by the University of Kentucky Trainer.
- Write in-depth analyses of the behind the glass interactions between Teacher Leaders and teachers in training.

Required Readings: (other books and articles as assigned)

- Askew, B. J., Fountas, I. C., Lyons, C.A., Pinnell, G.S. & Schmitt, M. C. (1998). Reading Recovery review: Understandings outcomes & implications. Columbus, OH:

 Reading Recovery Council of North America.
- Clay, M. M. (1991). Reading Recovery surprises. In D.E. DeFord, C.A. Lyons, & G.S. Pinnell (Eds.), *Bridges to literacy: Learning from Reading Recovery* (57-76). Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M. M. (1994). Reading Recovery: The wider implications of an educational innovation. Reprinted from A. Watson & A. Badenhop (Eds.), *Prevention of reading failure* (22 47). London: Ashton Scholastic. (Reprinted in *Literacy, Teaching and Learning*, 1994, *1*(1), 121-143.)
- Clay, M. M. (1997). International perspectives on the Reading Recovery program.

- In J. Flood, S.B. Heath, & D. Lapp (Eds.). The handbook of research on teaching literacy through the communicative and visual arts (655-667). Old Tappan, NJ: Simon and Schuster. (Reprinted in *The Journal of Reading Recovery*, 2007, 7 (1), 16-34.)
- Clay, M.M. (1982). Observing young readers. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M.M. (2015). *Becoming literate: The construction of inner control.* Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M.M. (2015). Change over time in children's literacy development. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M.M. (2013). An observation survey of early literacy achievement. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M.M. (2005). Literacy lessons designed for individuals: Part one Why? When? And How? Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M.M. (2005). Literacy lessons designed for individuals: Part two teaching procedures. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M.M., Gill, M. Glynn, T., McNaughton, T. & Salmon, K. (2007). Record of oral language: Observing changes in the acquisition of language structures (2nd edition) Auckland, New Zealand: Heinemann.
- Schmitt, M. C., Askew, B. J., Fountas, I. C., Lyons, C. A., & Pinnell, G. S. (2005).

 Changing futures: The influence of Reading Recovery in the United States.

 Columbus, OH: Reading Recovery Council of North America.
- Watson, B. & Askew, B. (Eds.) (2009). Boundless horizons: Marie Clay's search for the possible in children's literacy. Auckland, NZ: Pearson Education.

Additional Resources:

- Teacher Leader Information Sheets (Auckland, NZ, National Reading Recovery, 2012).
- Teacher Guide Sheets (Auckland, NZ, National Reading Recovery, 2012).
- Observing and supporting the learning of Reading Recovery teachers Extra support for teachers having difficulty with Reading Recovery training. (2010).
 North American Trainer Group Teaching and Professional Development Committee.
- Providing on going professional support for Reading Recovery: A teacher leader resource paper. (2010). North American Trainer Group Teaching and Professional Development Committee.
- Site coordinator's guide revised. (2006). Columbus, OH: Reading Recovery
 Council of North America.
- Standards and guidelines for Reading Recovery in the United States, Fifth edition Revised edition. (2012). Columbus, OH: Reading Recovery Council of North America.

Additional Readings: (Other readings as assigned)

- DeFord, D.E. Lyons, C.A. & Pinnell, G.S. (1991). *Bridges to literacy: Learning from Reading Recovery* (57-76). Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Lyons, C. A., Pinnell, G.S., & DeFord, D. E. (1993). Partners in learning: Teachers and children in Reading Recovery. NY: Teachers College Press.
- McGee, L. (2006). Research on Reading Recovery: What is the impact on early literacy research? *Literacy Teaching and Learning*, 10(2) 1-50.

- Studebaker, M. (2007). How to use the What Works Clearinghouse report. *The Journal of Reading Recovery*, 6(2), 41.
- Rogers, A. & Fried, M. (Spring, 2009). A design for action: Analyzing problems of implementation. *The Journal of Reading Recovery.* 8(2), 51-60.
- Rodgers, A. & Rodgers, E. (2007). *The Effective Literacy Coach*. NY:Teachers College Press.

Summary Description of Course Assignments:

- 1. Write an in-depth, self- analysis of leading a behind the glass session.
- 2. Shadow a Teacher Leader conducting a trained teacher visit, debrief, then conduct a teacher visit and receive feedback from the Teacher Leader. Write reflections on the experience.
- 3. Present an Implementation session using five years of compelling data collected from your site.

Course Policies

Submission of Assignments

- All assignments must be typed or word processed.
- All assignments must be handed in on time or they will be penalized 10% for each day late.
- All assignments must be in APA style, 6th edition (which includes a cover page).

Tentative Course Schedule

A linear listing of topics, assignment due dates, and examination dates will be provided in the first class.

Course Grading

- \overline{A} = Superior work that meets all criteria and shows exceptional merit and creativity (90-100%)
- B = Excellent work that meets all criteria and shows effort (80-89%)
- C = Adequate work which meets all criteria (70-79%)
- E = Poor work (69% and below)

Mid-term grades will be posted in myUK by the deadline established in the Academic Calendar (http://www.uky.edu/registrar/calendar).

Final Exam Information

See Summary Description of Course Assignments above. Date, time, and location to be announced.

Course Evaluation

Grading for this course will be based on performance related to course requirements and all assignments on the syllabus for the semester. Performance will be considered on a continuum of understandings that takes place across time and on an individual basis. An important aspect of this course is ongoing communication with the UK trainer to request guidance and support as needed to achieve these requirements.

Excused Absences:

Students need to notify the professor of absences prior to class when possible. S.R. 5.2.4.2 defines the following as acceptable reasons for excused absences: (a) serious illness, (b) illness or death of family member, (c) University-related trips, (d) major religious holidays, and (e) other circumstances found to fit "reasonable cause for nonattendance" by the professor.

Students anticipating an absence for a major religious holiday are responsible for notifying the instructor in writing of anticipated absences due to their observance of such holidays no later than the last day in the semester to add a class. Information regarding dates of major religious holidays may be obtained through the religious liaison, Rhonda Strouse at strouse@pop.uky.edu

Students are expected to withdraw from the class if more than 20% of the classes scheduled for the semester are missed (excused or unexcused) per university policy.

Verification of Absences:

Students may be asked to verify their absences in order for them to be considered excused. Senate Rule 5.2.4.2 states that faculty have the right to request "appropriate verification" when students claim an excused absence because of illness or death in the family. Appropriate notification of absences due to university-related trips is required prior to the absence.

Academic Integrity:

Per university policy, students shall not plagiarize, cheat, or falsify or misuse academic records. Students are expected to adhere to University policy on cheating and plagiarism in all courses. The minimum penalty for a first offense is a zero on the assignment on which the offense occurred. If the offense is considered severe or the student has other academic offenses on their record, more serious penalties, up to suspension from the university may be imposed.

Plagiarism and cheating are serious breaches of academic conduct. Each student is advised to become familiar with the various forms of academic dishonesty as explained in the Code of Student Rights and Responsibilities. Complete information can be found at the following website: http://www.uky.edu/Ombud. A plea of ignorance is not acceptable as a defense against the charge of academic dishonesty. It is important that

you review this information as all ideas borrowed from others need to be properly credited.

Part II of Student Rights and Responsibilities (available online http://www.uky.edu/StudentAffairs/Code/part2.html) states that all academic work, written or otherwise, submitted by students to their instructors or other academic supervisors, is expected to be the result of their own thought, research, or self-expression. In cases where students feel unsure about the question of plagiarism involving their own work, they are obliged to consult their instructors on the matter before submission.

When students submit work purporting to be their own, but which in any way borrows ideas, organization, wording or anything else from another source without appropriate acknowledgement of the fact, the students are guilty of plagiarism. Plagiarism includes reproducing someone else's work, whether it be a published article, chapter of a book, a paper from a friend or some file, or something similar to this. Plagiarism also includes the practice of employing or allowing another person to alter or revise the work which a student submits as his/her own, whoever that other person may be.

Students may discuss assignments among themselves or with an instructor or tutor, but when the actual work is done, it must be done by the student, and the student alone. When a student's assignment involves research in outside sources of information, the student must carefully acknowledge exactly what, where and how he/she employed them. If the words of someone else are used, the student must put quotation marks around the passage in question and add an appropriate indication of its origin. Making simple changes while leaving the organization, content and phraseology intact is plagiaristic. However, nothing in these Rules shall apply to those ideas which are so generally and freely circulated as to be a part of the public domain (Section 6.3.1).

Please note: Any assignment you turn in may be submitted to an electronic database to check for plagiarism.

Participation and Professionalism:

The Middle School Teacher Education program is a teacher preparation program, and as such expects you to work and to transition into the teaching profession. This entails both mature personal behavior and professional conduct based on the College of Education's Functional Skills and Dispositions (see Student Handbook at http://education.uky.edu/AcadServ/content/student-handbook-education-programs). These include 1) communicating appropriately and effectively, 2) demonstrating constructive attitudes, 3) demonstrating the ability to conceptualize key content, 4) interacting appropriately with diverse groups in educational settings (including colleagues and students), and 5) demonstrating a commitment to professional ethics and behavior.

Ethics Statement

This course and its participants will not tolerate discrimination, violence, or vandalism. The Middle Level Teacher Education Program is an open and affirming department for all people, including those who are subjected to racial profiling, hate crimes, heterosexism, and violence. We insist that appropriate action be taken against those who perpetrate discrimination, violence, or vandalism. The University of Kentucky is an Affirmative Action and Equal Opportunity institution and affirms its dedication to non-discrimination on the basis or race, color, religion, gender, age, sexual orientation, domestic partner status, national origin, or disability in employment, programs, and services. Our commitment to non-discrimination and affirmation action embraces the entire university community including faculty, staff, and students.

All students are expected to conduct themselves in an appropriate and ethical manner during their UK classes and related field placements, as befitting students, future teachers, and ambassadors for the University of Kentucky. Any unethical behavior in class or during your field placements may result in failure for the course and/or expulsion from the Middle Level Teacher Education Program, determined on a case-by-case basis. Faculty will follow all university due process procedures in cases of academic or ethical misconduct. Please consult Dr. Rintamaa if you have questions regarding this requirement.

Accommodations due to disability:

If you have a documented disability that requires academic accommodations, please see me as soon as possible during scheduled office hours. In order to receive accommodations in this course, you must provide me with a Letter of Accommodation from the Disability Resource Center (Multidisciplinary Science Building, Suite 407, 257-2754, email addressDRC@uky.edu) for coordination of campus disability services available to students with disabilities.

University of Kentucky Course Syllabus for EDC 629-001 Leadership Practicum for Teacher Leaders, Advanced Spring, 2016 Tuesday, 1:00 p.m. – 3:30 p.m. 3 Credit Hours Dickey Hall, Room 323

Instructor

Dr. Melinda 'Lindy' Harmon Reading Recovery University Trainer Room 316, Dickey Hall Office hours: Available upon request 859-257-7859 (w) 859-533-2860 (c) lindy.harmon@uky.edu

Technological Requirements

Computer with internet access, webcam, and microphone or access to UK computer facilities.

Course website

Canvas: https://www.uky.edu/canvas/

Preferred method for contacting instructor

Email or Phone

Technological Assistance

Contact Information Technology Customer Service Center http://www.uky.edu/UKIT or 859-257-1300

Distance Learning Library Services

DL Librarian: Cantagallo, Carla

Phone: (859) 218-1240

Email: dllservice@email.uky.edu

DL Interlibrary Loan Service: http://libraries.uky.edu/page.php?lweb_id=253

Course Description

Careful attention to implementation is critical for a successful intervention. Reading Recovery has well-developed, context-sensitive and evolving mechanisms for ensuring quality implementation. Teacher leaders play a critical role in maintaining the quality of each implementation. In order to provide effective leadership, teacher leaders must be knowledgeable about the design principles of the intervention and skillful in problem solving issues that arise. Teacher leaders collect and analyze data to evaluate and strengthen the implementation of Reading Recovery. They create awareness, work

collaboratively with stakeholders, and cultivate support for Reading Recovery at the building, district, site, and state levels.

Prerequisites: EDC 502, EDC 503, EDC 622, EDC 623, EDC 624, EDC 627, EDC 628

UK College of Education Professional Themes

This course will address the four themes of the conceptual framework for the UK professional education unit: *research*, *reflection*, *learning*, and *leading*. Students will be given the opportunity to review, analyze, discuss, and apply *research* from diverse perspectives in education, including professional scholarship and practitioner inquiry, in order to reflect on their own practices as they study, observe, and practice in P-12 school and university classrooms. *Reflection* will also be integrated into students' learning opportunities through the production of written essays and analyses of observation and teaching experiences to help students take advantage of the analytical and problemsolving skills that comprise critical professional reflection on one's own teaching. This course emphasizes the commitment of the professional education unit to ensure that its graduates move into their professional lives equipped for life-long *learning* as educators who will be active in *leading* colleagues in their schools, districts, and professional organizations. The ultimate goal in addressing these four themes is to produce teacher leaders who work together to improve student learning among diverse populations and improve education in Kentucky and beyond.

Course Content

- The design principles of Reading Recovery
- Reading Recovery effectiveness: Evidence, challenges and responses
- Advocacy
- Establishing and maintaining a quality site
- Leadership and management of change
- Making a Case for Reading Recovery
- Key Principles of Leading Behind the Glass Lessons
- FIT/T Framework for Introducing Behind the Glass Lessons, Developing Cycles of Interactions/Chains of Reasoning, Generating Closing Summaries
- Frameworks for School Visits/Norms/Observational Notes

Course Learning Outcomes

The Teacher Leaders-in-training will:

- Understand theoretical concepts related to the processes of change in school reform and how they apply to Reading Recovery.
- Become knowledgeable about the role of Teacher Leaders as change agents in educational settings, especially how effective Teacher Leaders bring about change in teacher performance.

- Understand the role of compelling data in the change process; know how to analyze data from Reading Recovery to problem solve and evaluate the implementation at the district, state and national levels.
- Articulate challenges to the implementation of Reading Recovery and locate responses to critics.
- Learn the basic components of the Teacher Leader role.
- Understand issues that affect the professional development of teachers.
- Develop skills, strategies, and resources for training and supervising Reading Recovery teachers.
- Develop skills, strategies and resources for leading behind the glass for teachers in training.
- Attend teacher in training classes and work with affiliated sites as outlined by the University of Kentucky Trainer.
- Write in-depth analyses of the behind the glass interactions between Teacher Leaders and teachers in training.

Required Readings: (other books and articles as assigned)

- Askew, B. J., Fountas, I. C., Lyons, C.A., Pinnell, G.S. & Schmitt, M. C. (1998). Reading

 Recovery review: Understandings outcomes & implications. Columbus, OH:

 Reading Recovery Council of North America.
- Clay, M. M. (1991). Reading Recovery surprises. In D.E. DeFord, C.A. Lyons, & G.S. Pinnell (Eds.), *Bridges to literacy: Learning from Reading Recovery* (57-76).

 Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M. M. (1994). Reading Recovery: The wider implications of an educational innovation. Reprinted from A. Watson & A. Badenhop (Eds.), *Prevention of reading failure* (22 47). London: Ashton Scholastic. (Reprinted in *Literacy, Teaching and Learning*, 1994, 1(1), 121-143.)
- Clay, M. M. (1997). International perspectives on the Reading Recovery program.

- In J. Flood, S.B. Heath, & D. Lapp (Eds.). The handbook of research on teaching literacy through the communicative and visual arts (655-667). Old Tappan, NJ: Simon and Schuster. (Reprinted in *The Journal of Reading Recovery*, 2007, 7 (1), 16-34.)
- Clay, M.M. (1982). Observing young readers. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M.M. (2015). Becoming literate: The construction of inner control. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M.M. (2015). Change over time in children's literacy development. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M.M. (2013). An observation survey of early literacy achievement. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M.M. (2005). Literacy lessons designed for individuals: Part one Why? When? And How? Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M.M. (2005). Literacy lessons designed for individuals: Part two teaching procedures. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M.M., Gill, M. Glynn, T., McNaughton, T. & Salmon, K. (2007). Record of oral language: Observing changes in the acquisition of language structures (2nd edition) Auckland, New Zealand: Heinemann.
- Schmitt, M. C., Askew, B. J., Fountas, I. C., Lyons, C. A., & Pinnell, G. S. (2005).

 Changing futures: The influence of Reading Recovery in the United States.

 Columbus, OH: Reading Recovery Council of North America.
- Watson, B. & Askew, B. (Eds.) (2009). Boundless horizons: Marie Clay's search for the possible in children's literacy. Auckland, NZ: Pearson Education.

Additional Resources:

- Teacher Leader Information Sheets (Auckland, NZ, National Reading Recovery, 2012).
- Teacher Guide Sheets (Auckland, NZ, National Reading Recovery, 2012).
- Observing and supporting the learning of Reading Recovery teachers Extra support for teachers having difficulty with Reading Recovery training. (2010).
 North American Trainer Group Teaching and Professional Development
 Committee.
- Providing on going professional support for Reading Recovery: A teacher leader resource paper. (2010). North American Trainer Group Teaching and Professional Development Committee.
- Site coordinator's guide revised. (2006). Columbus, OH: Reading Recovery Council of North America.
- Standards and guidelines for Reading Recovery in the United States, Fifth edition Revised edition. (2012). Columbus, OH: Reading Recovery Council of North America.

Additional Readings: (Other readings as assigned)

- DeFord, D.E. Lyons, C.A. & Pinnell, G.S. (1991). Bridges to literacy: Learning from Reading Recovery (57-76). Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Lyons, C. A., Pinnell, G.S., & DeFord, D. E. (1993). Partners in learning: Teachers and children in Reading Recovery. NY: Teachers College Press.
- McGee, L. (2006). Research on Reading Recovery: What is the impact on early literacy research? *Literacy Teaching and Learning*, 10(2) 1-50.

- Studebaker, M. (2007). How to use the What Works Clearinghouse report. *The Journal of Reading Recovery*, 6(2), 41.
- Rogers, A. & Fried, M. (Spring, 2009). A design for action: Analyzing problems of implementation. *The Journal of Reading Recovery*. 8(2), 51-60.
- Rodgers, A. & Rodgers, E. (2007). The Effective Literacy Coach. NY:Teachers College Press.

Summary Description of Course Assignments:

- 1. Write an in-depth, self- analysis of leading a behind the glass session.
- 2. Shadow a Teacher Leader conducting a trained teacher visit, debrief, then conduct a teacher visit and receive feedback from the Teacher Leader. Write reflections on the experience.
- 3. Present an Implementation session using five years of compelling data collected from your site.

Course Policies

Submission of Assignments

- All assignments must be typed or word processed.
- All assignments must be handed in on time or they will be penalized 10% for each day late.
- All assignments must be in APA style, 6th edition (which includes a cover page).

Tentative Course Schedule

A linear listing of topics, assignment due dates, and examination dates will be provided in the first class.

Course Grading

- \overline{A} = Superior work that meets all criteria and shows exceptional merit and creativity (90-100%)
- B = Excellent work that meets all criteria and shows effort (80-89%)
- C = Adequate work which meets all criteria (70-79%)
- E = Poor work (69% and below)

Mid-term grades will be posted in myUK by the deadline established in the Academic Calendar (http://www.uky.edu/registrar/calendar).

Final Exam Information

See Summary Description of Course Assignments above. Date, time, and location to be announced.

Course Evaluation

Grading for this course will be based on performance related to course requirements and all assignments on the syllabus for the semester. Performance will be considered on a continuum of understandings that takes place across time and on an individual basis. An important aspect of this course is ongoing communication with the UK trainer to request guidance and support as needed to achieve these requirements.

Excused Absences:

Students need to notify the professor of absences prior to class when possible. S.R. 5.2.4.2 defines the following as acceptable reasons for excused absences: (a) serious illness, (b) illness or death of family member, (c) University-related trips, (d) major religious holidays, and (e) other circumstances found to fit "reasonable cause for nonattendance" by the professor.

Students anticipating an absence for a major religious holiday are responsible for notifying the instructor in writing of anticipated absences due to their observance of such holidays no later than the last day in the semester to add a class. Information regarding dates of major religious holidays may be obtained through the religious liaison, Rhonda Strouse at strouse@pop.uky.edu

Students are expected to withdraw from the class if more than 20% of the classes scheduled for the semester are missed (excused or unexcused) per university policy.

Verification of Absences:

Students may be asked to verify their absences in order for them to be considered excused. Senate Rule 5.2.4.2 states that faculty have the right to request "appropriate verification" when students claim an excused absence because of illness or death in the family. Appropriate notification of absences due to university-related trips is required prior to the absence.

Academic Integrity:

Per university policy, students shall not plagiarize, cheat, or falsify or misuse academic records. Students are expected to adhere to University policy on cheating and plagiarism in all courses. The minimum penalty for a first offense is a zero on the assignment on which the offense occurred. If the offense is considered severe or the student has other academic offenses on their record, more serious penalties, up to suspension from the university may be imposed.

Plagiarism and cheating are serious breaches of academic conduct. Each student is advised to become familiar with the various forms of academic dishonesty as explained in the Code of Student Rights and Responsibilities. Complete information can be found at the following website: http://www.uky.edu/Ombud. A plea of ignorance is not acceptable as a defense against the charge of academic dishonesty. It is important that

you review this information as all ideas borrowed from others need to be properly credited.

Part II of Student Rights and Responsibilities (available online http://www.uky.edu/StudentAffairs/Code/part2.html) states that all academic work, written or otherwise, submitted by students to their instructors or other academic supervisors, is expected to be the result of their own thought, research, or self-expression. In cases where students feel unsure about the question of plagiarism involving their own work, they are obliged to consult their instructors on the matter before submission.

When students submit work purporting to be their own, but which in any way borrows ideas, organization, wording or anything else from another source without appropriate acknowledgement of the fact, the students are guilty of plagiarism. Plagiarism includes reproducing someone else's work, whether it be a published article, chapter of a book, a paper from a friend or some file, or something similar to this. Plagiarism also includes the practice of employing or allowing another person to alter or revise the work which a student submits as his/her own, whoever that other person may be.

Students may discuss assignments among themselves or with an instructor or tutor, but when the actual work is done, it must be done by the student, and the student alone. When a student's assignment involves research in outside sources of information, the student must carefully acknowledge exactly what, where and how he/she employed them. If the words of someone else are used, the student must put quotation marks around the passage in question and add an appropriate indication of its origin. Making simple changes while leaving the organization, content and phraseology intact is plagiaristic. However, nothing in these Rules shall apply to those ideas which are so generally and freely circulated as to be a part of the public domain (Section 6.3.1).

Please note: Any assignment you turn in may be submitted to an electronic database to check for plagiarism.

Participation and Professionalism:

a commitment to professional ethics and behavior.

The Middle School Teacher Education program is a teacher preparation program, and as such expects you to work and to transition into the teaching profession. This entails both mature personal behavior and professional conduct based on the College of Education's Functional Skills and Dispositions (see Student Handbook at http://education.uky.edu/AcadServ/content/student-handbook-education-programs). These include 1) communicating appropriately and effectively, 2) demonstrating constructive attitudes, 3) demonstrating the ability to conceptualize key content, 4) interacting appropriately with diverse groups in

educational settings (including colleagues and students), and 5) demonstrating

Ethics Statement

This course and its participants will not tolerate discrimination, violence, or vandalism. The Middle Level Teacher Education Program is an open and affirming department for all people, including those who are subjected to racial profiling, hate crimes, heterosexism, and violence. We insist that appropriate action be taken against those who perpetrate discrimination, violence, or vandalism. The University of Kentucky is an Affirmative Action and Equal Opportunity institution and affirms its dedication to non-discrimination on the basis or race, color, religion, gender, age, sexual orientation, domestic partner status, national origin, or disability in employment, programs, and services. Our commitment to non-discrimination and affirmation action embraces the entire university community including faculty, staff, and students.

All students are expected to conduct themselves in an appropriate and ethical manner during their UK classes and related field placements, as befitting students, future teachers, and ambassadors for the University of Kentucky. Any unethical behavior in class or during your field placements may result in failure for the course and/or expulsion from the Middle Level Teacher Education Program, determined on a case-by-case basis. Faculty will follow all university due process procedures in cases of academic or ethical misconduct. Please consult Dr. Rintamaa if you have questions regarding this requirement.

Accommodations due to disability:

If you have a documented disability that requires academic accommodations, please see me as soon as possible during scheduled office hours. In order to receive accommodations in this course, you must provide me with a Letter of Accommodation from the Disability Resource Center (Multidisciplinary Science Building, Suite 407, 257-2754, email addressDRC@uky.edu) for coordination of campus disability services available to students with disabilities.

EDC 629-Leadership Course Outline

DATE	TOPICS	READINGS	ASSIGNMENTS DUE
1-Leadership	Overview Syllabus and Assignments	Teacher Leader Information Sheet: Leading BTG Sessions	Copy of Study Guides for Chapter 1 & 2 in TSR.
1,12	Study Session:	563576713	
1:00-3:30	Preparing to Lead		
2-Leadership	Study Session: Introduction to Reading	Prior to class visit the Reading Recovery Council	Guiding questions: What is the What Works
1/19	Recovery Implementation in the	of North America's (RRCNA) web site and read	Clearinghouse? How does Reading Recovery
Technology	U.S. as a case in point of educational redesign	all materials under the top button "Reading Recovery". Hit all the hotspots and read that information as well. Now go to the top button on "Research" and read the What Works Clearinghouse information and reports about Reading Recovery. Studebaker, M. (2007). How to use the What Works Clearinghouse report. The Journal of Reading Recovery, 6(2), 41.	rate in this report? What are the implications of this report? What compelling data does this report suggest? What is the suggested implementation of Reading Recovery? What is the process for recruitment of districts and teachers for Reading Recovery? What is the role of Teacher Leader? What are the parts of a Reading Recovery program. What are two positive outcomes of Reading Recovery? How well does Reading
3 -Leadership	Study Session:	Rodgers & Rodgers, Chapter	Recovery work?
1/26	Recommendations for Coaches	10	
			
1:00-3:30 4-Leadership	Review National		Handout to be completed
-	Conference Assignment		at the National
2/2			Conference
1:00-3:30			
5-Leadership	Attend the National		
2/9	Reading Recovery Conference		
	Complete handout for follow-up discussion		

6-Leadership	Conference Debrief	38 H 1 6 M 1 4 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Conference Assignment Due
Technology			2/18-Attend TLPD in Frankfort-Legislative Visits
7-Leadership 2/23 1:00-3:30	Field Work	Visit your local site and request copies of the past 3-5 years of IDEC site reports. Bring to next week's class.	When you visit the Teacher Leader at your home site, complete the Spring Questionnaire Assignment.
			Analysis of Leading BTG with FIT Transcript Due
8-Leadership	International Data Evaluation Center and	Rodgers, A. & Fried, M. (Spring, 2009). A design for	Spring Questionnaire Assignment Due.
3/2	its role in presenting compelling data in	action: Analyzing problems	
1:00-3:30	support of Reading Recovery	implementation. The Journal of Reading Recovery. 8(2), 51-60.	
·	Top 10 Most Important Reading Recovery Statistics for Implementation Presentation	Students bring the last 3-5 years of local site reports to this class.	
	Kentucky's Statistics Presentation	This class will prepare you for the Implementation Presentation that you will make in Class on 3/29.	

9-Leadership	Study Session:	Askew, B.J., Kaye, E.,	
3/8	Prevention/Response to Intervention	Frasier, D.F., Mobasher, M., Anderson, N., & Rodriguez, Y. G. (2002). Making a case	
1:00-3:30		for prevention in education. Literacy Teaching and Learning, 6(2), 43-73. Also in S. Forbes & C. Briggs (Eds.) Research in Reading Recovery, Volume two (133- 158). Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.	
		Swenson, B., Person, T., & Reed, J. (2008). Reading Recovery: A major component of many RTI models. <i>The Journal of Reading Recovery</i> , 7(2), 53-56.	
		Jones, N., Johnson, C., Schwartz, R., & Zalud, G. (2005). Two positive outcomes of Reading Recovery: Exploring the interface between Reading Recovery and special education. The Journal of Reading Recovery, 4(3), 19-34.	
10-Leadership 3/15	Class Meetings this week will be on Thursday and Friday. See Assignments Due	Agendas with Readings or Assignments will be emailed prior to Teacher Leader Professional Development Days.	Attend Teacher Leader PD on Thursday and Friday, March 17 & 18 Dickey Hall Room 323 9:00-4:00
11-Leadership 3/22 1:00-3:30	Study Session: Research in Reading Recovery	Allington, R.L., (2005). How Much Evidence Is Enough Evidence? Journal of Reading Recovery McGee, L. M., (2006). Research on Reading Recovery: What is the Impact on Early Literacy Research? Literacy Teaching and Learning, 10:2, pp. 1-50.	Guiding Questions: What are the challenges to Reading Recovery implementation? What do the experimental research studies show about the implementation of Reading Recovery and provide answers to the challenges? How have the research studies contributed to the redesign of Reading Recovery?

12-Leadership 3/29 1:00-3:30	Implementation Presentations Lindy Shares Sample Powerpoints for Review Week	Be prepared to present 5 years of compelling data for your home site.	Bring copy of Powerpoint for me! Bring notes from School Visits for Discussion.
13-Leadership 4/5	No Classes for School Spring Breaks	ı	
14-Leadership	Lindy at NATG in Columbus	Begin Review Week Preparation	
No Class		•	
4/12		•	
15-Leadership	Review Week Preparation	Possible Make Up Classes for Snow Days	
4/19			
Technology			
16-Leadership	Review Week Preparation		
4/26			
Technology			
17-Leadership	Finals-	Review Week Presentations	
5/3			
Tuesday	·		
			-

		•			
EDC 629 Course Objectives	Associated Course Assessments	International Literacy Association Standards	COE Framework	Kentucky Teacher Standards	Common Core State Standards
Develop skills, strategies, and resources for training and supervising Reading Recovery teachers. Attend teacher in training classes and work with affiliated sites as outlined by the University of Kentucky Trainer. Write in-depth analyses of the behind the glass interactions between Teacher Leaders and teachers in training.	Write an in-depth, self- analysis of leading a behind the glass session.	Foundational Knowledge Assessment and Evaluation Professional Learning and Leadership	Reflection Learning Leading	KST 5,7	Rdg 3, 4 Wrtg 1,2,3,4 S/L 1, 2,
I. Learn the basic components of the Teacher Leader role. J. Understand issues that affect the professional development of teachers. Develop skills, strategies and resources for leading behind the glass for teachers in training.	Shadow a Teacher Leader conducting a trained teacher visit, debrief, then conduct a teacher visit and receive feedback from the Teacher Leader. Write reflections on the experience.	Foundational Knowledge Curriculum and Instruction Assessment and Evaluation Professional Learning and Leadership	Reflection Learning Leading	KST 1,3,5,7,8,9,10	Rdg 1, 3, 4 Wrtg 1,2,3,4 S/L 1, 2,
Become knowledgeable about the role of Teacher Leaders as change agents in educational settings, especially how effective Teacher Leaders bring about change in teacher performance.	Present an Implementation session using five years of compelling data collected from your site.	Foundational Knowledge Curriculum and Instruction Assessment and Evaluation Diversity Literate Environment Professional Learning and Leadership	Research Reflection Learning Leading	KST 1,3,5,7,8,9,10	Rdg 1, 3, 4 Wrtg 1,2,3,4 S & L 1, 2

2. Understand theoretical			
concepts related to the			
processes of change in			
school reform and how they			
apply to Reading Recovery.			
3. Understand the role of			
compelling data in the			
change process; know how to			
analyze data from Reading			
Recovery to problem solve			
and evaluate the			
implementation at the			
district, state and national			
levels.			
4. Articulate challenges to			
the implementation of		,	
Reading Recovery and locate			
responses to critics.			
	*		

•