

1. General Information

1a. Submitted by the College of: FINE ARTS

Date Submitted: 4/15/2015

1b. Department/Division: Arts Administration

1c. Contact Person

Name: Rachel Shane

Email: rachel.shane@uky.edu

Phone: 859-257-7717

Responsible Faculty ID (if different from Contact)

Name:

Email:

Phone:

1d. Requested Effective Date: Specific Term/Year ¹ Fall 2015

1e. Should this course be a UK Core Course? No

2. Designation and Description of Proposed Course

2a. Will this course also be offered through Distance Learning?: No

2b. Prefix and Number: AAD 390

2c. Full Title: Programming and Event Planning

2d. Transcript Title:

2e. Cross-listing:

2f. Meeting Patterns

LECTURE: 3

2g. Grading System: Letter (A, B, C, etc.)

2h. Number of credit hours: 3

2i. Is this course repeatable for additional credit? No

If Yes: Maximum number of credit hours:

If Yes: Will this course allow multiple registrations during the same semester?

RECEIVED

APR 15 2015

OFFIGE OF THE SENATE COUNCIL.



New Course Report

- 2j. Course Description for Bulletin: Arts programming and events are at the core of all arts and cultural organizations. AAD 390: Programming and Event Planning will prepare students for planning and implementing arts programs and events by considering organizational mission and vision; planning processes and logistics; collaboration and individual responsibilities; marketing and fundraising strategies, budget management; and evaluation. Students will be introduced to relevant programming theory and research methodologies for planning and evaluating arts programs.
- 2k. Prerequisites, if any: AAD 310 and AAD 320, or consent of instructor.
- 21. Supplementary Teaching Component:
- 3. Will this course taught off campus? No If YES, enter the off campus address:
- 4. Frequency of Course Offering: Summer,

Will the course be offered every year?: Yes

If No, explain:

- 5. Are facilities and personnel necessary for the proposed new course available?: Yes
 If No, explain:
- 6. What enrollment (per section per semester) may reasonably be expected?: 25
- 7. Anticipated Student Demand

Will this course serve students primarily within the degree program?: Yes

Will it be of interest to a significant number of students outside the degree pgm?: No

If Yes, explain:

8. Check the category most applicable to this course: Traditional – Offered in Corresponding Departments at Universities Elsewhere,

If No, explain:

- 9. Course Relationship to Program(s).
 - a. Is this course part of a proposed new program?: No

If YES, name the proposed new program:

b. Will this course be a new requirement for ANY program?: Yes

If YES, list affected programs: BA in Arts Administration

- 10. Information to be Placed on Syllabus.
 - a. Is the course 400G or 500?: No
- b. The syllabus, including course description, student learning outcomes, and grading policies (and 400G-/500-level grading differentiation if applicable, from **10.a** above) are attached: Yes



New Course Report

Distance Learning Form

Instructor Name:

Instructor Email:

Internet/Web-based: No

Interactive Video: No

Hybrid: No

- 1. How does this course provide for timely and appropriate interaction between students and faculty and among students? Does the course syllabus conform to University Senate Syllabus Guidelines, specifically the Distance Learning Considerations?
- 2. How do you ensure that the experience for a DL student is comparable to that of a classroom-based student's experience? Aspects to explore: textbooks, course goals, assessment of student learning outcomes, etc.
- 3. How is the integrity of student work ensured? Please speak to aspects such as password-protected course portals, proctors for exams at interactive video sites; academic offense policy; etc.
- 4.Will offering this course via DL result in at least 25% or at least 50% (based on total credit hours required for completion) of a degree program being offered via any form of DL, as defined above?

If yes, which percentage, and which program(s)?

- 5. How are students taking the course via DL assured of equivalent access to student services, similar to that of a student taking the class in a traditional classroom setting?
- 6. How do course requirements ensure that students make appropriate use of learning resources?
- 7.Please explain specifically how access is provided to laboratories, facilities, and equipment appropriate to the course or program.
- 8. How are students informed of procedures for resolving technical complaints? Does the syllabus list the entities available to offer technical help with the delivery and/or receipt of the course, such as the Information Technology Customer Service Center (http://www.uky.edu/UKIT/)?
- 9. Will the course be delivered via services available through the Distance Learning Program (DLP) and the Academic Technology Group (ATL)? NO

If no, explain how student enrolled in DL courses are able to use the technology employed, as well as how students will be provided with assistance in using said technology.

- 10.Does the syllabus contain all the required components? NO
- 11.I, the instructor of record, have read and understood all of the university-level statements regarding DL.

Instructor Name:

SIGNATURE|ABRZY2|Anna W Brzyski|AAD 390 NEW College Review|20141216 SIGNATURE|JMETT2|Joanie Ett-Mims|AAD 390 NEW Undergrad Council Review|20150415

New Course Form

Open in full window to print or sav	<u>e</u>				Gene
Attachments:					
Browse	Upload Fi	ie			
	Attachment				
Delete 4062 AAD 390 Rationale for Delete 4869 AAD 390 Programmi	or New Course.docx	diahus 4			
	i Last	7.114.00.11.			
	- (<u></u>)				
		(*denotes requir	red fields)		
1. General Information	parameter American (ANN)				,
a. * Submitted by the College		<u>[:</u>	Submission Dat	le: :4/15/2015	:
b. * Department/Division: A	rts Administration	×			
c. * Contact Person Name:	R	achel Shane	Email: rachel.shane(@uky.edu Phone:85	9-257-7717
* Responsible Faculty ID	(if different from Contact)		Email:	Phone:	
d. * Requested Effective Dat	a. 🗥 Samostar following ar	provet OR @ Specific	: Term/Year ¹ Fall 2	015	
e. Requested Enective Date	e. Ocenicator reacting of	provaz orr s opesina	,	,	
Should this course be a U	K Core Course? 🔘 Yes 🍥	No			
If YES, check the areas t	hat apply:				
🖺 Inquiry - Arts & Creat	ivity 🖺 Compos	ition & Communication	ıs - II		
🖺 Inquiry - Humanities	🖺 Quantita	live Foundations			
Inquiry - Nat/Math/Ph	ys Sci 🖺 Statistic	al Inferential Reasoning	g ,		
nquiry - Social Scien	ces 🗆 U.S. Citi	zenship, Community, (Diversity		
Composition & Com	munications - I 🖺 Global D)ynamics			
2. Designation and Description of	of Proposed Course				
a. * Will this course also be		arning? ① Yes ⁴ @ No	o		
	AAD 390				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. '	
c. * Full Title: Programming		:	i i	 : .	
 d. Transcript Title (if full title e. To be Cross-Listed ² with 		1			
					ar acch maating nattor
f. * Courses must be descri	bed by at least one of the m		Recitation		Discussion
3 Lecture Indep. Study	Clinica		Colloquiu		Practicum
Research	Reside		Seminar		Studio
Other	If Other, Plea	se explain:	:		
g, * Identify a grading syster	n:				
Letter (A, B, C, etc.)					
① Pass/Fail	de Alexandrel abudanta y	a lotter gred	۵۱		
	ide (Mou-medicai sindenis M	as receive a telles grau-	c)		
Medicine Numeric GraGraduate School Grad	le Scale				
Medicine Numeric Gra	le Scale				

AAD 390: Programming and Event Planning Rationale for New Course

The primary function of an arts administrator is to connect art and artists to audiences. This is accomplished through a variety of administrative activities including marketing and fundraising. Yet, the most direct connection between art and audiences is through programming and events.

Currently, the BA in Arts Administration curriculum requires students to take courses on important functional areas of arts organizations (financial management, marketing, fundraising) and it requires students to minor in an arts discipline in order to understand the creative process and environments for artistic production and experience. Missing from the curriculum, however, is a course that teaches students how to disseminate creative product.

AAD 390: Programming and Event Planning will connect for students what they learn in their minors about the creative process and how to ultimately bring art to audiences. The course will examine the types of programs and events arts organizations produce (everything from season schedules to exhibitions to educational programs to special events); the ins-and-outs of planning (e.g. who is involved, logistics, contract negotiations, food and beverage, security, etc.); and will end with a discussion of evaluation and how programs can be assessed for success.

This will be a new required course in the BA in Arts Administration.

	j. ¹	* Course Description for Bulletin:
	:	Arts programming and events are at the core of all arts and cultural organizations. AAD 390: Programming and Event Planning will prepare students for planning and implementing arts programs and events by considering organizational mission and vision; planning processes and logistics; collaboration and individual responsibilities; marketing and fundraising strategies, budget management; and evaluation. Students will be introduced to relevant programming theory and research methodologies for planning and evaluating arts programs.
		Prerequisites, if any: AAD 310 and AAD 320, or consent of instructor.
	ı.	Supplementary teaching component, if any: ② Community-Based Experience ③ Service Learning ⑤ Both
		this course be taught off campus? ① Yes @ No , enter the off campus address:
		ency of Course Offering.
٠.		
		Course will be direct (dream and depty).
		* Will the course be offered every year?
		If No, explain:
.		facilities and personnel necessary for the proposed new course available? ® Yes 🖰 No
	lf No,	explain:
		•
		LI DE LA CONTRACTOR DE
		it enrollment (per section per semester) may reasonably be expected? 25
۲.		ipated Student Demand.
	a,	* Will this course serve students primarily within the degree program? Yes No
	b.	* Will it be of interest to a significant number of students outside the degree pgm? ① Yes No
		If YES, explain:
3.	* Che	ck the category most applicable to this course:
	□Re	aditional – Offered in Corresponding Departments at Universities Elsewhere elatively New – Now Being Widely Established of Yet Found in Many (or Any) Other Universities
9.		se Relationship to Program(s).
••		* Is this course part of a proposed new program?
	ч.	If YES, name the proposed new program:
	b,	* Will this course be a new requirement ⁵ for ANY program?
		If YES ⁵ , list affected programs::
		BA in Arts Administration
_	la e	water to be Discard on Sullabus
Ů.		mation to be Placed on Syllabus.
		* is the course 400G or 500? ① Yes ® No If YES, the differentiation for undergraduate and graduate students must be included in the information required in 10,b. You must include: (i) identical assignments by the graduate students; and/or (ii) establishment of different grading criteria in the course for graduate students. (See SR
	b.	The syllabus, including course description, student learning outcomes, and grading policies (and 400G-/500-level grading differentiation if app
		10 a above) are attached.

Din general, undergraduate courses are developed on the principle that one semestar hour of credit represents one hour of classroom meeting per week for a semestar, exclusive of any laboratory meeting. Laboratory meeting, generally, re too hours per week for a semestar for one tradit hour, (from SR 5.2.1)

Who must also submit the Distance Learning Form in order for the proposed course to be considered for DL delivery.

In order to change a program, a program change form must also be submitted.

Rev 8/09

AAD 390: Programming and Event Planning Course Syllabus

Course and Instructor Information

Credit Hours:

3

Spring 2016

Classroom:

Term:

Fine Arts Building,

Room 106

Class Hours:

T/TH 11—12:15

Phone:

Office:

Instructor:

Office Hours:

Email:

Rachel Shane, Ph.D. 111 Fine Arts

Mondays, 11am-12pm Thursdays, 2pm-3pm

859. 257.7717

rachel.shane@uky.edu

Schedule an appointment:



Course Description

Arts programming and events are at the core of all arts and cultural organizations. AAD 390: Programming and Event Planning will prepare students for planning and implementing arts programs and events by considering organizational mission and vision; planning processes and logistics; collaboration and individual responsibilities; marketing and fundraising strategies, budget management; and evaluation. Students will be introduced to relevant programming theory and research methodologies for planning and evaluating arts programs.

Prerequisites

AAD 310 and AAD 320, or consent of instructor.

Student Learning Outcomes

Upon completing this course, students will be able to:

- Articulate how mission, values, and vision of an arts organization applies to its programming
- Demonstrate the structural aspects of program planning including design, operations, and staffing
- Describe the role of budget management and the financial process in program planning
- Utilize marketing strategies in program and event promotions
- Enhance leadership and organizational skills by working collaboratively on the program planning process
- Develop evaluation strategies to assess effectiveness and efficiency of programs and events
- Enhance written and oral communication skills

Required Texts

The following texts are required reading for the course:

- Carpenter, Gaylene and Doug Blandy. Arts and Cultural Programming. Human Kinetics. March 2008.
- Kilkenny, Shannon. The Complete Guide to Successful Event Planning. Atlantic Publishing Group.
- Links to additional readings are in your syllabus and online in the Learning Management System

Course Schedule

The following schedule outlines the course topics, readings and assignments due for each class.

Dates	Торіс	Assignments Due
Jan. 14	Introduction and Expectations	
Jan. 19	Arts Programming: What do arts organizations do exactly?	
Jan. 21	Arts Programming: Planning a Performing Arts Season	Readings Due: Kaiser, Michael and Brett Egan. <i>The Cycle:</i> Planning for Success in the Arts. DeVos
		Institute of Arts Management, 2011.
Jan. 26	Arts Programming: Planning a	Readings Due:
	Performing Arts Season	 Program Planning in the Arts. 2014.
		Assignment Due:
		☐ Organizational Profile
Feb. 28	Arts Programming: Planning a	Readings Due:
	Performing Arts Season	☐ Kendt, Rob. <u>Bill Rauch's Oregon Trail.</u> Theatre
		Communications Group. October 2006.
		☐ Alsop, Marion. <u>Music Director</u> . Baltimore
		Symphony Orchestra.
Feb. 2	Arts Programming: Planning a	Readings Due:
	Visual Arts Exhibition Schedule	☐ The Making of Exhibitions: Purpose, Structure,
	·	Roles and Process. Smithsonian Institution. 2002.
r.L.	Arts Programming: Planning a	Readings Due;
Feb. 4	Visual Arts Exhibition Schedule	TBA
Feb. 9	Arts Programming: Planning a	Readings Due:
reu. 3	Visual Arts Exhibition Schedule	□ TBA
Feb. 12	Arts Programming: Educational	Readings Due:
1 CM:	Programs	□ TBA
Feb. 16	Arts Programming: Educational	Readings Due:
	Programs	 Explore the website <u>ArtsEdge</u>. Bring in a
*		lesson, idea or program that resonates with
		you to share with the class.
		Assignment Due:
		 Season Schedule / Exhibition Calendar with
		Descriptions
Feb. 18	Arts Programming: Special Events	Readings Due:
		How to Plan an Event. Wild Apricot.

Feb. 23	Arts Programming: How to Plan for Controversial Programs	Readings Due: □ TBA
Feb. 25	Event Management: The Anatomy of an Event	Assignment Due: Educational Programs Plan Readings Due: Kilkenny, Shannon. The Complete Guide to Successful Event Planning. Atlantic Publishing Group. 2006. Pages 29-40.
Mar. 2	Event Management: Defining Your	Readings Due:
	Vision	Kilkenny, Shannon. The Complete Guide to Successful Event Planning. Atlantic Publishing Group. 2006. Pages 41-46.
		Assignment Due:
		☐ Special Events Plan
Mar. 3	Event Management: Planning Processes	Readings Due:
		Assignment Due:
Mar. 8	Event Management: Resource	Readings Due:
	Management	 Kilkenny, Shannon. The Complete Guide to Successful Event Planning. Atlantic Publishing Group. 2006. Pages 61-74.
Mar. 10	Event Management: Logistics	Readings Due: Kilkenny, Shannon. The Complete Guide to Successful Event Planning. Atlantic Publishing Group. 2006. Pages 99-126.
Mar. 15	Spring Break	
Mar. 17	Spring Break	Poodings Duoi
Mar. 22	Event Management: Contracts and Insurance	Readings Due: Kilkenny, Shannon. The Complete Guide to Successful Event Planning. Atlantic Publishing Group. 2006. Pages 183-196.

Mar. 24	Event Management: Creating the	Readings Due:
	Atmosphere	 Kilkenny, Shannon. The Complete Guide to Successful Event Planning. Atlantic Publishing Group. 2006. Pages 127-138.
		Assignment Due:
		□ Event Critique
Mar. 29	Event Management: Food and	Readings Due:
	Beverage	 Kilkenny, Shannon. The Complete Guide to Successful Event Planning. Atlantic Publishing Group. 2006. Pages 139-152.
Mar. 31	Event Management: Marketing	Readings Due:
.,,	Considerations	 Kilkenny, Shannon. The Complete Guide to Successful Event Planning. Atlantic Publishing Group. 2006. Pages 153-170.
Apr. 5	Event Management: Security	Readings Due:
	Issues	 Kilkenny, Shannon. The Complete Guide to
		Successful Event Planning. Atlantic Publishing
		Group. 2006. Pages 197-204.
Apr. 7	Event Management: After the Show/Exhibition/Event	Readings Due:
		Assignment Due: Full Program and Events Timeline with Internal Deadlines and Marketing and Fundraising Communications
Apr. 12	Evaluation	Readings Due:
, 1,211		 A Framework for Evaluation. Community Toolbox. Chapter 36, Sections 1-2.
Apr. 14	Evaluation	Readings Due: \[\textsize \text{A Framework for Evaluation}. \text{ Community} \]
		Toolbox. Chapter 36, Sections 3-4.
Apr. 19	Evaluation	Readings Due:
·		☐ A Framework for Evaluation. Community Toolbox. Chapter 36, Sections 5-6.
		Assignment Due:
and the second of the second o		□ Full Event Plan
Apr. 21	Presentation Workgroups	Readings Due: TBA
Apr. 26	Board Presentations / Group A	

Apr. 28 Board Presentations / Group B

Finals Week Board Presentations / Group C

Course Policies

Attendance

Attendance and promptness for the course is mandatory. Unexcused absences will impact a student's attendance grade (5% of final grade) according to the following criteria:

Number of Unexcused Absences	Grade
0-1	100
2	90
3	80
. 4	70
5	60
6	50
7	0

Students are expected to withdraw from the class if more than 20% of the classes scheduled for the semester are missed (excused or unexcused) per university policy.

Arriving to class on time and being prepared to begin on time is expected. Arriving to class late (after role has been taken) is equivalent to an absence.

Excused Absences

Students need to notify the professor of absences prior to class when possible. S.R. 5.2.4.2 defines the following as acceptable reasons for excused absences: (a) serious illness, (b) illness or death of family member, (c) University-related trips, (d) major religious holidays, and (e) other circumstances found to fit "reasonable cause for nonattendance" by the professor.

Students anticipating an absence for a major religious holiday are responsible for notifying the instructor in writing of anticipated absences due to their observance of such holidays no later than the last day in the semester to add a class. Information regarding dates of major religious holidays may be obtained through the religious liaison, Mr. Jake Karnes (859-257-2754).

Students are expected to withdraw from the class if more than 20% of the classes scheduled for the semester are missed (excused or unexcused) per university policy.

Verification of Absences

Students may be asked to verify their absences in order for them to be considered excused. Senate Rule 5.2.4.2 states that faculty have the right to request "appropriate verification" when students claim an excused absence because of illness or death in the family. Appropriate notification of

absences due to university-related trips is required prior to the absence.

Assignment Submissions

Assignments should be submitted via Learning Management System under the Assignment Submissions tab. All assignments must be submitted **before the start of class on the due date** in order for it to be considered on time.

Late Submissions

Assignments that are submitted anytime after class starts on the due date are considered late. There is a 10-point deduction for each day an assignment is late. Assignments that are seven or more days late will receive a grade of "0."

Number of Days Late	Late Penalty	Highest Grade Possible
1	-10	90%
2	-20	80%
3	-30	70%
4	-40	60%
-5	-50	50%
6	-60	40%
7 or more days late	0	0%

Students must contact the instructor within one full week after an **excused absence** regarding the makeup of missed work.

There are no late grades for tests or quizzes. If a student misses a test or quiz (except for a qualifying excused absence), he or she will receive a grade of "0" for the test/quiz.

Grading
Each student's overall course grade will be computed according to the following breakdown:

Assignment	Weight
Attendance	5
Participation	5
Event Critique (individual)	10
Organizational Profile (individual)	10
Season Schedule / Exhibition Calendar with	10
Descriptions (team)	
Educational Programs Schedule (team)	5
Special Events Schedule (team)	5
Full Program and Events Timeline with	15
Internal Deadlines and Marketing and	
Fundraising Communications (team)	
Full Event Plan (individual)	25

Board Presentation (team)	10
TOTAL	100%

Student grades, including midterm grades, may be viewed at anytime within the learning management system. Mid-term grades will be posted in myUK by the deadline established in the Academic Calendar: http://www.uky.edu/Registrar/AcademicCalendar.htm

Grading Scale

Letter Grade: Explanation: Explanation: Billing Characterization: Explanation: Explanation: Billing Billing Characterization: Explanation: Billing Characterization: Explanation: Billing Explanation: Explanation: Billing Explanation: Fange: Foor Explanation: Foor Explanat		
The student's work is of outstanding quality according to the criteria established for evaluation. The work is professional, executed at the highest level, and is fully finished while demonstrating a full understanding and command of Web design concepts. The student regularly engages in discussions by bringing ideas and questions to the table, as well as adding to the established conversation. **Range:** 90 to 100 percent** **Letter Grade:** Good **Explanation:** Good **Explanation:** The student's work is of above average quality according to evaluation criteria. The work is professional, executed at an above average level, and is fully finished while demonstrating knowledge and a good understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in discussions by adding thoughtful commentary to the established conversation. **Range:** 80 to 89 percent** **Letter Grade:** C **Characterization:** Average **Explanation:** The student's work is of satisfactory or adequate quality according to evaluation criteria. The work meets the requirements, but demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in most discussions, but not adding new or different commentary to the established conversation. **Explanation:** The student's work barely meets requirements, demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation. **Range:** Good** E **Characterization:** E **Characterization:** E **Characterization:** E **Falling **Explanation:** The student's work barely meets requirements, demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation. **Explanation:** E **Letter Grade:** E **Characterization:** E **Falling **Explanation:** The student's work barely meets requirements, d	Letter Grade:	Α
at the highest level, and is fully finished while demonstrating a full understanding and command of Web design concepts. The student regularly engages in discussions by bringing ideas and questions to the table, as well as adding to the established conversation. Range: 90 to 100 percent Letter Grade: B Characterization: Good Explanation: The student's work is of above average quality according to evaluation criteria. The work is professional, executed at an above average level, and is fully finished while demonstrating knowledge and a good understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in discussions by adding thoughtful commentary to the established conversation. Range: 80 to 89 percent Letter Grade: C Characterization: Average Explanation: The student's work is of satisfactory or adequate quality according to evaluation criteria. The work meets the requirements, but demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in most discussions, but not adding new or different commentary to the established conversation. Poor Explanation: The student's work barely meets requirements, demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: 60 to 69 percent Letter Grade: E Characterization: F Explanation: The student's work barely meets requirements, demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation. Final in the student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.	Characterization:	Excellent
Letter Grade: Characterization: Explanation: Explanation: Bood The student's work is of above average quality according to evaluation criteria. The work is professional, executed at an above average level, and is fully finished while demonstrating knowledge and a good understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in discussions by adding thoughtful commentary to the established conversation. Range: Explanation: Average Explanation: The student's work is of satisfactory or adequate quality according to evaluation criteria. The work meets the requirements, but demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in most discussions, but not adding new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: Characterization: Explanation: Families The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.	Explanation:	at the highest level, and is fully finished while demonstrating a full understanding and command of Web design concepts. The student regularly engages in discussions by bringing ideas and questions to the table, as well as adding to the established
Characterization: Explanation: The student's work is of above average quality according to evaluation criteria. The work is professional, executed at an above average level, and is fully finished while demonstrating knowledge and a good understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in discussions by adding thoughtful commentary to the established conversation. Range: 80 to 89 percent	Range:	90 to 100 percent
Characterization: Explanation: The student's work is of above average quality according to evaluation criteria. The work is professional, executed at an above average level, and is fully finished while demonstrating knowledge and a good understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in discussions by adding thoughtful commentary to the established conversation. Range: 80 to 89 percent		
Explanation: The student's work is of above average quality according to evaluation criteria. The work is professional, executed at an above average level, and is fully finished while demonstrating knowledge and a good understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in discussions by adding thoughtful commentary to the established conversation. Range: 80 to 89 percent Letter Grade: C Characterization: Average Explanation: The student's work is of satisfactory or adequate quality according to evaluation criteria. The work meets the requirements, but demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in most discussions, but not adding new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: 70 to 79 percent Letter Grade: D Characterization: Poor Explanation: The student's work barely meets requirements, demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: 60 to 69 percent Letter Grade: E Characterization: Failing The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.	Letter Grade:	В
average level, and is fully finished while demonstrating knowledge and a good understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in discussions by adding thoughtful commentary to the established conversation. Range: 80 to 89 percent Letter Grade: C Characterization: Average Explanation: The student's work is of satisfactory or adequate quality according to evaluation criteria. The work meets the requirements, but demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in most discussions, but not adding new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: 70 to 79 percent Letter Grade: D Characterization: Poor Explanation: The student's work barely meets requirements, demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: 60 to 69 percent Letter Grade: E Characterization: Falling Explanation: The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.	Characterization:	Good
Letter Grade: Characterization: Explanation: The student's work is of satisfactory or adequate quality according to evaluation criteria. The work meets the requirements, but demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in most discussions, but not adding new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: To to 79 percent Letter Grade: Explanation: The student's work barely meets requirements, demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: Letter Grade: Letter Grade: Explanation: Failing Explanation: The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.	Explanation:	average level, and is fully finished while demonstrating knowledge and a good understanding of Web design concepts. The student
Characterization: Average Explanation: The student's work is of satisfactory or adequate quality according to evaluation criteria. The work meets the requirements, but demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in most discussions, but not adding new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: 70 to 79 percent Letter Grade: D Characterization: Poor Explanation: The student's work barely meets requirements, demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: 60 to 69 percent Letter Grade: E Characterization: Failing Explanation: The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.	Range:	80 to 89 percent
Characterization: Average Explanation: The student's work is of satisfactory or adequate quality according to evaluation criteria. The work meets the requirements, but demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in most discussions, but not adding new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: 70 to 79 percent Letter Grade: D Characterization: Poor Explanation: The student's work barely meets requirements, demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: 60 to 69 percent Letter Grade: E Characterization: Failing Explanation: The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.		
Explanation: The student's work is of satisfactory or adequate quality according to evaluation criteria. The work meets the requirements, but demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in most discussions, but not adding new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: D Characterization: Explanation: The student's work barely meets requirements, demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: Etter Grade: Letter Grade: E Characterization: Failing Explanation: The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.	Letter Grade:	C
demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in most discussions, but not adding new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: 70 to 79 percent Letter Grade: D Characterization: Poor Explanation: The student's work barely meets requirements, demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: 60 to 69 percent Letter Grade: E Characterization: Failing Explanation: The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.	Characterization:	Average
Letter Grade: D Characterization: Poor Explanation: The student's work barely meets requirements, demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: 60 to 69 percent Letter Grade: E Characterization: Failing Explanation: The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.	Explanation:	demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in most discussions, but not adding
Characterization: Poor Explanation: The student's work barely meets requirements, demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: 60 to 69 percent Letter Grade: E Characterization: Falling Explanation: The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.	Range:	70 to 79 percent
Characterization: Poor Explanation: The student's work barely meets requirements, demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: 60 to 69 percent Letter Grade: E Characterization: Falling Explanation: The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.		
Explanation: The student's work barely meets requirements, demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: 60 to 69 percent Letter Grade: E Characterization: Failing Explanation: The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.	Letter Grade:	D
student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation. Range: 60 to 69 percent Letter Grade: E Characterization: Failing Explanation: The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.	Characterization:	Poor
Letter Grade: E Characterization: Failing Explanation: The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.	Explanation:	The student's work barely meets requirements, demonstrates little effort, skill, or understanding of Web design concepts. The student engages in some discussions, but does not add anything new or different commentary to the established conversation.
Characterization: Failing Explanation: The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.	Range:	60 to 69 percent
Characterization: Failing Explanation: The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.		
Explanation: The student does not complete the work and does not engage in discussions. The work is clearly of unacceptable quality according to the evaluation criteria.	Letter Grade:	E
to the evaluation criteria.	Characterization:	Failing
Range: 0 to 59 percent	Explanation:	
	Range:	0 to 59 percent

Assignment Guidelines

Guidelines for each assignment will be distributed in class. These guidelines can also be found in Learning Management System under the Assignment Guidelines tab.

Assessment Criteria

The assessment criteria for each assignment can be found in the form of a rubric in Learning Management System under the Course Content tab. Students are encouraged to review and utilize the grading rubric to guide their completion of the assignments.

Academic Integrity

Per university policy, students shall not plagiarize, cheat, or falsify or misuse academic records. Students are expected to adhere to University policy on cheating and plagiarism in all courses. The minimum penalty for a first offense is a zero on the assignment on which the offense occurred. If the offense is considered severe or the student has other academic offenses on their record, more serious penalties, up to suspension from the university may be imposed.

Plagiarism and cheating are serious breaches of academic conduct. Each student is advised to become familiar with the various forms of academic dishonesty as explained in the Code of Student Rights and Responsibilities. Complete information can be found at the following website:

http://www.uky.edu/Ombud. A plea of ignorance is not acceptable as a defense against the charge of academic dishonesty. It is important that you review this information as all ideas borrowed from others need to be properly credited.

Part II of Student Rights and Responsibilities (available online

http://www.uky.edu/StudentAffairs/Code/part2.html) states that all academic work, written or otherwise, submitted by students to their instructors or other academic supervisors, is expected to be the result of their own thought, research, or self-expression. In cases where students feel unsure about the question of plagiarism involving their own work, they are obliged to consult their instructors on the matter before submission.

When students submit work purporting to be their own, but which in any way borrows ideas, organization, wording or anything else from another source without appropriate acknowledgement of the fact, the students are guilty of plagiarism. Plagiarism includes reproducing someone else's work, whether it be a published article, chapter of a book, a paper from a friend or some file, or something similar to this. Plagiarism also includes the practice of employing or allowing another person to alter or revise the work which a student submits as his/her own, whoever that other person may be.

Students may discuss assignments among themselves or with an instructor or tutor, but when the actual work is done, it must be done by the student, and the student alone. When a student's assignment involves research in outside sources of information, the student must carefully acknowledge exactly what, where and how he/she employed them. If the words of someone else are used, the student must put quotation marks around the passage in question and add an appropriate indication of its origin. Making simple changes while leaving the organization, content and phraseology intact is plagiaristic. However, nothing in these Rules shall apply to those ideas which are so generally and freely circulated as to be a part of the public domain (Section 6.3.1).

Please note: Any assignment you turn in may be submitted to an electronic database to check for plagiarism.

Classroom Behavior, Decorum and Civility

Free discussion, inquiry, and expression are encouraged in this class. Classroom behavior that interferes with either (a) the instructor's ability to conduct the class or (b) the ability of students to benefit from

the instruction is not acceptable. Examples may include routinely entering class late or departing early; not silencing of cell phones and/or texting in class; repeatedly talking in class without being recognized; talking while others are speaking; or disparaging another person's opinion.

Accommodations

If you have a documented disability that requires academic accommodations, please see me as soon as possible during scheduled office hours. In order to receive accommodations in this course, you must provide me with a Letter of Accommodation from the Disability Resource Center (Room 2, Alumni Gym, 257-2754, email address: jkarnes@email.uky.edu) for coordination of campus disability services available to students with disabilities.