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## Proposed Changes to Senate Rules Section 3

1. Purpose for submitting: Submitting for approval
2. Type of proposal: Campuswide policies (including Senate Rules)
3. Specific action being requested: Change

## 4. Proposer: Sheila Brothers

5. Affiliation of proposer: Senate Council office
6. Proposal submitted on behalf of another unit or organization Not applicable
6.a. If "Yes," name of the submitting unit/organization:

## 7. Rationale for proposal:

Section 3 of the Senate Rules needs to be updated to establish new policies for suspension of admissions and closure, as well as reflect current practices related to processing program proposals. The primary changes are listed below but there a variety of edits throughout Section 3. Changes in one spot often resulted in the need for changes elsewhere, including numerous changes to section numbers.

The impetus for the changes was the a disconnect in terminology/practice related to suspension of admissions. The Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACSCOC) requires immediate notification of any suspension of admission into a program, but the Senate Rules currently allow program faculty to temporarily suspend admissions into a program for up to one year without going through the Senate. With the current policy, a program faculty may apply this policy for any reason, without notifying anyone, and perhaps maintain the suspension of admissions beyond the one year allowed.

The proposed Senate Rules changes retain the option for program faculty to temporarily suspend admissions into a program, but restrict usage to situations related to temporarily controlling enrollment or needing to revise the curriculum such that a temporary suspension of admissions is warranted. (In other words, a temporary suspension of admissions is not intended to be used when the need for suspension is caused by long-term issues.) Programs will also be obligated to provide notice of their temporary suspension of admissions, so that other areas of the University are aware and can respond appropriately (the Office of Strategic Planning and Institutional Effectiveness, undergraduate and graduate admissions offices, UK Online, etc.). This notification (through Curriculog) will simply inform others of the temporary suspension of admissions - no Senate "approval" will be required.

The proposed changes also provide for a shorter approval process if program faculty already received Senate approval to suspend admissions and then later wish to close it. Currently, if program faculty wish to suspend admissions into a program for longer than one year, the proposal must be reviewed by the Senate Academic Organization and Structure Committee (SAOSC), Senate Council, and Senate. If the program faculty subsequently wish to close the program, the proposal will follow the same path within Senate, and then move to the Board of Trustees. The proposed changes to the Senate Rules will, in cases of previous Senate-approved suspension of admissions, permit a subsequent request for closure to be processed via a 10-day post and not the lengthier, current process.

A number of other clarifying edits were made and are also in track changes. Below are the most substantial changes.

- Page 17: Moved descriptions of types of program changes to the beginning of the section on programs
- Page 18: Created definition of "regular program change" to supplement the existing language on minor program changes and significant program changes
- Page 18: Added examples of significant changes
- Page 19: Clarified that anything related to academic organization structure and Senate Rules changes are significant
- Page 24: Added examples of types of proposals that go on a 10-day post
- Page 31: Moved descriptions of types of course changes to the beginning of the section on courses
- Page 32: Created definition of "regular course change" to supplement the existing language on minor course changes
- Page 39: Removed repetitive language about how proposals are processed
- Page 40: Modified language on temporary suspension of admissions to distinguish a temporary suspension (up to one year, maximum of two years, to control enrollment or substantially modify the curriculum) from a significant suspension related to long-term issues
- Page 42: Previously, in cases of significant reduction, the Senate Academic Organization and Structure Committee (SAOSC) was compelled to hold an open forum. The revised language instead requires SAOSC to confirm the affected unit held such a forum, instead of the forum being held by the SAOSC.


## 8. Does the proposal include a change to the Senate Rules? Yes

8.a. If "Yes," what section of the Senate Rules? Section 3 - Programs, Courses, and Curriculum Procedures, Section 9-Glossary

8.b. If "Yes," what is the requested effective date? Summer 2024

## 9. If asking for feedback, how will the feedback be used?

Section 3: Programs, Courses, and Curriculum Procedures

### 3.1. PROGRAMS

### 3.1.1 FACULTY OF RECORD

### 3.1.1.1 Definition

The University of Kentucky Governing Regulations (GR VII.E.1-5) and the University Senate Rules (SR 1.1.2.2) provide that every new or existing educational program must have associated with it a voting faculty body that is or will be responsible for the educational content of the program. This governing faculty body (referred to here for Senate purposes as "Faculty of Record") may delegate or withdraw its status and responsibilities as the Faculty of Record to a subcommittee of itself or to a faculty committee under its jurisdiction. For the purposes of SR 3.1.3, an educational program may be a degree, certificate, badge or academic honor. [US: 11/13/2023]

### 3.1.1.2 Membership

If not otherwise delegated (SR 3.1.1.1) the Faculty of Record of an undergraduate or professional program consists of those faculty specified in GR VII.E.3-5 whose appointments as faculty employees are in the educational unit that administratively homes the program, such as a department or college. If not otherwise delegated, the Faculty of Record of a graduate program consists of the members of the University graduate faculty whom the Dean of the Graduate School has appointed to the specific graduate program.

In those infrequent cases where a program is expressly homed outside of a college, a Senateapproved faculty body shall serve as the Faculty of Record and a Provost-appointed officer shall serve as dean of the program. (See https://universitysenate.uky.edu/how-create-proposal-newdegree).

In those infrequent cases where a proposed undergraduate program (degree, minor, certificate, or badge) is interdisciplinary to the extent that it should be programmatically shared among two or more identified colleges, then the faculty body of the educational unit that will home the program may vote that the Faculty of Record of the proposed program shall be made up of a subset of its members and faculty from other colleges who have been asked and have agreed to contribute to the educational goals of the program. If the faculty body of the educational unit so votes, then the program proposal must identify by name the founding members of the Faculty of Record, including the founding director of the program (appointed by the Dean of the College containing the educational unit that homes the program), and describe the circumstances and mechanisms by which faculty may join or exit the Faculty of Record.

### 3.1.2 DEFINITION OF "PROGRAM"

A program is a series of courses that culminate in conferral of a credential, which could be described as a "program," whether or not the credential has the same name as a currently transcripted UK credential (degree, certificate, badge, honor, or other credential(s)) as determined by Senate. A program may be credit-bearing or non-credit-bearing, although only credit-bearing programs are recorded on an academic transcript. [US: 5/1/2023]

### 3.1.2.1 Credit-Bearing Programs

Credit-bearing programs are comprised of credit-bearing courses, are recorded on a University Registrar's academic transcript, and are approved by Senate action. [US: 5/1/2023]

### 3.1.2.2 Non-Credit-Bearing Programs

US: 5/1/2023]
Non-credit-bearing programs are not reflected on an academic transcript and may or may not require Senate approval action.

Senate oversight and approval action is required if the activity cannot be described by one of the five categories below. If one or more of the five statements can be used to describe the activity, then the activity does not need Senate review and oversight and approval. If none of the five statements can be used to describe the activity, then the activity does need Senate review and oversight and approval.

1. The activity is required by a federal, state, or local government agency. (Government agency requirements include activities such as federally required research conflict of interest training or teacher certification licensure from Kentucky's Education Professional Standards Board.)
2. The activity is overseen by an accrediting body, which holds member programs accountable for meeting explicit standards in order to continue offering that content and offering that credential to students.(Accrediting body requirements includes activities such as residency/fellow programs overseen by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) (AR 5:5). Membership in an accrediting body is insufficient to meet this standard; the accrediting body must also have authority over the content of the activity.
3. The activity is a short or single event (e.g. an afternoon). (Short or single events include activities such as learning about topics during a lunchtime session or at guest speaker events (e.g. AR 9.9).)
4. The activity is directed to external community service learning. (External community service learning includes activities offered by local Extension offices (e.g., AR 3:8.2.C) and Libraries public service (AR 11:9).)
5. The activity is directed to persons in their capacity as UK employees or research trainees (and graduate assistants, post-doctoral fellows, etc.). (Activities directed to persons in their capacity as UK employees or research trainees include activities like blood-borne pathogen training for healthcare employees and Finance and Administration Specialized Training (FAST) for employees with financial responsibilities and Responsible Conduct of Research \& Scholarly Activity (RCR) training.)

### 3.1.3 GENERAL DEGREE REQUIREMENTS

To be eligible for any degree, a student must have completed the degree program requirements as approved by the University Senate, except that curriculum substitutions may be made by the college affected if not inconsistent with these Rules. (See SR 1.1.1.1)

## General Requirements for Graduation for All Undergraduate Degrees

Curriculum requirements must include, in addition to specified credits, a specified grade point average (GPA) both overall and in the student's major which shall in no case be less than 2.0. [US: 10/10/94]

* The 2.0 requirement applies to all major requirements, including pre-major and lower division courses but not to those courses taken in the major beyond the minimum requirements. [SREC: 12/4/95]

A dean is the chief administrative officer of a college and is responsible for the enforcement of the University Senate Rules, Rules of the Graduate Faculty, and the rules of the college faculty [GR VII.F].

## General Requirements for Graduation All Graduate Degree Programs

An overall average of $B(3.00)$ on all graduate work in the program must be attained, and a final examination passed, before an advanced degree may be awarded. [US: 04/21/52] Graduatelevel courses (numbers 400G-799) are computed in the graduate grade-point average, with the exception of 400 G courses in the student's program [SREC (GF 2/18/63)]

D grades may not be awarded to graduate students [US: 04/21/52]. Graduate courses (400G799) may not be taken Pass/ Fail.

The Dean is the executive officer who administers the policies of the Graduate Faculty. [US: 4/21/52]

## General Requirements for Graduation for All Professional Practice Doctorates (SR 9.14.2)

The academic, professional and clinical experience of professional students is differentiated from that of undergraduate and graduate students and is at the appropriate and increasing levels of mastery and complexity. (SR 3.1.2.3) [US:3/19/18]

The dean is charged with overseeing the educational work of the college and its efficient conduct and management in all matters not specifically charged elsewhere. The dean is responsible for the implementation of the curricula of the college, for ensuring through the faculty the quality of instruction given therein [GR VII.F2.c].

### 3.1.3.1 Undergraduate Degree Programs

Every undergraduate degree program shall include four divisions or components:

1. UK Core
2. Pre-major
3. Major
4. Electives [US: 4/17/2017]

An undergraduate degree program must require at least 120 credit hours.

### 3.1.3.1.1 Residence requirements

For an undergraduate degree,

1. at least $25 \%$ of the minimum credit hours required for the degree*,
2. not less than 30 credit hours, [US: 9/10/12]** and
3. a minimum of thirty (30) of the last thirty-six (36) credit hours **
presented for the degree must be taken from the University.

* Courses taken elsewhere with credit transfer to UK, courses taken through the UK International Center (except for courses taught by UK faculty), credits achieved by examination, credits earned via CLEP (the College Level Examination Program), and courses taken through the National Student Exchange do not count toward the 25\% requirement.
** Courses taken through the UK International Center and through the National Student Exchange are considered as courses taken at UK for purposes of both Rule 3.1.2.1.1 and Rule 5.5.2.2 (2) and (3)'s residency requirement and for graduates to be conferred commencement honors at the time of award of their degrees under Senate Rules 5.5.2.2 <insert link>. [SREC: 2/14/01 and 5/31/05]

Any request by veterans or by other students for a waiver of requirement (2) or (3) must be presented for approval to the dean of the student's college. Students who wish to satisfy the above requirement with credit earned through such methods as independent study by correspondence, special examination, CLEP, and other methods which limit the opportunity for active exchange between students and instructors must have the prior approval of their department chair and college dean. At the end of each academic year the dean of each college shall report to the Senate Council, through the University Registrar, the number of waivers granted in the categories of (2) or (3) above, and for each waiver granted the extent of departure that was approved from the given credit hour requirement in (2) or (3) [US: 9/10/12].

### 3.1.3.1.2 UK Core requirements

[US: 3/17/2008; US: 12/8/2008; US: 12/13/2010]
Each undergraduate student must complete all four parts of the UK Core Requirements designated as I-IV below. A course taken to satisfy a requirement in one area of the UK Core cannot be used to satisfy a requirement in another area, even if a specific course is present in more than one area (e.g., some courses are designed to meet the learning outcomes in more than one area).
I. Intellectual Inquiry
A. The Nature of Inquiry in Arts and Creativity
3 hours
B. The Nature of Inquiry in the Humanities
3 hours
C. The Nature of Inquiry in the Social Sciences
3 hours
D. The Nature of Inquiry in the Natural, Physical, and Mathematical Sciences 3 hours
II. Written, Oral and Visual Communication
A. Composition and Communication I
3 hours
B. Composition and Communication II
3 hours

## III. Quantitative Reasoning

A. Quantitative Foundations

$$
3 \text { hours }
$$

B. Statistical Inferential Reasoning

$$
3 \text { hours }
$$

## IV. Citizenship

| A. Community, Culture and Citizenship in the USA | 3 hours |
| :--- | :--- |
| B. Global Dynamics | 3 hours |

## UK Core Credit-Hour Total*

30 hours
*The UK Core is designed to provide the equivalent of 30 credit hours. Some courses in the UK Core require more than three credits, resulting in more than 30 credits in some cases.

### 3.1.3.1.2.1 UK Core Course Substitution Policy for Students with Disabilities

### 3.1.3.1.2.1.1 Determination of Disability

[US: 10/9/2023]
The DRC is responsible for determining, on behalf of the University, the need, or not, for reasonable accommodation for any student with a documented disability.

The DRC Director has the final authority on determining whether a course substitution is a reasonable accommodation for the student's disability or disabilities. This "reasonableness" of an accommodation is based on an institutional-level analysis. If the DRC Director determines that an adjustment within a course or a course substitution are not a reasonable accommodation of the student's disability, the DRC Director shall communicate to the student the procedures for student appeal, which are not part of this policy.

For students for whom course substitution is being considered because of a learning disability, the DRC is responsible for first reviewing the list of courses approved for the UK Core requirement to ensure that no appropriate course already exists.

If the DRC Director determines that reasonable accommodation for the student's disability does not include course substitution, the DRC Director considers other accommodations such as but not limited to accommodations within a course. This DRC Director's consideration of other accommodation(s) will include the timely engagement of faculty.

### 3.1.3.1.2.1.2 Process

## [US: 10/9/2023]

Upon a request from a student, and if the DRC determines that the student has a documented disability for which a course substitution of a UK Core requirement is a reasonable accommodation, then the DRC Director will inform the student that the student may take one of the courses that has been previously approved by the UK Core Committee as an appropriate
substitute. If the student chooses one of those approved courses, then the student will receive that accommodation as a reasonable accommodation.

If the student would like to pursue a course that has not yet been approved for UK Core, then DRC Director will inform the SUKCEC chair that the UK Core Course Substitution Subcommittee needs to be convened. The DRC will de-identify the student.

1. The UCCSS will review submitted course syllabi against relevant Core area rubrics to assess academic appropriateness. If needed, the UCCSS can consult with any individual(s) who may assist them in their deliberations, so long as the student remains de-identified.
2. The UCCSS has the sole authority to: make the initial decision as it relates to UK Core requirements on the educational appropriateness of a course proposed for Core substitution; and whether any course substitution meets the academic standards of the UK Core requirement to ensure the substitution does not fundamentally alter the UK Core requirement.
3. Following a decision by the UCCSS that a requested course(s) substitution is inappropriate, i.e. would lower essential academic standards, fundamentally or substantially modify the course or academic program in question, then the student has a right to appeal the subcommittee decision to the full SUKCEC committee by contacting the DRC Director. In the case of an appeal, the SUKCEC has the sole authority to determine if a requested course substitution is appropriate and the decision by the full SUKCEC shall constitute the final decision on that question. If there is no appeal, then the UCCSS's initial decision that the course is inappropriate is final.
4. The DRC Director will document the final decision about a particular course(s) and the UCCSS's rationale. The DRC will communicate, in writing, the University's final decision (after any appeals or reconsideration) to the student, SUKCEC chair, and Registrar, including the committee's decision as well as any other decision(s) related to the accommodation request.
5. Outside of this process, students have the right to any other appeals guaranteed to them by other University and federal regulations. If a student feels they have not appropriately received disability-related accommodations, students always retain the right to file a complaint with the University's Equal Opportunity Office or the United States Office for Civil Rights.

### 3.1.3.1.3 Foreign language

Any first-time freshman or transfer student must either (1) demonstrate that they have passed two high school credits in a single foreign language, or two semesters at the postsecondary level or (2) pass a two-semester sequence in one foreign language at the University prior to graduation. [SREC: 8/21/2014 per SC: 5/12/2014]

### 3.1.3.1.4 Electives

An elective component is a mandatory part of every baccalaureate program and baccalaureate programs may satisfy this requirement with a free elective. The free elective must meet the definition of free electives as set forth in the Glossary of Terms of the University Senate Rules. [US: 4/17/2017]

### 3.1.3.1.5 Graduation Composition and Communication Requirement (GCCR) <br> [US: 5/6/2013; 3/20/2023]

Per Senate Rule 3.1.1.1.2, all undergraduate students must satisfy the UK Core requirements for Composition and Communication. Prior to graduation but after successfully fulfilling the UK Core Composition and Communication I and II requirement, students must also successfully complete the GCCR. To satisfy the GCCR, a student must earn a passing grade in any given UK course designated as fulfilling some or all of the GCCR. [US: 3/20/2023]

The faculty in each undergraduate degree program shall implement a GCCR appropriate to the academic discipline and expectations of the major. Each undergraduate program faculty shall articulate this requirement in terms of one or more learning outcomes that will be assessed regularly as required by program accreditation standards and University standards for reaffirmation by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools - Commission on Colleges (SACSCOC). Each GCCR requirement, learning outcome, and assessment protocol must be vetted by program faculty and recommended for approval by the Undergraduate Council. [US: 5/6/2019; 3/20/2023]

### 3.1.3.1.5.1 Requirements

### 3.1.3.1.5.1.1 Components of the GCCR <br> [US: 3/20/2023]

The GCCR shall consist of three components, each of which should reflect the standards and practices of the particular discipline:

1. one or more written assignments;
2. either an oral assignment, in which students must give a formal presentation, or a visual assignment, in which students create at least one significant visual/electronic artifact (e.g., a web site or video presentation);
3. an assignment that requires the student to demonstrate information literacy in the discipline.

Individual undergraduate programs shall identify the specific criteria for each GCCR assignment, ensuring the assignments are appropriate for the specific discipline and meet the spirit of the GCCR outlined above. For a course to be approved to meet the GCCR, the undergraduate program will be required to justify the relevance and appropriateness of each required assignment for their specific discipline. [US: 3/20/2023]

Proposals will be required to justify the selection of the individual assignments that will address each of the three GCCR components outlined in 3.1.1.1.5.1.1, including why they are representative and relevant for the discipline of study. [US: 3/20/2023]

### 3.1.3.1.5.1.2 Structure within or across courses and programs

The GCCR may be satisfied via either a single GCCR intensive course or a series of GCCR intensive assignments in a series of courses. Program faculty may specify that a course offered
by another undergraduate program fulfills the GCCR if the faculty in the second undergraduate program so agree. [US: 3/20/2023]

### 3.1.3.1.5.1.3 Draft/feedback/revision process required

Courses must incorporate a draft/feedback/revision process on at least one of the required GCCR assignments. [US: 3/20/2023]

### 3.1.3.1.5.2 Assessment

Each undergraduate degree program shall identify at least one specific program learning outcome and a plan for assessing both the writing and oral or visual components of the GCCR. The assessment plan will include (a) clear goals for successful achievement of the GCCR, (b) specific criteria and rubrics for systematically assessing student work, and (c) a cogent description of how assessment results will be utilized by program faculty to propose revisions to GCCR instruction and/or curriculum if the goals are not met. This information shall be included with every GCCR-related proposal submitted to the UC. [US: 3/20/2023]

### 3.1.3.2 Undergraduate Certificate Programs

[US: 2/14/11; 5/4/2020; 12/14/2020]
Undergraduate certificate programs consist of suites of undergraduate courses focused upon a defined academic or professional objective.

An undergraduate certificate must be a minimum of 12 credits, all at the 200 level or above, with a minimum of six credits at the 300 -level or above. The certificate must have a three-credit breadth component, requiring the student to take courses in at least two disciplines, with a minimum of three credits to be completed in a second discipline.

A student must earn a C or better, or a Pass in a pass/fail course, in each required certificate course to receive the certificate.

* Under SR 5.1.3, the Senate Council (or Senate) can approve that a particular certificate program can require a pass/fail course [SREC: 3/30/2019]

Award of an undergraduate certificate shall be noted on the student's transcript upon successful completion of the curriculum.

* Students enrolled in graduate degree programs or professional programs may pursue an undergraduate certificate program. [SREC: 4/8/2015]

Undergraduate certificates shall be approved by the same process as are programs (see SR 3.1.3) except that the following are not required: a) posting and approval by the Council on Postsecondary Education, and b) approval by the University of Kentucky Board of Trustees.

### 3.1.3.3 Badges

[US: 12/12/2022]

A badge program (either credit bearing or non-credit bearing) consists of two or more courses, but no more than four courses, which collectively provide one or more defined skill sets or competencies that can be useful to students/learner and employers. The credit-bearing badge credentials will appear through the Registrar on a student's University transcript; non-creditbearing badges will not appear on the transcript. The non-credit bearing badge and their noncredit bearing courses, delegated by the Senate Rules to the local jurisdiction of a college faculty body (or its Senate-approved equivalent), will be officially attested by the signature of dean of the college (or dean equivalent) (See SR 3.2.3.3.2-3.2.3.3.3).

For the purposes of this Senate policy, "course" refers to a unit of educational content with paced delivery to enrolled learners, that includes required interactions with the supervising credentialed instructor during a fixed period of time, which culminates in the instructor's assessment of the learner's attainment of specific learning outcomes.

A credit-bearing badge must be a minimum of five credit hours and must not exceed eight credit hours. A student must earn a C or better, or a Pass in a pass/fail course, in each of the required courses to earn a badge. Courses required for a credit-bearing badge can be in any hundred series (see SR 3.2.1.1).

At a minimum, a proposal for a badge will include the following information: badge name; description; audience served; learning objectives; and assessment plan. Badges require the approval of the unit faculty ("program faculty") and that unit's respective college-level faculty body. For badges homed outside of a college, the review by an academic council will serve as the college-level faculty body review. For all badges, the approval process will follow the guidance laid out in SR 3.1.3.3.3.3.1 ("Other Changes").

### 3.1.3.4 Graduate Degree Programs

Graduate degree programs are differentiated in complexity and rigor from undergraduate degree programs, with increasing complexity and mastery as a student progresses. [see SR 3.1.2.2]

Graduate students are eligible to take regular courses which meet as organized classes and independent-study or research courses in which each student carries on investigations independent of class meetings. [US: 4/21/52]

All courses numbered 500 through 799 may be counted for credit toward a graduate degree provided they are approved as an appropriate part of the student's graduate program by the student's graduate advisor or committee. [US: 04/21/52] Courses numbered 400G to 499G carry graduate credit for non-majors only [US: 4/21/52: see SR 3.1; SR 10.2]

Courses numbered at the 600 or 700 level should be taught by members of the Graduate Faculty or by such other instructors as are approved by the Dean of the Graduate School. [US: 12/13/54]

### 3.1.3.4.1 Graduate Research Doctoral Degrees

The Doctor of Philosophy requires demonstration in a comprehensive examination, after not less than three years of graduate work, of a broad and penetrating knowledge of the dissertation subject and chosen field. The doctor's degree represents the attainment of independent and comprehensive scholarship in a special field, evidenced both by the student's grasp of subject matter and by the student's capacity to do research. [US: 4/21/52]

### 3.1.3.4.1.1 Graduate Doctoral Residence and Time Limits

## Prequalifying Residence

Students must complete the equivalent of two years of residency ( 36 credit hours of graduate coursework) prior to the qualifying examination. [US: 04/21/52; US: 05/14/56; US: 11/12/73; 05/09/08]. Some programs require more than 36 hours of graduate coursework prior to the qualifying examination. [US: 05/09/08]

An awarded master's degree from the University or from another accredited school may satisfy 18 of this 36 hour pre-qualifying requirement. [US: 05/14/56; US: 05/09/08]

## Prequalifying Time Limit

Students are required to take the qualifying examination within five years of entry into the program. Extensions up to an additional three years may be requested. Extensions up to twelve months may be approved by the Dean of the Graduate School upon receipt of a request from the Director of Graduate Studies. Requests for extensions longer than twelve months must be considered by Graduate Council and will require the positive recommendation of the Director of Graduate Studies, the chair of the student's doctoral advisory committee, and a majority vote of Graduate Faculty in the program. [US: 4/11/05]

This time limit applies to all programs, but the graduate faculty of a doctoral program (or group of programs) has the option to petition Graduate Council for a shorter or longer time limit. If approved, this modification will then apply to all doctoral students in that program. [US: 4/11/05]

## Postqualifying Residence

Students are required to enroll in a 2 credit hour course after successfully completing the qualifying examination, XXX-767; Dissertation Residency Credit. This will constitute full-time enrollment. Students are required to complete a minimum of two semesters of 767 before they can graduate. [US: 04/21/52; US: 04/11/05]

Students must remain continuously enrolled in this course every fall and spring semester until they have completed and defended the dissertation. Continuous enrollment in 767 will also apply to students whose programs of study or certification standards require an extended practicum or field experience [US: 04/11/05]

## Postqualifying Time Limit

All degree requirements for the doctorate must be completed within five years following the semester or summer session in which the candidate successfully completes the qualifying examination, but extensions up to an additional 5 years may be requested for a total of 10 years. Extensions up to one year may be approved by the Dean (or designee) of the Graduate School. Requests for extensions longer than one year must be considered by Graduate Council. All requests should be initiated by the Director of Graduate Studies. [US: 04/21/52] [SREC (GF 4/28/92)]

### 3.1.3.4.1.2 Foreign language requirement

Any program-specific language requirement(s) must be satisfied before the applicant may sit for the qualifying examination. [US: 04/21/52]

### 3.1.3.4.1.3 Course work requirements

The nature of doctoral coursework other than generally required enrollment in XXX-767 (SR 3.1.1.3.1.1) is determined by the student's advisory committee. [US: 04/21/52]

### 3.1.3.4.1.4 Assessment of good progress for doctoral students

The Graduate Faculty of each doctoral program is required to define good progress toward completion of the doctoral degree. This information should be included in the program's Graduate Student Handbook (it is recommended that the consequences of lack of good progress are also included in the handbook). Each doctoral student's progress toward the degree will be reviewed (at least) annually by either the Graduate Faculty in the program, the doctoral advisory committee, or the graduate education committee. Students will be informed in writing of the results of that meeting by the Director of Graduate Studies or the chair or their designee. [US: 04/11/05]

### 3.1.3.4.1.5 Qualifying examination

A qualifying examination consisting of both written and oral components is required of all doctoral students. Its purpose is to verify that students have sufficient understanding of and competence in their fields to become candidates for the degree. In most programs, the advisory committee prepares and administers an individual qualifying examination; typically, that committee also judges the results of the examination. A majority vote of the core of the advisory committee is required for successful completion of the qualifying examination. The examination is usually given after four semesters of graduate work or the equivalent, and after fulfillment of pre-qualifying residency. [US: 04/21/52]

SI and UI grades must be removed prior to the qualifying exam, and can only be changed to regular letter grades. [US: 4/10/06; SR 5.1.2]

The results of the examination must be reported by the Director of Graduate Studies to the Graduate School within 10 days of its conclusion. [US: 04/21/52]

If the result is failure, the advisory committee determines [GB 1979] the conditions to be met before another examination may be given. A second examination must be taken within one year [GB 1979] after the first examination. A third examination is not permitted. [US: 04/21/52] [SREC (GF 5/1/78)]

### 3.1.3.4.1.6 The dissertation

Each doctoral graduate student must present a dissertation which represents the culmination of a major research project. The dissertation must be a well-reasoned, original contribution to knowledge in the field of study and should provide evidence of high scholarly achievement. Dissertations must be prepared in conformity with the instructions published by the Graduate School. Dissertations must be prepared in conformity with the instructions published by the Graduate School. [US: 04/21/52]

### 3.1.3.4.1.7 Final examination

The Final Examination includes a defense of the dissertation and may be as comprehensive in the major and minor areas as the advisory committee chooses to make it. It is conducted by an expanded advisory committee chaired by the Director of Graduate Studies or someone designated by the Director. The Dean of the Graduate School and the

President of the University are ex officio members of all final examination committees. The examination is a public event and its scheduling is published and announced beforehand. Any member of the University community may attend. [US: 4/21/52]

SI and UI grades must be removed prior to the final exam, and can only be changed to regular letter grades. [US: 4/10/06; SR 5.1.2]

The Graduate Dean appoints an Outside Examiner as a core member of the advisory committee. [US: 4/21/52]

All members of the committee except the outside examiner must have an opportunity to suggest revisions prior to the Final Examination. [US: 4/21/52]

In all decisions, the majority opinion of the Graduate Faculty members of the advisory committee prevails. If the advisory committee is evenly divided, the candidate fails. In the event of failure, the advisory committee recommends to the Dean of the Graduate School conditions under which the candidate may be re-examined. Should any vacancies on the Committee occur between the two examinations, the Dean of the Graduate School shall appoint replacements. A third examination is not permitted. [US: 4/21/52]
[SREC (GF 5/1/78)]

### 3.1.3.4.2 Graduate research master's degree

### 3.1.3.4.2.1 Residence

Enrollment in XXX 748, which carries no credit hours, allows maintenance of full-time status for master's candidates working on their thesis [US: 4/21/52; 5/8/19]. Enrollment in XXX768 may be used to satisfy 1-12 credit hours of residence coursework for the Plan A master's degree. [SC 5/8/19; SC 8/19/19]

## Time Limit

Students enrolled in a master's/specialist program have 6 years to complete all requirements for the degree, but still have the opportunity to request extensions. Extensions must be considered by the Graduate Council, except as the Graduate Council may delegate to the Dean of the Graduate School. No activity completed more than ten calendar years preceding the proposed graduation date as appropriate will be considered for graduation. [US: 02/14/05]

Programs may opt to shorten or extend the required time to complete the master's/specialist program. Petitions must be submitted to Graduate Council for approval. The program should be able to demonstrate that the six year time limit would be detrimental to the progress of their students or to the program itself. If the request is to extend the time limit, the program must demonstrate how students will remain current in the field over this extended time period. Any approved change in the time limit would apply to all students in the program. [US: 02/14/05]

### 3.1.3.4.2.2 Course work requirements

Master's students are eligible to take regular courses which meet as organized classes and independent-study or research courses in which each student carries on investigations independent of class meetings. Independent study or research courses must not duplicate thesis work; thesis work must be done in addition to the minimum course requirements. [US: 04/41/52]

At least two-thirds of the minimum requirements for the master's or specialist degree must be in regular courses, [SREC (GF 2/19/63)]
and at least half of the minimum course requirements [SREC (GF 4/4/64)]
(excluding thesis, practicum, or internship credit) [US: 4/21/52]
must be in 600- or 700 -level courses. Exceptions to this rule may be made only with the approval of the Graduate Council. [US: 04/21/52].

The student will not be required to repeat a course which the student has completed satisfactorily at another approved institution. [US: 04/21/52]. Candidates for the master's degree must have a major area (defined usually as an academic department) and must take at least two-thirds of the course work in this area. The other one-third may be taken in this area or in related graduate areas, except as may be approved otherwise for specific programs. When the establishment of major topics seems to require it, the Graduate Council may, on recommendation of the appropriate Director of Graduate Studies, authorize courses taught outside the major to count toward the major [US: 04/21/52].

### 3.1.3.4.2.3 Thesis/Nonthesis options

Graduate programs to satisfy the master's requirements by either of two options, thesis (Plan A) or non-thesis, (Plan B). The thesis option (Plan A) requires a thesis to be developed under the direction of a full or associate member of the Graduate Faculty. Collaborative effort by two or more graduate students is not forbidden. However, there must be enough independent effort to enable each student to make a separate contribution and to prepare an individual thesis. Before the final examination, the thesis director and the appropriate Director of Graduate Studies must indicate to the Graduate School that the student's thesis satisfies all requirements of the Graduate School and is complete in content and format. [US: 04/21/52]

The non-thesis option (Plan B) requires that six or more graduate credit hours of course work be submitted in lieu of a thesis. A student may follow this option with approval of the program concerned. Students should consult their advisor for any additional requirements established for Plan B in their area of study. [US: 04/21/52]

### 3.1.3.4.2.4 Final examination

The examination is scheduled by the Dean of the Graduate School. [US: 04/21/52]
A Final Examination (oral and/or written) is given to all candidates for master's degrees not later than eight days before the last day of classes of the semester in which the degree is to be awarded. The report is returned to the Dean of the Graduate School upon completion of the examination, which in no case may be later than two weeks after the start of the examination. The examining committee consists of at least three qualified faculty members recommended by the Director of Graduate Studies and appointed by the Dean of the Graduate School [US: 04/21/52].

SI and UI grades must be removed prior to the final exam, and can only be changed to regular letter grades. [US: 4/10/06; SR 5.1.2]

Students on scholastic probation are not eligible to sit for the final examination.
If the candidate fails the final examination, the committee may recommend to the Dean of the Graduate School the conditions under which a second examination may be administered. Insofar as it is practicable, the same examining committee gives this examination. In all decisions the majority opinion of the committee prevails. If the committee is evenly divided, the candidate fails. A third examination is not allowed. [US: 04/21/52]

### 3.1.3.5 Graduate Certificate Programs

Graduate certificate programs consist of suites of graduate courses focused upon a defined academic or professional objective. [US: 5/4/2020]

Graduate certificates shall be approved by the same process as are programs (see SR
3.1.3.6.1) except that the following are not required: (a) posting and approval by the Council on Postsecondary Education, and (b) approval by the University Board of Trustees.

A graduate certificate must be a minimum of nine graduate credits in length and should be fewer than 18 graduate credits. [US: 1/14/2002]

A student must achieve a minimum graduate grade point average (GPA) of 3.00 in the set of courses required for the graduate certificate in order to be granted the certificate.

Award of a graduate certificate shall be noted on the student's transcript, upon the recommendation of the Dean of the Graduate School.

Graduate certificate curricula must comply with the "Guidelines for Graduate Certificate Curricula" published by the Graduate School, on matters not prescribed here or in other Senate Rules.

### 3.1.3.6 Professional Degree Programs

Professional degree programs require a professional component that may include internships or crosstraining, with an emphasis on professional decision making and critical analysis in problem solving. The educational content of the professional degree is distinct from a graduate scholarship degree. [US: 3/19/18]

### 3.1.3.6.1 Doctoral Degree - Professional Practice

A professional practice doctoral degree is awarded upon completion of a program providing the knowledge and skills for the recognition, credential, or license required for professional practice. The total time to the degree, including both preprofessional and professional preparation, equals at least six full-time equivalent academic years. Some of these degrees were formerly classified as "first professional." [US: 3/19/2018].

### 3.1.3.6.2 Professional Master's Degree

A professional master's degree program consists of two years of nonthesis academic training in a concentrated science, mathematics, technology or other area and contains a professional component that may include internships and cross-training in business, management, regulatory affairs, computer applications, and communications. The program is designed with the input of one or more employers. The educational content of these programs is as distinct from a
graduate research/scholarship master's as are professional practice doctoral degrees (SR 9.14.2) distinct from research/scholarship doctorates. [US: 3/19/2018].

### 3.1.3.7 Professional Certificate Programs

The post-graduate professional certificate prepares persons who currently hold a graduate degree for board eligibility in an additional specialty(s). [US: (HCCC) 6/22/19]

Proposals concerning a professional certificate program in a health profession that are recommended by a health care college shall be forwarded first to the HCCC. (SR 3.1.3.3.2.1.1) [US: 5/7/12]

### 3.1.3.8 University Scholars Program (USP)

The University Scholars Program (USP) allows a student to apply up to 12 credit hours used for one undergraduate degree towards one graduate degree. program The requirements for the undergraduate degree will be unaffected. (See SR 4.2.2.2.6 and SR 5.2.2) [US: 9/13/82; 4/14/97; SREC: 2/13/2013; 4/10/2023]

The total number of credit hours for the USP may be up to 12 fewer than the total required for both the undergraduate and master's degrees. Requirements for the bachelor's degree will be unaffected.

The total number of credit hours completed for the USP between an undergraduate degree and doctoral degree may be up to 12 hours less than the total required for both the undergraduate and the prequalifying residency requirement of the doctoral degree. [US: 12/8/2008; 4/10/2023] * See Appendix SR Error! Reference source not found. for the SREC interpretation of $t$ he Senate Rules on counting courses toward multiple degrees.

See 4.2.2.2.6 for additional admission requirements for the University Scholars Program.

### 3.1.4 PROGRESSIVE RIGOR OF ACADEMIC PROGRAMS

[US: 12/17/12]
The Undergraduate Council, Graduate Council, the Health Care Colleges Council and the University of Kentucky J. David Rosenberg College of Law Faculty Council shall propose criteria for evaluating the rigor and quality of academic programs and, upon final approval by the University Senate, apply these criteria in their approval processes, as provided below.

The approved criteria shall be posted on the website of each Council, as well as on the University Senate web site for curricular proposals and forms.

### 3.1.4.1 Undergraduate Council

The Undergraduate Council shall develop criteria for undergraduate degree program proposals that require a progression in complexity and mastery through the course of the degree. This includes examining courses in a program to ensure a progression of learning across a continuum from introductory to applied or theoretical courses from the first to fourth year. The evidence for progression should shall be illustrated by student learning outcomes for the program that are then mapped across the curriculum to show evidence of increased complexity
and rigor as a student progresses. Points of assessment of these student learning outcomes across the curriculum shall also be evident in the curriculum map.

### 3.1.4.2 Graduate Council

The Graduate Council shall develop criteria to ensure that graduate degree programs, including professional degree programs, are differentiated in complexity and rigor from undergraduate degree programs. The Graduate Council must ensure that courses which have both graduates and undergraduates have clearly differentiated requirements that speak to levels of rigor and complexity. The Graduate Council shall establish criteria for the differentiation of master's level and doctoral level rigor, as well as increasing complexity and mastery as a student progresses through the degree. [US: 3/19/2018]

### 3.1.4.3 Health Care Colleges Council

The Health Care Colleges Council shall develop criteria that ensure that the academic, professional and clinical experience of professional students is differentiated from that of undergraduate and graduate students and is at the appropriate and increasing levels of mastery and complexity for the colleges it represents. [US: 3/19/2018]

### 3.1.4.4 University of Kentucky J. David Rosenberg College of Law Faculty Council

 The University of Kentucky J. David Rosenberg College of Law Faculty Council will shall establish criteria that ensure that the progression through the law degree is marked by increasing levels of rigor and complexity.* After the College of Law faculty approves, pursuant to its established Rules, a proposal concerning a course (SR 3.2.4 below) or a change to an existing degree (SR 3.1.4 below), the College submits the proposal directly to the Senate Council for 10-day posting (SR 3.2.4.3.3.1; SR 3.1.4.3.3.2). Faculty-approved proposals concerning new degrees to be housed in the University of Kentucky J. David Rosenberg College of Law are submitted by that college directly to the Senate Council for processing through the Senate, pursuant to SR 3.1.4.3.2.1. [SREC: 11/19/2015]


### 3.1.5 PROCEDURES FOR PROCESSING ACADEMIC PROGRAMS AND CHANGES

[US: 11/14/88; 10/11/99; 5/7/2012]
The faculties of educational units or graduate programs initiate proposals for new academic programs and for changes in existing academic programs. Such proposals shall be processed as provided in SR 3.1.3.
Program changes fall into three types: minor changes, regular changes, and significant changes. See SR below for more information about program changes.

Dual credit programs proposed by an educational unit faculty in partnership with a high school or school district shall (a) comply with policies established by the Council on Postsecondary Education for these programs, (b) contain a specific provision that the UK educational unit Faculty approve both the educational site and each individual high school instructor, and (c) provide for the classification of enrolled high school students as non-degree-seeking UK students.

### 3.1.5.1 Definitions

### 3.1.5.1.1 Initiation of proposals

The faculties of educational units or graduate programs initiate proposals for new academic programs and for changes in existing academic programs. Such proposals shall be processed as provided in SR 3.1.3. Proposals for significant reduction to or closure of academic programs shall also include the considerations prescribed in SR 3.3. [US: 4/23/2018]

### 3.1.5.1.2 Changes

Changes to an academic program include changes to:

1. the requirements for admission,
2. the specific courses, the number of credit hours, or other requirements, for a certificate, degree, or the Honors program credential,
3. a major, minor, area, core, or track within an undergraduate degree,
4. a core or concentration within a master's degree (either a research /scholarship master's degree or a professional master's degree) [US:3/19/2018],
5. a core or specialization within a doctoral degree (either a research/scholarship doctorate, a professional practice doctoral degree, or an advanced practice doctorate),
6. change in mode of delivery (e.g., to a distance learning or correspondence format), because it may be that the nature of the educational material is such that it cannot be delivered in distance learning form without being a substantive change in content,
7. the title of a certificate, degree, major, minor, area, core, track, concentration or specialization,
8. changes in probation and suspension procedures and policies [SREC: 1/30/2018]

The establishment of a joint degree offering with another institution is considered as an academic program change for the purposes of SR 3.1.3.

Programs or curricula leading to academic credentials other than a degree, certificate, badge, or the Honors College program curriculum (SR 3.1.3.1.2; SR 3.3.3; SR 5.5.2.2.2.5), are not subject to $\operatorname{SR}$ 3.1.3, but are under the educational policies of the respective college faculty or its Senate-approved equivalent (SR 1.1.2.4; SR 1.4.1, para. 1).

### 3.1.5.23.1.5.1.3 Minor Program Change

[US: 10/9/2017; DATE]

### 3.1.5.2.1.13.1.5.1.3.1 Procedure

If a proposed program change meets the criteria of a minor program change, below, then the dean of the college shall forward the program change form directly to the Chair of the Senate Council for approval. If the Chair of the Senate Council concurs that the proposed change meets the criteria for a minor program change and approves it, the Chair of the Senate Council shall notify the Registrar's Office and the dean of the college originating the proposal. If the

Chair of the Senate Council believes the change does not meet the criteria for a minor program change or does not approve the change, the Chair of the Senate Council shall disapprove and stop the proposal.

### 3.1.5-2.1.23.1.5.1.3.2 Definition

A request may be considered a minor program change if it meets one (or more) of the criteria below and it does not result in a change to the total credit hours required for the degree program and there is no need to change the descriptive, narrative Undergraduate Catalog language for the program.

1. Updating a course prefix due to the home educational unit having received Senate approval to change that particular course prefix.
2. Substituting one course for a comparable course with no change in credit hours if: the home educational unit offering the course is no longer offering the course; or the home educational unit is changing a sequence of courses; or the course is replacing a course the home educational unit intends to drop.
3. Changing a list of electives, only when: the courses are all offered by the home educational unit offering the degree program; and there is no net decrease in the number of elective courses available in the list; and there is no net decrease in the number of elective credit hours available in the list.

### 3.1.5-2.23.1.5.1.4 Regular Program Change

### 3.1.5.2.3 [US: DATE]

Regular program changes are those that are neither minor in nature, nor do they represent a significant change. Regular program changes include: changing required courses; changing electives; changing the Graduation Composition and Communication Requirement (only applicable for undergraduate degrees); and changing the name of a major or track, concentration, or specialization. Changes to badges also fall within this category.

### 3.1.5.1.5 Significant Program Cehanges <br> 3.1.5.2.4

Significant changes to the academic content of a program (GR IV.C.2) are defined as those that the College Faculty, Undergraduate Council, Graduate Council, Health Care Colleges Council, or Senate Council Office determines involve one or more of the following: [US: 4/23/2018]

1. changes to academic content of the program (GR IV.C.2) that carry a significant impact (e.g., curricula) on the home unit or another educational unit; or
2. significant impact on the character or the purpose of the program (e.g., addition of a track, concentration, or specialization in a degree program).

Significant actions include, but are not limited to, the following:

- New degree
- New certificate
- Addition of online delivery for part or all of a degree, certificate or minor
- Change to admissions, progression, and graduation requirements for a degree, certificate, or minor
- Suspension and/or closure of part of, or all of, a degree, certificate, or minor
- Suspension and/or closure of a modality for part of, or all of, a degree, certificate, or minor

Note: Any type of proposal involving an academic unit, including name changes, and any type of proposal involving a Senate Rule change is also categorized as a significant change.

A degree program change meeting the criteria of "minor program change" (SR 3.1.4.3.4) is exempt from the above definition. [US: 4/23/2018]

The Honors College curriculum is treated as an academic program within the meaning of this significant change procedures rule. [US: 4/23/2018]

### 3.1.5.33.1.5.2 Forms to be Used

Senate Council-approved forms and other mechanisms to initiate proposals for new undergraduate, master's, and doctoral degrees, and for undergraduate, graduate or first professional certificates, and for badges, and for the Honors College program credential, or to initiate changes to these academic programs, are available at https://universitysenate.uky.edu/senate-proposalshttp://www.uky.edu/universitysenate/forms and shall be used to initiate proposals under SR 3.1.3.

### 3.1.5.43.1.5.3 Procedures to be Used

### 3.1.5.4.13.1.5.3.1 Approval by the Faculty of Record

[US: 5/7/2012; 11/13/2023]
The Faculty of Record (SR 3.1.1) of the originating educational unit makes the decision whether to approve proposals for new academic programs or changes to academic programs (including changes to dual degree programs) (GR VII.E.1-5). For UK Core, the "Faculty" within the meaning of this rule is the body identified by the University Senate to perform the educational policy-making functions of the respective program. For graduate programs, "the Faculty" is the voting graduate faculty of that program (SR 3.1.5.3.1.2). [US: 5/7/2012; 5/6/2019; 4/10/2023]

The proposal shall include identification of the educational unit/graduate program faculty serving as the Faculty of Record for the program, or its delegated Faculty of Record (see SR 3.1.1).

For programs homed outside of a college, the proposal for the new program shall include the Senate form proposing the composition of the body to act as an educational unit Faculty of Record.

In a manner prescribed by the College Faculty Rules, the chair/director shall forward to the College Faculty a proposal arising under SR 3.1.4. The chair/director's transmittal attests thereby that the proposal has been approved in accordance with the Rules of the Faculty of the originating unit. The chair(s)/director(s) may include separate opinion(s) on the academic merits or on the administrative feasibility of the proposal.

* If a program was originally approved for face to face delivery, and the dean later wants it to be delivered in part as 'face to face' and in part as distance learning, then the College Faculty has the role, and not the dean, to determine and approve as to whether the academic content of the program lends itself to delivery in part by distance learning. [SREC: 3/9/2012]
* This rule does not have the intent or effect of prohibiting any college from seeking and utilizing the opinion of any willing academic council of the Senate before the proposal is submitted to the first officially required academic council of review. [SREC: 8/21/2014]

Dual degree programs are simultaneously considered for approval by the respective unit faculties pursuant to the above procedures. One of the department chair(s)/director(s) shall forward the approved proposal to the College Faculty, or, in the case of dual degree programs that cross colleges, to each College Faculty.

For every badge there must be a faculty body to act as the department faculty, either a traditional educational unit within a college, or a faculty body approved by the Senate. The faculty body is responsible for the program/course content, learning objectives, etc. and for taking the educational policy actions in the role of a department faculty. In the cases of a faculty body approved by the Senate, the "dean" is the individual appointed by the Provost, with the concurrence of the Senate, to act in the prescribed manners.

### 3.1.5-4.1.13.1.5.3.1.1 Undergraduate and professional certificates or degrees

In cases of proposals concerning undergraduate or professional certificates or degrees, or the Honors College program credential, the respective College Faculty makes the decision whether to approve the proposal, in a manner pursuant to its College Rules (GR VII.E.3). The dean, or their designee, shall forward an approved proposal to the appropriate academic council of the Senate (SR 3.1.5.3.2), attesting thereby that the proposal has been approved in accordance with the College Faculty Rules. The dean may include a separate opinion on the academic merits of the proposal (GR VII.F.2.a).

The dean shall include a statement of administrative feasibility for new certificate and degree programs and for certificate and degree programs with a significant change. [US: 4/23/2018]

For degree programs and certificates that report to an office outside of a college, the Office of the Provost shall provide a statement of administrative feasibility for new degree programs, for degree programs with a significant change, or concerning for new certificates or certificates with a significant change. [US: 4/23/2018]

Dual degree programs are simultaneously considered for approval by the respective college faculties pursuant to the above procedures. The respective deans may include separate opinions on the academic merits or the administrative feasibility of the proposal. One of the deans, or their designee shall forward a single dual degree proposal to the appropriate academic council of the Senate.

### 3.1.5.4.1-23.1.5.3.1.2 Graduate certificates or degrees

In the case of proposals for graduate certificates or degrees, a proposal approved by the Faculty of the graduate program shall be forwarded by the Director of Graduate Studies to the dean of the college that contains the home educational unit of the graduate program. If so prescribed by the College Rules, the proposal may be reviewed by, and advisory opinion added by, faculty committees/councils of that college and by the dean of that college. The dean shall include a statement of administrative feasibility for new certificate and degree programs or for certificate and degree programs with a significant change. [US: 4/23/2018]

For graduate degree programs and certificates that report to an office outside of a college, the Office of the Provost shall provide a statement of administrative feasibility for new degree
programs, for degree programs with a significant change, or concerning for new certificates or certificates with a significant change. [US: 4/23/2018]

The Director of Graduate Studies, or their designee, shall then forward the proposal to the Dean of the Graduate School. If the proposal is for new graduate program and is arising from faculty in an educational unit that does not already home a graduate program, then the dean of the college containing that educational unit shall perform the administrative processing roles prescribed in this paragraph for the Director of Graduate Studies.

### 3.1.5.4.1.33.1.5.3.1.3 UK Core program

Changes in the UK Core Program need approval of only the Senate's designated UK Core Education Committee prior to submission to the Senate Council and do not need the approval of any other college or academic council. Courses offered as a part of UK Core are processed through regular procedures under SR 3.2.4. [US: 5/7/2012]

### 3.1.5.4.1.43.1.5.3.1.4 Badges

[US: 12/12/2022]
For every badge, the college faculty body (or responsible faculty Senate-approved faculty body if initiated outside of a college) reviews the badge proposal and either recommends approval or makes the final decision to stop the proposal. For badges homed outside a college, the review by an academic council will serve as the college-level faculty body review.

Proposals for badges are submitted to the Senate Council office (not directly to an academic council), for routing to the most appropriate academic council(s).

### 3.1.5-4.1.53.1.5.3.1.5 University Scholars Program (USP)

Graduate program faculty have the authority to accept students into their graduate programs from undergraduate programs within the same or related field through the University Scholars Program (USP). Upon submission of a request by the graduate program faculty and approval by the Graduate Council, the Graduate School shall implement USP admissions into the graduate program, in accordance with the requirements outlined in SR 3.1.1.1.7, SR 4.2.2.2.6, and SR 5.2.2. The Graduate School shall maintain a list of programs accepting students through USPs and update it annually. [US: 4/10/2023]

### 3.1.5.4.23.1.5.3.2 Approval by Academic Council

[US: 10/11/99; SREC: 6/8/2006; US: 5/7/2012]

### 3.1.5-4.2.13.1.5.3.2.1 Jurisdiction.

The dean, or their designee, shall forward the proposal to the appropriate academic council as provided below. Responsibility for the action on proposals concerning academic programs shall be vested in the appropriate academic council as follows. [US: 5/7/2012]

New professional degrees or changes in professional degree programs in the University of Kentucky J. David Rosenberg College of Law do not require approval by an academic council and are transmitted by the dean of the University of Kentucky J. David Rosenberg College of Law, or their designee, directly to the Senate Council Office.

### 3.1.5.4.2.1.13.1.5.3.2.1.1 Health Care Colleges Council (HCCC)

Proposals for a certificate, degree, or badge shall be first forwarded to the HCCC if the program involves the students in health care practices.*

* "Health care practices" within the meaning of this rule includes those health care practices that subject students to jurisdiction of the Board of Trustees-approved Health Care Colleges Code of Student Professional Conduct ('HCC Code'), even if the practices are conducted as part of an undergraduate or graduate academic program (see also HCC Code 1.B, para. 2) [SREC: 12/17/2013].
3.1.5.4.2.1.23.1.5.3.2.1.2 Undergraduate Council

All proposals concerning undergraduate certificate or degree programs, badges, or the Honors College program credential, shall be forwarded to the Undergraduate Council [US: 5/7/2012]

### 3.1.5.4.2.1.33.1.5.3.2.1.3 Graduate Council

All proposals concerning graduate certificates, degrees, and badges shall be forwarded to the Graduate Council. [US: 5/7/2012; 3/19/2018]]

### 3.1.5.4.2.23.1.5.3.2.2 Action by Academic Council

Within 30 days of initial receipt of the proposal, the academic council(s) will take action on the proposal or notify the college as to the status and reason for delay. The academic council will evaluate the proposal for compliance with rules and regulations, and for its academic merit. When the academic council has acted on a proposal, the chair of the academic council, or their designee, shall forward its evaluation and recommendation to the Senate Council. If the academic council submits a negative recommendation on the proposal, the chair of the academic council shall notify the college at that time. [US: 5/7/2012]

Negative recommendation from academic council will be processed as follows. If the academic council has submitted a negative recommendation on the proposal, the Senate Council shall either
i. forward the proposal to the next prescribed regular procedural step, or
ii. return the proposal to the academic council with particular instructions,
iii. decide on the academic merits that the proposal is not appropriate for Senate action (see SR 1.3.1.1, item 7) and report the same to Senate at its next meeting. The proposal may be introduced on the Senate floor if its initiator obtains the signature of ten (10) Senators (SR 1.2.3.3).

If the Senate Council decides to proceed with the next prescribed regular procedural step, then the Senate Council Office shall first review the proposal for compliance with current Senate Rules.

### 3.1.5.4.2.33.1.5.3.2.3 Review by Senate Council Office

The Senate Council Office shall review proposals for certificates, degrees, and badges for compliance with current rules and regulations.

### 3.1.5.4.2.3.13.1.5.3.2.3.1 New Certificates and Degrees

: The Senate Council Office shall forward these proposals to the Senate's Academic Programs Committee (SAPC, SR 1.4.2.7) for review. The SAPC shall submit its evaluation and recommendation to the Senate Council.

### 3.1.5.4.2.3.23.1.5.3.2.3.2 Changes to Existing Certificates and Degrees

Except as otherwise provided in SR 3.1.3.3.3.2.3 and 3.1.3.4.4, the Senate Council Office shall forward these proposals to the Senate Admissions and Academic Standards Committee (SAASC, SR 1.4.2.3) if the proposal has been deemed by the college faculty or an academic council as a significant change concerning
i. admission requirements and grading rules,
ii. standards for granting academic credit,
iii. probation and suspension procedures,
iv. degree and graduation requirements, or
v. otherwise involve changes deemed significant pursuant to $\operatorname{SR}$ 3.1.3.1.3.

The SAASC shall submit its evaluation and recommendation to the Senate Council.
3.1.5.4.2.3.33.1.5.3.2.3.3 Online Delivery for New and Existing Certificates and Degrees The Senate Council Office shall forward these proposals to the Senate Distance Learning and e-Learning Committee (SCDLeL) if the proposal involves adding online delivery, which is a significant change. The SCDLeL shall submit its evaluation and recommendation to the Senate Council.

### 3.1.5.4.2.3.43.1.5.3.2.3.4 Badges

The Senate Council office shall review the proposal for compliance with the Senate Rules.

### 3.1.5-4.33.1.5.3.3 Final University Approval

[US: 10/11/99; US: 2/10/03; US: 5/7/2012]

### 3.1.5.4.3.13.1.5.3.3.1 New certificates and degrees

The Senate Council shall review the proposal received from the SAPC and take appropriate action. If the Senate Council approves the proposal for consideration by the Senate, the Senate Council shall place the proposal on the University Senate agenda for its action.

In the case of new degree-granting academic programs, the Senate shall either (1) approve the proposal and forward it through the University Senate Chair (the President) to the Board of Trustees for final University action, including also a Senate recommendation on the organizational placement of the degree program in a particular home educational unit and college, or (2) shall make the final University decision to disapprove and stop action on that proposal.

In the case of establishment of a new certificate, the Senate shall either (1) make the final University decision to approve the establishment of the certificate, including a recommendation to the Provost on the organizational placement of the certificate in a particular home educational unit and college, or (2) shall make the final decision to disapprove and stop action on that proposal.
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In the case of Senate disapproval of a proposal, the Senate Council Office shall notify the college dean that forwarded the proposal. [US: 5/7/2012]

When a new certificate or degree has received final University approval, the Senate Council office shall notify the Provost, Registrar and other appropriate entities.

### 3.1.5-4.3.23.1.5.3.3.2 Changes to existing certificates and degrees

### 3.1.5.4.3.2.13.1.5.3.3.2.1 Substantive changes as per SACSCOC

Program changes that the Office of Strategic Planning and Institutional Effectiveness has administratively noted in proposal documentation to be "substantive changes" per SACSCOC (AR 1:5), but which do not otherwise meet the definition for significant change (SR 3.1.3.1.3), shall be processed by the Senate in a manner to meet any special accreditation requirements that become prescribed in AR 1:5 (GR IV.C.1). [US: 4/23/2018]
3.1.5.4.3.2.23.1.5.3.3.2.2 Significant reduction

Significant reduction in an academic program or educational unit, including suspension of admissions to a program, significant reduction to a program, or closure of a program, within the meaning of SR 3.3 shall be processed within the University Senate as prescribed by SR 3.3. [US: 4/23/2018]
3.1.5.4.3.33.1.5.3.3.3 Use of Senate Courses in Professional Residency/Fellowship If the curriculum of a professional residency or fellowship program is planned to require 18 or more credit bearing hours of Senate-approved courses this information shall be provided to the Senate Council for reporting to the Council of Postsecondary Education. [US: 5/7/2012]

### 3.1.5.4.3.3.13.1.5.3.3.3.1 Other Changes

A proposal submitted by an academic council to the Senate Council office that has not been identified as a significant change by one of the lower levels of review or Senate Council Office (SR 3.1.3.1.3), or that does not meet the definition of a minor program change (SR 3.1.3.3.4), shall proceed directly to 10 -day post (SR 3.1.3.3.3.2.4)unless the Senate Council decides to place the proposal on the University Senate agenda for Senate action. The Senate shall take final University action to either (1) approve the proposal, or (2) disapprove and stop action on that proposal. The Senate Council office shall circulate reports of these decisions to the Provost, Registrar and other appropriate entities. [US: 4/23/2018]

### 3.1.5.4.3.3.23.1.5.3.3.3.2 Ten-Day Posting

The Senate Council Office shall post the types of program proposals listed below to change an existing certificate, degree, or badge-on the corresponding Senate website for ten business days, thereby providing University-wide notice. [US: 5/7/2012; DATE]

- New minor
- New badges
- Regular change to existing degree, certificate, minor, or badge
- Closing (including closing a modality) a degree, certificate, minor, or badge for which Senate previously approved permanent suspension of admissions
3.1.5.4.3.3.33.1.5.3.3.3.3 Objections

During the 10-day posting period, any University Faculty member can raise an objection to a posted proposal through a member of the University Senate. If a Senator raises an objection in
writing to the Senate Council and the objection is not resolved, then the Senator may have the issue placed on the agenda of the next regular Senate Council meeting by having five Senators submit an objection to the Senate Council Office. If the Senate Council deems the objection has merit, then it will place the item on the Senate agenda. The Senate shall be informed about the nature of the objection by information included with the proposal packet. Formal action by the University Senate on the proposal is final Senate action. The Senate Council shall circulate reports of these decisions to the Provost, Registrar and other appropriate entities. [US: 5/7/2012]

### 3.1.5.4.3.3-43.1.5.3.3.3.4 Final approval

If no objection is raised to the Senate Council Office within ten business days of the posting, then the proposal is approved as the final Senate action. The Senate Council Office will report approvals to the Provost, Registrar and other appropriate entities. [US: 5/7/2012]

### 3.1.5.4.3.43.1.5.3.3.4 Changes to the structure of UK Core.

In the case of proposals involving significant changes in the nature of UK Core, if the Senate Council approves the proposed changes, the Senate Council shall put the proposal on the Senate agenda for action. [US: 5/7/2012]

### 3.1.5.4.4 Exception for minor program changes [US: 10/9/2017]

### 3.1.5.4.4.1 Procedure

If a proposed program change moets the criteria of a minor program change, bolow, then the dean of the college shall forward the program change form directly to the Chair of the Senate Gouncil for approval. If the Chair of the Senate-Council concurs that the proposed change meets the criteria for a minor program change and approves it, the Chair of the Senate Council shall notify the Registrar's Office and the dean of the college originating the proposal. If the Ghair of the Senate Council believes the change does not meet the criteria for a minor program change or does not approve the change, the Chair of the Senate Council shall disapprove and stop the proposal.

### 3.1.5.4.4.2 Definition

A request may be considered a minor program change if it meets one (or more) of the criteria bolow and it does not rosult in a change to the total crodit hours required for the degroe program and there is no need to change the descriptive, narrative Undergraduate Gatalog language for the program.

1. Updating a course prefix due to the home educational unit having received Senate approval to change that particular course prefix.
2. Substituting one course for a comparable course with no change in credit hours if: the home educational unit offering the course is no longer offering the course; or the home educational unit is changing a sequence of courses; or the course is replacing a course the home educational unit intends to drop.
3. Changing a list of electives, only when: the courses are all offered by the home educational unit offering the degree program; and there is no net decrease in the
number of elective courses available in the list; and there is no net decrease in the number of elective credit hours available in the list.

### 3.1.6 EFFECT ON CURRENT STUDENTS WHEN PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS CHANGE

### 3.1.6.1 Undergraduate Certificates and Degree Programs

When requirements for an undergraduate certificate or degree program are changed after a student has enrolled in it, the student shall have the option of fulfilling either the old or the new requirements. In fulfilling the old requirements, if a student finds that necessary courses have been eliminated or substantially revised, the student may substitute other courses with the approval of the dean of the college. In this eventuality, however, the student shall not be forced to comply with the new requirements.

However, if a student interrupts their work in the program or the University for more than two semesters, then the dean of the college shall determine which requirements the student shall fulfill.

If the curriculum revision is required by an external accreditation certification body, and this body submits a written statement to the University that the accreditation of a program or certification of its graduates is in jeopardy unless students fulfill the new requirements, the option of fulfilling the old requirements shall not apply.

This rule does not apply to the imposition of new or additional prerequisites for courses required as outside curricular requirements by another program.

### 3.1.6.2 Graduate Certificates and Degree Programs

When Graduate School or graduate certificate or degree program requirements are changed after a student has begun a course of study, the student shall have the option of fulfilling either the old or new requirements.

If the student elects to fulfill the old requirements but finds that necessary resources (e.g., courses, instruction in particular skills) are no longer available, the student may make reasonable substitutes with the approval of the Graduate School Dean upon recommendation of the Director of Graduate Studies.

In the event that a student interrupts work on a graduate degree (i.e., is not enrolled) for one calendar year or more, the Graduate School Dean shall determine, upon recommendation of the Director of Graduate Studies, whether the old requirements or the new requirements shall apply. In the event a student has not completed the requirements for the graduate degree five years after the effective date of a change in degree requirements, the new requirements shall apply unless determined otherwise by the Graduate School Dean. [US: 2/12/79]

### 3.1.6.3 Professional Certificate and Degree Programs

The colleges offering professional certificates and degrees reserve the right to change curriculum requirements provided the program change has gone through the University's approval process. Any such change in curriculum, however, shall not result in a longer tenure for students enrolled in the program who are making satisfactory academic progress. [US: 10/12/87]

### 3.2. COURSES

### 3.2.1 DEFINITION OF "COURSE"

[US: 5/1/2023]
A course is a unit of educational content with paced delivery to enrolled learners, which includes required interactions with the supervising credentialed instructor during a fixed period of time and that culminates in the instructor's assessment of the learner's attainment of specific learning outcomes. Courses may be credit-bearing courses or non-credit-bearing.

An activity will meet the Senate definition for a course when it substantially has the features below as determined by Senate.

1. Has educational content
2. Has paced delivery
3. Is delivered to learners
4. Learners are enrolled in the activity
5. Interactions with an Instructor are required
6. The Instructor has the necessary credentials
7. The course occurs during a fixed period of time
8. The course has specific learning outcomes, requirements, or the equivalents
9. The Instructor assesses learning

### 3.2.1.1 Credit-Bearing Courses

Credit-bearing courses are reflected on an academic transcript and are under Senate's purview.

### 3.2.1.2 Non-Credit-Bearing Courses

[US: 5/1/2023]
Non-credit-bearing courses are not recorded on an academic transcript.
When overseen by the college faculty of an educational unit (or other Senate-approved faculty body), as delegated by the Senate per SR 3.2.3.3.1, non-credit-bearing courses do not require approval beyond the educational unit, notwithstanding any other college- or department-level rule requirements.

### 3.2.2 REGULAR AND SUBSTANTIVE INTERACTION

All credit-bearing courses must support regular and substantive interaction (RSI) between the students and the instructor, regardless of the course's delivery mode (e.g., in-person, hybrid, or online). (See also 6.1.1.1) [US: 12/13/2022]

### 3.2.3 COURSE NUMBERING SYSTEM

### 3.2.3.1 Standard Numbering System

The number system reflects the level of course material and associated rigor. With the exception of upper graduate level and professional courses, any prerequisite restrictions limiting the level of a student accepted into a course shall be specified in a course's prerequisites. [US: 11/14/2016] Courses shall be numbered as follows:

001-099 No credit, non-degree and/or developmental courses; [US: 9/10/2001]
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100-199 Freshmen-level course; undergraduate credit only; [US: 11/14/2016]
200-299 Sophomore-level course; undergraduate credit only; [US: 11/14/2016]
300-399 Junior-level course; undergraduate credit only; [US: 11/14/2016]
400-499 Advanced junior- and senior-level course; undergraduate credit only;
400G-499G Senior and first-year graduate-level course; graduate credit for non-majors only; [US: 11/14/2016]

500-599 First-year graduate-level course; undergraduate and graduate credit; [US: 11/14/2016]

600-799 Upper graduate-level course; open only to graduate students; [US: 11/14/2016]
800-999 Professional programs course; open only to students enrolled in professional degree programs (see SR Error! Reference source not found.). [US: 2 /13/2012; 11/14/2016; 3/19/2018]

### 3.2.3.2 Exceptions

Exceptions to the requirements for admission to courses may be made as follows [US: 11/14/2016]:

Seniors with superior ability or preparation may be admitted to courses numbered between 600 and 799, upon approval of the instructor, the dean of the student's college and the dean of the Graduate School.

### 3.2.3.3 Blocks of Numbers for Certain Courses

The following blocks of numbers are set aside by the Registrar's Office for use of specific courses as indicated:

### 3.2.3.3.1 395 Independent Work or Independent Study

If a department offers more than one such course, numbers lower than 395 shall be used.

### 3.2.3.3.2 Community engagement and other experiential learning courses

### 3.2.3.3.2.1 Definitions

For the purposes of experiential learning activities created and delivered from a unit faculty (SR 3.2.4.3.1), the following apply. Any experiential learning activity that is required for a certificate, degree or academic honor recorded on the transcript must be tracked by a Senate numbered course for zero or more credit hours.

Community engagement describes the collaboration between institutions of higher education and their larger communities (local, regional/state, national, global) for the mutually beneficial exchange of knowledge and resources in a context of partnership and reciprocity.

### 3.2.3.3.2.1.1 Community-based learning experiences

These are for-credit courses in which students apply, and thereby achieve greater mastery of, theoretical knowledge in real-world settings under the supervision of a faculty member.

### 3.2.3.3.2.1.2 Service-learning.

This is an integrative experience through which learners engage in thoughtfully organized actions in response to community identified assets and needs. Experiences are designed to be reciprocal exchanges of knowledge and resources accomplished through service and reflection. Learning outcomes promote academic and civic engagement and are focused on an equal balance between holistic learner development and community well-being. Service-learning can be credit bearing or non-credit bearing.

### 3.2.3.3.2.1.3 Outreach.

This is a focus on the application and provision of institutional resources for community use. Outreach can be formal or informal educational approaches to deliver university (researchbased) information to the people and communities.

### 3.2.3.3.2.1.4 Civic engagement.

This is working to make a difference in the civic life (both political and non-political processes) of our communities and developing the combination of knowledge, skills, values and motivation to make that difference.

### 3.2.3.3.2.2 Reserved numbers for courses

### 3.2.3.3.2.2.1 $396 \quad$ University experiential education

### 3.2.3.3.2.2.2 399 Departmental field based experiential education

May be repeated to a total of 30 hours. To provide the opportunity for students with the approval of a faculty member and the department chairman--or the department chairperson's designee-to earn credit for work-study experience. The student must work with a faculty member to describe the nature of the experience, the work to be performed, accompanying learning experiences, appropriate course credit for the work, and criteria by which the student's work may be evaluated. This information must be written and filed in the departmental office and the Office for Experiential Education prior to the student's registration for the course. Catalog descriptions of these courses shall include an explicit statement of the need for filling out a learning contract.

### 3.2.3.3.3 CONS 599

Reserved for enrollment of consortium agreement students for purposes of assigning and tracking financial aid awards and full-time/part-time status [US: 9/13/2021]

### 3.2.3.3.4 748 Master's Thesis Research

May be repeated three calendar years (0 credits). [US: 3/6/2000]

### 3.2.3.3.5 749 Dissertation Research

May be repeated three calendar years (0 credits). [US: 3/6/2000]

### 3.2.3.3.6 767 Dissertation residency credit

Residency credit for dissertation research after the qualifying examination. Students may register for this course in the semester of the qualifying examination. A minimum of two semesters are required as well as continuous enrollment (Fall and Spring) until the dissertation is completed and defended. (2 credit hours). [US: 2/13/2012]

* When the Senate approves a new graduate degree program the establishment of the 767 course is automatic, and does not need to be submitted per se through the course approval process. [SREC: 3/28/2012]


### 3.2.3.3.7 768 Residence credit for master's degree

May be repeated once (1-6 credits equivalence).

### 3.2.3.3.8 769 Residence credit for doctoral degree

May be repeated indefinitely ( $0-12$ credits equivalence).

### 3.2.3.3.9 770-779 Seminar courses

3.2.3.3.10790-799 Research courses
3.2.3.3.11880-889 Seminar courses in professional degree programs
[US:3/19/2018]
3.2.3.3.12895-899 Independent work in professional degree programs
[US:3/19/2018]

### 3.2.3.3.13 Reserved for the Council on Postsecondary Education

The following are reserved for enrollments to report numbers in these categories to the Council on Postsecondary Education:

### 3.2.3.3.13.1 PD 099

Reserved for enrollment of Postdoctoral Scholars and Postdoctoral Fellows

### 3.2.3.3.13.2 MC 800

Reserved for enrollment of Residents and Clinical Fellows in the health care professional programs

### 3.2.3.4 Remedial Courses

All remedial courses created by the University Senate shall be designated with the letter R following the course designation and number. No course designated with an R shall count for credit towards a degree at the University. [US: 3/7/88; US: 4/10/2000; US9/10/2001; SREC: 6/8/2006]

### 3.2.3.5 Expectations in 400 G and 500 -level courses

Combined instruction of graduate and undergraduate students in 400G and 500-level courses must be structured to ensure appropriate attention to both groups, and a corresponding differentiation in expectations. This differentiation is to be accomplished by (i) the completion of additional or distinct assignments by the enrolled graduate students that are consistent with
graduate-level scholarship; and/or (ii) the establishment of different grading criteria in the course for graduate versus undergraduate students, reflecting a higher standard for graduate students. The grading scale for both graduate and undergraduate students must be clearly stated in the syllabus. [US: 5/5/2003]
[See Error! Reference source not found. on documenting this information in a course s yllabus.]

### 3.2.4 PROCEDURES FOR PROCESSING COURSES AND CHANGES IN COURSES

[US: 11/14/88; US: 10/11/99; US: 5/7/12; US: 9/9/2013]

Applications for initiating new courses, changes in existing courses, or deleting courses, must be processed as provided in this rule. [US: 5/7/2012]

This rule also applies to new or existing courses that bear the imprimatur of UK as an educational institution, are taught by UK faculty, and are offered to the public. This rule applies regardless of whether or not the course is recorded on an academic transcript and whether or not the course is eligible toward a certificate or degree. This rule does not apply to individual activities of a faculty member or other UK employee in which they may use the UK logo simply to indicate their status as UK employees.

* $\quad$ This rule includes continuing education programs [US: 9/9/2013; SREC: 12/17/2013]


### 3.2.4.1 Definitions

[US: 5/7/2012; 5/2/2022]
If changes to a course are being proposed as a part of a new academic program or change to an academic program, then those course changes shall be incorporated into the proposal for academic program change that is processed pursuant to $S R$ 3.1.3.6.1.

* The above rule will take effect when technologically feasible [SREC: 12/17/2013]

A change in course content that does not affect (i) use of the course to satisfy program requirements; (ii) course number; (iii) course credit hours; or (iv) course title, is not considered as a change to an academic program and shall be processed according to SR 3.2.4

In the course change procedures prescribed in SR 3.2.4, the "Dean" of courses homed outside of a college is the officer appointed by the Provost, with concurrence of the Senate, to act in the prescribed manner. For these courses, the faculty body responsible for the course content, learning objectives, etc. and for taking the educational policy actions below, in the role of a department faculty or graduate faculty, is the committee approved by the Senate to act as such for the respective course. [US: 5/2/2022]

### 3.2.4.1.1 Minor Course Change

[US: 10/11/1999; DATE]

### 3.2.4.1.1.1 Procedure

If a proposed course change meets the criteria of a minor change below, then the dean of the college shall forward the course change form directly to the Chair of the Senate Council for approval. If the Chair of the Senate Council concurs that the proposed change meets the criteria for a minor change, then the Chair of the Senate Council shall notify the Registrar's Office and the dean of the college originating the proposal. If the Chair of the Senate Council believes the change is not minor, then the Chair of the Senate Council shall return the proposal to the dean of the college originating the proposal, for processing through the procedure for regular course approval.

### 3.2.4.1.1.2 Definition

A request may be considered a minor change if it meets one of the following criteria:

1. Change in number within the same hundred series. For the purpose of this rule, courses numbered 600 to 799 , and 800 to 999, are respectively considered to be in the same hundred series.

The change of a 400G course to a 400 course by the program that 'homes' the course is a regular course change because there may be other programs that do or plan to use that course in their own programs. [SREC: $3 / 28 / 2012$ ]
2. An editorial change in the course title or description which does not imply change in content or emphasis

When a change in course prefix is made necessary by prior Senate approval of a change in the name of the associated academic program or a change in the name of the educational unit, the change to a completely new course prefix may be considered a minor change when the following standards are met: (1) there is no change in course content or emphasis; (2) there is no change in the 'hundred series' of the course number; and (3) there is no change of the educational unit responsible for the course. [SREC: 6/23/2011]
3. a change in prerequisite(s) which does not imply a change in course content or emphasis, or which is made necessary by the elimination or significant alteration of the prerequisite(s)
4. a new cross listing or a change in the cross-listing status of a course, as described above

## 5. correction of typographical errors.

### 3.2.4.1.2 Regular Course Changes

Regular course changes are those that are not minor in nature. Every aspect of a course may be changed using the regular course change process. [US: DATE]

### 3.2.4.2 Forms to be Used

[US: 5/7/2012]
Senate Council-approved forms and other mechanisms to initiate proposals concerning courses are available at http://www.uky.edu/universitysenate/forms and shall be used to initiate proposals under SR 3.2.3.2.

### 3.2.4.3 Procedures to be Used

[US: 5/7/2012]
Courses that cannot be used toward a credit-bearing program If a proposed course cannot be used toward a credit-bearing program (SR 3.1.1.1), , then final approval of the course is conducted pursuant to the Rules of the College of the originating educational unit and does not require approval above the level of the College. The College Rules may further delegate responsibility to department or program faculties (GR VII.E.1-6). If the originating educational unit is not administratively housed in a college, then the department chair or director shall forward the proposal to the appropriate Academic Council, pursuant to SR 3.2.4.3.2.1 below. [US: 9/9/2013]

### 3.2.4.3.1 Approval by the Faculty of Record

[US: 5/7/2012; 11/13/2023]

### 3.2.4.3.1.1 Initial action

The Faculty of Record (SR 3.1.1) of the originating educational unit decides whether to approve proposals for new courses or changes to courses (including changes to courses in the educational unit's dual degree programs) (GR VII.E.1-5). For the Honors Program and UK Core, the "Faculty" within the meaning of this rule is the body identified by the University Senate to perform the educational policy-making functions of the respective program. [SREC: 8/18/06; US: 5/7/2012]

The proposal shall include identification of the educational unit/graduate program faculty serving as the Faculty of Record for the program, or its delegated Faculty of Record (see SR 3.1.1). For programs homed outside of a college, the proposal for the new program shall include the Senate form proposing the composition of the body to act as an educational unit Faculty of Record. [US: 11/13/2023]

The department chair/director shall forward the proposal to the College Faculty, in a manner prescribed by the College Faculty Rules. The chair/director's transmittal attests thereby that the proposal has been approved in accordance with the Rules of the Faculty of the originating unit. The department chair/director may include a separate opinion on the academic merits or on the administrative feasibility of the proposal.

* For the purposes of this rule and graduate courses, "The Faculty of the originating educational unit" means the members of the graduate faculty of the program. [SREC: 10/25/2012]
* This rule does not have the intent or effect of prohibiting any college from seeking and utilizing the opinion of any willing academic council of the Senate before the proposal is submitted to the first officially required academic council of review [SREC: 12/17/2013].

Courses for dual degree programs are simultaneously considered for approval by the respective unit faculties pursuant to the above procedures. One of the chairs/directors shall forward the approved proposal to the College Faculty, or, in the case of dual degree programs that cross colleges, to each College Faculty.

### 3.2.4.3.1.2 Proposals for undergraduate or professional courses

In cases of proposals concerning courses for undergraduate or professional certificates or degrees, or for the Honors College program credential, or for enrollment in undergraduate or professional status, the College Faculty decides whether to approve the proposal (GR VII.E.3).
The dean shall forward an approved proposal to the appropriate academic council of the Senate (SR 3.2.4.3.2), attesting thereby that the proposal has been approved in accordance with the College Faculty Rules. The dean may include a separate opinion on the academic merits or administrative feasibility of the proposal (GR VII.F.2.a-c).

Courses for dual degree programs are simultaneously considered for approval by each College Faculty pursuant to the above procedures. The respective deans may include separate opinions on the academic merits or on the administrative feasibility of the proposal. One of the deans shall forward a single proposal for the dual degree course to the appropriate academic council of the Senate.

### 3.2.4.3.1.3 Proposals for graduate courses

In the case of proposals for courses for graduate certificates or degrees, or for enrollment in graduate status, a proposal approved by the Faculty of the graduate program shall be forwarded by the Director of Graduate Studies to the dean of the college that contains the home educational unit of the graduate course. If so prescribed by the College Rules, the proposal may be reviewed by, and advisory opinion added by, faculty committees/councils of that college and by the dean of that college. The proposal shall then be forwarded to the Graduate Council. If the proposal for new graduate course is arising from faculty in an educational unit that does not already home a graduate program, then the dean of the college containing that educational unit shall perform the administrative processing roles prescribed in this paragraph for the Director of Graduate Studies.

### 3.2.4.3.1.4 UK Core Courses

Changes in UK Core courses are submitted by the college first to the UK Core Education Committee, before action by the Undergraduate Council. [US: 5/7/2012]
3.2.4.3.1.5 Graduation Composition and Communication Requirement (GCCR) Courses Changes in Graduation Composition and Communication Requirement courses are submitted by the college for review by the Undergraduate Council.

### 3.2.4.3.2 Approval by Academic Council

[US: 10/11/99]

### 3.2.4.3.2.1 Jurisdiction

The dean shall forward the proposal to the appropriate academic council as provided below. Responsibility for recommendations on new courses, changes in courses and deletion of courses (except for minor course changes as defined in SR 1.1.1.1.1, below), shall be vested in the appropriate academic council as follows, except where provided otherwise. [US: 5/7/2012]

After the College of Law faculty approves, pursuant to its established Rules, a proposal concerning a new course or a change to a course, the College submits the proposal directly to the Senate Council for ten-day posting (SR 3.2.3.3.4.1).

### 3.2.4.3.2.1.1 Health Care College Council

The HCCC evaluates and makes recommendations on all proposals concerning courses which may be used for credit toward a certificate, degree, or badge in a health profession that are recommended by a health care college. Proposals for courses concerning an undergraduate or graduate certificate or degree shall be first forwarded to the HCCC if the program involves the students in health care practices. "Health care practices" within the meaning of this rule includes those health care practices that subject the students to jurisdiction of the Board of Trusteesapproved Health Care Colleges Code of Student Professional Conduct ('HCC Code'), even if the practices are conducted as part of an undergraduate or graduate academic program (see also GR IV.C.1; HCC Code1.B, para. 2). [SREC: 12/17/2013] After evaluation and recommendation by the HCCC, the proposal shall be forwarded to the Undergraduate Council or Graduate Council, as respectively appropriate.

### 3.2.4.3.2.1.2 Undergraduate Council

The Undergraduate Council evaluates and makes recommendations on all proposals concerning courses which may be used for credit toward an undergraduate certificate, degree, badge, or the Honors College program credential. The chair of the Undergraduate Council shall forward to the Graduate Council recommendations on courses numbered 500-599.

### 3.2.4.3.2.1.3 Graduate Council

The Graduate Council evaluates and makes recommendations on all proposals concerning courses which may be used for credit toward a graduate certificate, degree, or badge. The chair of the Graduate Council shall forward to the Undergraduate Council recommendations on courses numbered 400 - 499G or on changing a course number $500-599$ to a course numbered 400-499.

### 3.2.4.3.2.1.4 Disagreements between Undergraduate and Graduate Councils

Where the recommendation of the Undergraduate Council on a 500-599 level course is in disagreement with the recommendation of the Graduate Council, or in the case when the Graduate Council's recommendation on a 400G-499G level course is in disagreement with the recommendation of the Undergraduate Council, the matter shall be referred to the Senate Council for a decision.

### 3.2.4.3.2.1.5 Action by a council

Recommendations on proposals by an academic council and the UK Core Education Committee shall be forwarded by the chair of the council or committee to the Senate Council. (Exception: In the case of a proposed course homed outside the college that will not be recorded on UK transcripts and cannot be used toward a Senate-approved degree or certificate, the approval of the academic council is final (SR 3.2.3.2.1).

### 3.2.4.3.2.1.6 Major-Effect of course change on in-an academic program

If, in the judgment of an academic council a proposal concerning a course constitutes a major change in an academic programshould be accompanied by a program change, then the chair of the academic council shall return the proposal to the college for processing as-with a program change (SR 3.1.3).

### 3.2.4.3.2.1.7 Other new-courses or changes in courses

All other new courses or changes in courses will be approved by the Senate Council only.

### 3.2.4.3.3 Final University approval by the Senate

[US: 10/11/99; US: 2/10/03; US: 5/7/2012]

### 3.2.4.3.3.1 10-Day Posting

Course proposals submitted from the academic councils (SR3.2.3.3.3) or the Senate Council (SR 3.2.3.3.3.1.7) or the Rosenberg College of Law (SR 3.2.3.3.3.1) shall be posted by the Senate Council Office to the corresponding Senate website for ten business days, thereby providing University-wide notice [US: 5/7/2012]

### 3.2.4.3.3.2 Procedure for handling objections to posted course proposals

During the 10-day posting Any University Faculty member can raise an objection to a posted proposal through a member of the University Senate. If a Senator raises an objection to the Senate Council and the objection is not resolved, then the Senator may have the issue placed on the agenda of the next regular Senate Council meeting by having five Senators submit an objection to the Senate Council Office. If the Senate Council deems the objection has merit, then it will place the item on the Senate agenda. The Senate shall be informed about the nature of the objection by information included with the proposal packet. Formal action by the University Senate on the proposal is final. The Senate Council shall circulate reports of these decisions to the Provost, Registrar, and other appropriate entities. [US: 5/7/2012]

### 3.2.4.3.3.3 Final approval

If no objection is raised in writing to the Senate Council Office within ten days of the posting the proposal, then it is approved. The Senate Council Office will report approvals to the Provost, Registrar and other appropriate entities. [US: 5/7/2012]

### 3.2.4.3.4 Cross-listing

If an educational unit Faculty wishes to cross-list an existing course, then it shall first seek the approval of the Faculty of the educational unit that currently offers the course. If each Faculty of the two units approves the cross-listing (which shall be attested to by each unit chair/director in the proposal documentation), then the requesting educational unit shall submit a form for a minor course change of the existing course. If the units are in different colleges, both deans must signify approval in the similar respective capacities as described above for the two unit chair(s)/director(s). Cross-listing shall not be used as justification for duplication of teaching effort. The chair of the home educational unit of the course must agree on the time, place and instructor(s) in scheduling of the cross-listed course.

### 3.2.4.3.5 Replaced courses

If a new course is created through substitution, replacement, consolidation or combination of one or more courses, a form for dropping the eliminated course must be processed in the prescribed manner.

### 3.2.4.3.6 Exception for minor changes <br> [US: 10/11/99]

### 3.2.4.3.6.1 Procedure

If a proposed course change meets the criteria of a miner change below, then the dean of the college shall forward the course change form directly to the Chair of the Senate Council for approval. If the Chair of the Senate Council concurs that the proposed change meets the criteria for a minor change, then the Chair of the Senate Council shall notify the Registrar's Office and
the dean of the college originating the proposal. If the Chair of the Senate Council believes the change is not minor, then the Chair of the Senate Council shall return the proposal to the dean of the college originating the proposal, for processing through the procedure for regular course approval.

### 3.2.4.3.6.2 Definition

A request may be considered a minor change if it meets one of the following criteria:

1. Change in number within the same hundred series. For the purpose of this rule, courses numbered 600 to 799 , and 800 to 999 , are respectively considered to be in the same hundred series;

* The change of a 400 G course to a 400 course by the program that 'homes' the course is a major course change because there may be other programs that do-or plan to use that course in their own programs. [SREC: 3/28/2012]

2. an editorial change in the course title or description which does not imply change in content or emphasis

* When a change in course prefix is made necessary by prior Senate approval of a change in the name of the associated academic program or a change in the name of the educational unit, the change to a completely now course prefix may be considered a minor change when the following standards are met: (1) there is no change in course content or emphasis; (2) there is no change in the 'hundred series' of the course number; and (3) there is no change of the educational unit responsible for the course. [SREC: 6/23/2011]

3. a change in prerequisite(s) which does not imply a change in course content of emphasis, or which is made necessary by the elimination or significant alteration of the prerequisite(s)
4. a new cross-listing or a change in the cross-listing status of a course, as described above
5. correction of typographical errors.

### 3.2.4.4 Automatic Deletion of Courses from Schedule of Classes

[SREC: 11/14/88]
If any course has not been taught within a four-year period, the Registrar shall remove the description of the course from the Schedule of Classes. A course so removed from the Schedule of Classes shall remain in the Registrar's inventory of courses for an additional four years (unless the college submits a course deletion form to the Registrar requesting deletion of the course). During the additional four-year period, the college may offer the course and, if it is taught, the Registrar shall restore its description to the Schedule of Classes. If it is not taught within the four-year period, the course shall be removed from the Registrar's inventory of courses. [US: 2/10/86]

A course that has been removed from the Schedule of Classes but remains in the University course file may be changed following the normal change procedures; the change does not affect its status, and it cannot be restored to the Schedule of Classes until it is taught.

A cross-listed course which has had no subscribers in one department for the past four years shall continue to be listed in the Schedule of Classes providing it has been taught by the other department in that time. A cross-listed course which has had no subscribers in one department for eight years is automatically removed from the Schedule of Classes as one of that department's courses (i.e., it is no longer a cross-listed course).

### 3.3. CREATION, CONSOLIDATION, CHANGE, TRANSFER, CLOSURE, ABOLITION, OR SIGNIFICANT REDUCTION OF ACADEMIC PROGRAMS AND EDUCATIONAL UNITS

[US: 11/10/2003]

### 3.3.1 ROLE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE

In accordance with the principles established by the Board of Trustees in its Governing Regulations (GRs), and in accordance with requirements of CPE and SACS, the University Senate:

1. Approves for transmittal to the Board of Trustees, through the Provost/President, proposals to create or close degree-granting academic programs, (GR IV.C.2);
2. Is responsible for all other decisions on the academic status or content of academic programs (GR IV.C.2; GR IV.C.3);
3. Must be consulted for its recommendation on proposals concerning the University's academic organization (GR IV.C.5; GR VII); and
4. May opt to advise the Provost and President on matters concerning physical facilities, personnel and other resources when these may affect the attainment of educational objectives (GR IV.C.6)

A recommendation to create, consolidate, transfer, close, abolish, or significantly reduce an academic program or educational unit may be made by the program faculty, Department Chair/School Director, Dean, Provost, or President. Before such a recommendation is acted upon, and whether or not it is likely to entail the termination or the transfer of faculty, the proposal must be considered by the University Senate (GR IV.C.1, 2, 5; GR VII.A). This necessitates submission of such proposals to the Senate Council for presentation to the University Senate.

### 3.3.2 PROCEDURES REGARDING SUCH CHANGES IN AN ACADEMIC PROGRAM OR EDUCATIONAL UNIT

Proposals concerning creation of academic programs, or change to academic programs other than significant reduction or closure, shall follow procedures in SR 3.1.3. Proposals concerning significant reduction to or closure of academic programs shall include the procedures and considerations below.

### 3.3.2.1 University Senate Review Submission Procedure

### 3.3.2.1.1 Proposals initiated by program/unit faculty

Proposals initiated by the faculty of the academic program or educational unit-shall follow the procedures established in the University Senate Rules and/or those established by that unit= and those established by the college. The proposal must be submitted to the Senate within 12 months of when the faculty of record approved the proposal. [US: DATE]

### 3.3.2.1.2 Proposals initiated by the Department Chair/School Director

Proposals initiated by the Department Chair/School Director will follow the existing procedures established by the respective unit for program or educational unit change and then be referred via the Dean (in keeping with College level procedures) to the Senate Council. The Department Ghair/School Director will use the Senate-approved routing form to include evidence of compliance with existing unit procedures for (a) faculty approval of proposals for significant reduction to or closure of an academic program, or for (b) faculty advisement on proposed ehanges to academic organization.

### 3.3.2.1.3-Proposals Initiated by the Dean

Proposals initiated by, or forwarded to, the Dean will follow the existing procedures established by that college for academic program or educational unit change, and then be referred via the Dean to the Senate Council. The Dean will use the Senate-approved routing form to include evidence of compliance with existing college procedures for (a) faculty approval of proposals for significant reduction to or closure of an academic program, or for (b) faculty advisement on proposed changes to academic organization.

### 3.3.2.1.4 Academic program proposals initiated by the Provost, Vice President for Research, or President

Proposals for significant reduction to or closure of an academic program administratively initiated by or through the Provost, Vice President for Rosearch, or Prosident will follow the existing procedures established by the affected college, or by the program faculty, for academic program change, using the Senate-approved form. Proposals administratively initiated by of through the Provost, Vice President for Research or President but concerning the creation of of change to an educational unit shall be processed to the Senate Council and are expected to include the considerations in SR 3.3.2.1.5. The proposal must be submitted to the Senate within 12 months from when the unit facully was last consulted.

### 3.3.2.1.53.3.2.1.2 Administrative consultation with faculty on academic organization or infrastructural issues

A submitted proposal is expected to include a full accounting by the respective dean(s) and Provost of the disposition of faculty, staff and resources (financial and physical), including willingness of donating units to release faculty lines for transfer to a different educational unit, in addition to consultation with the faculty of the unit to which the faculty lines are proposed to be transferred. The department chair and dean ought to address (and the proposal ought to document) the viewpoints and votes of unit faculty and department/college committees. The proposal is expected to include letters of support (or opposition) from senior faculty or administrators, and where helpful supporting letters from outside the University.

### 3.3.2.1.63.3.2.1.3 Definition of significant reduction of an academic program or educational unit

A significant reduction is one that 1) involves a curricular impact on a unit or a program offered by the unit; or 2) impacts the character or the purpose of the unit or of a program offered by the
unit. See SR 3.1.5.1.5 for more information about significant changes. [US: DATE]For the purposes of SR 3.3.2, the academic decision to temporarily suspend admissions to a Senateapproved academic program for longer than a single year is a 'significant reduction,' which must be forwarded to the Senate for approval. An academic decision to suspend admissions to a Senate-approved academic program for not longer than one year may be made by the final decision of the college faculty, pursuant to the established college faculty rules document.

### 3.3.2.1.4 Two Types of Suspension of Admissions

3.3.2.1.4.1 Temporary (Non-significant) Suspension of Admissions
[US: DATE]
It is not a significant reduction if the unit faculty wishes-requests to temporarily suspend admissions into a Senate-approved academic program for up to one academic year into a Senate-approved academic program-for reasons related to: 1) controlling enrollment; or 2) revising the curriculum in such a way that warrants temporary suspension of admissions. The faculty of record make the final academic decision to do so. [DATE]

### 3.3.2.1.4.1.1 Processing Requests for Temporary (Non-Significant) Suspension of Admission

[US: DATE]
To ensure other University offices are informed of the suspension, the unit faculty must document their intent by submitting the appropriate Senate Council-approved form. A faculty of record may suspend admissions for an additional year, for a maximum temporary suspension of two academic years, by submitting the requisite form a second time.

### 3.3.2.1.4.2 Permanent (Significant) Suspension of Admissions

[US: DATE]
It is a significant reduction if a unit faculty wishesrequests to permanently suspend admissions for any period of time into a Senate-approved academic program or suspend admissions and close a program for reasons other than 1) controlling enrollment; or 2) revising the curriculum in such a way that warrants temporary suspension of admissions suspending admissions into a Senate-approved academic program. As significant reductions these proposals

### 3.3.2.1.4.2.1 Processing Requests for Permanent (Significant) Suspension of Admissions

 [US: DATE]A proposal to permanently suspend admissions into a program but not close the program is a significant change and will be processed as such.

A proposal to permanently suspend admissions into a program and close the program is a significant change and will be processed as such.

If the Senate previously approved permanent suspension of admissions into a program but the program was not closed, a subsequent proposal for closure is not a significant change and will be processed via a 10-day post, as described in SR 3.3.2.5.

Proposals to create a new academic program are processed pursuant to SR 3.1.5.1 and, or to make-changes to an academic program that are not a "significant reduction" to or closure of the program, are processed pursuant to $S R$ 3.1.5.1.23.1.3. A college faculty may opt to have-an issue concerning tomporary suspension of admissions to an acadomic program forwarded to the Senate-Gouncil for Senate consideration.

All proposals for changes to academic organization must be processed through the University Senate, pursuant to the procedures in this rule (GR IV.C; GR VII.A). For the purposes of initiating the procedures here under SR 3.3.2.2, the initiator of the proposal concerning significant reduction in infrastructural support for an educational unit or for an academic program has the responsibility for determining that the proposed infrastructural change that impinges on the attainment of educational objectives is a "significant reduction." In making that determination, the initiator of the proposal should consult with the members of the affected units.

### 3.3.2.1.73.3.2.1.5 Definition of an educational unit

SR 3.3.2.2 complies with the definition of the Board of Trustees that the educational units of the University are colleges, schools, departments, graduate centers, interdisciplinary instructional programs, and multidisciplinary research centers/institutes (GR VII.C.1-2).

### 3.3.2.2 University Senate Review Procedures

### 3.3.2.2.1 Initial review

The Senate Council will refer the proposal to an appropriate University Senate committee or committees (e.g., the Undergraduate Council, the Graduate Council, the Health Care Colleges Council, the Academic Organization and Structure Committee, the Academic Programs Committee, or an ad hoc committee convened by the University Senate Council). The committee(s) will review the proposal and its effect on faculty, students, and staff. The review shall involve primarily academic considerations such as the following, (not in any order of priority or preference). Other factors may in addition be considered as the respective committee(s) deem appropriate.

* The reference below to "academic programs" in the title to SR 3.3.2.2.1.1 and to "academic infrastructure" in the title to SR 3.3.3.2.2.1.2 means that the considerations in SR 3.3.2.2.1.1 are to be applied by the Senate Academic Programs Committee to proposals concerning academic content or academic status of a program, while considerations in SR 3.3.3.3.1.2 are to be applied by the Senate Academic Organization and Structure Committee to proposals concerning the educational unit structure or academic reporting. [SREC: 12/17/13]
* The lists in SR 3.3.2.2.1.1 and SR 3.3.2.2.1.2 are neither exhaustive of all potentially relevant considerations, nor required to be considered when not pertinent [SREC: 12/17/13].


### 3.3.2.2.1.1 Academic program considerations

1. The centrality of each program or course of study to the mission of this institution or to the mission of the college, school, or department within which it is located;
2. The academic strength, productivity and quality of the academic program or unit, and of its faculty;
3. The importance of the academic program to the state or region in terms of its cultural, historic, political, economic, or other social resources;
4. The importance of the program to the state or region in terms of its geologic, geographic, environmental, or other natural resources;
5. The relationship of the academic program and the work done therein to some essential program or function performed at this institution;
6. The current student demand and projected enrollment in the subject matter taught in the program or unit;
7. The current and predicted comparative cost analysis/effectiveness of the program;
8. The duplication of work performed in the academic program by work done in other programs or departments at other public institutions of higher education elsewhere within the Commonwealth of Kentucky; and
9. The academic policies of the program faculty that are made necessary by, or in response, to requirements of governmental or accrediting bodies (GR IV.C.1).

### 3.3.2.2.1.2 Academic infrastructural considerations

1. The current and proposed structural organization of the unit.
2. How the structural change involves other units and fits with department, college, and/or university objectives and priorities.
3. How this structural change affects the position of the unit relative to state and benchmark institutions, and to SACS/CPE/professional accrediting bodies (GR IV.C.1).
4. How the new structure will be evaluated as meeting (or not) the objectives for forming the new structure, including the timing of key events.
5. How the new structure will impact plans for student recruitment, enrollment, education, and competitiveness.
6. The qualifications of the key unit personnel, and where appropriate the processes for searching for an interim leader or unit chair/director.
7. The faculty/staff to be associated with the unit, allocation of DOE for unit activities, tenured/tenure eligibility, joint faculty, voting rights in policy-making, etc.

### 3.3.2.2.2 Academic program or educational unit review

Before the respective committee completes its report and recommendations, it shall examine any program or unit review report prepared within the previous 3 years; if no such report exists, the Senate Council will request that the Provost conduct a review of the academic program or educational unit as expeditiously as appropriate (not to exceed 6 months, excluding summer). The committee will also conductensure that at least one open hearing-open forum was conducted by the proposing unit, at which any employee or student affected or concerned about the proposed action may-was permitted to make written submissions or oral presentations. These open session(s) are-should be for the purpose of obtaining information, not They are not intended as an adversarial or adjudicatory process. [US: DATE]

### 3.3.2.2.3 Information-sharing with affected faculty

The committee/council shall share with the academic program or educational unit and the affected faculty therein any information and documents it may obtain during its review of the proposal. It will endeavor to do so at least ten (10) working days before any meeting (see SR 3.3.2.2.2 above) with the academic program/educational unit and affected faculty. Within the above framework, the committee/council may establish such additional procedures, including time limitations and rules for relevance, as it determines necessary to proceed in an ordered and efficient manner.

### 3.3.2.2.4 Completion of review and recommendations

Upon completing its review, the committee/council may recommend modifications to the proposal, acceptance of the proposal as submitted, or rejection of the proposal.

### 3.3.2.2.5 Final steps

The respective committee/council(s) shall forward its (their) recommendations to the University Senate through the Senate Council. The University Senate shall make, as appropriate, either a final academic decision on the proposal, or a recommendation to the Provost.

### 3.3.2.3 Procedures Following University Senate Review

### 3.3.2.3.1 Proposals concerning changes to academic programs

When the University Senate acts pursuant to SR 3.3.2.2.5 to either (a) make the decision to academically approve the closure of a degree program or (b) make the final academic decision for the University on any other proposal concerning an academic program, it shall notify the Provost of its decision. In the case of a University Senate decision to close a degree program, the Provost shall forward the University Senate's recommendation to the President for transmittal to the Board of Trustees.

### 3.3.2.3.2 Proposals concerning academic organization

The University Senate shall transmit its recommendation on the proposal concerning the University's academic organization to the Provost.

> (For a proposal to transfer programs leading to a certificate to a different educational unit, if the transfer will move the academic program to a different unit within the same college, the Provost shall either make the final administrative decision or may delegate to the Dean of that college the authority to make the final administrative decision. If the transfer will move the academic program outside of its current college, the Provost will make the final University administrative decision.)

The Provost may submit the recommendation on the proposal forwarded from the University Senate to the President for approval, terminate further consideration of the proposal, or make modifications to the proposal. In the latter case, the Provost, as a University Senate member, must include the University Senate's recommendation when submitting the modified proposal to the President, the Chair of the University Senate, for consideration. The Senate Council may ask the Provost to explain the rationale for modifications to the proposal that the Provost had made. The President may approve the proposal and recommend it to the Board of Trustees, or refer the proposal to the Provost and/or University Senate for additional considerations, or disapprove and stop the proposal, unless the University Senate expressly requests that the proposal be presented to the Board.

### 3.3.2.3.3 Time limits

Final notification to the President of the decisions or recommendations of the University Senate shall be made within a maximum period of 120 days (excluding May 16 through August 15) from the time the recommendation was submitted to the Senate Council. All proposals for creation, consolidation, transfer, closure, or significant reduction of an academic program or educational unit will be reviewed by the President within 60 days (excluding May 16 through August 15) of the submission of this notification to the President.

### 3.3.2.4 Rules Governing Academic Program or Educational Unit Change

When an academic program or educational unit is to be consolidated, transferred, closed, abolished, or significantly reduced, every effort should be made to phase it out over a period of time, with due notice to the students and with due regard for the contractual rights of faculty whose appointments will be affected.

### 3.3.2.4.1 Consideration of affected students

Students whose access to required course offerings are adversely affected by academic reorganization should be afforded reasonable opportunities to complete their required course work either at this institution or through cooperative arrangements and transfer of credit from other colleges and universities both within and outside Kentucky.

### 3.3.2.4.2 Consideration of affected faculty

Tenured and tenure track faculty, including full time Instructors, on lines in programs that are discontinued or transferred shall be reassigned to teaching, research, extension, clinical, librarian or service activities in related academic programs or educational units or to administrative duties (if they are qualified for the position and where the need exists for such duties), in accordance with Governing Regulation X.B.1.e, and X.B.1.f, and while maintaining or increasing their current salary. Similar consideration shall be accorded lecturers who have accumulated more than seven years continuous full-time service.

### 3.3.2.4.3 Reestablishment of eliminated program or unit

In no instance shall an eliminated academic program or educational unit be re-established at the University within two years without offering reappointment to all former faculty members whose academic appointments with the University had been affected thereby for reasons of financial exigency.

### 3.3.2.5 Closure of a Previously Suspended Program

## [US: DATE]

If a proposal to permanently suspend admissions into a program was previously approved by Senate but closure was not requested at that time, the unit must follow the Senate process to either request closure or submit a significant program change proposal to reestablish the program. The request for closure or submission of a significant program change must be made by the unit no later than the fourth year following the academic year in which suspension was effective.

In the fourth academic year following the year in which the suspension was approved, the office responsible for teach-out plans will notify the Senate Council office, which will in turn will notify
the department chair and dean of the need for the unit to submit an official closure request, which will be processed via a 10-day post, as described in SR 3.2.4.3.3.

### 3.3.3 PROCEDURES REGARDING SUCH CHANGES IN OTHER EDUCATIONAL UNITS (E.G. MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH CENTERS OR INSTITUTES; INTERDISCIPLINARY INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMS) <br> "Other educational units" include those that are engaged in multidisciplinary research or interdisciplinary instruction, whether or not such programs lead to a degree or are ones in which work done by students is awarded academic credit. Examples include non-credit bearing multidisciplinary research programs conducted at centers or institutes, or a transcripted academic credential (e.g. an Honor) that is not a certificate or degree. Proposals concerning these programs will follow the procedures outlined above as appropriate. [SC: 3/26/2018]

