UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY SENATE COUNCIL MEETING

* * * * *

APRIL 11, 2022

* * * * *

AARON CRAMER, CHAIR

DESHANA COLLETT, VICE CHAIR

SHEILA BROTHERS, ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR

KATIE SILVER, STAFF ASSISTANT

* * * * *

* * * * * * * * * 1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

CRAMER: Good afternoon, everyone. Welcome to the April 11th University Senate meeting. 3 There's slides here in case you want to check 5 whether your Poll Everywhere is working or 6 not. I think this question will stay open as 7 we go onto the next slides and so forth. So you can try this a little bit. If you're 9 texting, you won't receive a response or 10 anything if your vote goes through. But if 11 you try to text and it doesn't work, it will 12 -- we'll send you an error message via text. 13 So we'll proceed. 1 4 All right. So welcome to the University 15 Senate meeting for April 11th. Attendance is 16 captured via Zoom report. Any chats will be 17 received only by the office personnel.

Office staff can also like help put motion language into the chat or something like that when helpful.

Please mute yourself when not speaking. Katie is empowered to mute others as needed. Just a friendly reminder that Senate Council suggested that when senators particularly when speaking might turn on their cameras, if

Ö

1 4

2 4

possible, of course, no explanations are needed if you cannot do this, but it might help us understand who we're hearing from.

If you're attending via the -- a phone, and you're using a speakerphone to talk it can be quite difficult for others to hear you, so make sure to hold the phone to your ear, use your buds or a headset to help with that.

The meeting is being recorded for note-taking purposes. If any member of the Senate is disconnected and cannot reconnect at all, please send an email to Sheila Brothers, sbrothers@uky.edu, so we're aware of the situation.

Senate meetings are open meetings. We follow approximately Robert's Rules of Order Newly Revised. There's no voting by proxy. If you're not the member, you cannot vote. Be civil. If we're having some heated discussion direct your comments to the chair, not to each other. Be a good citizen. Make sure your colleagues know what's happening in the Senate and can bring that back into your colleges and departments to help them know what's going on. Participate. If you don't

_

/

understand what questions being discussed, raise your hand and ask during the debate, ask for clarification so you know what you're voting on. It's important to participate actively in the Senate meetings.

As we've done throughout the year, throughout

last year too, Zoom participants are divided into two categories: Panelists and attendees. Both can participate in the meeting, the voting senators or panelists. Panelists receive a unique link via email from Katie Silver with the Zoom information and are eligible to vote.

Non-voting senators and guests are attendees. Attendees get the Zoom link from the Senate site and do not vote. If you're in the wrong set attendees or panelists because of how we've shifted our voting that actually doesn't matter that much, because I can still see your hand either way. And when you use Poll Everywhere, your vote still counts, regardless of which way you're logged into the Zoom meeting.

To speak for any reason, or otherwise be recognized, including to make motions or

_

/

1 4

2 4

In terms of voting, make sure your voting's set up. We've done this I think this is our third month of using the Poll Everywhere software. If you're voting via text, you'll text yousenate789 to the number 22333 to join the session. You won't via text message see the motion language, but your text vote will automatically apply to the current question.

seconds, use the Zoom feature "raise hand".

Three, to abstain as the motions appear on the PowerPoint.

Text one, to approve. Two, to oppose.

You're voting via the app, open it, make sure you're logged in. Click on the house icon and join presentation. Don't enter your name, enter yousenate789 to join this presentation and you'll respond in the app to the questions or votes as they appear on the screen.

Finally, if you're using the website,
navigate to pollev.com/yousenate789. If
you're not already logged into your Poll
Everywhere account do so, you can click again
on the home icon and join presentation.
Enter the meeting ID yousenate789 to join the

O

/

1 4

2 4

presentation and vote on questions as they appear.

And just a reminder before speaking, please state your name and college affiliation so we know who's speaking on the floor of the Senate. Try to remember that issue as you proceed.

The first item on our agenda are the minutes from the March 12th Senate meeting and announcements. The minutes from March 12th were distributed last week, no edits were received in the Senate Council office. So unless objections are heard now, the minutes from March 12th will stand approved as distributed by unanimous consent. All right. Those minutes are approved.

All right. In terms of the agenda today, one graduate certificate was removed from today's agenda for the request of the proposal. Our proposer -- the proposal come to the Senate in May for approval, but there was some question about -- they were looking specifically to seek online approval for the certificate and so they needed it to have some -- they needed to ask for that too.

2 4

They only asked for the certificate to be approved, and so that'll be -- hopefully come to the Senate at least for approval of this certificate in May. We'll try to see if we can't get the Distance Learning Committee to look at their request for online delivery as well before then.

The incoming Student Government Association
President Andrew Laws attended the last
week's Senate Council meeting, I think the
week before. He came and was introduced to
Senate Council, but he attended last week.
We're definitely looking forward to working
with him and the other 2022-2023 student
members of Senate Council once they're
selected by the SGA.

There was a comment during discussion last week related to the facility survey about the location of the DRC. And some information was provided to the Senate Council office after that meeting, indicating that the DRC currently has two locations; the one on Rose Street in the Multidisciplinary Science Building, but also the one in the renovation of the Gatton Student Center if you come in

2

3

5

6

0

9

10

11

12

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

and across the bridge to the right, I think. So just to clarify that comment from last time, I think both locations are in place now.

Another announcement that's important for senators, a few years ago, the Senate Council office started regularly sending notices of newly proposed Certificate and Degree Programs to curricular contacts in the colleges. These might be Associate Deans or other individuals in the college or staff members in the college that facilitate the curriculum proposals within the colleges. We're going to start including senators as recipients to these emails, so you can be aware of what programs are being anticipated. The reason we send these is to help different colleges on campus be aware of what's happening in other colleges, if there's opportunities for collaboration together, or if there's potential conflicts, to be able to resolve those earlier. And so that's the reasoning for sending these. As part of your duties as senators is to represent your colleges well, and so it's important for you

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

11

12

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

BROWN:

23

2 4

25

to be aware of these things too. And so you'll start to see these emails soon. Expect to see one this week, there was one that was sent out to the curricular context last week. I wanted to give you a heads up before we start sending those to you, so you know what these are. And certainly, when you receive these, you're free to share them with your colleagues or, "Hey, I see this proposal over here. Are you aware of it? You know, it's something that's close to what you're doing or something like that." You can have that kind of conversation within -- with your colleagues when you receive those. But it's intended to help programs as they're earlier in development find opportunities for collaboration and resolve any potential conflicts that might exist earlier. All right. We have a Faculty Trustee, election update. Roger, are you going to speak here?

Yes. I can just say that the preliminary round of the faculty trustee election was completed this -- today at noon and that identified the top three candidates. They

3

5

6

CRAMER:

9

10

11 12

13

1 4 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

2.5

-- the election for the final round will begin next week and I'll send out emails about that. Thank you, Roger.

Aaron Cramer, and Candice Hargons. The final

are in alphabetical order: Hubie Ballard,

All right. The next item is a reminder of the next announcement. The reminder of the curriculum proposal deadlines for proposals seeking a Fall 2022 effective date. Most of these have already passed and the last one is tomorrow. For any courses or other program changes, reminders that will be coming out to have a reasonable chance of being approved in time for a Fall 2022 effective date. These are the deadlines for these items to be received in the Senate Council office. All right. The next item on the agenda are officer and other reports. So under the Chair's report recall that the Senate Rules give the Senate Council authority to take some actions on behalf of the Senate as long as they're reported to the Senate. Last month we reported to you that Senate Council approved amendments to the law of 2022-2023

COLLETT:

2 4

calendar. The initial action moved the first day of classes by one day. Senate Council further approved to change -- to move the last day for a full refund one day to maintain the relationship between these two dates. So Senate Council did that last week. Vice-Chairs report. DeShana?

vice-chairs report. Deshana

Thank you. I just have two things to mention. One is, you all have received an email and also a friendly reminder soliciting nominations for the Outstanding Senator

Award, it's already gone out twice now. All of the senators should have received that.

Just remember that the deadline to get your letter supporting that nomination must be received by me or to, you know, by April 22nd.

The other thing is you should have received probably about 20 minutes ago or so, if you haven't checked you will receive an email that came through REDcap for the faculty evaluation of the president. So all university faculty actually will receive this email, and it details out what the survey is for. This is -- Senate Council actually

1 2 3

, δ

2 4

deploys this survey and comes up with several of the questions or changes to the questions. This will be -- you should already receive it. There will also be a link in the email that will take you to the Senate website on that website. You will see previous results of the faculty evaluation of the president, but you will also see a copy of the survey that you will actually take now.

And the reason for us really doing this was

to allow you some time to really look at the questions and formulate some constructive feedback prior to completing the survey. And we hadn't done it before, we really feel like it's a necessary thing to do. The results will be reviewed by the president and also presented to the Board of Trustees, so we just really encourage and urge each of you, and members of the university faculty to complete the survey.

CRAMER:

Thank you, DeShana. I would add also, please encourage participation among your colleagues, it's really important. DeShana is going to get up and present these results in front of the Board of Trustees. And one of

1		the things that they're always interested in
2		is what was the level of faculty
3		participation, and so it puts her at a
4		disadvantage if we don't have really strong
5		participation from the faculty on this. And
6		so, it's important to try to encourage your
7		colleagues to respond to this if possible.
8		Thanks, DeShana. Clayton, do you have a
9		Parliamentarian report for us today?
10	THYNE:	Not really a report, but I'll just say that
11		this meeting so far, I've seen two hands come
12		up for like five seconds and then go back
13		down. It's better to interrupt than to let a
14		comment or a question go by. It's not always
15		there when he's talking the hand went up,
16		so. Make sure you interrupt, there's no
17		being rude.
18	CRAMER:	Thank you, Clayton. That's absolutely right.
19		I've seen a hand pop up, and then when I go
20		to scroll up to see where the hand is, it's
21		gone. And so yeah. Leave your hand up.
22		I'll see you, and I'll call on you. Thank
23		you, Clayton. Cagle?
2 4	CAGLE:	That was me with the hand earlier, but you
2 5		had passed the item. This is Cagle, Arts,

3

4

5

6 7

0

9

10

11

12

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

and Sciences. On the Senate -- oh, my God!

I'm so sorry, Aaron, my brain is empty of

words. The transmittal email, I was going to

ask if you could share for folks who haven't

seen those before, how to find instructions

or support for how to actually like review

those things and look at Curriculog and like

find them on Curriculog.

CRAMER:

Sure. That's a good question; right? what you're going to get is a very early notice. This is a notice that has a proposed title and like a proposed -- like few sentence description of what the program would be. So this is not a full-fledged proposal. This is when somebody first has the idea that they want to put forward a proposal. So it's an opportunity it'll list also the proposer's name, and if you have interest or have more questions or thoughts about the proposal, that you can certainly reach out to them and talk to them, but this is not a curricular proposal in the sense like you would log into Curriculog and see the proposed courses and so forth. This is a much -- it's an early thought of a proposal.

And the idea is to enable collaboration and conflict resolution before things get too far down the road.

All right. The trustee has indicated that they do not have a report today, the Board of Trustees is meeting later this month. So we'll perhaps hear from them in May.

And the next item on the agenda is a report from the University Appeals Board. Joe, think will be making this report. Is Joe ready?

Hang on, Joe. I see you as an attendee twice. I don't know what -- if you raise your hand on the device, you want to speak, I can kind of move you over and make you a panelist. Yeah, let's just promote [inaudible 00:24:26] and we'll see which one. Joe are you with us? Maybe not yet. Hang on one second. Joe, can you hear us? No, we might be having some technical trouble. I might have to move on to the next item until we get Joe back.

FINK: Can you hear me now?

CRAMER: I can. Yes.

FINK: Okay.

CRAMER: All right. And you're going to share your

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter An/Dor Reporting & Video Technologies, Inc.

1		screen, is that right?
2	FINK:	Yeah there's some slides to be shown.
3	BROWN:	All right, one second. Let's make sure we
4		get you to set up for that. All right, Joe.
5		I think you can share your slides now.
6	FINK:	Okay. Is there still one who can advance
7		them? I don't seem to be able to advance
8		them.
9	CRAMER:	I think were you going to share your
10		screen?
11	FINK:	No, I thought somebody else was going to
12		advance them as I spoke.
13	CRAMER:	All right. Hang on one second. I think I
14		have them.
15	FINK:	Okay.
16	CRAMER:	All right. Hang on one second, Joe. I got
17		your slides. These are your sides?
18	FINK:	Bingo. There you go.
19	CRAMER:	All right.
20	FINK:	Okay. Good afternoon, everyone. My name's
21		Joe Fink I'm a professor in the College of
22		Pharmacy and I'm the Chairman of the
23		University Appeals Board. This is an annual
2 4		event for me to give a report to the
2 5		University Senate about the activities of the

3

4

5

,

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

2 4

2.5

board. If someone will advance it to the next slide, we'll get rolling here.

First question is, "What's the jurisdiction of the University Appeals Board?" The board

cases that come to the board are academic in

handles two types of cases. They -- the most

nature, either a grade dispute between the

student and the faculty member, or an

allegation of an academic offense of cheating

or plagiarism made by the faculty member

against the student. Those all come through

the office of the Academic Ombud. And the

Academic Ombud does initial screening and

determines whether the issue has merit. If

it has merit, that matter is passed on to the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

University Appeals Board for a hearing.

The other type of case that comes to the

Appeals Board is a student conduct case.

Those matters come up through the Office of

Student Conduct within the Student Success

Division of the university. There are fewer

of those. And later on in this slide set,

we'll show you some numbers about the number

of cases that have come up in recent years

and so forth. Next slide, please.

22

24

25

"What's the appeal process?" As I said, academic matters flow up through the Academic Ombud, who talks to the students, talks to the faculty member, reviews any documents related to the case and so forth, and then writes a summary memo that either passes the matter onto the Appeals Board, or says -notifies the student that in the ombuds assessment, the matter lacks merit. If the determination at the ombud level is that the matter lacks merit, the student has 30 days to appeal that assessment. And at that point, when it comes to the board, the only question is, "Does the board agree with the ombud that the matter lacks merit and therefore no hearing should be held? Or has the student convinced the board that the matter does have merit and therefore a separate follow-on hearing should be granted?" So that's the appeal process. Next

"What's the composition of the University

Appeals Board?" The Appeals Board has 30

members, 18 faculty members, and 12 students.

The faculty members are appointed for a

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter An/Dor Reporting & Video Technologies, Inc.

slide.

_

3

5

6

/

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

three-year term and are eligible for reappointment. The student members have a one-year term and they are suggested by the SGA to the president of the university. And it's the president of the university who makes all the appointments, both faculty and students to the University Appeals Board.

Next slide.

"What's a quorum for a conduct of Appeals Board business?" It takes eight members that hear a matter, I refer to that as the hearing panel. Of that eight, at least five must be faculty members. So it could be one student, two students, three students, or no students. I have succeeded for at least 10 years and never having an appeal without at least one student on the hearing panel. I think it's always important to have a student present in the deliberative phase, as well as the testimony phase. So the answer is that quorum is eight, plus the University Hearing Officer that's me. Next slide. The University Appeals Board has prepared some policies, practices, procedures, whatever you want to call them that cover how

3

5

6

,

9

10

11

12

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 4

25

things are done. They're available off the website of the ombud office. Anybody who wants to go there can look, it's a question-and-answer format. And the idea is that when I receive a case from the student, the first thing I do is send the student an acknowledgment that it's been received, and send them this link so that they can review the information about how the Appeals Board does what it does, and that -- that's proven to be very helpful. Next slide. This is the key slide. This is a slide that has the data regarding the year 25 -- 2015 to 2016 up until last year. The focus of today's report is on the 2021 year. We are still in the '21-'22 year. The Appeals Board year runs from September 1st through August 30th. So yes, we do hear matters in the summer. The Appeals Board is active in the summer because a number of issues like grade appeals may not materialize for the student until the end of the semester. So yes, the Appeals Board does do business, so to speak in the summer. You can see here that code of

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter An/Dor Reporting & Video Technologies, Inc.

student conduct cases took a blip up last

,

2 4

year, the same is true this year. The student conduct cases when this report is presented next year, we -- so far this year, current year, not the year being reported here, but the current year, the Appeals Board has handled nearly 50 cases in total. Many of those are student conduct cases involving students who received suspensions for not complying with the university's expectations regarding COVID prevention measures. So cheating or plagiarism five cases fair and just evaluation. The student thinks they did not receive a fair and just evaluation and so on.

Down at the bottom, the very last row tells you, "Did the student prevail, or did the student not prevail?" And last year, the pattern was that the student prevailed in five of the 20 matters, and did not prevail in 15 of the 20 matters that came to the Appeals Board. Over the time I've been doing this, and this is my 23rd year as Chair of the Appeals Board, in a typical year is half and half, split right down the middle.

Student prevails, or the student doesn't

3

4

5

6

./

8

9

10

11

12

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 4

25

prevail. So the 2021 data's a little different from what I've seen over the run of things during my time working with the Appeals Board.

Let me take a minute to acknowledge the participation of the members of the Appeals Board. Some of these case packets that can be -- come in for them to review before the hearing can be quite sizeable. Some of the issues can be a little complex, and it requires some dedication to be a member of the University Appeals Board. And the members of the board do a great job in listening to the student, listening to the faculty member, and making a judicious decision. So I think the whole university owes the members of the Appeals Board a sincere gratitude. Next slide, please. "What are some continuing challenges facing the Appeals Board?" Well, this year, the challenge has been volume, a number of cases to be handled. It's been a very busy year. Continuing challenge is getting the students to come to the hearings well-prepared, some students show up for their hearings. And let

1 me insert here that for the first several years or past several years, we've been doing 3 things using Zoom. So we haven't had an in-person hearing for at least two years, and 5 that is not quite optimal because when you're 6 sitting across the table from somebody who's 7 giving testimony about what they think 8 transpired, you can get a lot of good 9 information from their body language, their 10 demeanor, their -- the context in which 11 they're speaking and so forth. So I'm sure 12 the board members would agree with me to say 13 we hope to get back to face-to-face hearings 1 4 as soon as we can. So that's a continuing 15 challenge. Next slide. Any questions from any of the senators that I 16 17 can try to answer? CRAMER: 18 Questions for Joe about the University 19 Appeals Board or the processes employed by 20 the board? Monica? 21 UDVARDY: Thank you very much for that very clear 22 report. I just wonder, could you give us an 23 example of a difficult case that you had to 24 decide? 2.5 FINK: Well, let's see. I have to reach back with

Ь

,

my memory to last year. So I'm not talking about anything current. Let's see. Cases where -- the one case that comes to mind, it was a matter where the student who appealed, came to the thing, and the first thing we do at the hearing is ask the student, even though we've received a packet of materials put together by the ombud, and those are always very well done. We ask the student to express in her own words, what it is they're appealing and why. What -- and what is their desired outcome.

And we had a student, I think it was last year. It might've been the year before. It was back when we were having face-to-face hearings. Who came in and said two sentences and that was it? And we're all sitting around and looking at one another, trying to figure out, "What the heck's going on here? "What -- isn't the student is pursuing this?" It's the student who has brought the appeal to the Appeals Board. The student should be prepared to make a full statement about what he or she thinks is objectionable, and this student just sat there and looked at us, and that was

1		an unusual one. That was a challenging one.
2		And I tried to pull it out of the student and
3		had very little success. That one was a
4		short hearing.
5	UDVARDY:	Okay, thank you.
6	CRAMER:	Cagle?
7	FINK:	Other questions.
8	CRAMER:	Cagle, go ahead.
9	CAGLE:	Cagle, Arts, and Sciences. Thank you for
10		this. This is super helpful for
11		understanding this process, which I've heard
12		about, but I've not seen myself. Are the
13		students informed that they will be expected
1 4		to make a statement and provided any
15		assistance in preparing it?
16	FINK:	It's in that question-answer processes and
17		procedures document that they receive a link
18		to. And it gives them a blow by blow of the
19		flow of a hearing in who goes first, who goes
20		second, who's supposed to cover what. It
21		mentions the fact that the student goes
22		first, then the faculty member goes next, all
23		the questions come from the members of the
2 4		Appeals Board, there's none of this across
2 5		the table questioning the other party. And

4 5

3

7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

then at the very end, I always try to remember, go back to the student, and say something along the lines of, "I realize this is a high-pressure situation." And sometimes students sit there thinking, "Holy smokes. I forgot my point number three, I wanted to make." "So is there anything else you want to add?" And that's their opportunity to talk about whatever they want to talk about. And then the very last thing I say to them before they leave is, "What is your preferred outcome? What would you like to see happen as a result of this process?" And one of the things the Appeals Board can do that really nobody else in the university can do, is give the student a grade of P for pass in a required course. The university rule is you can take an elective course for pass/fail, but you cannot take a required course pass/fail. But if there's some turn of events that makes a P appropriate in a required course, the Appeals Board can do that, and that will fulfill the graduation requirements.

We've had -- an example of that would be, we

1 had a case three, four years ago where the student had an I grade and it was getting 3 ready to turn to an E after one year had elapsed and the student -- the faculty member 5 left the university. Had not left grade 6 books behind. And so the student --7 according to the student and according to the syllabus had done everything, but nobody knew what grade to give the student because the 9 10 grade books were gone. And so the Appeals 11 Board was able to give the student a grade P, 12 so that's an example. CAGLE: And a follow-up question. Apologies if you 13 mentioned this and I missed it. Do students 1 4 15 have the option to have anyone represent 16 them? Are they required to represent 17 themselves verbally during these proceedings? FINK: They can have guests with them. Sometimes 18 19 they bring roommates. Sometimes they bring 20 boyfriend, girlfriend. Sometimes they bring 21 parents. Sometimes they bring in an 22 attorney. All those folks are there in a 23 supportive role, it's the student who has the 24 speaking part. Michael? 2.5 CRAMER:

1	HAWSE:	Cagle actually asked the question I had, but
2		I will say, well, doctor thinking I have not
3		met in person, every student who I have
4		talked to who has had some relationship with
5		Dr. Fink, whether it be through his classes
6		or through UAB has spoken extremely highly of
7		him. So I just want to say thank you for all
8		that you're doing through UAB and thank you
9		for the report.
10	FINK:	Thank you.
11	CRAMER:	Hollie?
12	SWANSON:	I was wondering if you could give us a sense
13		of the general themes that are involved in
1 4		those student conduct issues?
15	FINK:	Well, for the past year and a half, it's been
16		COVID, failure to comply with the
17		university's COVID expectations. Prior to
18		that, it was usually a classroom disruption
19		issue where a student would do something
20		disruptive in a class, or we've had cases
21		where in one of the building a student steps
22		off the elevator and just starts berating
23		whoever's standing there waiting to get on,
2 4		and no apparent reason they just flipped out.
25		And so it's usually something about

1		interpersonal relations other than COVID
2		cases.
3	SWANSON:	Thank you.
4	CRAMER:	DeShana ?
5	COLLETT:	Yes. Hi Dr. Fink. I just had a question. I
6		wondered if you've had any accommodation
7		requests to reach the UAB at all. So
8		particularly around student accommodations
9		are reasonable or unreasonable
10		accommodations. You know, have the students
11		or the faculty appeal through the UAB?
12	FINK:	Yes, we have.
13	CRAMER:	All right. I don't see any other hands.
1 4		Joe, thank you for your leadership of UAB.
15		Thank you also to the other board members, we
16		certainly appreciate the services to the
17		university to handle some of these cases,
18		which presumably some of them are probably
19		quite challenging.
20	FINK:	Thank you very much.
21	CRAMER:	All right. The next item on our agenda is a
22		report from the Academic Programs Committee.
23		Leslie, are you ready?
2 4	VINCENT:	Yes, I'm ready. So this is a recommendation
2 5		that the University Senate approve for

/

1 4

2 4

submission to the Board of Trustees, the establishment of a new MS Degree, Heritage Resources Administration, and Historic Preservation within the College of Design, and a recommendation by the Senate Committee Distance Learning and e-Learning, that the University Senate approve this program for online delivery.

The MS Degree in Heritage Resources

Administration is a joint effort between the

Department of Historic Preservation in the

College of Design, in the Martin School of

Public Policy and Administration. This

online degree combines Historic Preservation

coursework with training and public and

nonprofit administration, and provides

mid-career heritage professionals with the

knowledge and skills necessary for leadership

positions within the field and in demand by

employers.

The primary audience for the program is mid-career professionals working in preservation adjacent fields, such as architecture, archeology, engineering, urban design, and community development. The

1 degree consists of 36 credit hours, 24 hours of core classes, nine hours of electives, and 3 a three-credit-hour capstone project course. This proposal has also been reviewed and approved by the Senate Committee of Distance 5 6 Learning and e-Learning for online delivery. 7 Enrollment for the new degree is expected to be three students in year one and growing to a steady state of 15 students. 9 10 CRAMER: All right. So we have a proposal before the 11 Senate. Are there any questions of fact 12 about this proposal for either Leslie or Roger or for our proposer Doug Appler, who's 13 1 4 online? Ken? 15 TROSKE: Hi. Ken Troske, Gatton College of Business 16 and Economics. So I know that there have 17 been studies done nationwide looking at the 18 number of programs at universities around the 19 country. And we have seen an explosion in 20 the number of programs over the recent years, 21 but knowing overall increase in enrollment 22 that's at a national level. We have seen a 23 large growth in programs at the University of Kentucky as well, I'm aware of that. 24 2.5 And all of these programs come with these

O

O

1 4

2 4

2 1

statements. We're going to have X number of students in several given years, but again, there hasn't -- we don't see -- seem to be seeing any increase in overall enrollment with the increase in these students. So presumably all of these programs are doing is diluting, you know, taking people from one major and moving them into another major that didn't exist. And since at each major -- when you have a new major, there's some additional costs associated with it, we have lot more majors and a lot more costs without any more revenue.

I'm wondering whether it's worth doing a study of how many of these programs
[inaudible 00:46:11]. Do we go back -- who goes back and looks at, "They were making promises about the size of the program." Has anybody gone back and looked at whether any of these programs reached these promises?

And has anybody sort of looked at from the growth of these new programs? Have we actually seen an increase, is that what has led to an increase in our overall enrollment?

Are we just picking the butter and spreading

CRAMER:

17

19

16

20

22

23

2 4

25

it thinner and thinner? And so -- nothing against this program, I'm just sort of curious, we've -- I've sat through a number of these new programs, and I'm kind of curious whether -- are we doing any studies to suggest that the things that we're creating are really actually adding additional value to the university? That might be a hard question for either Leslie or Doug to answer, I at least will offer that. I think I asked the president that in the Senate meeting probably three years ago, because that was a time when we were doing a lot of new program construction. There have been efforts by the council for post-secondary education at the state level to look into the alignment of some of the degree offerings at the institutions. So that is part of the way that CPE considers these programs. Otherwise, I doubt -- I mean, I'm going to offer, if Leslie wants to say anything further -- Doug, I'm not going to -this isn't probably unique to you, so I'm not going to probably come to you, Doug, but

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter An/Dor Reporting & Video Technologies, Inc.

Leslie, do you have anything else you want to

		3 4
1		say about this in terms of how the committee
2		views the proposal or?
3	VINCENT:	No.
4	CRAMER:	Yeah. Ken, what I would say is, this is a
5		good question and I'm going to write it on my
6		list of things.
7	TROSKE:	Well, I guess what question I would have is,
8		is it worth the Senate spending some effort
9		to try to independently try to if confirm
10		that these are we're actually creating
11		things that are not just, you know, creating
12		more programs, but actually increasing value.
13	CRAMER:	Likely it is worth some thought by the
1 4		Senate. Shannon, do you have? This is
15		perhaps again, we're questions of fact
16		about a specific proposal, but I certainly
17		can say that Senate Council will discuss this
18		more in the next week. Shannon?
19	OLTMANN:	Shannon Oltmann, College of Communication and
2 0		Information. I'll just say that oftentimes
21		master's programs draw non-traditional
22		students or students who are not in 18- to
23		22-year-old range. So I'm not saying it's a
2 4		moot point, but saying maybe it's a little
25		more complicated.

1	CRAMER:	Monica?
2	UDVARDY:	I'll say with respect to this particular MS,
3		I believe we discussed this in the faculty
4		meeting two or three months ago, and there
5		certainly is interest on the part of
6		anthropology graduates for such an MS. We
7		receive regular information from the National
8		Park Service. They have over 500 physicians
9		for archeologists alone, who do a lot of this
10		heritage administration. So there certainly
11		is a need for this particular MA or MS in
12		this case.
13	CRAMER:	Bob Grossman?
1 4	GROSSMAN:	Bob Grossman, ANS. I would also mention that
15		a lot of these, especially the certificates,
16		just consist of combining existing courses in
17		a particular way to appeal to students, and
18		for many of these programs. There may be a
19		course or two that needs to be created, but
20		there's not a huge cost to adding some of
21		these to the roles.
22	APPLER:	That's right. And just to echo that thought
23		if I can just have my 2 cents real quickly.
2 4	CRAMER:	Please, Doug.
25	APPLER:	Basically, all of the courses that are going

1 to comprise this program are already being taught as part of our online Historic 3 Preservation Certificate or as part of the coursework that's being taught in the Martin Schools online program. So it doesn't 5 6 require a great deal of extra work on the 7 part of faculty around the part of staff to create new course content because it's already being taught. 9 10 CRAMER: Mitzi, hang on one second and see, let me, 11 let you talk. There you go. 12 **VERNON:** Hi. Thank you, Aaron. I just wanted to, you know, be supportive. I'm listening. 13 1 4 been following, of course, this program 15 development for some time now. And I just 16 thought I would speak on behalf of -- kind of the contemporary trajectory of Historic 17 18 Preservation as I see it from my position, that can certainly add detail or color to 19 20 this. 21 But, you know, my observation is that 22 Historic Preservation has changed so much 23 probably in the last decade, in terms of the 24 breadth of interest from those students, the 2.5 generational shift. And I think one of the

/

-

1 4

2 4

diversify our course offerings. I mean,
we've got a couple of online things now, we
have an undergraduate certificate, and not my
observation is, the nature of that program is
that it aligns itself so well with so many
different types of degrees and modes of study
at the university or at any university. And
I think that's one of the things that also
distinguishes this particular program in
Historic Preservation from others in the
United States.

best things we've done in the college is to

So I think the more we do that, the better it is certainly for our program, but I think it's also offers people not in design necessarily to participate in that shift of what it needs to preserve -- what it means to preserve cultural heritage in a broad, broad definition. It also encompasses social justice issues, Memorial issues. The scope of it's just changing so much. I think it makes a lot of sense to be more contemporary in our thinking and the things that we offer students, if that's helpful.

CRAMER: All right. Tad let's -- we've drifted pretty

1 close to the debate line. Y'all have done a good job of keeping it kind of guestions of 2 3 fact related, but let's try to keep these questions of fact till we put the motion on the table. Tad? 5 6 MUTERSBAUGH: Oh, okay. Well maybe this isn't a question of fact, I guess I was concerned about the --7 CRAMER: I will call on you first thing during the debate period tab. 9 10 All right. Any other questions of fact about 11 the proposal? 12 All right. We have a motion from the committee to approve for submission to the 13 1 4 Board of Trustees, the establishment of the 15 new MS, and also to approve it for online delivery. Is there debate on the proposal? 16 17 Tad? Hi. Tad Mutersbaugh, Geography, Arts and 18 MUTERSBAUGH: 19 Sciences. The -- I guess the heritage term is 20 a bit tricky, we're in a mostly white state 21 in a traditionally white institution and the 22 term heritage is -- comes with a lot of 23 baggage. And so I don't know if the -- how 24 is conceptualized or how is explained or what 2.5 other terms might be used, but I guess I do

1		find it concerning.
2	CRAMER:	Other debate on the motion? Shannon?
3	OLTMANN:	Shannon Oltmann, College of Communication
4		Information. This isn't my MS submission, but
5		heritage institutions are it's a common
6		phrase used for like libraries, museums,
7		archives, centers of learning, that sort of
8		thing. So I don't think there's I don't
9		to me, it doesn't come across as a term.
10	CRAMER:	Any other debate on the motion?
11		All right. Seeing none, we have a motion
12		from our committees. Give me one second,
13		let's see if we get this right. There is the
14		motion of voting to open. Sorry, Jin-Ms.
15		Singleton, I see your hand, but the voting's
16		open at this point.
17		Any last votes?
18		All right. The voting seems to have almost
19		stabilized. All right. Now I think the
20		number of results are stabilized, and that
21		motion passes.
22		All right. You ready for the next one?
23	VINCENT:	Yes. Okay. So this is the recommendation
2 4		that the University Senate approve the
25		establishment of a new graduate certificate

24

2.5

Business Analytics Certificate in the College of Business and Economics. This certificate provides a common analytics foundation for all Gatton graduate programs, where students completing the certificate will be capable of using analytical tools and models to analyze discipline-specific problems and issues. Furthermore, this certificate was developed in response to the demand from employers. Business analytics is among the fastest-growing areas of US employment with an expected growth rate of greater than 15%. The 12 credit hours certificate includes nine credit hours of core courses focused on data visualization, data management, and analytical modeling, and three credit hours of a guided elective where the student can select courses related to the business discipline of interest. The target audience for this certificate includes existing Gatton B & E, Master's and Ph.D. students, non-B & E professional students, and post-baccalaureate students that desire a credential focused on business analytics. Expected enrollment for this

	certificate is 40 students in year one
	growing to 90 students.
CRAMER:	All right. Are there any questions of fact
	related to the proposed new graduate
	certificate? Eric?
BLALOCK:	Hi. Eric Blalock, College of Medicine. I
	think this is the question of fact. Will
	issues regarding ethics with the use of
	numbers be included as part of this program,
	as far as there's been some evidence recently
	of people deliberately misreporting
	information in a way to mislead people that
	are reading it and I was wondering if that's
	covered in this material?
CRAMER:	Dan, do you want to address that question?
DAN:	Wow. Thank you so much because this is
	actually an area of research that I work in
	and it's built into every one of the courses
	in this program, because you are right on
	point that this is a serious societal
	business issue.
BLALOCK:	Great. Thanks.
CRAMER:	Other questions of fact about the proposal?
	All right. Seeing none, we have a motion
	from the committee to approve the
	BLALOCK: DAN:

1 establishment of the new graduate certificate. Is there a debate on this 3 motion? Seeing none, we'll open voting. All right. The vote seem to have stabilized. 5 6 That motion passes. All right. Leslie? VINCENT: Okay. So this is a recommendation that the University Senate approve the establishment of a new undergraduate certificate 9 10 explorations in STEM Education in the 11 Department of STEM Education within the 12 College of Education. The explorations in STEM education undergraduate certificate is 13 1 4 designed to provide students with knowledge 15 of STEM education and introductory skills for 16 planning STEM learning activities. 17 Students enrolled in the certificate program will build foundational skills and knowledge 18 19 for identifying, critiquing, designing, and 20 leading P-16 STEM education experiences that 21 are in demand by employers. The 12 credit 22 hours certificate includes a 23 three-credit-hour core course, and nine 24 credit hours of guided electives, allowing 2.5 students to specialize in areas of interest

1		for future careers.
2		The target audience for the certificate
3		includes teacher education program
4		candidates, as well as undergraduates from
5		other degree programs, and post-baccalaureate
6		students that desire a focus on STEM
7		education for professional development.
8		Enrollment for the certificate is expected to
9		be eight students in year one growing to a
10		steady state of 15 students.
11	CRAMER:	All right. So we have a proposed new
12		undergraduate certificate. Are there
13		questions of fact about this proposal?
1 4		Jin-Ms. Singleton you had your hand up for a
15		moment. Did you have a question about the
16		proposal or? Jin-Ms. Singleton?
17	YANG:	Oh, sorry. I touched that by mistake.
18		Sorry.
19	CRAMER:	No problem. All right, thank you. All
20		right. Other questions about the or any
21		questions about the proposal?
22		All right. We have a motion from the
23		committee to approve the establishment of the
2 4		new undergraduate certificate. Is there a
25		debate on this motion?

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Seeing no debate, the motion is open for voting.

And that motion passes.

All right. Leslie?

VINCENT:

This is a recommendation that the University Senate approve the establishment of a new Undergraduate Certificate Child Life Certificate, in the Department of Family Sciences within the College of Agriculture, Food, and Environment. The certificate provides students with a path to certification, as a child life specialist by the Association of Child Life Professionals. Students will complete the academic preparation for this certification, with this 22-credit hour certificate that partners with UK's Kentucky Children's Hospital. The curriculum has been designed to provide students with the courses required for certification, including 16 credit hours of major coursework, and six credit hours of guided electives. All courses must be completed with a B grade or better, given the requirements of the Association of Child Life Professionals to qualify for certification.

1 This certificate will be the first child life academic program in Kentucky, and there is 3 great demand for employing child life specialists from Kentucky Children's Hospital, and other community organizations 5 6 that serve children. Expected enrollment for the certificate is five students in year one, growing to 10 students in year three. All right. So we have a proposed new 9 CRAMER: 10 undergraduate certificate. Are there any 11 questions of fact related to this proposal? 12 Seeing none, we have a motion from the committee to approve the establishment of the 13 1 4 new undergraduate certificate or the -- is 15 there any debate on this motion? 16 All right. Seeing none, voting's open. 17 And that motion passes. Leslie? VINCENT: 18 This is a recommendation that the University 19 Senate approve the significant change to the 20 MS/NFS Nutrition and Food Systems in the 21 Department of Dietetics and Human Nutrition 22 within the College of Agriculture, Food and 23 Environment. The proposed change as a fully 24 online option for the MS in Nutrition and 2.5 Food Systems.

2 4

2.5

The development of the new track is in response to external changes in the degree requirements to become a registered dietician nutritionist. RDNs will be required to have a graduate degree effective in 2024, and there will be increased demand for this program from both current undergraduate students, as well as others around the country, seeking advanced degrees to earn RDN credentials.

The online graduate degree will focus on the impacts of food systems and diet on human health. Students will explore strategies to reduce the risk of chronic disease among individuals and communities. Students will have the option to complete a 36-credit hour plan, a thesis option, or a 30-credit hour plan B non-thesis curriculum, where both include 12 credit hours of major courses, and six credit hours of guided electives as part of the curriculum.

CRAMER:

All right. We have a proposal to change the MS NFS. Are there any questions of fact related to this proposal?

Seeing none, we have a motion from the

2

5

POLICE:

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

1 4

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2 4

25

change. Is there any debate on this motion? All right. Seeing -- hang on. Sara? Hi, Sara Police, College of Medicine. It's not a point of debate, it's actually maybe a point of fact, right? Clarification I hope I'm using that parliamentarian term correctly. I wanted to ask whether or not it's possible at the University of Kentucky for there to be two online graduate-level programs in nutritional sciences. And so the reason that I ask is I live in the College of Medicine and actually partner with the College of Ag, and the DHN for our online graduate certificate in culinary medicine. And both of our units have proposals in the pipeline. And clearly, this one is farther along than ours, to put their master's in nutrition, in an online mode. And so I'm fully supportive of the proposal. Everyone's worked super hard on putting their courses online. I'm just wondering about whether or not -- when the point that the

committee to approve the proposed significant

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter An/Dor Reporting & Video Technologies, Inc.

College of Medicine proposal gets to this

point if there will be like, "Hey, this

1		already existed at UK, so we need to talk
2		about it." And they are different
3		disciplines, you know, discipline
4		concentration areas.
5	CRAMER:	So I think as a point of information, perhaps
6		I should respond. Of course, they can't be
7		the same degree, but they already exist
8		separately; right? So this is just a
9		question of whether they're put online
10		separately
11	POLICE:	Correct.
12	CRAMER:	to the extent that they're separate and
13		exist now, they could conceivably be separate
14		and completable online separately; right?
15	POLICE:	Oh, I completely agree. Thanks.
16	CRAMER:	Any we're debating. Any other debate on
17		this motion?
18		Okay. We have a motion from the committee.
19		Voting is open.
20		All right. That motion passes. We're
21		reaching the point in Leslie's report where
22		I'm like, "Surely she's done" and want to
23		thank her and her committee for all their
2 4		hard work. And yet
25	VINCENT:	We're still going. So this is a

2 4

recommendation that the University Senate approve the significant change to the MS Research Methods in Education, in the Department of Educational Policy Studies and Evaluation within the College of Education. The significant program change includes a renaming of the major, curricular changes to existing course requirements, and the addition of a new concentration to the MS program.

This changes and response to an ongoing review of current enrollment and program offerings, in an effort to streamline degree offerings from the department. With this change, the department is merging the existing MS in Research Methods in Education, with the MS in Educational Policy Studies, as the two programs have significant overlap in curriculum. The degree will be changed to the MS in Educational Research Methods and Policy studies, and will result in minimal changes to the existing curriculum.

Upon approval of the program changes, the department plans to sunset the existing MS in educational policy studies. The MS program

1 will remain at 37 credit hours, and the specific changes to the curriculum include; 3 adding three credit hours to major requirements and decreasing elective requirements from -- from 12 credit hours to 5 6 nine. The change also adds a new 7 concentration and policy analysis using existing courses to the major. Okay. So we have a proposed significant 9 CRAMER: 10 change to the MS program. Are there any 11 questions of fact about this change? 12 Okay. Seeing none, we have a motion from the 13 committee to approve the proposed significant 1 4 change. Is there any debate on this motion? 15 Okay. Seeing none, voting's open. And that 16 change is approved. Leslie, do you have one 17 more? VINCENT: This is our last one. This is a 18 19 recommendation that the University Senate 20 approve the significant change to the BA/BS 21 journalism in the School of Journalism and 22 Media within the College of Communication and 23 Information. The significant program change 24 includes curricular changes to existing 2.5 course requirements, and changes additions of

O

tracks within the program.

This changes in response to our review of the curriculum by faculty. The specific changes to their curriculum include an increase in pre-measure requirements from nine to 12 credit hours, a decrease in major course requirements from 24 to 15 credit hours, a decrease in guided electives from six to zero credit hours, and an increase in free electives to 15 credit hours at the 300 level or higher. These changes will allow students greater flexibility in their choice of elective courses.

In addition, the proposal changes the GCCR requirement for the degree, to a newly proposed course that is offered within the School of Journalism and Media. A nine-credit-hour track in sports multimedia will be added to the major. In order to align the tracks offered within the major, the current tracks of print multimedia and broadcast multimedia will now be nine credit hours each.

CRAMER:

All right, so we have a proposed significant change to the BS and BS in journalism. Is

1 there -- are there any questions of fact about this proposal? 3 All right. Seeing none, we have a motion from the committee to approve the significant change. Is there debate on this motion? 5 6 All right. Seeing none -- and that motion 7 passes. Leslie, thank you. Thank your committee the SAPC has a long and honored 8 tradition of being a workhorse committee for 9 10 the Senate, especially this time of year. If 11 Leslie makes it look easy, it's not because 12 it is easy. We owe her quite a bit for her work in this area. 13 1 4 VINCENT: Thank you. I have a great committee and I 15 appreciate all of their help in processing 16 these proposals. 17 CRAMER: All right. The next item on the agenda is a 18 report from the Academic Organization and 19 Structure Committee. Greg, are you ready? 20 All set. Great. So the first proposal is a HALL: 21 recommendation to suspended missions to the 22 executive MBA program. The Gatton College of 23 Business and Economics propose a suspended 24 joint EMBA program with the University of 2.5 Louisville. The program was started in 2014

/

2 4

2.5

and has experienced modest enrollments. The largest class size was 18 students and high operating expenses.

In early Spring 2020, there were preliminary discussions between the two universities to rethink the program, and deliver a part of the content online. At this time, the two schools believe it's now best to reconsider this after the pandemic.

No students were admitted in Fall '20, and all of the current students have graduated in May 2021. Faculty were hired to teach in the program on an overload basis, and the program director has been redeployed to work with other graduate programs in Gatton College.

As such, the suspension of the program will have minimal impact on students, faculty, and staff.

And one other point, all executive MBA courses were taught only for those students, executive MBA students, and so while the program is in suspicious -- suspension, the courses will not be offered. And so our committee recommends suspension of admissions to this program.

24

2.5

CRAMER:

All right. So we have a proposal to suspend admissions for the executive MBA program. Are there any questions of fact about this proposal? All right. Seeing none, we have a motion from the committee to approve suspension of admissions into the executive MBA program. Is there any debate on this motion? Then voting is open. And that motion passed. The next item is -- it came through the Academic Organization and Structure Committee. It was a proposed reorganization of the School of Human Environmental Sciences within the College of Agriculture, Food, Environment. The item was assigned to the Academic Organization and Structure Committee. You'll see in the proposal packet a memo from that committee where they could not come to a decision as to what to recommend on the proposal to the Senate. The Senate Council considered the proposal carefully over a couple of weeks, and made a decision to recommend to the Senate itself, to endorse the transfer of Departments of Dietetics and Human Nutrition, Family

2 4

Sciences, and Retailing in Tourism Management from the School of Human Environmental
Sciences, which is within CAFÉ directly to the college level to be in CAFÉ directly.
And also, to move to graduate certificates, the family and consumer sciences, and the positive youth development graduate certificates, which are currently housed at the college level, to the School of Human Environmental Sciences.

The proposal is fairly complex, but you have for you there in the proposal, a diagram that shows how some of the pieces move together and so forth. In the end, Senate Council decided to recommend to the Senate to endorse these changes. So we have a proposed reorganization. Are there questions of fact about this proposed reorganization?

All right. Seeing none, we have a motion.

The motion is a motion from the Senate

Council, a recommendation to -- from Senate

Council to endorse the transfer of the departments, and to transfer the two graduate certificates. Is there any debate on this motion?

1 Seeing none, voting's open. That motion passes. 2 3 All right. The next item on the agenda is a report from the Retroactive Withdrawal Appeals Committee. Amy Spriggs is the Chair 5 6 of that committee starting this year. 7 this is a report for last year. Amy, are you ready? SPRIGGS: I'm ready. Can you hear me? 9 10 CRAMER: Yes. 11 SPRIGGS: All right. So I'm Amy Spriggs, I'm the Chair 12 of the Retroactive Withdrawals Appeals Committee. We review appeals for retroactive 13 withdrawals for students who have 1 4 15 documentation that indicates they've incurred 16 a serious injury, serious personal or family 17 problems, serious financial difficulties, or a permanent disability that's verified by the 18 19 DRC and that one has to be diagnosed after 20 the semester with which they're appealing. 21 There's a PDF that includes information from 22 the 2020-2021 year. If you have questions 23 about it, I can do my best to answer it, but 24 I was not the Chair then, but I've been on

2.5

Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter An/Dor Reporting & Video Technologies, Inc.

this committee long enough I think that I

_

3

5

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

could answer the questions related to that $\label{eq:could_problem} \text{ year. }$

So far this year, we've reviewed 109 appeals and we have approved 93. So we denied six and deferred 10. Something that we're doing a little bit different this year is we will send an appeal back to a college if it's not complete. And what I mean by that as a student might attach some form of evidence that doesn't match their personal statement or this semester with -- in which they're appealing, and we believe they might actually have the evidence, they will send it back. If May's agenda is similar to the rest of our year, we anticipate having under 140 total proposals this year. So this year I would say the trends that we're seeing, we have a lot of COVID-related appeals, which is probably not surprising. We do have several appeals that actually do not fall within our scope. So another thing that we're doing is instead of voting on those. So they're not actually making it to our total number. Katie Silver will actually send them back to the college or to the body that should be

1 reviewing them. That's often UAB. And we're talking about doing an education 3 campaign to help colleges understand what we -- what is under our purview, what we should be seeing, and then maybe where some of the 5 common appeals that we're seeing, that we actually shouldn't be voting on where they should go instead. That's all I've got. If you've got questions, I'm happy to answer 9 10 them. 11 CRAMER: Thank you, Amy. Questions about the 12 Retroactive Withdrawal Appeals Committee. Kaveh? 13 1 4 TAGAVI: Can you guys hear me? 15 SPRIGGS: Yes. 16 TAGAVI: Okay. It is my understanding that students 17 can have content. But they can appeal your decision to the UAB based on procedure. It's 18 19 also my understanding that at least in the 20 past, there has been a great reluctance on 21 the part of the committee to divulge 22 procedure, specific procedure in the case of 23 the particular student, not general 24 procedures which are available online. Has 2.5 that -- has there been any change on that?

1		Can you make a comment on that, please?
2	CRAMER:	Kaveh, we lost about 30% of what you said,
3		but I think what you said was, "The decisions
4		of the RIGHT-OF-WAY Committee are not
5		appealable in content, but they can be
6		appealed if there's some failure in process
7		to the UAB. But that there's been some
8		hesitation to provide information about
9		procedure in the RIGHT-OF-WAY Committee so
10		that students can understand what's happening
11		there." Does that basically summarize your
12		question?
13	TAGAVI:	Yes. It's my understanding that there is a
1 4		great reluctance on the part of the committee
15		to give any [inaudible 01:24:53] details that
16		might shed light on this specific procedure
17		used for that student. So my question is,
18		has that [inaudible 01:25:05] that's the
19		policy, or if the committee chair would make
20		a comment on that, I like to hear that her
21		comment.
22	CRAMER:	Amy?
23	SPRIGGS:	Sure. So we when we come up to our
2 4		decision, we send a letter to the Associate
2 5		Dean that made the appeal, and then the
	1	

2.5

23 24

Associate Dean works with the student to let them know what our decision was. In that letter, we do not provide any information about why we came to that decision, but if an Associate Dean reached out, we would tell them. It's not, I mean, we're not keeping secrets from anybody. I mean, we actually just sent one back to an Associate Dean and said there was evidence for one semester, but there was not evidence for the other semester, so if you can get him to turn in that evidence, we'll consider it again. So I don't think that we're --we don't put in the letter why we denied it, but 99% of the time it's because their evidence doesn't match the semester, or their evidence isn't -- doesn't fall in the four categories that they're allowed to appeal for.

We get a lot of appeals aware. One professor gave me an incomplete, let me finish, but nobody else would, and so I don't want any of those E's on my transcript. So we -- that doesn't fall within our purview.

And then your other question -- there was another question. What was the other

1		question?
2	CRAMER:	About the appeal ability of
3	SPRIGGS:	Oh, they can appeal
4	TAGAVI:	I just had the one question.
5	SPRIGGS:	Okay. Yeah. They can appeal our decision if
6		they feel their student rights have been
7		violated.
8	CRAMER:	All right. Molly?
9	TAGAVI:	Well, I don't understand why the Associate
10		Dean has to ask a question for you to answer.
11		What if the Associate Dean refuse to ask the
12		question? I think
13	CRAMER:	Kaveh, you're out of order.
1 4	TAGAVI:	the students should be able to ask you
15		question directly.
16	CRAMER:	Kaveh, the procedures that the Senate has set
17		forth in the Senate Rules require the
18		students to approach this process through
19		their dean. The Senate has some jurisdiction
20		that established its rules, but now Amy just
21		has to follow them; right? Molly?
22	BLASING:	Thanks for this opportunity to get a little
23		more clarity on this process. I have two
2 4		questions. One is, are students who are
25		successful in getting a retroactive

1 withdrawal given a certain percentage of their tuition back? 2 3 SPRIGGS: They're given all of their tuition back. 100 percent. Well, if we give a full withdrawal, we very rarely approve a partial withdrawal, 5 6 they have to prove that there's a reason why 7 that -- why they could be successful in one class, but not another. So they get the percentage of the tuition back for which we 9 -- usually it's a full -- give their full 10 11 tuition, almost --12 BLASING: It's 100 percent tuition, there's no penalty. My other question is what role does the 13 1 4 faculty forums? I've had to fill out some of 15 these forums where I account for when there, 16 you know, attendance and how many assignments they completed, this sort of thing. What role 17 18 does that play in your process? How 19 important is that and what does that help you 20 see? 21 SPRIGGS: So a lot of times we will have a student who 22 says, "I had this accident in November and 23 because of that accident, I couldn't go to 24 class." And they might have documentation of 2.5 the accident, but the documentation doesn't

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

2.5

CRAMER: Richard?

CHARNIGO:

BLASING: Thank you.

saying.

Hi. Richard Charnigo, Public Health. I just

really let us know like they did or did not

up their personal statement with their

that point. And at the point of the

go to class. So sometimes it just helps line

evidence, unless I see that they were in fact

coming to class and they did have an A until

accident, then they sort of failing. It will

not make or break our decision with what you

should have looked at the whole package, and

perception of the case, but we do look at it.

completed the course without ever logging in.

So we do look at those things, but they're

not our deciding factor, but a lot of times

they do confirm with what the student's

We had one student who had internet issues

write in there, what the Associate Dean

kind of give in their feedback on their

during COVID, but we had a faculty, one

faculty member had provided a hundred

different ways the student could have

writes has a lot more weight because they

2 4

wanted to get some clarification on the meaning of cases being deferred. You mentioned Dr. Spriggs that 10 cases were deferred. Is this in reference to the cases being sent back to the colleges for more appropriate evidence, or is this something else? Thank you.

SPRIGGS:

Yeah. It's -- we've sent cases back for various reasons. I'd have to pull up exactly. I mean, we have like a list of what the reasons are, most often it's for more evidence. And we have one right now, like these are like our hard cases, right? So I have one right now that we're trying to decide if it actually shouldn't be in our committee at all.

There's some allegations about a professor not allowing makeup work, so we're trying to figure out if they violated Senate Rules in that way. And then we shouldn't even be seeing that appeal. So some of them have just been put on hold for, you know, we're trying to figure out where the appeal should be heard.

CHARNIGO: Thank you.

1	CRAMER:	Allison?
2	SOULT:	I just have a question about Allison
3		Soult, Arts, and Sciences. A question about
4		the waiver of the two-year limit, and I
5		realize this was from last year, but what
6		criteria are used for waving that if
7		because it appears that all a hundred percent
8		of them were in fact waived?
9	SPRIGGS:	We are not waiving any, so we send them to
10		Senate Council. But the Associate Dean has to
11		reach out to Senate Council. Senate Council
12		has to say that the waiver should be that
13		we should accept the waiver, and then we will
14		hear the case. So if we get a waiver, we put
15		the Associate Dean in contact with Senate
16		Council.
17	SOULT:	Okay. Because I know there's some students
18		who don't apply because they think they've
19		mixed the two year, but if we're always
20		waving it then, yeah. Okay. Thank you.
21	CRAMER:	Allison, just to be clear, that's been the
22		way that I've been handling this. If older
23		than two-year cases to be heard, it's going
2 4		to be by waiver the Senate Rules by somebody
25		competent to do so. That's the President of

1 university, or me, or Senate Council, or the Senate. And so if a Dean wants to come and 3 petition Senate Council to have the rule wave, they can come ask. But we haven't had cases that. 5 6 SOULT: All right. Thank you. DeShana? 7 CRAMER: COLLETT: I just had a quick question. This is DeShana from College of Health Sciences. How are the 9 10 students informed that they are going to receive full tuition refund? Or is that in 11 12 the letter? Like, I don't think that's in Senate Rules anywhere. 13 I don't know. I actually just found out that 1 4 SPRIGGS: 15 they get their tuition back because it's 16 actually not part of our committee. But the 17 registrar -- we had a meeting a couple of weeks ago and I just asked, "Like, how do 18 19 they then go about getting their tuition 20 back?" And she just said, "It's automatic." 21 I don't know that all students know that. 22 And I -- it's not in my letter. 23 My letter doesn't go to the students though, 24 we don't communicate with students. As far 2.5 as their appeal goes, there -- they can come

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

2 4

25

and present their case before our committee. They get just a few minutes to tell us anything that may not be included in their appeal. So they're all welcome to do that, but once it is over, we just deal with the Associate Deans. And it's not in the letter that we give the Associate Deans, so I'm not sure how the students find out.

CRAMER:

DeShana, this is one of the sort of remarkable instances where the administration permits a Senate apparatus decision to be having like a permanent administrative effect, which is the tuition refund. Tuition Refund Policy is an administrative policy, but it includes is its reason, basically like a super set of reasons that the RWS can be granted, and so the registrar takes it as -- if a RIGHT-OF-WAY is granted that they meet the standards of the Tuition Refund Appeal policy. Kaveh, do you have another question, or is your hand up from before? Maybe his hands up from before. Okay. All right. Amy, thank you and the committee for hard work dealing with some challenging cases, I think. Thank you very

O

much.

All right. The next item on the agenda is a proposal from the registrar. It's a proposal to change the title of the course catalog and the bulletin names that the university uses.

Kim, are you on here? Do you want to briefly describe the request of the Senate? Kim

Taylor? Yeah. Hang on one second. Kim, are you ready? Got you moved over.

TAYLOR:

Good afternoon, everyone. I submitted a proposal to Aaron Cramer to consider changing the name of the bulletin, and also the course catalog. To change the name of the undergraduate bulletin in the -- sorry about that. The undergraduate bulletin in the graduate bulletin. To change the name of those publications to undergraduate catalog and graduate catalog respectively. And that would occur in the -- that would be effective with the '23-'24 academic year.

And the proposal also included changing the name of the course catalog to the schedule of classes. And that would be effective with Spring '23. Spring '23 or possibly Fall '23, depending on how we can make it work with our

2

3

5

6 7

Q

9

10

11

12

1 4

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

2.5

circle.

That -- what we found when we did benchmarking and that is included in the documentation, that was included in the agenda is that most institutions in the higher ed call their publications, that we refer to as bulletins, they call those documents -- those publications "catalog". And also, if you look at the SACS publication, the SACSCOC publications they're referred to as "catalog and schedule of classes." So that's the documentation that we included as support for this proposal. Are there any questions? I'm sorry, Aaron. So Senate Council heard this request from the registrar last week and has put it forward for Senate's consideration with its recommendation here. Are there questions of fact about this change? Davy? One second. Davy?

JONES:

CRAMER:

Yeah. So just to get clarification, what's being asked here. In most places in the University Senate Rules, we use the phrase "university bulletin" rather than "undergraduate bulletin". There's one place

1		that says undergraduate bulletin. So there's
2		an inconsistency there, but in most places,
3		it's university bulletin. So Kim, are you
4		wanting it to be changed to "university
5		catalog" or you want it in all places changed
6		to "undergraduate catalog"? Could you
7		clarify that?
8	TAYLOR:	Well, there are two distinct documents; the
9		undergraduate catalog and graduate. I'm
10		sorry, undergraduate bulletin, and graduate
11		bulletin. So there are two distinct
12		documents or publications. So we should make
13		the distinction in the Senate Rules.
1 4	JONES:	Okay. I guess, I mean, in most places of the
15		Senate Rules that it refers to what I think
16		you mean as the undergraduate bulletin, it
17		actually calls it the university bulletin,
18		but you would prefer it uniformly be called
19		the undergraduate bulletin, and then there
20		would be nothing called the university
21		catalog; is that correct?
22	TAYLOR:	Exactly.
23	JONES:	Thank you.
2 4	CRAMER:	Any other questions about the proposal?
25		Eric?

1	BLALOCK:	Hi. Eric Blalock, College of Medicine. So
2		this is just from my own experience, working
3		with a transcriptional profiling, where we
4		have a lot of gene names that have aliases,
5		and it can get very confusing, pretty
6		quickly. Is there going to be something
7		about keeping a legacy of what the old names
8		used to be for a couple of years until people
9		get used to it because one name is being
10		changed to the other, and the other one's
11		being changed to yet a third?
12	TAYLOR:	They'll be documents will be certainly
13		be archived, and there'll be notations on our
1 4		website to indicate what the naming
15		convention is, yes. And I understand what
16		you're saying. And it was honestly, it's
17		very it was very confusing for me, and I
18		still confuse them. I know I obviously I
19		know what they are, but I do still confuse
20		them, because of the I worked at
21		University of Texas at Austin in the two
22		regional institutions, and the catalog, or
23		the catalog, is called the catalog and the
2 4		schedule classes is schedule classes, not the
2 5		reverse terminology that we utilize here.

		7 2
1		So the standard in higher ed for the names of
2		these publications, for the publication that
3		we call the bulletin is catalog. And then
4		for the publication, these are obviously both
5		online documents now, but still publications.
6		But the publication that we call the course
7		catalog is scheduled cap is a schedule of
8		classes.
9	BLALOCK:	Hey, thanks.
10	CRAMER:	Bob?
11	GROSSMAN:	Yeah. Hi Bob Grossman, ANSWER. I thought I
12		understood this proposal until Davy started
13		talking and now, I'm not sure, no longer
1 4		clear exactly what the proposal is. So let
15		me try this again. We currently have a
16		graduate bulletin and an undergraduate
17		bulletin; correct?
18	TAYLOR:	We do.
19	GROSSMAN:	Are we going to change those two to
20		undergraduate catalog and graduate catalog?
21	TAYLOR:	Yes.
22	GROSSMAN:	Okay. So those will still be two separate
23		documents, although there may be some overlap
2 4		between them because we have there or will
25		there be overlap between them and certainly

		/ 3
1		courses that will some courses can be both
2		a mix of undergrad and graduate.
3	TAYLOR:	Actually, there is a separation of the
4		courses now because we now use a software
5		platform called Acalog, to build the
6		undergraduate bulletin and the graduate
7		bulletin. And we assisted the graduate
8		school. We're building the graduate bulletin
9		in Acalog, this past year. So we did do
10		we did separate the courses, graduate courses
11		in the graduate bulletin this past year.
12	GROSSMAN:	Okay. But in terms of there is no
13		document called a university bulletin
1 4		currently; is that correct?
15	TAYLOR:	Correct. And if you look at our website, the
16		documents are called undergraduate bulletin
17		and graduate bulletin.
18	GROSSMAN:	Okay. So maybe the change that needs to be
19		made in the Senate Rules is to not either
20		stop using the term university bulletin or
21		define it as the sum of the two bulletins,
22		the graduate or the undergraduate. But we're
23		going to change the bulletin to catalog, but
2 4		that's not going to change whether it's a
25		university catalog or a graduate catalog,

1		plus an undergraduate college catalog.
2	TAYLOR:	Probably when the in the Senate Rules, the
3		reference to the university bulletin, it may
4		most often refer to the undergraduate
5		bulletin. That's in my use of the Senate
6		Rules, that's been my experience. I think
7		that's what Davy that's what I think that
8		may be what Davy is saying, but I don't want
9		to put words in your mouth, Davy.
10	GROSSMAN:	Thank you.
11	CRAMER:	Kaveh?
12	TAGAVI:	Yes. Going through clarification on
13		procedure, please. Aaron, this is address to
14		you; is that okay?
15	CRAMER:	Sure.
16	TAGAVI:	So I asked a question, I was given the
17		answer, then the proposer asked me, "Was
18		there any other question?" And I responded
19		to that. And you told me I'm out of order.
20		The previous speaker makes about four
21		follow-up questions after the speaker
22		answered the question. Can you explain to
23		me, so I wouldn't be out of order anymore, or
2 4		I could do four follow-ups like the previous
2 5		speaker and not be out of order, please?

1	CRAMER:	I can do so. My ruling was based on the fact
2		that you were arguing with the committee
3		chair as to how she should implement the
4		Senate Rules or what the content of the
5		Senate Rules were, which is, was debating,
6		not asking a question about a report. Of
7		course, there's a time for that debate, but
8		that was not the time. And I did come back
9		to you within the same report to ask if you
10		still had a question because your hand was
11		still up. So
12	TAGAVI:	Okay. Thank you.
13	CRAMER:	Kaveh, do you actually do you have a
1 4		question on this topic?
15	TAGAVI:	No. I am okay. Thank you very much.
16	CRAMER:	DeShana?
17	COLLETT:	I was just going to follow up with what Kim
18		said about the Senate Rule. She's correct
19		when we refer to the university bulletin
20		throughout, we are referring to pretty much
21		the undergraduate bulletin. We kind of
22		detail that in eight section eight, so
23		8.2, where we separate the university
2 4		bulletin and the graduate bulletin. In the
25		last sentence, you'll find it.
	1	

1	TAYLOR:	Thanks, DeShana.
2	CRAMER:	Any other questions about this proposal? If
3		not, we have a motion from the committee.
4		The this being the Senate Council
5		recommended Senate to approve the proposed
6		change on the time frame described here. Is
7		there any debate on this motion?
8		DeShana your hand might still be up. Okay.
9		All right. Any debate? Okay, voting is
10		open.
11		Okay. And that motion passes.
12		All right. The next item on the agenda is
13		items from the floor time permitting. This
1 4		is an opportunity for senators to raise
15		issues that are not on the agenda. If you'd
16		like to do so, please raise your hand now.
17		Shannon?
18	OLTMANN:	Shannon Oltmann, College of Communication and
19		Information. I just had a quick point of
20		clarification. I received an email from
21		DeShana with a link to the presidential
22		survey. Do that go out to all faculty
23		members, or just senators?
2 4	CRAMER:	That went out to all full-time faculty
2 5		members.
	1	

1	OLTMANN:	Thank you.
2	CRAMER:	Other items from the floor? Lee?
3	BLONDER:	Yes. Thank you. I just wanted to encourage
4		all the senators to encourage all your
5		constituents to vote in the upcoming faculty
6		trustee election. That really makes a
7		difference if we have a great turnout, and
8		the trustees notice that, and so does the
9		president. So please encourage everyone to
10		vote. Thank you.
11	CRAMER:	Any other items from the floor today?
12		All right. Well, if not, unless I hear
13		objections to adjournment now Bob, are you
1 4		objecting to adjournment?
15	GROSSMAN:	Just to mention the next Senate meeting,
16		which will pro which may start at 2:00,
17		it's a 3:00.
18	CRAMER:	That's a good announcement. Our next Senate
19		meeting, which is going to be on May 2nd, the
20		first Monday of May could start at 2:00.
21		We'll have to see what the agenda looks like,
22		but check your email, pay attention to that.
23		There's a possibility that that meeting could
2 4		start at two instead of three, that's during
2 5		finals week. So we understand that there
	İ	

1		might be some difficulties to the president
2		of the university is scheduled to speak to
3		that meeting at that time.
4		All right. So let's assume that's not an
5		objection to adjournment. Cagle, are you
6		objecting to adjournment?
7	CAGLE:	No, I have a question. If we start early,
8		does that mean we end early or that we have a
9		three-hour meeting potentially?
10	CRAMER:	No. If we start at two, it's because we got
11		three hours of stuff to do
12	CAGLE:	Okay. Just clarifying. Thank you.
13	CRAMER:	It will be because I'm going to miss you guys
14		so much, I want to spend three hours with
15		you.
16		All right. I hear no objections to
17		adjournment now, so have a great week guys.
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
25		