RECEIVED

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY APPLICATION FOR CHANGE IN EXISTING COURSE: MAJOR & MINORIAN 2 9 2007

1.	Sub	mitted by College of Fine Arts	Date	12-28-06 OFFICE OF THE		
	Dep	artment/Division offering course Art				
2.	Char (a)	nges proposed: Present prefix & number A-H 339 Proposed prefix & number	A-F	1 339		
	(b)	(b) Present Title TOPICS IN EUROPEAN ART 1700-1840				
	New Title Studies in Art 1700-1840 . Subtitle Required					
	(c) If course title is changed and exceeds 24 characters (Including spaces), include a sensible title (not to exceed 24 characters) for use on transcripts:					
	(d)	Present credits: 3 Proposed credits:	_3			
	(e)	Current lecture: laboratory ratio Proposed:				
	(f)	Effective Date of Change: (Semester & Year) Fall 2006	_			
3.	To be Cross-listed as:					
4.	Prefix and Number Signature: Department Chair Proposed change in Bulletin description:			eparument Chair		
	(a) Present description (including prerequisite(s): Study of the historical, aesthetic and philosophical contexts of painting and sculpture produced in Europe between 1700 and 1840. A-H 106 recommended					
	(b) New description: Study of specific developments, problems, and issues pertaining to art, art practice, and art theory between 1700 and 1840. May be repeated under a different subtitle to a maximum of six credits.					
	(c)	Prerequisite(s) for course as changed: A-H 105 or A-H 106 recommended		and the second s		
5.	What has prompted this proposal? Curricular shift to topic based courses. Need to create potential for dealing with non-European material.					
6.	If there are to be significant changes in the content or teaching objectives of this course, indicate changes: May now include non-European material.					
7.	What other departments could be affected by the proposed change? None					
8.		is course applicable to the requirements for at least one degree or certificate at the rersity of Kentucky?		x Yes 🗌 No		
9.		changing this course change the degree requirements in one or more programs?* s, please attach an explanation of the change.*		Yes x No		
10.	Is thi	is course currently included in the University Studies Program? s, please attach correspondence indicating concurrence of the University Studies Com	mittee	Yes x No		
11.		e course is a 100-200 level course, please submit evidence (e.g., correspondence) that the Co	ommui	nity College System has		
*NIO		consulted.	modif	ications are proposed.		

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY APPLICATION FOR CHANGE IN EXISTING COURSE: MAJOR & MINOR

12.	If the course is 400G or 500 level, include syllabi or course statement showing differentiation for undergraduate and graduate students in assignments, grading criteria, and grading scales. Check here if 400G-500.					
12.	Is this a minor change?	Yes No X				
	(NOTE: See the description on this form of what constitutes a minor change. Minor changes are sent directly from the Dean of the College to the Chair of the Senate Council. If the latter deems the change not to be minor, it will be sent to the appropriate Council for normal processing.)					
13.	3. Within the Department, who should be consulted for further information on the proposed course change?					
	Name: Anna Brzyski	Phone Extension: 859 388 9899				
Signa	atures of Approval:					
_	Bank Order	4/17/66				
	Department Chair	Date				
	Dean of the College	Date				
		Date of Notice to the Faculty				
		Date of Notice to the ractify $\frac{1-1}{6}-0$				
	**Undergraduate Council	Date				
	**Graduate Council	Date				
	**Academic Council for the Medical Center	Date				
	*** Constitution of the Co	Date of Notice to University Senate				
	**Senate Council	Date of Notice to Chronisty Services				
**If	applicable, as provided by the Rules of the University Senate.					
ACTION OTHER THAN APPROVAL						
·						

The Minor Change route for courses is provided as a mechanism to make changes in existing courses and is limited to one or more of the following:

- a. change in number within the same hundred series;
- b. editorial change in description which does not imply change in content or emphasis;
- c. editorial change in title which does not imply change in content or emphasis;
- d. change in prerequisite which does not imply change in content or emphasis;
- e. cross-listing of courses under conditions set forth in item 3.0;
- f. correction of typographical errors. [University Senate Rules, Section III 3.1]

Sample Syllabus

AH 339 Studies in Art 1700-1840: Art and Enlightenment

Course Description

This course examines the impact of Enlightenment on the 18th century European artworld. In particular, it investigates the role of newly formed fields of Aesthetics and Art History in development of the modern concepts of "Art" and of "Art's History." It will explore how specific changes in art practice and art theory affected production, understanding, interpretation and evaluation of contemporary art. It also examines development and function of the various artworld institutions (academies, art schools, exhibition venues, museums, etc.), and their influence on production and perception of art past, present and future.

Course Objectives

By the end of the semester students enrolled in the course are expected to be able to:

- 1. identify and discuss key issues, ideas, and structures that impacted production, understanding, interpretation and evaluation of art in the 18th century
- 2. discuss geographic and regional differences in the 18th century European artworld
- 3. demonstrate commend of appropriate vocabulary
- 4. demonstrate ability to read and discuss primary texts
- 5. demonstrate ability to produce a critical essay

Course Materials

Charles Harrison, Paul Wood and Jason Gaiger. Art in Theory 1648-1815. An Anthology of Changing Ideas. Blackwell 2000.

William St. Clair, Lord Elgin and the Marbles. New York: Oxford University Press, 1998.

Readings CD – on reserve at the Art Library, audio-visual media. Library use only.

Students' Responsibilities

- 1. Attendance: Is mandatory and necessary to pass the course, although it will not be monitored.
- 2. Assignments: A critical paper (10 pages) and a final exam.
- 3. Workbook: The work book should demonstrate your active engagement with the course material. It should contain class and reading notes, comments, images, and any other materials that you may appropriate. The workbook should be in a notebook format. Use of other formats must be approved by the instructor. The workbook grade will be based on the depth and quality of the classroom and reading notes (50% on classroom notes, 50% on reading notes). The workbook must be turned in on the day of the final exam.

4. Communication with the Instructor

As a student, it is your responsibility to notify me if you will have to miss a class or an assignment. Please let me know as soon as possible if you anticipate any scheduling problems, are having

trouble understanding the course material, or working on an assignment. I have an open door policy. You are always welcome during my office hours. If you want to avoid waiting, please make sure to sign up for an appointment. Outside of the office hours, the easiest and most efficient way to reach me is through the e-mail. I urge you to do so!

Final Grade

The grading in the course will be consistent with the university guidelines (see University Bulletin 2003-

2004, pp. 54-55). Paper: 100 points Final: 50 points

Workbook: 50 points

Final Grade Scale:

A+: 200-195

A: 194-185

A-:184-175

B+: 174-165

B: 164-155

B-: 154-145

C+:144-135

C:134-125

C-:124-115

D: 114-100

E: less than 100

This syllabus is subject to change at the discretion of the instructor to accommodate instructional and/or student needs.

Schedule of Reading Assignments

Week 1 Art and Enlightenment – An Introduction

Reading

Art in Theory: Immanuel Kant (1724-1804) 'What is Enlightenment?'; pp. 771-776.

Discussion

Art in Theory: Asmus Jakob Carstens (1754-1798) Letter to Karl Friedrich von Heinitz; pp. 812-815.

Go to http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=4728496

[www.npr.org, morning edition, July 4, 2005 broadcast]

And listen to the reading of the American Declaration of Independence

Week 2 System of the Arts

Readings:

CD: Kristeller, Paul Oskar. "The Modern System of the Arts: A Study in the History of Aesthetics, Part 1." Journal of the History of Ideas 12, no. 4 (1951): 496-527.

CD: ——. "The Modern System of the Arts: A Study in the History of Aesthetics, Part 2." Journal of the History of Ideas 13, no. 1 (1952): 17-46.

Copies of the Readings CD are available at the Art Library, audio-visual media desk. You will not be able to take the CD out of the library, but you can and in fact should copy its contents (either copy the entire CD or individual files) or print out the PDF files. You will need Adobe Reader 7.0 to access the files. If

you do not have the Adobe Reader installed on your computer, you can downloaded a free version from the Adobe website: http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2_allversions.html

Discussion

Art in Theory: Ephraim Chambers (1680-1740) from Cyclopaedia; pp. 342-352.

Art in Theory: Denis Diderot (1713-1784) 'Art' from the Encyclopédie; pp. 581-587.

See also: website dedicated to Diderot's and d'Alembert's Encyclopedia

http://www.hti.umich.edu/d/did/

Week 3 Dignity of Art

Reading:

CD: Shiner, Larry. "Art Divided," The Invention of Art, 75-151. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2001.

Discussion

Art in Theory: Jonathan Richardson (1665-1745) from Essay on the Theory of Painting; pp.326-331.

Art in Theory: Antoine Coypel (1661-1722) On the grand manner, from 'On the Aesthetic of the Painter'; pp. 335-340.

Week 4 Ancients and Moderns

Reading: William St. Clair, Lord Elgin and the Marbles. New York: Oxford University Press, 1998, Chapters 1-6, pp. 1-67.

Discussion

Art in Theory: Charles Perrault (1628-1703) Preface and 'Second Dialogue on the Three Visual Arts' from Parallel of the Ancients and Moderns; pp. 53-62.

Art in Theory: Johann Joachim Winckelmann (1717-1768) from Reflections on the Imitation of Greek Works in Painting and Sculpture; pp. 450-456.

Week 5 Grand Tour

Reading:

CD: Ingammels, John. "Discovering Italy: British Travelers in the Eighteenth Century." In *Grand Tour. The Lure of Italy in the Eighteenth Century*, edited by Andrew Wilton and Ilaria Bignamini, 21-29. London: Tate Gallery Publishing, 1996 - PDF link (password protected) Discussion

Art in Theory: Jérôme-Charles Bellicard (1726-1786) and Charles-Nicolas Cochin fils (1715-1790) from Observations upon the Antiquities of the Town of Herculaneum; pp. 445-450. Art in Theory: Johann Joachim Winckelmann (1717-1768) from A History of Ancient Art; pp. 466-475.

Week 6 Guilds and Academies

Reading: William St. Clair, *Lord Elgin and the Marbles*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1998, Chapters 7-14, pp. 68-161.

Discussion

Art in Theory: Martin de Charmois (1609-1661) Petition to the Kind and to the Lords of his Council; pp. 80-86.

Art in Theory: Statutes and Regulations of the Académie Royale de Peinture et de Sculpture; pp. 86-89.

Week 7 Academies and Art Instruction

Discussion

Art in Theory: • William Hogarth (1698-1764) 'Of Academies'; pp. 635-638.

Art in Theory: Anton Raphael Mengs (1728-1799) 'A Discourse upon the Academy of Fine Art at Madrid'; pp. 641-644.

Week 8 Aesthetics

Reading: William St. Clair, Lord Elgin and the Marbles. New York: Oxford University Press, 1998, Chapters 15-19, pp. 162-226.

Discussion

Art in Theory: Francis Hutcheson (1694-1746) 'Preface' to An Inquiry into the Original of our Ideas of Beauty and Virtue; pp. 402-404.

Art in Theory: Gotthold Ephraim Lessing (1729-1781) from Laocoon: An Essay on the Limits of Painting and Poetry; pp. 477-486.

Art in Theory: Joshua Reynolds (1723-1792) from Discourses on Art, III, VI, and XI; pp. 651-661.

Week 9 Beautiful and Sublime

Reading: William St. Clair, Lord Elgin and the Marbles. New York: Oxford University Press, 1998, Chapters 20-23, pp. 227-280.

Discussion

Art in Theory: William Hogarth (1697-1764) from The Analysis of Beauty; pp. 491-501.

Art in Theory: Voltaire (François-Marie Arouet, 1694-1778) 'Beautiful, beauty' from

Philosophical Dictionary; pp. 550-551.

Art in Theory: Edmund Burke (1729-1797) from A Philosophical Inquiry into the Origin of our Ideas of the Sublime and the Beautiful; pp.516-526.

Week 10 Genius

Reading: William St. Clair, Lord Elgin and the Marbles. New York: Oxford University Press, 1998, Chapters 24-26, pp. 281-336.

Discussion

Art in Theory: Jean-François, Marquis de Saint-Lambert (1716-1803) 'Genius' from the Encyclopédie; pp. 587-590.

Art in Theory: Immanuel Kant (1724-1804) from Critique of Judgment; p. 785.

Week 11 Patronage

Peter Greenaway's Draftsmen's Contract

Reading: The Debate on the Elgin Marbles 1808-1816; pp. 1152-1169.

Week 12 Faltering Certainties

paper due in class

Discussion

Art in Theory: William Blake (1757-1827) Letters; pp. 992-997.

Art in Theory: William Blake (1757-1827) Marginal Notes to Reynolds' Discourses; pp. 998-

1003.

Art in Theory: William Blake (1757-1827) from Descriptive Catalogue; pp. 1003-1008.

Week 13 Germany and the Classical Norm

Discussion

Art in Theory: Philipp Otto Runge (1777-1810) Letters, 1802; pp. 978-989.

Art in Theory: Friedrich Ramdohr (1752-1822) 'Remarks upon a Landscape Painting intended as an Altarpiece by Herr Friedrich in Dresden, and upon Landscape Painting, Allegory and

Mysticism in General'; pp. 1012-1023.

Art in Theory: Caspar David Friedrich (1774-1840) On The Cross in the Mountains, letter to Professor Schulze, 8 February 1809; pp. 1023-1027.

Week 14 Logic of Art's History

Discussion -

Art in Theory: Alexandre Lenoir (1761-1839) Forward to the 'Historical and Chronological

Description of the Monuments of Sculpture'; pp. 730-734.

Art in Theory: Johann Wolfgang Goethe (1749-1832) 'On German Architecture'; pp. 755-761.

Thanksgiving Break, NO CLASS

Week 15 Exhibitions

Discussion

Art in Theory: Abbé Jean Bernard Le Blanc (1707-1781) from 'Letter on the Exhibition of Works of Painting, Sculpture etc., of 1747, and in general on the utility of such exhibitions'; pp. 561-565.

Art in Theory: Denis Diderot (1713-1784) from the 'Salon of 1763'; pp. 602-608. Art in Theory: Denis Diderot (1713-1784) from the 'Salon of 1767'; pp. 617-626.

Week 16 Taste and Judgment

Discussion -- Final Exam questions distributed

Art in Theory: Etienne La Font de Saint-Yenne (1688-1771) from Reflections on some Causes of the Present State of Painting in France; pp. 554-561.

Art in Theory: Voltaire (François-Marie Arouet, 1694-1778) 'Essay on Taste'; pp. 531-532.

Art in Theory: David Hume (1711-1776) 'Of the Standard of Taste'; pp. 506-515.

Art in Theory: Immanuel Kant (1724-1804) from Critique of Judgment; pp. 779-784.

December 12-16: Finals Week Final exam; workbook due.

AH 339 Topics in Art 1700-1840: Art and Enlightenment

Paper Assignment

The history of the Elgin Marbles reveals a great deal not only about 18th century attitudes towards past and present, especially the classical culture of Greece, but also about patronage, contemporary art practice, and development of a new public institution, the art museum. Write a critical paper on the debate surrounding the Elgin Marbles using William St. Clair book Lord Elgin and the Marbles and the section in Art in Theory on the Elgin Marbles controversy (1152-1169). You must provide an overview of the history of the Elgin Marbles, as well as describe AND critique the issues that emerged in the debate. Recounting who, what, where and when is not sufficient. You must probe into how and why. The paper should be at least 10 pages long, double spaced, 1 in margin, 12 point font, and should not exceed 20 pages. Quotes and direct paraphrases must be documented in text or through endnotes or footnotes. Any works consulted, including electronic resources, should be listed in the paper bibliography. The paper is due in class on November 7th.