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CHAIR DEMBO: We shall call the meeting to order. That's so official. We have a little change in the order to the agenda today. I understand that President Todd will be here somewhere around $3: 10$ or $3: 15$, so what I'd like to do is to go through whatever business we have until his arrival, at which point he'll take over and present his strategic plan. And upon completion and after any question and answer session, we'll go ahead with the rest of the Senate Agenda. For those who are not familiar, what I'd like to do is to outline how the Senate meetings work and to introduce the new senators at the University Senate this year. I'm Jeff Dembo. I'm Chair of the Senate Council, and according to a change in the Senate rules, I'm also the presiding officer of the University Senate meetings.

Let's see. Cool, I like it,

John.
The University Senate is composed of 94 elected faculty. The election traditionally occurs in the spring semester, and the sole role or the sole function of the Senate is to tell the colleges how many Senate slots they have allocated, based on the composition of the faculty and students within their college. We have some colleges that have not yet held elections. I'd like to take this opportunity to remind you that if you're in one of those colleges, that election of senators is a faculty responsibility. The faculty can count on or ask the administration for support, and in some cases, if the faculty delegate that responsibility to the administration, then it will clearly have to delegate it, but it's a responsibility of the faculty, so we can assure that the elected
representatives do in fact represent their constituents.

We have 19 elected
students. Also in the spring semester we have ex officio members, among who include -- among whom include the deans of all the colleges and various administrative officials, like vice presidents. I'd like to introduce the new senators for this year.

We have some folks that are serving another term, so their names may be familiar to those who have attended meetings. Ernie Bailey, from the College of Agriculture. Ernie, raise your hand if you could, please. Ernie is also on the Senate Council. Lee Edgerton has been elected for a term as Senator, also, on the Senate Council, and Lee is Vice-Chair of the Senate Council. Larry Grabau, Larry is a member of the College of Agriculture and formerly the Director of the

Teaching and Learning Center, or Director of the Former Teaching and Learning Center. Steve Isaacs from Agriculture, welcome aboard. Michelle Duffy, from B \& E. Hello, Michelle. I need to learn everybody's faces and names, too. John Garen from B \& E. Hello, John. Keith Johnson, also from B \& E. Sean Peffer, hello, Sean.

PEFFER: Hi.
CHAIR DEMBO: Jason Shaw from B \& E. For a second I saw a pattern of everybody congregating back there. Kim Anderson from Engineering. Okay. Hans Gesund from Engineering. Hans, how many times have you been a new Senator?

GESUND: I'm not. I'm reelected. I'm in the second three-year term in succession right now.

CHAIR DEMBO: Well, thank you very much. It's a pleasure to have you back. Eileen Abel from LCC, hello, Eileen. Brent Eldridge, welcome aboard. Don

Hardwick, welcome. Greg Zoll, did I pronounce it correctly?

ZOLL: Yes.
CHAIR DEMBO: Okay. We also have, as part of the Senate Rules, members of the Association of Emeriti Faculty. And the representative is Jacquie Noonan from the College of Medicine. Jacquie, where are you?

NOONAN: Here I am.
CHAIR DEMBO: Thank you so much for coming. We appreciate it. Other senators include Rolando Berger. I thought I saw you here, Rolando. Thank you very much for coming back to the Senate again. Steve Haist from the College of Medicine, thank you for coming. Brian Jackson, wonderful, thanks. Charlie -- Charlie Kaetzel. Did I pronounce it correctly?

KAETZEL: Kaetzel.
CHAIR DEMBO: Kaetzel, thank you. Catherine Martin from the College of Medicine. We have a wonderful
turnout. Thank you all very much. We know what the schedules are like. Pat DeLuca from the College of Pharmacy. Okay. Janet Ford from Social Work.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She's not here today.

CHAIR DEMBO: Okay. Kate Black from Libraries. We have some latecomers, so I'm sure some folks will be coming in. And a new senator -every year the President of SGA is on the University Senate, Rachel Watts, and she may have a conflict.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She'll be here.
CHAIR DEMBO: I'll take one moment to speak about my interactions with Rachel Watts. They have been entirely favorable. She's an energetic, I think, very effective leader, who has already, in a very short time, done a world of good for SGA and other things related to the university, so she's a welcome addition to the university
community.
We also have some new ex officio senators by virtue of their role in the university administrative structure. Jeannine Blackwell is a voting member. She's the Dean of the Graduate School. Jeannine, are you here? Okay. Steven Hoch, Dean of Arts and Sciences, is a new member. Are you here, Steve? Professor Sudharshan from B \& E. These are voting members, and half the deans have voting privileges one year and not the next year and then vice-versa. Nonvoting members include Sheila Brothers, who is the Chair of the Staff Senate, who has been granted, by action of the University Senate, ex officio status. And I have equally good things to say about Sheila in her position as chair. She's a wonderful consensus builder among a wide variety and diversity of people. Alfred Kohen,
by virtue of being the acting
Executive Vice President for Health Affairs and the Medical Center, is also an ex officio nonvoting member.

Carol Diedrichs, are you here, Carol? Thank you very much for coming. Carol is the new Dean of Libraries here at U.K. David Royse, by virtue of being the academic ombud -- take a look for a second and take a look at David

Royse. Raise your hand. So
whenever the ombud calls, that's the man, but for some reason there's a folklore that the ombud is always the student advocate and that's not necessarily the case. It's the case for advocating fairness and consistency, and David is an
imminently fair person, so any questions, you should have no hesitation to call him. And finally, Dick Siemer, who is the new Executive Vice President for Fiscal

Affairs. Are you here, Dick? Also a wonderful addition to the university community.

Finally, in terms of other introductions, before I get to councils, you need to see who else is going to be participating at each of these meetings. We have an esteemed emeritus faculty who's been with us for a number of years. Gifford Blyton is our Senate Parliamentarian, and he graciously accepted the invitation, request, pleading, to work with us again this year. Gifford claims to be the self-proclaimed oldest parliamentarian in the United States, but I've learned a hell of a lot from him. And if you've ever gone through the classroom building and you see a trophy case with awards from the debate team, Gifford was the one who took the team to heights during that period of time. Gifford, thank you very much, again.

Rebecca Scott is our
full-time Administrative Coordinator in the Senate Council Office, to the left of Gifford. She's been a godsend in terms of getting the office up to speed and into the 21st Century and we're doing more things than we could have imagined doing before, so it's a pleasure to work with you. We also have Angel Clark, who is a part-time employee, working with us in the office. And we have Robyn Barrett from -- come again. REPORTER: An/Dor. CHAIR DEMBO: An/Dor Reporting. You may see her; you may see somebody else on her team at future -- future meetings. Several years ago the Senate requested that there be a full legal type transcript at the Senate meetings; hence, a stenographer, so you can see the transcripts as well as the minutes. Thank you very much. So to help her out, since she doesn't know all of
you, if you do speak up, please make sure to state your name and your college affiliation.

We have five councils of the
Senate, the Senate Council, which is
the executive body, and then four
academic councils that are
responsible for the academic
programs within their purview. The
Senate Council members, just so you
know who's been acting on behalf of the Senate, are Ernie Bailey, Mike Cibull from the College of Medicine, Liz Debski from Biology, myself, Dr. Edgerton, Davy Jones, Faculty Trustee. Davy, are you here? Okay. Michael Kennedy, also Faculty Trustee, hi. Peggy Saunier from LCC, back over there. Kaveh Tagavi from Engineering and Ernie Yanarella from the College of Arts and Sciences sitting in front over here.

We also have three student members on the Senate Council, and
again, the student input on any committee and council is vitally important, and students that are in attendance today, you need to know how seriously we take working together as an academic community, which of course includes students in the mix. So a great thanks to Rachel and to Brafus Kaalund -Brafus, are you here? -- in the College of Law. And D. C. Storm, D. C., are you here? Thank you very much for coming. We appreciate it. I want to move right into the agenda, and we'll do the minutes afterwards, but I thought that the memorial resolution was the most important thing to do at the first part of our meeting and we'll follow President Todd with your presentation, so we have Professor Frank Scott from Economics and Business in the College of $B$ \& E to present the memorial resolution.

RESOLUTION IN HONOR OF THE LATE MARK BERGER) CHAIR DEMBO: May I ask that we rise for a moment of silence. President Todd, some of you may not know that according to the governing regulations the president is the chair of the University Senate. I don't know if you're addressing us specifically in that regard, but the discussion now is going to be concerning the strategic plan and there'll be a limited time for questions and answers following the discussion -- the presentation. I hope that you have some good questions that you'd like to bring up.
(PRESIDENT TODD PRESENTS THE PRESIDENT'S ANNUAL ADDRESS)

CHAIR DEMBO: Okay. Getting back to the first item on the agenda, we have to approve the minutes from the May meeting, and they were circulated via a hot link on the Web site. I'm going to take you to the Web site in
a couple of minutes to make sure all
senators know what's on it and what
types of things to look for. But without any objections, I'd like to consider the minutes approved.

Number three on the agenda is that the Senate Council is obliged to report to the full Senate what went on over the summer in the absence of the full Senate.

According to Senate Rules, the Senate Council shall serve as the Executive Committee for the Senate and it shall report or it shall act on other matters referred to it by the Senate, with the responsibility and authority delegated to it, and act for the Senate in emergency situations and report those actions to the Senate. So over the summer, when the full Senate is not in session, Rule H would apply.

We have about five items to report. The first was that the

Senate Council was instructed by the
Senate to act on behalf of the
Senate to recommend a proposal for reorganization of the College of HES. Those of you who were here in the Senate last year remember that at the very end of the year, there were several proposals that the way that the College of HES could reorganize. One of them was that all units would go to the College of Agriculture. Another proposal was that some faculty would go to the College of Education, other faculty would go to the College of Agriculture. The outs -- the final Senate meeting, the Senate delegated that responsibility to the Committee on Academic Organization and Structure and to the Senate Council.

Kate Chard in the College of Education was chair and will chair that committee again this year. Their committee deliberated and met
with the Senate Council and the result was that the Senate Council recommended Proposal One, which was that some faculty should go to the College of Education, other faculty should go to the College of Agriculture. That was an advisory opinion given to the Provost because he requested it.

From there the Provost recommended to the Board of Trustees Proposal Two, that everybody should go to the College of Agriculture. As part of our opinion, the Senate also communicated directly to the President that, in his position as the Chair of the Senate, he should directly communicate to the Board of Trustees what the Senate opinion was, which was Proposal One. So that was in fact communicated to the Board of Trustees.

The Board of Trustees went along with the recommendation for Proposal Two, and so now the College
of Human Environmental Sciences has been disbanded with that
reorganization. And part of that was that there be a recommendation to look specifically at the Early Childhood Education Program, which I think is in ongoing review right now.

The next thing that happened was the Faculty Evaluation of the President. Steve Reed and the Board of Trustees acknowledged that there were no formal guidelines in place by which to evaluate the President. Steve Reed with several representatives from SGA, the Staff Senate, and the University Senate, to talk about how to evaluate the President. And I thought it was a very productive meeting. We brainstormed a number of things that would appear to be important. And from that, many of you may have received a request for your evaluation, and in it what contains
some of the guidelines that we came up with at that meeting. So once the faculty opinions were gained, a summary letter was sent to the Chairman of the Board representing faculty sentiment.

A third and somewhat
contentious issue that arose that you should be aware of is that several years ago, when distance learning courses became more popular, the Council on Postsecondary Education instructed that the university look closely at those courses that were going to be offered via distance learning format. And basically the university had to assure that, by virtue of offered in distance learning format, that there was no substantive change in the program or the course.

So the Senate rules were
changed to reflect the fact that all distance learning courses needed to
be reviewed by a council and then reported. The issue at stake here was Statistics 200 was a course that was offered both at U.K. and LCC, and LCC elected to design and to intend to offer a distance learning format of Stats 200. Their council, the Lexington Community Council, Academic Council, met and approved the course, consistent in a manner with the Senate Rules.

The University's Department of Statistics objected and claimed that there was in fact a substantive change in the course by virtue of it being offered as a distance learning course. The problem here was that there was no provision in the rules for contesting this, basically, and so at first the contest was that the wrong council was reviewing it, that it should have been the University's Undergraduate Council instead of LCC's Academic Council. And then there was also the debate as to
whether there really was a substantive change. The Department of Statistics felt that after they reviewed the material, there was in fact a substantive change.

The Senate Council decided to act in this case as the Rules Committee, to see if in fact the rules were followed correctly regarding the disagreement, and the Senate Council came up with several statements. One was that no council has the final authority over distance learning courses because it's just not specified. There is no distinct council listed in the rules as having that final authority. Until those rules can be codified by the Senate, then who is the appropriate council? The Senate Council felt that it should be that council that has acted in that capacity over the past four years. So the intent here is to come up with better rules that will
accommodate the need to be able to question these types of changes.

And in the meantime, Stats 200 and any of the distance learning courses that were approved, until the new rules can be adopted, will go along with the old rules, but any new courses from this time until the new rules are codified will be reviewed. And finally, the Senate Council asked that the Rules Committee identify some type of an appeals process.

If there are any questions
about any of these, please ask, or if I've misconstrued any Senate Council members --

ALBISETTI: Jim Albisetti, Arts \& Sciences. The College of Human Environmental Sciences doesn't exist anymore, but the Senate Web site says they still have Senators.

CHAIR DEMBO: The rules committee has not yet codified what the new -actually, let me go back for a
second. Right now there's a large mismatch between the Governing Regulations, the Administrative Regulations, and the Senate Rules. The Senate Rules are subordinate to the Governing Regulations, so there's a committee, a task force that actually Dr. Jones and I are both on, headed by Phyllis Nash, to look at the GR's. And there are changes in place to hopefully keep up with that. We have yet Senate Rules to change to accommodate the change in organizational structure as well, so you're right. Professor Tagavi is the Chair of the Rules \& Elections Committee for this year.

TAGAVI: I might be wrong on this, but there is a HES College until we say there is no such college. That proposal has not come to the Senate yet; is that not correct?

CHAIR DEMBO: Since there are no people or students to inhabit a college, then

I guess in essence, it doesn't exist.

TAGAVI: But such a proposal has to come through the Senate to -- is that not true, Davy?

JONES: Well, it functionally did, that last item last spring, yeah. And the board acted to make that college not exist anymore, but it's (inaudible).

CHAIR DEMBO: Any other questions about this distance learning? Okay. I failed to mention -- I'll mention that in a moment. There was another item that came before the Senate Council. The Senate Council received a proposal from the Provost, asking that we act on an interim reorganization that would move the Center for Health Services Management and the Department of Health Services Management from one college to another. The Senate Council reviewed this, and in a manner, I think, somewhat similar to the spirit of what we discussed with HES
last year, the Senate Council sent a letter to the Provost acknowledging that we don't feel that there's such a thing as an interim reorganization and we'd be pleased to review the -a formal proposal when the Senate was back in session and it can go through the due process.

As a side light, as I understand it, I believe coming in the pipeline is going to be a proposal for a new college; that is, a College of Public Health. It's still at the discussion stage and has not yet, I think, gone to the college councils themselves, but that's something to keep on the time line.

We were asked by the Provost for names for an ad hoc committee concerning faculty performance appeals. There are two types of appeals that came up this summer. One was appeals related to privilege and tenure; for example, faculty
that did not gain tenure in a timely fashion. And those types of appeals go to a standing Senate Advisory Committee called the Privilege and Tenure Committee. The Chair this year is Peter Perry. Peter, I think I saw you here. Maybe not. Okay. But there are also sometimes merit appeals, and there were a number of faculty who appealed -- who appealed or wanted to appeal their merit evaluation for the year.

In a discussion I had with the President, one of the items we discussed was should the Senate committee on privilege and tenure also handle merit appeals? And the President was of a mindset that it should not, that that should be something separate. So the Provost asked us for some names, and we offered some names for folks to serve on an ad hoc committee to review merit appeals. We were also asked for names for LCC

Accreditations Task Force. As the President mentioned, Ben Carr is chairing that, and representing the Senate will be Lee Edgerton from the Senate Council.

We received a very nice communication from the Chairman of the Board, Steve Reed, and it was sent to us actually from our faculty trustees, where Chairman Reed indicated his desire to push ahead with faculty salary issues and wanted to know what could be done. At our Senate Council retreat over the summer, that was presented to the Senate Council. And in response to that, at a recent meeting, the Senate Council elected to form an ad hoc committee on faculty salaries. It's going to be chaired by -- it is chaired by Ernie Yanarella. Ernie, who are the other members on it? Remind us.

YANARELLA: We are still currently working on getting representatives from
certain key constituencies. At presence we have -- at present we have former Dean Richard Furst, Eric Thompson from CBER, Alice Christ, who is involved with AAUP. In addition, we have one or two other people who are considering my invitation. We are hoping to have about eight people on that committee and to look into a variety of concerns germane to the interests that have emanated from the Senate Council, from faculty members, and also from the Board of Trustees. The Senate Council has given us a short deadline for the report, which I think we're not going to be able to meet, given the number of considerations, but we intend to work very hard over the next couple of months to come up with a report that, number one, identifies the palpable reasons for significant salary increases, looks to both internal and external ways in which
funding could be increased, and I'm sure the committee itself could come up with a number of other recommendations that are germane to other areas as well.

CHAIR DEMBO: One other item that came up regarding process is the processing of the -- of the degree candidate list. When I began as Chair of the Senate Council, we would receive a memo from the President's Office -from Don Witt at the Registrar's Office, saying something like: Attached for your information and review is the official graduation list for X year or semester. In accordance with the established University and Senate Council procedures, the official graduation list is not being mailed to each member of the University Senate for approval; however, limited supply lists will be available for inspection. The Senate Council felt that
this was not consistent with one of the major responsibilities of the University Senate; that is, authorizing/recommending to the board degree candidates. So now with the ability to do everything electronically, we have requested from the Registrar, and the President's Office has agreed with this, that we'll get an electronic list that we'll post on the Senate Web site and alert Senators to its presence and then you'll have the opportunity to comment on the degree candidates.

I neglected to mention two other things. The first was to introduce our Sergeant at Arms of the University Senate. This is Michelle Sohner. She's sitting in the back. Michelle, raise your hand, please. Michelle is the Administrative Coordinator in the Academic Ombud Office but has ably served as the Sergeant at Arms for a
number of years. We really
appreciate it, Michelle. So
whenever there are some interesting or possibly contentious votes, she'll be the one who will ask you to keep your hands raised. She is also authorized to eject anybody who is interfering with the decorum of our proceedings.

We have one other
announcement. I neglected to mention our new student senators. John Weiss has given me the names here. We have Matt Bacon. Brafus, I mentioned already, Brafus Kaalund. Michael Barnett, Daniel Flournoy. We have Matt Martin, Martha Purdue. I mentioned D. C. Storm. Kate Davis is from Education. Allison Hensley, Sandy Schomaker, Anne Sharpe, and Veleashia Smith. I want to compliment SGA for getting us the names of individuals. I think, again, that's a partnership that we
need to maintain and work very closely together.

I have several announcements
to make; then we'll get into the business of today's discussion. This shouldn't take very long, but I thought we needed to get off to a start here. There's going to be -the University Senate is co-participant in a gubernatorial debate that's going to occur on October -- October 8th in the Worsham Theatre. We were approached by the Staff Senate to see if we would partner with them, and we agreed. They're asking for faculty questions to be submitted, things you want to see the gubernatorial candidates asked. So if you have some interesting questions, I'd be pleased to collate them and to forward them to Scott Marksberry of the Staff Senate.

The Provost has told us that there are several colleges that are going
to have their periodic reviews. The Administrative Regulation states that there are periodic reviews of educational units. The ones that are having it this year are Arts \& Sciences, Design, and Pharmacy. So sometimes you, as Senators, will be asked to nominate members to serve on committees or task forces, and if you have the names or would personally like to serve on one of these College Review Committees, please give your names to either me or Rebecca Scott in the Senate Council Office. We're going to have an orientation to the University Senate and the shared governance system at U.K. two weeks from now. New senators, old senators, anybody is welcome to attend. It will be here in the Young Auditorium at 4:00 two weeks from now. We'll send out an e-mail regarding that. Let me push ahead here. So, now,
how is the agenda for a Senate meeting set and how do we approach that? The Senate Agenda is set by the Council. The Council can send something forward with either a positive recommendation, negative recommendation, or no recommendation at all. Or, in fact, the Senate Council can feel that it's not ready to be an agenda item. You, as a senator, or any of your colleagues can bring something to the Senate floor outside of the Senate Council if you have ten signatures. So that's to limit the power that the Senate Council has to control the agenda of the University Senate. So along those lines, the Senate Council has determined that there should be this first item that we're to discuss in a second, and today it's presented for discussion only. I'm introducing the fact that the Senate Rules states that if there's an item of great import or that
needs to be cogitated upon by the faculty and students and administration, that it can be brought forward as a discussion item at one meeting and an action item at the next. So that's what we're doing here with this next item. This is the Faculty

Representation on Committees, a draft document. Last year in November, the University Senate expressed its desire to have a group work on how faculty should be represented on various task forces, committees, work groups around the university, because of some concerns that were raised. From this a joint Administration and Senate work group met. It's composed of three deans and three Senate Council members and they met and hashed out the wording on this document. It was reviewed by the Senate Council and presented with a positive recommendation. The intent of the Senate last year was
that once this statement is approved, then the proposal will be sent to the President and the Provost for incorporation into the appropriate administrative regulation.

So here's the statement:
The following shall pertain to search appointment and reappointment committees for senior academic administrative officers, level of dean above to the President, university-wide committees, task forces, administratively formed working groups related to academic affairs. So that's the essence of what we're doing.

Here's the meat of it. The elected representatives of the unit shall be asked to recommend half or close to half the total number of faculty participating on the committee. The key word there is the "elected" representatives. Number two, the total number of
distribution of faculty shall be proportionate to the impact of the position, process, or charge of the committee on the faculty. In other words, if there's going to be a search committee for the head of Physical Plant, then it's unlikely that we'll need a large representation from any specific college or maybe from any of the colleges. We may need somebody who's more intimately involved. Whereas if it's somebody for the Dean of Arts \& Sciences, then obviously there should be heavily proportional representation of Arts \& Sciences on that committee. The request from the appropriate office shall include the composition of the committee, that is, how many representing students, staff and faculty will there be, the number of names to be selected by the elected faculty representatives, and a reasonable deadline, allowing at
least two weeks.
The Senate Council felt that our experience had been, we get a last minute request: I need three names for such and such a task force and we need it by tomorrow. Well, I'm sorry I'm exaggerating, but this is what it felt like. So in the end we didn't know exactly how many names they were going to take for those three names, and we felt that we needed to have a more reasonable deadline. So let me back up for a second. So that's presented to the Senate floor for discussion or questions. Professor Jones.

JONES: What does it mean, the "unit" up in that number one? Give me an example of what that means.

CHAIR DEMBO: Let me back up for a second. If this were, let's say, an appointment for a senior academic administrative officer of a unit --

JONES: Tell you what, for the purpose of this, say it's an Associate

Provost. What does that mean, the unit in the number one?

CHAIR DEMBO: Let's go forward. One would assume -- Kaveh, do you want to help us out? You were on the task force.

TAGAVI: The discussion was this applies either to this or to (inaudible) the Dean. For the Dean, the purpose of the Dean, the unit is the college, and any -- everybody else would be the entire campus. So the elected representative would be either college councils or the Senate.

CHAIR DEMBO: Other questions or comments, discussion?

HARDWICK: Don Hardwick from LCC. When you're talking about "the total number and distribution of faculty shall be proportionate to the impact," can you numerate that? I don't quite understand the meaning there.

CHAIR DEMBO: I think that was one of the things we struggled with, was that the composition of a committee
should be relevant to its task at hand, and that it's difficult to define numbers, but there is a way to see if there's fair representation of those parties that would have a dog in that fight, so to speak. So I don't think it's an exact science, but if you want to -Professor Tagavi, any other thoughts about that part of it?

TAGAVI: No, you're correct, that we struggled with it and we intentionally left it vague.

CHAIR DEMBO: To have not addressed it, though, would have meant that somebody could put together a committee composed of folks that were not as closely related to the job at hand.

NOONAN: Noonan, medical. Who's going to make that decision, about the total number distribution? I mean, who's going to --

CHAIR DEMBO: When a committee is first put together, a task force, let's say to
reorganize the Medical Center, that would typically come from somebody in Central Administration. So at least this would provide a way for us to respond to the composition of the committee. If it's a dean search, for example, then I believe the regulations say that the Senate can -- has input into how a search is conducted. Is that right, Davy? Did I get that correct?

JONES: Uh-huh (affirmative).
CHAIR DEMBO: So there the Senate would almost have direct input into the composition of a search committee. Professor Berger from Medicine.

BERGER: Yes. The Senate is the one that is going to determine that the committee is proportionate? I mean, we are the ones that make that determination, that the committee has been formed with proportionate representation?

CHAIR DEMBO: I don't know, Rolando, if it has to be the Senate, per se. If
this becomes an administration regulation, then virtually anybody in the community could -- could challenge something like that.

BERGER: Well, I'm trying to understand because, you know, it's says, "The total number and distribution of faculty shall be proportionate to the impact of the position." But, you know, in any issue that has more than one side, everybody -- without trying to be devious, but just honestly may think that it is important that -- say, there was a conflict, just to use an example, between dentistry and myself. I would think proportionate would be all of the membership from medicine, nobody from dentistry. I'm sure they'd not agree. So who, then, made that determination, who should be the -- who is going to determine that it is indeed proportionate, that it is reasonable to the impact?

CHAIR DEMBO: I can speak my view -- and then I'd like to hear others -- is that in the spirit of shared governance and fairness, that we can mutually decide that, you know, the composition of this committee is either fair or it's unfair and then --

BERGER: Who are "we," the Senators?
CHAIR DEMBO: "We" meaning either the elected representatives of that unit, the elected representatives in the larger body; so, for instance, the Academic Council of the Medical Center might have an opinion about that, since they represent the Senate.

BERGER: So not one particular body?
CHAIR DEMBO: Right. Other thoughts or questions? Okay. So this was presented for discussion only. It will be an action item at the next meeting of the Senate. There's been one other thing we've been asked to comment on. The Board
of Trustees Ad Hoc Committee on Board Structure was asked, among other things -- asked us -- not us, asked the university, among other things, to come up with a Code of Conduct. Joe Fink, I think, chaired a task force, and this has now come down from the President's Office. They want comments on this Code of Conduct. We have a link to it on the Senate Web site. I don't know if this is hot linked over here; otherwise, I'd go to it. But if you scroll down to the area under items for review, you can link on it and read the Code of Conduct. It has, I think, an intent to provide an ethical basis for the way we behave and act, sort of things about ethics and research and accepting remuneration from outside sources. But the best thing to do is to read it over and send all comments to us so we can offer a response to the Administration.

And I think the only other
thing I wanted to do was to announce some of the committee chairs that we have for this year. I already mentioned Kay Chard, who's chairing the Academic Organization \& Structure Committee. Kaveh Tagavi -- Michael, have you got one second? Senate Rules \& Elections Committee, Bob Grossman has kindly agreed to chair the Academic Programs Committee. Chuck Staben from Biology will be chairing the Institutional Finance Committee. Is there anything that you'd like to tell us, Mike, as one of our Faculty Trustees about this President's Compensation Committee? There's some activity going on there.

KENNEDY: The Ad Hoc Committee on President's Compensation -- this is different from the Bonus Committee -- is trying to evolve a new contract for the President, and that meeting is next -- I don't know
when that meeting -- it's either next Wednesday or the Monday before the board meeting. All board meeting committees are open to the public and the press, so anybody who wants to attend, they may.

CHAIR DEMBO: Okay. Thanks, Michael. Davy --

KENNEDY: Sorry, I have to go teach.
CHAIR DEMBO: That's okay. I blindsided you. I should have told you.

KENNEDY: That's okay.
CHAIR DEMBO: And, Davy, what's the next step on the faculty salaries issue?

JONES: Well, I think you mentioned it before, that Steve Reed sent me an e-mail (inaudible) after the last board meeting. At the June board meeting, the strategic plan went up that shows where we are, you know, relative to the benchmarks. And I articulated to the board that the faculty had been biting the bullet really hard for some time now, and now that this is one of the
strategic plans, to get us up, you know, the board needs to step up to the plate and do that. And so the next day Steve Reed sent me an e-mail saying, well, you know, how do we do that? You know, what's a tangible plan to achieve that goal? And he offered to -- and that's pretty good, the Chairman of the Board, come down to Lexington, meet with the Senate Council and parties as to what's a realistic way to find funds, either internal or external, to get this accomplished? And toward working with him on that, the Senate has now appointed this committee that Dr. Yanarella is in charge of. And this is not to -the purpose of this committee is not to find that there's a salary problem -- there is a salary problem -- but how to solve it, finally. And this won't take just one year, but over the next several years, what's a tangible way that we
can make an inroad on that.
That's -- and it's good that we actually have the Chairman of the Board so interested and involved on that. We haven't seen that kind of interest in a long time.

CHAIR DEMBO: So one final word in closing, before we dismiss. The next meeting, of course, will be the second Monday in October. We'll send out a notice in advance. There's an attendance requirement. You can't miss more than three meetings. But all you have to do is e-mail us saying, I won't be able to make a meeting, and then that's fine. There's no problem. Last year I thought was a very good year for the Senate. I really appreciated the collegial dialogue we had about some very difficult issues. I'm hoping we'll have more of the same this year. And we try to not make the Senate meetings a rubber stamp, looking at dotting I's
and, you know, crossing Q's and T's, but rather to have an intelligent conversation about the fate of the university.

I think that the President and his strategic plan sound good. I think that we need to all see how we can work towards that, but we also need to question it where it's appropriate, and the Senate Committees are one way to do that. And as a Senator, of course, you have the right to bring anything forward to the Senate for action. So if you have good ideas, things that we as the Senate should address, that's your right and perhaps your obligation on the part of your constituents. So thank you very much. Look forward to seeing you in October.
(MEETING CONCLUDED AT 5:00 P.M.)
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