To: Dan O'Hair, Dean, College of Communications and Information Studies

From: Deanna Sellnow, Director, Instructional Communication Division

Re: Course Prefix Changes for COM 184 and COM 284

Date: January 24, 2012

Attached is the paperwork for transferring COM 184 and COM 284 (intercollegiate debating courses) from the Communication Department to the Division of Instructional Communication (CIS). Since the Debate program is housed in the division, the Communication Department agreed that these courses should be offered under CIS rather than COM. CIS 184 is being revised to a 3-credit "Communicating Arguments" course and CIS 284 is remaining as the "intercollegiate debating" variable credit course.

COURSE CHANGE FORM

Complete 1a - 1f & 2a - 2c. Fill out the remainder of the form as applicable for items being changed.

1.	General Information.					
а.	Submitted by the College of:	Communications a Studies	nd Information	Today's Date: 1/2	<u>4/2012</u>	
b,	Department/Division: <u>Ins</u>	tructional Communic	ation			
c.	Is there a change in "ownersh	nip" of the course?		Y	ES NO 🗌	
} · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	If YES, what college/departm	ent will offer the cou	se instead? CIS (Ir	istructional Communic	cation)	
d.	What type of change is being	proposed? 🛛 Ma	ijor Minor¹ (į	place cursor here for minor	change definition)	Topodalhe/Seinate/Co, 7/42/09/14/45/AM.
e.	Contact Person Name: <u>Dr</u>	Jeffrey VanCleave	Email: <u>jeff.var</u> <u>edu</u>	ncleave@uky. Phone	e: <u>859-218-0355</u>	Comment: Excerpt from SR 3.3.0.G.2 Definition. A request may be considered a minor
f.	Requested Effective Date:	Semester Follow	ng Approval OR [Specific Term ² :		change if it meets one of the following criteria: a. change in number within the same hundred series*;
2.	Designation and Description	of Proposed Course.				b, editorial change in the course title or description which does not imply change in content or
a.	Current Prefix and Number:	COM 184 Pro	oosed Prefix & Numbe	r: <u>CIS 184</u>		emphasis; c. a change in prerequisite(s) which does not imply
b.	Full Title: Intercollegiate De	ebate Pro	oosed Title: <u>Comm</u>	unicating Arguments		change in content or emphasis, or which is made necessary by the elimination or significant alteration
c.	Current Transcript Title (if fu	ll title is more than 40) characters):			of the prerequisite(s); d. a cross-listing of a course under conditions set forth in SR 3.3.0.E;
c.	Proposed Transcript Title (if f	ull title is more than a	O characters):	-		e, correction of typographical errors.
d.	Current Cross-listing:	V/A OR Cur	ently ^a Cross-listed wit	h (Prefix & Number):		*for the specific purposes of the minor exception rule, the 600-799 courses are the same "hundred series," as long as the other minor change
	Proposed – ADD ³ Cross-li.	sting (Prefix & Numbe	er):			requirements are complied with. [RC 1/15/09]
ļ	Proposed – REMOVE ^{3, 4} (Cross-listing (Prefix &	Number):			
e.	Courses must be described be hours for each meeting patt		meeting patterns belo	ow. Include number o	f actual contact	
Cur	rent: 1 Lecture	Laboratory ⁵	Recitation	The state of the s	Indep.	
: } - 1				Discussion	Study	
ļ	Clinical	Colloquium	Practicum	Research	Residency	
	Seminar —	Studio	Other – Please expl	ain:		
Pro	posed: 3 Lecture	Laboratory	Recitation	Discussion	indep. Study	
} ;	Clinical	Colloquium	Practicum	Research	Residency	
	Seminar	Studio	_ Other – Please explo	in:	•	
f.	Current Grading System:	Letter (A, B, C, e	etc.) P	ass/Fail		

¹ See comment description regarding minor course change. Minor changes are sent directly from dean's office to Senate Council Chair. If Chair deems the change as "not minor," the form will be sent to appropriate academic Council for normal processing and contact person is informed.

Courses are typically made effective for the semester following approval. No course will be made effective until all approvals are received.

³ Signature of the chair of the cross-listing department is required on the Signature Routing Log.

⁴ Removing a cross-listing does not drop the other course – it merely unlinks the two courses.

S Generally, undergrad courses are developed such that one semester hr of credit represents 1 hr of classroom meeting per wk for a semester, exclusive of any lab meeting. Lab meeting generally represents at least two hrs per wk for a semester for 1 credit hour. (See 5R 5.2.1.)

COURSE CHANGE FORM

	Proposed Grading System: 🔀 Letter (A, B, C, e	etc.) Pass/Fail						
g.	Current number of credit hours: $ \underline{1}$ Proposed number of credit hours: $ \underline{3}$							
h.	Currently, is this course repeatable for additiona	YES 🔀	№ □					
	Proposed to be repeatable for additional credit?		YES 🗌	NO 🛛				
	If YES: Maximum number of credit hours:							
	If YES: Will this course allow multiple registrati	ions during the same semester?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌				
i,		ration for and participation in intercoll ed to a maximum of two credits.	egiate debating. l	May be				
	Proposed Course Description for Bulletin: and pr	ies; strategies; techniques for research esenting oral arguments for and agai and issues. Emphasis on in-class pres	nst selected conte					
j.	Current Prerequisites, if any: <u>Instructor appro</u>	oval required to enroll.						
	Proposed Prerequisites, if any:							
k.	Current Distance Learning(DL) Status: N/A	Already approved for DL*	Please Add ⁶	Please Drop				
	*If already approved for DL, the Distance Learning Form must also be submitted <u>unless</u> the department affirms (by checking this box) that the proposed changes do not affect DL delivery.							
	Current Supplementary Teaching Component, if an	y: Community-Based Experience	Service Learni	ng 🔲 Both				
	Proposed Supplementary Teaching Component:	Community-Based Experience	Service Learn	ing 🔲 Both				
3.	Currently, is this course taught off campus?		YES 🗌	ио ⊠				
	Proposed to be taught off campus?		YES 🗌	ио 🗵				
4.	Are significant changes in content/teaching obj	ectives of the course being proposed	? YES 🔀	NO 🗌				
	If YES, explain and offer brief rationale:							
	The intent is to make an undergraduate course in argumentation skills. Currently, the only argumentation courses are connected to the University of Kentucky Intercollegiate Debate Team							
5.	Course Relationship to Program(s).							
a.	Are there other depts and/or pgms that could b	e affected by the proposed change?	YES 🖂	по □				
If YES, identify the depts. and/or pgms: <u>Department of Communication</u>								
b.	b. Will modifying this course result in a new requirement for ANY program? YES NO							
	If YES ⁷ , list the program(s) here:							
6.	6. Information to be Placed on Syllabus.							
a.	Check box if If <u>changed to</u> 400G- or 500-level differentiation between undergonal changed to	el course you must send in a syllabus and graduate and graduate students by: (i) recons (ii) establishing different grading criter	quiring additional a	ssignments				

⁶ You must *also* submit the Distance Learning Form in order for the course to be considered for DL delivery.

In order to change a program, a program change form must also be submitted.

COURSE CHANGE FORM

Signature Routing Log

General Information:

Course Prefix and Number:

CIS 184

Proposal Contact Person Name:

Dr. Jeffrey

Phone: 859-218- Email:

VanCleave 0355

jeff.vancleave@uky.edu

INSTRUCTIONS:

Identify the groups or individuals reviewing the proposal; note the date of approval; offer a contact person for each entry; and obtain signature of person authorized to report approval.

Internal College Approvals and Course Cross-listing Approvals:

Reviewing Group	Date Approved	Contact Person (name/phone/email)	Signature		
Instructional	1/ /	Deanna Sellnow / 257-2886 /	0 100		
Communication	124/2012	Deanna.Sellnow@uky.edu	1 Vearadellas		
Communication	1/24/2012	Laura Stafford / 257-3622 / Laura.Stafford@uky.edu			
CIS College	1/24/2012	Dan O'Hair /· / ohair@uky.edu	Dan O'Hon		
		/ /			
		<u> </u>			

External-to-College Approvals:

Council	Date Approved	Signature	Approval of Revision ⁸
Undergraduate Council	4/10/2012	Sharon Gill	
Graduate Council			
Health Care Colleges Council			
Senate Council Approval		University Senate Approval	

Comments:								

Rev 8/09

⁸ Councils use this space to indicate approval of revisions made subsequent to that council's approval, if deemed necessary by the revising council.

CIS 184 Communicating Arguments

Instructor: Dr. Jeffrey VanCleave

Office: 107B Grehan Journalism Building

Office Hours: 12:30 to 1:30 PM and 3:30 to 5 PM on Tuesdays/Thursdays and by appointment

Phone: 859-218-0355

E-Mail: jeff.vancleave@uky.edu

Textbook: Inch, E. S., & Warnick, B. (2010). <u>Critical Thinking and Communication</u>, 6th ed.

Boston, Allyn and Bacon.

Daily reading of a major American newspaper (i.e. New York Times, Washington

Post, Los Angeles Times)

Additional materials and assignment sheets will be placed on BlackBoard

Course Objectives:

The principle purpose of this course is to prepare you to be more effective producers and consumers of public argument. The lectures and assignments in the course are designed to teach you to research, prepare, and successfully defend and argument in a public situation and critique the arguments of others in a logical and rational manner. The course will focus on the logic and structure of argumentation as well as those non-verbal and stylistic components which round-out a good argumentative presentation. While the principle focus of assignments is oral, several assignments will help students to develop skills in written argumentation as well. The course should assist you in developing an appreciation for the role argument plays in public affairs, policy development, and society's shaping of communal values. The skills developed in this course will help you in variety of other courses and ventures.

Student Learning Goals:

After completing this course, the student will be able to:

- 1. understand argumentation principles, conventions, strategies, and tactics
- 2. research evidence to support claims of fact/value and policy;
- 3. organize issues, claims, and evidence in a logical outline format
- 4. present value and policy debates using parliamentary debate formats
- 5. analyze, synthesize, and evaluate spoken and published arguments
- 6. demonstrate an ability to argue both sides of an issue with researched evidence
- 7. recognize, identify, and respond to fallacious reasoning
- 8. present cogent ideas in spontaneous argumentation contexts.

Course Policies

Attendance and Participation

You are expected to be in class and to participate fully every day so you can benefit as much as possible from this course. This means you are expected to (a) read and consider applications of the information *before* coming to class, (b) ask questions and/or make applications in small group and large group class discussion, and (c) work to facilitate classroom interaction.

In order to accomplish course goals, you need to be in class every day. If you are absent on a day when an assignment is due or an exam is given, you will be allowed to hand in or make-up that work

only if the absence is officially excused. You may be asked to provide official written documentation for absences. Excuses for university-sponsored activities must be made *prior* to such absences. No make-up work is available for in-class exercises, workshops, or exams unless approved in advance by your instructor.

Note: Students are <u>required</u> to attend class whenever any student is scheduled to speak. If you miss class on a speaking day, 15 points will be deducted from your course grade for each missed day. If you fail to complete any of the major assignments (essays or speeches), this will result in an "E" for the course.

Absences beyond two per semester will be penalized by dropping your final course grade 5% (1/2 letter grade) for each such absence. If you exceed 5 unexcused absences, you will be unable to pass this course. **Note**: Please reference the definition of excused absence in current edition of *Students Rights and Responsibilities* or on the web at http://www.uky.edu/StudentAffairs/Code/.

Students need to notify the professor of absences prior to class when possible. S.R. 5.2.4.2 defines the following as acceptable reasons for excused absences: (a) serious illness, (b) illness or death of family member, (c) University-related trips, (d) major religious holidays, and (e) other circumstances found to fit "reasonable cause for nonattendance" by the professor.

Students anticipating an absence for a major religious holiday are responsible for notifying the instructor in writing of anticipated absences due to their observance of such holidays no later than the last day in the semester to add a class. Information regarding dates of major religious holidays may be obtained through the religious liaison, Mr. Jake Karnes (859-257-2754).

Students are expected to withdraw from the class if more than 20% of the classes scheduled for the semester are missed (excused or unexcused) per university policy.

Students may be asked to verify their absences in order for them to be considered excused. Senate Rule 5.2.4.2 states that faculty have the right to request "appropriate verification" when students claim an excused absence because of illness or death in the family. Appropriate notification of absences due to university-related trips is required prior to the absence.

Students who are frequently tardy or unprepared may be marked absent for the day. Your instructor reserves the right to add quizzes to the class agenda if too many class members appear to be unprepared. So be prepared and on time. Preparation involves not only reading but also making notes on the reading so that you are prepared to discuss issues in depth.

For any emergency situation that arises, call the Communication department office (257-3622) to leave a message and e-mail your instructor as soon as you know about the situation.

Policy on Written Assignment Due Dates:

All assignments must be turned in on the assigned due date. Assignments turned in within 24 hours after the due date will be lowered one letter grade. Assignments received after that time will receive a zero.

Mid-term Grade

Mid-term grades will be posted in myUK by the deadline established in the Academic Calendar (http://www.uky.edu/Registrar/AcademicCalendar.htm)

Plagiarism

Part II of Student Rights and Responsibilities (6.3.1; online at

http://www.uky.edu/StudentAffairs/Code/part2.html) states that all academic work, written or otherwise, submitted by students to their instructors or other academic supervisors, is expected to be the result of their own thought, research, or self—expression. In cases where students feel unsure about a question of plagiarism involving their work, they are obliged to consult their instructors on the matter before submission. When students submit work purporting to be their own, but which in any way borrows ideas, organization, wording or anything else from another source without appropriate acknowledgment of the fact, the students are guilty of plagiarism.

Plagiarism includes reproducing someone else's work, whether it be published article, chapter of a book, a paper from a friend or some file, or another source, including the Internet. Plagiarism also includes the practice of employing or allowing another person to alter or revise the work which a student submits as his/her own, whoever that other person may be. Plagiarism also includes using someone else's work during an oral presentation without properly citing that work in the form of an oral footnote.

Whenever you use outside sources or information, you must carefully acknowledge exactly what, where and how you have employed them. If the words of someone else are used, you must put quotation marks around the passage in question and add an appropriate indication of its origin. Plagiarism also includes making simple changes while leaving the organization, content and phraseology intact. However, nothing in these Rules shall apply to those ideas which are so generally and freely circulated as to be a part of the public domain.

You may discuss assignments among yourselves or with me or a tutor, but when the actual work is done, it must be done by you, and you alone unless the assignment has been designed to be conducted with a partner or small group of classmates. All work submitted must be new, original work; you may not submit work you have produced for another purpose or class. Class Conduct

We will have fun this semester, and there will be a great deal of give and take in our discussions. But we will only have fun if you conduct yourself with respect for yourself and others. This means you are to 1) come to class prepared (do all reading and come prepared to discuss it; do all homework) and take pride in the work you do, 2) offer support and encouragement to your classmates, 3) listen to others carefully before offering your opinion, and 4) talk to your instructor outside of class if anything that happens during class bothers you. In order to maintain a productive work environment, silence your cell phone or pager before each class period and refrain from eating, sleeping, reading the newspaper or your personal email, talking once class is in session unless asked to do so, and entering the classroom late or leaving early without permission. Students who engage in disruptive behavior may be directed to leave the class for the remainder of the class period. See the UKY's Code of Student Conduct for further information on prohibited conduct.

Assignments:

• Balloon Debates (15% of total grade): You, and several of your classmates, will be placed in an imaginary "hot air balloon". Each of you will assume the persona of an important person in history - living or dead. The balloon is sinking and occupants must be thrown overboard one-by-one as ballast. Your job is to argue why you should survive and the other

occupants should be tossed out. If time permits, winners will meet each other in a "Balloon Off" to be held for the amazement and amusement of the class, and possibly the general public.

- Fact/Value Debates (20% of total grade): You will engage in a one-on-one debate in which you establish criteria and make arguments supporting your side on a controversial question of fact or value.
- Parliamentary Debates (25% of total grade): In the style of the time-honored Oxford Union Debates, you and another classmate will face-off in a battle of wits over a topic of policy.
- Homework and Quizzes (10% of total grade): Periodically during the course of the semester you will be given short written exercises covering topics discussed in class. These exercises are developed to help you better absorb the classroom material and are not exhaustive. Most assignments are short and can be completed in a half-hour or less. The assignments must be turned in during the next class period for credit. Also, there will be one or two quizzes during the semester. These will be announced at least one class period in advance.
- Exams (15% each of total grade): You will be given two exams during the semester. This will cover material learned in class and in your textbook. Format will consist of T/F, M/C, short answer, and essay

Balloon Debate	15%		
Value Debate	20%	100% - 90%	Α
Parliamentary Debate	25%	89% - 80%	В
Homework and Quizzes	10%	79%-70%	C
Mid Term Exam	15%	69%-60%	D
Final Exam	15%	59%<	F

All assignments must be completed in order to pass this course

Tentative Course Outline

Week 1-3 Introduction to Debate

During this section of the course, students will explore the basic concepts of debate and the foundations of argumentation. This will include discussing; What is argument? Presumption and Burden of Proof. What is Evidence?

Week 4-5 Balloon Debates

During this section of the course, students will perform in-class their balloon debates

Week 6-8 Propositions of Fact/Value and Reasoning

During this section of the course, students will examine aspects of fact/value debates and develop a further understanding of reasoning and fallacies of argument.

Week 9-10 Fact/Value Debates

During this section of the course, students will conduct in-class fact/value debate

Week 11-13 Policy/Parliamentary Debates

During this section of the course, students will examine the basis for arguing about policies, how to conduct cross-examination, and other argumentation skills.

Week 14-15 Parliamentary Debates

During this section of the course, students will conduct in-class parliamentary debates

Sample Assignment Sheet

Balloon Debates

Objective: The purpose of this assignment is to help you get your feet wet in the process of public argument in a fun forum. This assignment will challenge you to do some creative research, familiarize yourself with the library, and ask some questions about the format of debates and the way in which arguments are organized. The format of this debate is unique and resembles a panel debate-a format frequently criticized by experts as a "non debate" because of the format's impact on clash. As you work on this assignment, think about how the format helps and hurts your ability to make the arguments you need to win the debate.

Assignment: You are a character, other than yourself (select someone who has made a valuable contribution to society). You are in a balloon with 4-5 other people floating over shark-infested water. The balloon's furnace isn't working and the balloon is sinking; people have to be thrown out. You must convince us that others should be thrown out and you should remain in the balloon.

- Select a character and research what valuable contributions that person made. You may want to be the person before the contributions were made.
- Research the other people in your balloon; find out why their contributions were not as valuable as yours, and, or why they were less desirable in character.

Format of the debate:

- (1) Each of you will give a 3-4 minute speech (standing) telling us why you are valuable and should remain in the balloon. You will speak in alphabetical order by the last name of your character.
- (2) Then, each of you may speak 2-3 minutes attacking the others in your balloon; why are they not valuable; what have they done that is harmful?
- (3) Next, each of you will have 2-3 minutes to defend yourself from the attacks.
- (4) The class will then vote and one of you will be tossed out of the balloon (not physically!).
- (5) Those remaining will have 1-2 minutes for last words of accusation or defense.
- (6) The class votes and one more person is thrown out.
- (7) More last words . . . and votes continue until one person is left in the balloon.

Grading:

(1)	The first person out	100	Base Points
(2)	The second person out	95	Base Points
(3)	The third person out	90	Base Points
(4)	The fourth person out	85	Base Points
(5)	The last person remaining	80	Base Points

Note: Audience reaction is central to success in a debate. As a result, the vote of the class plays a pivotal role in the outcome of this assignment of "base points" or the original grade I add to or subtract from based on my assessment. I reserve the right to increase your grade if you do a good job and are in a tough balloon. I also reserve the right to lower your grade if you try to get thrown out, are unprepared, do not fulfill the time constraints, or do not apply the lessons already learned in class. Some of the basic skills I will be looking for include: good organization skills, sound arguments, and the use of (and citation of) evidence in support of your arguments. **Do not assume this grade to be a free A or B simply because of the class vote.**

Ideas for Characters in Balloon Debates

Walt Disney Thomas Jefferson Franklin D. Roosevelt Teddy Roosevelt Nelson Mandela Noah Webster Thomas Edison Alexander G. Bell Albert Einstein Ben Franklin Earl Hickey Leonardo Di Vinci Henry Ford Aristotle Plato Socrates

Dwight Eisenhower Dresser (Invented Aspirin)

Gandhi

Christopher Columbus

Galileo

Martin Luther King, Jr. Albert Schweitzer

Bob Geldof (Live Aid organizer)

Clara Barton Bill Cosby Jesse Owens Bob Hope Mother Theresa Madam Currie Tiger Woods

Oliver (or Wilbur) Wright

Abraham Lincoln George Washington Louis Pasteur

Péle

Barack Obama Ronald Reagan George W. Bush Bill Clinton Jimmy Carter Malcolm X Freud

Johannes Guttenberg Florence Nightengale

William Booth (Salvation Army)

Copernicus Jonas Salk

William Shakespeare

Bill Gates Enrico Fermi Winston Churchill Susan B. Anthony Oprah Winfrey Elvis Presley Jackie Robinson

Bono

Inventors of things like:

The microchip
Condoms
Plastic
Radar
Elevators
Cans
Computers
Napkins
Erasers
Television
Radio

The Compact Disk The Toaster The Microwave

Radar Detection Devices

Protease Inhibitors (AIDS drugs)