1	UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
2	SENATE COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
5	
6	JANUARY 14, 2019
7	
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
9	
10	JENNIFER BIRD-POLLEN, CHAIR
11	SHEILA BROTHERS, ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR
12	DOUG BLACKWELL, PARLIAMENTARIAN
13	BRENDA YANKEY, COURT REPORTER
14	
15	
16	* * * * * * * * * * *
17	
18	
19	
20	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. One last reminder to grab your clicker at the front and sign in if you haven't done that yet. I will call this meeting to order. Thank you all for making your way over here instead of our usual meeting at the library. We've been doing that and thank you for coming in to a January Senate meeting which I know there hasn't been one of for a while so we appreciate it.

1.3

2.1

2.2

Just our regular reminder that well use

Robert's Rules here and it's pretty simple, but also
to participate and share news from the Senate with
your colleagues back in your colleges. And then
also a reminder to leave your clicker when you leave
the room which will mean bringing them up here to
the front of the room. Thank you for all of that,
we appreciate it.

We'll start with our attendance slide. So when the slide is there and the question is read, you can vote. What's your favorite thing about our new semester? Okay now — now you can reply. Thank you. All right. Excellent. Thank you for that. The Senate meeting, a close third.

(LAUGHTER)

Okay. So the next item on our agenda is our minutes. We did send updated minutes to you on

Wednesday of last week with some changes in particular, expanding the session on the Q and A with the President. That was in response to some requested changes. Any additional comments? Oh yes, Senator Cross?

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MR. CROSS: It's unusual for a Senate to have an objection that requires formal approval of the minutes so I was wanting to identify myself as the objector. The original version on the grounds that it didn't give the President's responses to the questions that we asked last month. The lastest version does. I was unable to attend last months meeting. When I read the transcript I saw that when Herman Ferrell asked the President that good question about being more proactive on Academic Freedom in light of the recent lawsuit settlement.

He said, and I quote from the transcript, There was contention in this case about the intervention by government officials. That part of the case was thrown out. Now to me if someone who has written for publications for broadcast for more than forty years, I understand thrown out, and I'm believing that text to mean that the Judge in the case dismissed the claim.

The President and his advisors may have a

different understanding. In this case the claim against the university official and an unnamed member of the Bevin Administration was dismissed only after the plaintiff in the case filed an amended complaint that did not include them, for reasons the plaintiff did not have to reveal and the complaint was dismissed against them.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

It was dismissed without prejudice, meaning that they could have been reinstated. I wanted to mention that today to make sure that none of you heard it incorrectly. The President went on to say that he's known of no circumstance with anyone in the Governor's Administration that has said anything to undermine Academic Freedom.

He also said it was his responsibility to defend us when we say controversial things. As someone who still writes for the publication, and is occasionally critical of the Governor and other high ranking officials, I want to thank him for saying that and for issuing the following statement right after the motion was filed, just a few days after.

I want to be very clear, The University of

Kentucky has indeed been a school for academic

freedom. Our regulations protect it and our values
hold it sacred. No member of our community will be

punished for expressing their views on matters of public affair. That statement was very clear and I think we all appreciate it because academic freedom is fundamental to the work and reputation of this university and I ask that this statement be shared among the minutes of this meeting and I have no further objections.

2.

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Thank you. Senator Cross. So, Senator Cross has no objection to the minutes that were circulated on Wednesday and so unless I hear further objections now — okay, hearing no objections then the minutes that were circulated on Wednesday, the minutes from the December 10th meeting will stand approved as amended by unanimous consent. Thank you all for that.

So, in my announcements, I'm excited to announce to you that we've received triple funding for a third full-time employee in the Senate Council office and so this is in addition to Sheila Brothers and Joanie Ett-Mims who doesn't come to Senate meetings but who many of you know through your interactions with her having to do with courses and changes and things that go through both UK Core and the Undergraduate Council.

Sheila and Joanie both have, well they're both

overworked, but they have lots of responsibilities that have to do with curricular things that really they are very well skilled and able to handle, but they've also been overworked with more clerical work.

2.

2.1

2.2

So the goal in hiring a third person is to take some of that clerical work from them so they have more time to dedicate to curricular programming and course related activities. So, we're very excited about that. As you can see up here we had the position posted over the break which just closed last week and now were in the process of evaluating applications. So we're very excited about that.

Once we have more information well let you know. At the request of both the Senate Council and one of the Cohost implementation teams the Senate Admissions of Academic Standards Committee is deliberating on a proposal which would allow non-degree seeking students to enroll in undergraduate certificates at UK. This is something that came up briefly when we were talking about enrollment in courses from non-degree students.

I think that was a meeting in the fall, but the Senates explicit proposal hasn't come through any of the Senate Committees yet so they are considering

that proposal and we expect to see action from them in the next few weeks. So in one of the next couple of Senate meetings we expect to bring you that proposal if it receives approval from that committee and from Senate Council.

2.

2.1

2.2

The other announcement for this meeting is that the Blue Group an Grad education implementation

Committee has been formed and is being Chaired by Associate Provost Sue Roberts who is a Professor of Geography as well. And so this is the committee that's going to implement the Blue Group and report that the Cap that the Blue Group — the committee that is Chaired by (coughing) and Brett Spear.

Where is he? There he is.

So, over the last eighteen months of so the work of that committee is going to be implemented by this new committee so were excited to see the work of that crew. We expect they'll be meeting soon.

We're also very excited to report that Patrick Lee

Lucas from the College of Design has agreed to serve as the Chair of the UK Core Committee. So, he's stepping into that role right now and I expect that committee will be meeting shortly.

We're meeting with the Provost in the next couple of weeks to talk about that and Patricks

meeting with people across campus to talk about the next steps for the UK Core Committee. In addition, up here, if you remember in the spring of 2018 we did a university-wide survey -- faculty survey of an evaluation of the President. The results of that survey have now been posted on the University Senate website in the same place that the results of his prior years service have been posted.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

So, you're all welcome to go to review that if you'd like. The reason that we've held off on posting that was that the Board of Trustees was completing its evaluation of the President at their December meeting. So they've now done that and so we've posted the results of our survey as well. Senate Committee on Committees met last week which is, we have a committee on committees. I think my friend Beth, who's in the audience somewhere has described it as Monty Python X. So we have a committee on committees. If you look at the Senate Rules it's comprised of the current and past Chairs of various Senate Committees.

The Senate Council had asked Committee on

Committees to consider the charges of the other

Senate Committees. So all the Chairs in the room

were asked to consider the charges of their

committee, the description of the Senate Rules, the makeup of their committee and things like that. So they will be bringing back to their committees those charges and have a conversation about that over the coming semester and we hope to meet again at the end of the semester and think about whether any changes are required. Whether anything needs to be done to make the actions of our current committees more accurately reflected in the Senate rules.

2.

2.2

So that group is working on that. Next announcement is that the Ombud search committee has been formed and the Chair for that committee is Corrine Williams from the College of Public Health. This is a search committee that is required by the Senate Rules. We have Joe McGillis who is the current Ombud. He's in his second year and the Senate Rules require that after the second full year there has to be a full search for this position even if the current Ombud is willing to serve again and so that search is now commencing. We've formed the committee and I expect they'll put out an announcement calling for nominations soon.

So, I encourage you to consider applying or seeking or nominating a colleague if that's something people are interested in doing. I expect

well share more information about that search in the next couple of weeks. The Staff Senate is sponsoring a Dance Blue Party for staff and faculty. This is at the staff and faculty friendly hour of 11:00 AM instead of all night long. You can participate either as a dancer if you're interested and if so please share a video of that or you can buy a t-shirt if you are not the dancing type.

2.1

2.2

I encourage you to email Denee Wilson who is with the Staff Senate office or call her at that number there and consider participating in that.

Another announcement for another group, for the Women's Forum, the Sarah Beth Holmes Award.

Nominations are open for that and they're seeking nominations through February 1st for both female staff employees and female faculty employees. The website for the nominations form is there at the bottom of the page. If you search for Sarah-Beth Holmes, I'm sure you'll find that. If you don't have time to write it down now, I encourage you to think about whether you have colleagues, either staff colleagues or faculty colleagues who would make good nominees for that group.

It's an excellent ceremony and a really nice ceremony for people who are nominated even if they

don't win they recognize all of the nominees through an excellent program. So, I encourage you to think about participating in that.

1.3

2.2

One further, you're going to keep hearing about this, but another reminder about curricular proposal deadlines. We ask — This is for fall 2019 effective dates. Again, this is for proposals that have already gone through the appropriate academic council. We ask them to be in the Senate Council office by February 11th. If it's a new degree program, March 15th. For other proposals beyond degree of programs and April 15th for courses and minors.

Again this is the -- it's just giving you the best possible chance for a fall 2019 approval date. So we ask you to share this information again with your colleagues in your colleges and departments. Moving on to my Chairs report. At the December Board of Trustees meeting Provost Blackwell made an announcement that the Council on Postsecondary Education, which is the approval body at the state level, it has historically been true that programs had to be approved by the Board of Trustees before they could be considered by the Council on Postsecondary Education.

At UK's request, they've reconsidered that and have decided at least on a temporary basis, we don't know how long this will be true, but at least on a temporary basis as long as the UK Board of Trustees acts within six months of CPE approval then they then meet the UK Board of Trustees could approve a program after it had been approved by CPE so what this gives us is flexibility in terms of deadlines.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So sometimes it's been the case that the Board of Trustees meets just after a CPE meeting. I think that's going to be true in February. So this way we've got more flexibility about when those approvals happen at the board level and CPE level.

So, this is a good thing for us in terms of flexibility. Notice at the bottom that this is so far has not affected those deadlines I just showed you on the previous slide. We're still going to ask you to respect those deadlines for now. That's still giving us the best possible chance of implementation for the fall. This gives us a little bit more flexibility, a little more wiggle room when those meeting times don't line up as we might have liked.

Back at the November Senate meeting our Faculty
Trustee Bob Grossman had asked if there was a

requirement that there be different expectations for undergrad and grad students in the same class, the 400 and 500 level class. And so Annie Weber, our temp Provost for Strategic Planning and Institutional Effectiveness, did a little research about this and she let us know that it's SACS principle 9.6 that requires this. So the SACS principle requires the clear distinction between the requirements for grad and undergrad students.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So if we didn't have that -- if we couldn't -- if we didn't have requirements to have different grading standards for those students then we wouldn't be able to demonstrate our compliance with that SACS requirement. Am I saying that right, Annie? Anything you want to add to that?

MS. WEBER: I can't tell how clear that is but that sounds right.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Great. So what the general rule is it's SACS that's requiring it. As a result of SACS requiring it, were implementing it in our courses and here at UK and doing so allows us to then show SACS were compliant with their rules.

MR. TAGAVI: Can you please clarify is SACS requiring different criteria for graduate versus undergraduate credit or graduate versus

undergraduate student. The reason I ask this is it's possible that under some circumstance that in a 200 level course, a PHD student takes that course in order to beef up their grades or whatever. Up until now I was under the impression that for that one student who is a PHd student taking a 200 level course, you don't have to have a different criteria because they are not taking that as a graduate credit.

2.

2.1

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Assistant Provost Weber, do you have an answer?

PROVOST WEBER: I do. That matches my understanding of the principle as well that the credit --

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: The course number, not the individual student.

PROVOST WEBER: Yeah.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. I'm also excited to report that Provost Blackwell has invited me to attend the Provost Councils meetings and so I will do so. He has informed me that I am welcome to share with Senate Council and the Senate any information that comes up in those meetings. So, I'll be doing that and this is sort of a way for the Senate to have equal participation in those Provost Council

Meetings which involve the Dean as well as the Associate Provost and so I'll start attending those whenever the next one is. I'll have to check. All right next is our Provost report. I think you're sharing, you're waiting until the end, right?

PROVOST BLACKWELL: I'll be brief.

2.

2.1

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Oh yeah, yeah, yeah, sure.

PROVOST BLACKWELL: Very brief. I just want to welcome everyone back. I wish you the very best for 2019 in the terms of health and prosperity and success, especially here at UK, I hope you all have had a relaxing break. I appreciate especially that you've had this extra meeting that will help us to move things along with Our Path Forward and I guess my report will really be Kathi Kerns report at the end of this meeting. So I'll insert that comment. Anyway, thank you all very much for everything. Have a great year.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Thank you. I agree. Our Vice Chair is out today so we do not have a Vice Chair report. Anything from our Parliamentarian? Not feeling it today. Okay. Next is our Trustees report.

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: So, welcome back everyone.

I have a brief report about the Board meeting that

occurred in December. One of the presentations that was made of concern to a great many of us here and can we bring up that slide? The next slide? Okay, here we go, okay. So it was about construction.

The slides I'm showing you are excerpted from a presentation that was given by Mary Vosevich who is the Vice President for Facilities Management. Is that right? Facilities, and what it was a plan for the next few years of both modernization and new construction projects that the administration plans to implement.

1.3

2.1

2.2

I would emphasize that we did not vote to approve any specific projects at all. That will require having the money to do these projects identified first. Some of these projects, the money is already identified but the administration hasn't yet come to the Board asking for approval to spend it on those particular things.

Especially some of these modernization projects which the legislature gave us money to do certain modernization projects last year, but some of these — many of these projects are more aspirational and they're on the list because they're hoping that a donor will say, you know, I'd like to fund this project and then we can move forward on that project

as well, but I thought you would all like to see specifically the projects. These slides were also in an article in the Herald Leader, but I thought you'd like to see them. Believe me I did not, there we go. I had nothing to do with putting that on there but I'm very excited to see that it's there.

2.1

2.2

There's more details about each of these projects on the full presentation, Mary's full presentation which she had posted on her website. I don't know if there's a URL to that page coming up after some of these. Anyway if you have any questions about any of these you can ask me. Here are the capital projects that are being proposed and one that I think you will be interested in is the expansion of the parking structure number 5. That's the one over next to where Kennedys used to be.

So, the plan, as I understand it is to enter a public/private partnership. In fact, I believe, the request for proposals have already been and so that they — a private organization will build a building which will have retail on the ground floor and then above that will be parking for university employees and students. The Poultry Research Facility is another one I wanted to mention. This is located on land that the university traded to the city in

return for Rose Street and several other streets here on campus.

1.3

2.2

I understand that this research, poultry research facility will be placed outside New Circle Road now which will then probably give us the answer to the very important question of why did the chicken cross the road. (LAUGHTER) Let's see. I guess there's, I'm not sure about this teaching pavilion of where it's supposed to go and who's supposed to --- Oh, great, but I don't know if any of you have ever been to the police station but that certainly could use a bit of modernization as well. So anyway the URL --

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: We didn't.

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Oh, you didn't put it up there. Okay. So --

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: It is on the -- so if you go to the Senate agenda for today, the Trustees report includes the link to those slides and the URL is inside there.

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Right. Or you can go to www://cpmd which is capital projects and I forget, anyway well cpmd, medical doctor I guess. Capital projects of medical doctor.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Capital Projects Management Division.

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Capital Projects Management Division. There we go. Okay. And there's a link to 2018 and 2020 capital. So you're turn.

2.1

2.2

TRUSTEE BLONDER: I just have a few things. So we met on December 10th and 11th and some things of interest that I thought. One thing is we talked about in the Investment Committee the size of the endowment pool which in October was about 1.4 billion dollars but of interest is that 5 million dollars of this is given to the student finance majors and master students and they can invest this. So we heard a presentation from one of the students about what they invested in and that was really interesting.

We also learned that the Healthcare Committee, you might recall that theres a proposal on board to possibly make an agreement between UK Healthcare and the Lexington Clinic for outpatient cancer care.

That is still ongoing, the discussion. Faculty are involved. To my understanding, I've communicated with faculty. They're involved in the discussions and theres an idea that this will come to the Board Healthcare meeting in February.

The other thing that you probably read in the newspaper is that Mira Ball and Ray Carson gave a 2

million dollar gift and 8 million dollar pledge to establish, endow and support the Don and Mira Snyder Ball Endowed Scholarship Program and their preferences for students in Nelson and Henderson Counties and they're helping the U K LEADS Program with this. So that was exciting.

2.2

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Any questions for us?

MR. POOL: Chris Pool, Arts and Sciences.

For several years now we've been told that there
were extensive renovations planned for Rafferty

Hall. I take it theres no longer any mention of
that?

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Laugherty Hall? (LAUGHTER)

MR. POOL: That's the one.

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: I've never actually been in that building. You know what I know. If you have a further question about that I suggest you send an email to Mary Vosevich and ask her. Okay?

PROVOST BLACKWELL: I just want to make a comment about something you mentioned, Trustee Grossman. So the modernization projects the state has authorized us to issue bonds and paper notes so we don't — they did not give us the money and so we have to use our own money to pay for the bonds. So

that's why philanthropy will be a big part of helping with these projects. I just wanted to clarify that.

1.3

2.1

2.2

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Yes, that's right.

MR. BROWN: Allen Brown, Arts and Sciences.

Did they give you any indication of what Patterson office tower, whats going on with the 18th floor?

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Yeah, so the 18th floor used to be reserved for Board of Trustee meetings so it was used six nights a year. You have many nicer facilities now including you know, the Gatton College, also the Gatton Student Center so the Board is no longer meeting up there. I don't know what is planned to do with that space up there. Do you know?

PROVOST BLACKWELL: I know it won't be classrooms.

MR. BROWN: Because it's locked down right now, right?

PROVOST BLACKWELL: Right. It's still locked down. It wont be classrooms because the elevators cant handle the volume of getting students, that number of students covered. Most likely it's going to be offices, faculty offices, administrative space would be my best guess.

1 TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Peregrine Falcon habitat.

2 Any other questions for us?

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Any other questions for our Trustees?

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Thank you.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: The next item on our agenda is the report from the Senate Academic Programs Committee Chair Aaron Cramer.

MR. CRAMER: We've got two counts of business from our community at this time. The first is a recommendation that the University Senate approve significant changes to the MS in the Kinesiology and Health Promotion, in the Department of Kinesiology and Health Promotion within the College of Education.

The changes are to establish four concentrations:
Biomechanics, Coaching, Exercise Physiology, and
Physical Education teaching. These concentrations
will be formally but to formally establish -- will
allow them to appear on the student's transcript. It
will allow for a clearer communication and potential
employers about the student. KHP is actually a
pretty broad term. It focuses on many different
areas and establishing a new concentration, the
student's ability for periodic reviews.

So the proposal is essentially within the existing MS in KHP, establish these as four formal concentrations.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. So any questions of fact related to this proposal? We have a representative from the program here as well.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Oh, okay. Yes, great. Any questions of fact about this proposal and significant change? All right. Hearing no questions of fact the motion from the committee is that the Senate approve the significant changes to the MS in Kinesiology and Health Promotion in the Department of Kinesiology and Health Promotion.

So, any debate on that motion? Any comments, questions, thoughts? Okay. So I'll open it for voting. The motion passes. Our next item from SAPC?

MR. CRAMER: This is a recommendation that the University Senate approve for submission to the Board of Trustees the establishment of a new Master of Forensic Toxicology and Analytical Genetics

Degree in the Department of Toxicology and Cancer Biology within the College of Medicine.

The Master of Forensic Toxicology and Analytical
Genetics will prepare professionals with an advanced
training in forensic science and develop highly

valued workplace skills. We're establishing two concentrations. One in forensic toxicology in chemistry. The other in forensic and analytical genetics. The curriculum will include common coursework, an advanced forensic science as well as writing, communication, professionalism, ethics, legal perspectives and then also laboratory skills and specialized coursework that includes an internship experience for all the students.

2.

2.1

2.2

This professional masters program has the student learning analytical development of input from potential employers, consultants, faculty outside of the program and the university. It's expected to launch with twelve students split evenly between the two concentrations. Then they intend to grow out to cohorts of twenty.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: So that's the actual description of the recommendation. Any questions of fact about the proposed degree? Okay. So then I'll put the motion on the floor that the Senate approve for submission through the Board of Trustees this new masters of Forensics Toxicology and Analytical Genetics in the Department of Toxicology and Cancer Biology within the Department of College of Medicine. Any debate on that motion? Comments?

Questions? Okay. Then I'll open it for voting.

Final votes? And the motion passes. Okay. Great.

Thank you. Thanks Aaron. Our next committee report is the Academic Organization and Structures

Committee Chaired by Al Cross.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MR. CROSS: A proposal is for a reorganization and a company transfer of degree programs within the College of Health and Sciences. The college would change its two department structure with several divisions in each department to a five-department structure with no divisions. Transferring degrees in academic programs and supporting faculties to the new departments.

The reorganization resembles the one accomplished of the College of Medicine and follows the advice of the department bodies. The background material makes clear that the faculty and staff at the college are very much involved in developing and embedding the proposal which as committee members considered an excellent example of faculty governments.

All faculty members in the college have been consulted about their individual assignments and would get their preferences. About 71 faculty resulted in 46 votes for approval, 1 abstention and

no opposition. The effective date would be July 1 2. 1st. So, the Senates Academic Organization and 3 Structure Committee has two functions. 4 approve things on an organization basis, actually we 5 recommend or we --6 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Endorse. 7 MR. CROSS: Endorse. I always forget the 8 right word. We endorse on an organizational basis 9 and we approve on an academic basis. So do we have 10 two motions? 11 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: We do. MR. CROSS: The first is motion from the 12 13 committee to approve it based on its academic merit. 14 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. So the first motion 15 is regarding the academic merit. Before we get to a 16 discussion about motion, we do have a 17 representatives from the College of Arts and 18 Sciences here including the Dean and the two faculty 19 members who led this study and recommendation. 20 there questions of fact regarding this proposal? 2.1 Any clarifying questions? 2.2 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Well, it's actually kind 23 of a question of procedure. 24 SECRETARY BROTHERS: Name please?

MR. FARRELL: Herman Farrell, College of Fine

25

Arts. So, having Chaired this committee once before I know that if you do something like a transfer or a closure of a program. There's a requirement in the Senate Rules for a open hearing? Was that held?

MR. CROSS: I was unaware of such a requirement. Karen, Carl?

2.

2.1

2.2

MS. BADGER: I'm Karen Badger for the

Department of Health Sciences. We're not

transferring from the College to a different

College. We're transferring to departments within

so for example the Division of Physical Therapy now

holds the degrees that are affiliated with that.

They don't really transfer to the Department of

Physical Therapy. So, I mean that is how we.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Go ahead.

MR. FERRELL: I've read the proposal. It's excellent. That's not the issue. It's actually, it's the committee that has to do this. The reason why I am raising this is because going forward if we ever have a real sort of controversial issue with regard to procedures, I would be concerned that we have kind of by inference waiving this aspect of it. It is important.

It is included in the rule that when there is a transfer -- I can cite what the section is. It's

3.4.2 and it's Need from the Senate Review
Procedures. And then it's subcategory A, B under
those to a different frames either for academic
changes or organizations. So, it says Committees
will also conduct at least one open hearing which
any employee or student affected by the proposal
where the action may make through the submission or
oral presentations.

2.

2.1

2.2

The open sessions are for the purpose of obtaining information. They are not intended as an adversarial or a judgmentory process.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: What number is that Herman?

MR. FARRELL: This is subsection 2 under B.

The section begins Before the respective committee completes it report. I guess what I'm asking for as a way around this because I'd like to see this go forward is maybe the Senate will just consider that and I guess vote to waive that aspect of it because it appears to me since the faculty is completely supportive of this, it sounds like the students were not too affected by it.

Could we just acknowledge that open hearing aspect of the rule is still a requirement but in this instance well waive that requirement.

Sounds like a motion to me. 1 MR. CROSS: 2 MR. FARRELL: Again my rationale for this is 3 going forward, I would hate to have us forget to 4 waive this rule, and it could have serious issues 5 about consolidation or closure. 6 MR. CROSS: If this apparently hasn't occurred 7 to the staff or me because this is basically 8 internal reshuffling within the college. I think we 9 can take that as an amendment to this motion. 10 waive --11 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Parliamentarian, your 12 thought about that? 13 PARLIAMENTARIAN: It's just a discussion. 14 This does not change the merit of the motion. 15 just pointing out that a review was not had. 16 MR. CRAMER: But if he made a motion to amend 17 that to include a waiver, that would be in order? 18 PARLIAMENTARIAN: Yes, that would be in order. 19 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Are you making such a 20 motion, Senator? 2.1 MR. FARRELL: Yes, I am making a motion that 2.2 we waive that particular section with regard to the 23 review in this instance. 24 MR. CROSS: I'll second. 25 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. So --

MR. CROSS: We can accept this friendly 1 2. amendment. 3 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: A show of hands? Okay. 4 Are there questions of fact regarding this motion? 5 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: If you --6 SECRETARY BROTHERS: Name please. 7 MR. TAGAVI: Kaveh Tagavi, Engineering. 8 Could you please put the portion of the rules that 9 are being changed so we can all see? 10 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: I don't know we can do 11 that in any kind of way that reflects the time --It's in the rules and we don't 12 MR. CROSS: 1.3 have the rules handy for projection. He's not 14 objecting to any particular part of the proposal. 15 He's objecting to a lack of step --16 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: I think actually there is 17 a debate here about whether this rule applies because its about an educational unit. The transfer 18 19 20 MR. CROSS: Of an academic program to a 2.1 different educational unit. To a different educational 2.2 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: 23 unit and theres an argument that it wouldn't apply. 24 Thoughts from the College of Health Sciences back 25 there?

MS. BADGER: Karen Badger from the College of 1 2. Health Sciences. Just a clarification, are we 3 talking about an open forum that's offered by the 4 Senate Committee? 5 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Right. 6 MR. CROSS: Yeah, the committee. 7 MS. BADGER: Because we did have an open 8 forum within the college. I know that that's 9 different but just so that fact is understood. 10 had the Urban Faculty Forum and when it was also had 11 the (coughing) MR. CROSS: And just to clarify the committee 12 13 is a public agency. It's a sub-senate of the Senate 14 which is a public agency so our meetings our public. If anybody wants notice of them. 15 16 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Yeah. 17 MR. ENGLISH: Robert English, College of 18 Health Sciences. How are we defining educational 19 unit? 20 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Well, that's exactly the 21 question. 2.2 MR. ENGLISH: Well, if it's within the college 23 then there is no transfer. 24 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Right. And it's not 25 defined in the rule.

You could argue that the 1 MR. CROSS: 2 department within the college are educational units 3 but if you're becoming -- if you're looking at 4 divisions then that confuses the issue. 5 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Oh, goodness. Over here 6 theres the definition of an educational unit in the 7 rule. Colleges schools to --8 It could be interpreted -- and I MR. CROSS: 9 think the safe interpretation is that the rule 10 applies. It could be argued that it doesn't. 11 They lined out the rules in MR. FARRELL: 12 2017. It updated --1.3 MR. CROSS: So, we have a motion I guess. 14 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: 15 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Do we want to vote the 16 motion? 17 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: No, no we have -- we're 18 still having discussion of this particular motion, 19 the motion to waive this rule. 20 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: But then we'll come 2.1 back to --2.2 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: To the larger issues, 23 I'm sorry we can't post the rule to be read 24 right now. We have people who are reading it. 25 MR. CRAMER: Aaron Cramer, College of

1	Engineering. I think our Parliamentarian expressed
2	that as long as were aware of what were doing we
3	don't necessarily need to do this. It will be
4	reported in the minutes, I'm sure, that we're aware
5	of this rule and the rule makes a descriptive call
6	in this case but as long as we acknowledge of that
7	on the original motion rather than the amended
8	motion that we acted knowing this is in order.
9	CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: That discussion we had in
10	December. Have you talked about that
11	Parliamentarian?
12	MR. BLACKWELL: Result in the reason to vote
13	for or against an amendment but you've called the
14	amendment on the floor, right?
15	CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: No, no, no. I, yes, but
16	the amendment is included in the waiver. So you're
17	saying that this then would
18	MR. CRAMER: That is the amendment.
19	PARLIAMENTARIAN: Oh, whether the Senate can
20	waive its own rules?
21	CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Yeah. (Laughter)
22	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I've had the pleasure to
23	work with Herman for a number of
24	SECRETARY BROTHERS: Name please?
25	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I trust he's charged very

much trusted in here and that's why I would like to make second motion.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: I think it's already been seconded by Al Cross.

MR. CROSS: I seconded it.

1.3

2.2

motion? Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Oh, okay.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Yeah?

MS. EFFGEN: Susan Effgen, Health Sciences.

Can you put the question on the floor?

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN:So I haven't put the motion on the floor yet. I'm asking if there's clarification about what were — the amendment itself. Okay. So hearing no further discussion of the question, the motion is on the floor to amend the motion to explicitly waive the rule. Is there debate on that

So we're going to have to do this by a show of hands because we don't have a slide for this. So, all in favor of amending the committees motion to explicitly waive rule 3.4.2 B, all in favor?

Opposed? Okay. The motion passes. Okay. So now we have an amended motion. Will we have two amended motions?

MR. CROSS: No, you have -- this is the one amended with the waiver. Now that's the main

question.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. All right. So any other questions of fact regarding the proposal to approve this reorganization? Questions of fact here?

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I support this.

SECRETARY BROTHERS: I'm sorry, name please.

MS. MCCORMICK: Katherine McCormick, but I was just curious if it might be helpful to include all the processes that you just mentioned in terms of soliciting the input of faculty so that if you say theres seventy faculty and it was approved or supported by so many. I suspect somebody might say Well, what happened to the other?

So I would encourage, if it's possible, that they add that language in if it's not already there. It may already be there.

MR. CROSS: The eighty-four page proposal PDF is on the website and it includes a fairly detailed description of the efforts we went through and we were satisfied with it.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Any other questions of fact? Okay. So I'll put the motion on the floor that the Senate approve the proposal including the transfer of degree programs as part of the

reorganization based on academic merit. So, this is the academic portion of the proposal and this is something that the Senate approves in our authority under --

1.3

2.2

MR. CROSS: And waives the said rule.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: And including, I'm sorry, this is the amended motion including the waiver of the rule. So, debate on that motion? Any debate on that motion? Okay. Then I will open that for voting. Any final votes on the Academic Merit portion for approval? Okay. The motion passes.

Okay. So a second recommendation from the committee?

MR. CROSS: Because this is the province of the Trustees and not the faculty we only can endorse, we don't have the power of approval or disapproval. So, the motion is to endorse the proposed reorganization of the College of Health Sciences.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Any comments on this?

Senator Farrell? Do you think this one also needs to be amended?

MR. FARRELL: Yes, it does. Because it covers, that rule covers both.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: All right. I would

entertain a motion to amend this portion of it.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MR. FARRELL: Yes, I would move that we amend this second motion for the committee dealing with the reorganization and note that the requirement of the open hearing be waived according to section 2.4.2 or -- 3.4.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. We'll get that corrected in the minutes. Okay. Is there a second for that motion?

MR. CROSS: I'll second it.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. So, any questions of fact on the senate waiver? Hearing none there's a motion on the floor to amend this motion. We will again have to vote by a show of hands. So we will again be amending the Senates endorsement of the proposed re-org explicitly waiving Senate Rule 3.4.2.B. Debate on that motion? On amending this to include a waiver? Okay. Seeing none I'll ask you to vote by a show of hands. All in favor? Opposed? Okay. The motion passes.

Okay. So now I'll ask if you have any questions of fact related to this motion, the endorsement amended now to include a waiver of the open hearing portion of the Senate rules. Any questions of fact? Okay. Hearing none we'll put

the motion on the committee — on the floor from the committee that the Senate endorse the proposed reorganization to the College of Health Sciences based on its non academic merits including a waiver of the open hearing portion of the Senate rules.

Any debate on that motion? Comments, questions, thoughts? Okay. I'll open voting on that amended motion. Okay. Any final votes? And the motion passes. Okay. Thanks very much everyone. Thanks, Al and your committee.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

The next item on our agenda is the proposed

Lewis Honors College Rules. Dean Brady is here from
the Lewis Honors College.

DEAN BRADY: Hi, thank you all. The only thing I would clarify, it's not a change to the rules. The rules have never been approved. So, these are the College rules. They were approved by the regular Honors College faculty members that's made up of our faculty. Some of you are here in the room who have your appointment in the other colleges and do work in the Honors College. They were approved in September.

So, that's it. We're just getting started. So this is our first set of rules and our first time coming here. It's gone to the Senate Council.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: It's gone to the Senate

Council and just to clarify the reason that these

rules are here in front of us now is because the GR

actually requires Senates approval on college rules.

This was put in for the governing regulations when

the Lewis Honors College was formed.

2.1

2.2

So, you have likely approved your own colleges rules in various ways and amended them and under the GR, the Lewis Honors College has to bring them to the Senate. So that is why they are here today.

So, any questions of fact related to these rules?

Any questions for Dean Brady?

Okay. Then I'll put the motion on the floor for the Senate Council that the Senate approve the proposed Lewis Honors College rules. So debate on that motion? Any debate regarding the Lewis Honors College Rules? Okay. Seeing none I'll open for voting on that. Any final votes? The motion passes. Okay. Thank you very much.

All right.

So the next item on our agenda is our Trustees are going to present. There's two proposed changes to the Governing Regulations which have to do with the governance of U K, in particular things related to the Board of Trustees Officers positions. So,

I'll just explain the Senate Council has already reviewed these changes and made these three suggestions that the changes referred to should include the second reference but the three at large numbers should be removed and replaced with something clearer and that there should be some specific language added to clarify what happens if a vacancy in an office position occurs prior to December. So these were the Senate Councils suggestions but we are bringing this now to you directly.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Okay. Well let me explain why, the purpose of this rule change. Currently the practice is that the Board elects its officers in September. There has been some dissatisfaction with that procedure since it was approved in September because May Trustees begin their terms in August and its at the very first Board meeting in September they're asked to vote on candidates for Board officers.

And many times they are unfamiliar with the other members of the board and they feel uncomfortable voting for one person or another. So the purpose of this change is to push off the voting for these officers to December so that new board members have

an opportunity to meet and get to know the other members of the board and then can make a more informed decision about who they want to be Chair, Vice Chair, Secretary and the two at large members who don't have particular titles but they are also on the Executive Committee of the Board.

2.

1.3

2.2

One of the concerns that was expressed was that if we change the January to December term for board officers, if someone wants off the board in the summer because their term has ended then there would be a vacancy until the following winter, until December and that concern was resolved by noting that the board can at any time choose to fill a vacancy by having an ad-hoc election.

So if someone does rotate, say if the Vice Chair finishes her term in August then in September everyone would know in advance that person was leaving the board and then in September we could elect a new Vice Chair if we chose. So, there was some concern a little bit about the language, particular language, I guess, about the procedure used to fill the vacancies.

But I believe after some email discussion I think our current Chair offered the language that's here is fine. And we don't need to make any significant language changes about how the vacancies would be filled if one the reps at the board were to leave. We would revisit it and resolve it.

2.

2.1

2.2

TRUSTEE BLONDER: This seems like a plan to move the election because its true when you start out — sometimes if a person rolls off June 30th and then a new person is appointed by the Governor or more than one person until August and they're sworn in August then we have a nominee process starting in August and the election in September.

The new board members really don't know the other people on the board. So, this seems like a good plan. Our first year we had the election in September and then those elected officers would continue on. We'd have the election in September 2019 and then those people would serve until December 2020. So, that's a little more than a year but that's the proposal. It was discussed in the Executive Committee Meeting and then the board also approved it.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: To clarify for those of you who like me have not seen a lot of these GR changes, this is in the purview of the board two hearings of the board, right? One has happened already and the second would be in February, but

this, the University Senate, the Staff Senate, and 1 2 the SGA are all asked to consider it and vote on an endorsement of the change to the GR. Am I getting 3 4 that right? 5 TRUSTEE BLONDER: Yes. 6 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. Marcy that sounds 7 right? Okay. So questions of fact about this change, the proposal here before you. 8 9 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Could you go back to the 10 changes? 11 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: These are the changes. 12 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No, the other --1.3 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Oh, you want to see the 14 motion? 15 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yes. 16 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Oh, these changes. 17 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So, is that what were 18 discussing or is it --19 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: No, you're -- these are 20 the changes that the Senate Council is independently 21 recommending. We wanted to just let you know what 2.2 the Senate Council had recommended. We're 23 discussing the proposed red line blocking in the GR 24 itself. 25 TRUSTEE BLONDER: Well, we do say in the

1 motion -- can you put the motion up please? 2 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Oh, yeah. 3 TRUSTEE BLONDER: We do say that we were going 4 to convey these comments. 5 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Convey those suggestions. 6 That's true. 7 TRUSTEE BLONDER: So if that's not what we 8 want to do then we should just --9 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: That's true. 10 MR. LUHAN: Greg Luhan, College of Design. 11 the first question that I have in terms of the other 12 document that we were just looking it, it had to do 1.3 with the timing of the elections and the appointment 14 and not necessarily with the phraseology of how they 15 are changing. 16 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Right. So there are two 17 changes -- hold on. Yeah, it has the phrase 18 at-large members in here. 19 MR. LUHAN: So is at-large not defined 20 somewhere else in the document? 21 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: No, not that we -- yeah 2.2 go ahead. 23 MR. TAGAVI: Kaveh Tagavi, Engineering. 24 only at-large is not defined for you other places 25 KRS or in the GR. It's actually if you go to

Merriam Webster dictionary it says wrong uses of at-large. At-large refers, people who are not at-large, are people who are at some division of the total constituent. There are no such members.

Every one of these members are representing the entire board therefore in my opinion at-large is actually wrong, not just a bad phraseology.

2.2

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: All I will say is that it is the terminology that the board has used for years and years and years. I don't know if it's defined anywhere but if we changed it — we will convey to the Senate — Marcy is over here. She's in charge — well, not in charge of right, but a major player in the ranked world here at U K. She's heard what you've got to say. I'm sure she'll consider it.

MR. LUHAN: Greg Luhan, College of Design.

So, the reason why I was asking was that it's not changed in the red lines here.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: No, this is the language we got from the Board of Trustees. This is their proposed changes.

MR. LUHAN: Right. So the proposed changes said at-large was from the Senate Council?

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Yes.

MR. LUHAN: So that still doesn't correct the

problem that they were --

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: So we -- okay so just to clarify what were talking about here. This is the language that came to us from the Board of Trustees that they voted on in December, this red line of this document here.

The list of additional changes which was on the prior slide, those -- when the Senate Council discussed this last week, those were the comments the Senate Council voted to forward on to the Senate in order to ask them to consider forwarding on to the Board of Trustees in response to this document.

So that -- so nothing -- we haven't changed anything here. We don't have the authority to change it. The Senate Council doesn't. The Senate doesn't. All we can do is make suggestions to the Board of Trustees to consider, but this it the change that they voted on in December.

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: There will be one more vote by the Board of Trustees on this and before that vote the senior leadership of the board may agree with some of these changes and they make changes before the final vote or they may not make the changes. It just depends on what they think of the matters.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Does that answer your question?

MR. LUHAN: Yes.

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. So then I'll put on the floor the motion. Okay. So these were the comments from the Senate Council which was, as we said, the addition to the additional reference to KRS, clarification or removal of the term at-large and what happens if a vacancy occurs prior to December?

Those were the comments from the Senate Council and the motion from the Senate Council is that the Senate should endorse the proposed change to GR 2B3 and to convey those suggestions to senior leadership. So that motion is now on the floor.

Debate on that motion? Any comments, thoughts, questions? Okay. Hearing none, open for voting.

The motion passes. Okay. So the next change?

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: The next change has to do with the Investment Committee and it simply clarifies the membership of the committee having formed with our current practice. So here is the proposed language, the former language and the proposed language.

TRUSTEE BLONDER: The former language isn't as

specific as a number -- of as defined under the current language. So this is more specific and clears up about the community members.

1.3

2.1

2.2

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: This was also a model of the Health-Care Committee.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Any questions of fact about this change to the GR or our motion to endorse it? Yeah?

MR. POOL: Christopher Pool, Arts and Sciences. So what is the rationale of specifying five, voting trustee members and up to five non-voting community advisory members? How is -- what is the --

TRUSTEE BLONDER: Flexibility and the number of community members that can be appointed to the committee. So it's up to five, no more than five but it could be three, it could be four depending on the expertise of the people in the community and how the standing committee wants to approve them.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Other questions of fact?
Yes.

MR. LUHAN: Greg Luhan, College of Design.

So, just to clarify that the intent of this is to essentially set up a stagger so that a five year appointment or a three-year appointment would

stagger off after time or how are you determining which members will be on for three, two, four or five years?

2.

1.3

2.2

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: I would assume that it will be specified with the Trustees. It's up to the Chair to appoint the Trustee, to name the Trustees to different committees. So, I assume that the Chair will say okay, for the Investment Committee, you know, these Trustees will have three year terms. These will have two. These will have one, and yeah the purpose is to stagger (coughing) so that there's not a huge turnover of all the members every three years.

TRUSTEE BLONDER: Also the appointed Trustees have six year terms. So if a person only has one year left on their term or two years left but that person wants to be on the Investment Committee and the Chair agrees then you cant appoint them for six years, you can only appoint them for two years.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: You have a follow-up question?

MR. LUHAN: I was just wondering. That makes a little bit more sense. But it's not clear on how that presents so because it could be arbitrary on how that appointment was made.

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Are you talking about that second to last sentence?

MR. LUHAN: Correct.

2.

1.3

2.2

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: I think it is arbitrary.

It's according to the will of the CHAIR.

TRUSTEE BLONDER: But it also depends on the term needed — the number of years left in the term of the trustee in question.

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Right. I think the way the Trustee, the committee appointments work, is we get a piece of paper with a list of the committees and were asked to specify first, second, third choice and so on — on the committees and then the Executive Committee gets together. And okay, we'll put that one and that one and then okay sorry you don't get your first choice. You end up on this committee instead.. It's done kind of like schedules and —

TRUSTEE BLONDER: Most committees have one year terms. Health Care has three-year terms. So if a person only has one or two years left in their term its unlikely that they're going to be appointed to the Health Care Committee even if they want to be on that committee.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Yes?

MR. TAGAVI: Kaveh Tagavi, Engineering. Am I reading this correctly that the non-voting committee members are not staggered?

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Correct.

1.3

2.2

MR. TAGAVI: Maybe you want to mention to them they should do that.

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: We could add the word, we could just add the words up to after and appointed for, so add appointed for up to a three year term.

The three year term is added a little bit later.

Initially there wasn't any because some of the members, some of the community members have been on there for dozens of years I guess.

So, initially there wasn't a limit on terms and I suggested they should put a limit on because someone might not participate any more but still want to be just to occupy a seat because of the prestige or (cough) so that's why the three-year terms were added.

MR. JACOBS: Nathan Jacobs, College of
Engineering. The last sentence seems to indicate
that subsequent committee members are all three-year
terms which contradicts what it said earlier about
shorter terms.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: My reading of this is that

1 the second to the last sentence is whats going to 2 happen now and then once that's over, once those 3 people have left, everyone is a three year term. this is the transition clause, the second to last 5 sentence. Any other questions, comments? 6 actually forget whether I put the motion on the 7 floor. Did I put the motion on the floor? 8 SECRETARY BROTHERS: No, I don't think so. 9 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Oh, okay. SECRETARY BROTHERS: It's all questions of 10 11 fact. This is all questions of 12 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: 1.3 fact so any other questions of fact? Okay. So then 14 I'll put the motion on the floor. So the motion is 15 that the Senate should endorse the change to 16 Governing Regs II E.2.f.2. Any debate on that 17 motion? Comments? Questions? Okay. Then we'll 18 open that for voting. Any final votes? The motion 19 passes. Okay. Thanks everybody. Thanks Trustees 20 for that and we'll convey the comments to the board. 2.1 Okay. The next item on our agenda is our Chief 2.2 of Police Joe Monroe and the Executive Director of 23 Public Relations and Marketing Jay Blanton and 24 they're here to give us an update on campus

25

security.

CHIEF MONROE: Well, good afternoon. I'll try to keep this brief. I know you've got up in here listening to all of this. So it's kind of a long day and I don't want to stand between you and 5:00. So, I'm going to keep this very brief. But I'm going to give you a lot of information. So we're going to talk a little bit about the U K Police Department, where we are, where we come from and some of the data behind it.

2.1

2.2

So it's what I call by the numbers here. Right now we have over 2500 cameras on campus. Those are inside and outside. We're pushing 8000 access control points since I made this slide a couple of weeks ago, and access control points are doors with access control. The ones that you use your badge to swipe into. We have over 42 of the top phones and we just added one this past week, top of the blue emergency phones that everybody sees.

And then I just hired a couple more police officers which pushes us to 65 officers, making us, I think, the 11th largest department in the state of Kentucky now. So that means what we've worked on in the last year the first one being taking outdated and outgrown video management software platform and upgraded to a new one. It's more resilient. It

involves a partnership with UK IT, both police departments and the other colleges on campus.

2.

2.1

2.2

It will also allow us to use cameras that can be added at a lower cost now by the new technology.

The last point up there is very important because as the system has developed from my original proposal of sixty-four cameras, to well over several thousand now, it's important we have a video management platform to monitor that growth.

So that's why we chose the new software platform for that. Maxwell Street Corridor is one of our projects that we just completed. So now we have Maxwell Street covered from South Limestone all the way to Rose Street, 100 percent video coverage on it.

As we start building this out we're going to start moving it from out of the perimeter of campus in to make sure we have everything covered. We put a couple of pop-up towers out there as well. And then we just last year signed on with a new access control platform, that allows us to do a lot more than we originally did.

Somebody was talking about the campus renovation projects that were going on. One of the things that we did was we did developed a standard so that any

of the new projects whether it's a new building or a retrofit for a building, we have a campus security standard that is part of those projects that makes them have access control on exterior doors as well as campus. The reason for that is if any event something should ever happen, I want to make sure that this campus has 100 percent lockdown capability.

2.

2.1

2.2

It's a big concern to all of you in the classrooms as well. So we're working from the outside of the building in to the classrooms.

That's our focus. The Live-safe app is a mobile safety app that SGA and the Police Department partner on. We fund that every year along with SGA and that's basically a mobile safety app you can get mobile updates from. We have a GPS location on your phone to let us know that you're walking somewhere and if something happens you push that button and it immediately lets us know within ten meters your location and we send officers to it.

Dr. Capilouto used it one night when he was out walking his dog and we found him, Thank gosh within ten meters so it does work. (LAUGHTER). We just are finishing up a campus review project where we took all the different despaired campus radios that were

being used from athletics to physical plan to healthcare, the police department and all these other entities and combined them to one radio system. What that will do is it will allow us to have interactive ability in the event that theres ever a disaster on this campus well all be able to communicate properly.

2.

2.1

2.2

The last one up there talks about we are increasing our strength, our sworn officer strength as the enrollment grows of the university goes up. That way we can kind of stay in check with that.

For many years we weren't and we were very grossly understaffed. We replaced a community or a computer aided dispatch system and CAD software. What that did was it will allow us now to better locate individuals, to be able to have better records management to search for names, to find common, you know, either suspects or people of concern.

It will allow us to pop up a little bit quicker.

Along with this we partnered with rapid SOS which
will allow us to better get a body's location on
campus when 911 is called. So for outreach
programs, the STAR program which is our self defense
program, active aggression training program, that's
kind of like our active shooter program that we do.

One of the big things we are working on the EOC training.

2.

2.2

Dr. Monday is very interested in making sure that we have EOC teams and they are trained in what they need to do, what their roles and responsibilities are in the event theres a disaster or emergency, that they know what they are doing.

So let me talk real quick about the blue phones. Each one of those blue dots represents a location where our emergency phone or blue phones are. The little beige circles you can see around that are five hundred foot radius that the audible speakers from those blue phones can be heard. When we send out a U K alert.

It goes through these phones and the voice uses a touchdown voice, but he's not saying touchdown

Kentucky, he's just announcing what the emergency
is. It allows us to have complete coverage of

campus on the outside of the buildings. On the

inside of the buildings we've tapped into the

emergency phones. Inside the buildings the speakers

that are inside the buildings as well as the desktop

IP phones. Working on new project also sends

messages to your desktop if you're logged into the

network here that will pop up to the banner telling

you what the U K alert is.

2.

1.3

2.2

OFFICER BLANTON: Good afternoon. And thank you for the opportunity to be here with you. I'm going to focus my comments on this part of the presentation exclusively on the bomb threat and it was this fall in this building. It provides, I think, a pretty good case study. It's informed a lot of the communications efforts that we had and safety efforts that we had and to be candid I think there's some things I think we did pretty well.

In terms of communication I think there's some things, how can I say in a way, we're still learning about and we could do better frankly. So what were taking from it in terms of lessons and how were thinking about these things, there could be a lot of takeaways from something like this.

So just by the way of context we want to remember from this fall there was a fall afternoon a bomb threat that focused on, they made a threat about classes on the next morning. It focused on this building. We spent about an hour or so with crisis communication team that respond to these kinds of events. There were communications that were going throughout the night and into the next morning. In about twelve hours there was an arrest

and a news conference with some ongoing communications for that.

2.

2.2

That's sort of the context or one of my comments here. Just so you know there is this Crisis

Communications team that Chief Monroe and Eric

Monday sort of Co-Chair. It also involves the

Provost and his designee, the President and his designee, folks from the communications office, folks from other offices across the campus whether its facilities or dining or res-life.

One of the things that we do that does not happen at every institution frankly, and I think it's because of the Presidents leadership and Chief Monroe's leadership and others, is were at the table and on top of that process. I can't think of a time where there was a snowstorm or a safety concern or an issue when we weren't at the table early talking about communications and locations for what were doing.

While were there, I think it gives us the ability, hopefully and we'll talk about that in a little bit, to act in the more proactive fashion in terms of these sorts of situations. As you may recall when this occurred it happened in the afternoon. The crisis communications team was here

in place within an hour and a half talking about it.

I think the police department talked about this,
well the police department never considered for a
number of reasons this to be a credible threat. So
we were in a discussion about what to communicate
and when. We knew, for example, that this is the
kind of thing that would be talked about on social
media at some point pretty early, often and quickly
so we decided that we would start communicating,
even though it didn't exhibit an imminent threat, we
probably ought to communicate about it.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So we made a decision to go ahead and put together communication with an email to the campus and then we would sort of wait and see what happened on social media particularly affecting students and then start communicating when we expected to see that sort of pop. That happened about 7:00-ish that evening and we sent out that email and then sent a social media team of six or seven people. All of them were a lot younger than I am and more adept at this than I am and we monitored social media throughout the night and were responding to questions and concerns the best we could throughout the night and into the next morning.

alleged perpetrator. By 5:30 we put out a media advisory about a news conference and I did my first 6:15 AM news conference in my time here and it was actually carried live. And so the TV stations were on it. So within that twelve-hour period a lot of communications were going on and in ways that were kind of moved more quickly than we ever have before.

2.

2.1

2.2

Here's some of the observations we had about it.

We have a lot of folks who spent a lot of time

monitoring social media and responding. In the

office that I'm one of the managers in, we manage

most of the institutional social media channels; so

the University Twitter account, the University's

Face-book page, the University's Instagram account,

the University's Snap-chat account, but what were

finding, what we found in this instance was that

students were actually communicating quite

aggressively about this issue long before it ever

appeared on Twitter or Face-book.

There's an app, and I've got it because I thought we had to use it. Theres an app that's called Group Meet which is a private, kind of a private messaging room that allows for a lot of great focused communication. At our office we use it within -- we have different teams. We have social media team and

a crisis communication team and a leadership team and we sometimes use that group texting app to communicate with each other about specific issues. On this case there are several different sort of large groups and Captain can tell you about that more than I can, but there are a number of large groups that are using this text app to communicate about different things with each other.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

This is an exclusive -- it could be Hey do you want to party Friday night or how to get tickets to the basketball game. In this case there are about and Greg Childers can correct me if I'm wrong, there are about 3,000 young women who are on this one group text.

So think about this in terms of number. Most of them are undergraduate women. There's about 22,000 undergraduates on campus, a little under half of them are women. 3,000 young women, mostly undergrads, are on this one group text that none of us, unless were invited to be in it, have any visibility to, which it is what it is, but they were texting with each other for hours before we saw the first kind of social broadcast on a social media channel.

They were texting about this. So believe me if

they're texting about it, you know how students are today, they were talking to the parents about it too. So this was all going on in a way that we didn't have a lot of visibility to. In fact the Colonel couldn't be here today, but the Colonel did a really excellent story about I want to call it tick tock where they went hour by hour, almost minute by minute of this entire scenario of what happened.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

We talked a lot about what students were communicating during this mass messaging. So were learning that that's going on and we didn't know about it. Whether we don't always, can't always and shouldn't always have visibility to. But that's happening which tells us that students — we're going to have to back up our thinking about when and how we communicate, right? They're communicating often and in large scale ways a long time before we even know about it.

So, that's just a fact we have to deal with in terms of thinking about how to communicate. We're finding out that students and parents expect to hear from us, and particularly parents here, from us directly rather than simply from the news media.

One of the great things about social media of course

is it allows us to communicate instantaneously across all kinds of channels with millions and millions of people. The challenge of this though is that everyone seems to get, Professor Cross knows this as well as better than I do, but seems to get in a kind of narrow path. They don't want to switch channel. They communicate with each other over here. I might be on Face book. I'm not on Snap-chat. They may be on this group text. Students don't pay attention to their email.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So everyone is communicating in their own ways on their own narrow channels and they expect to hear information from us directly in the way they want to consume it. So in the old days when I first got started in this business and this is really sad, you just checked the Courier Journal. That's not the way it works any more.

So we've got to be on all these channels communicating in a way that students, parents, staff, the faculty, want to hear from us -- action and to be safe. So we've got to think about that and parents in particular, there is a very, and this is a good thing mostly, there very abreast of -- the parents have a very aggressive -- their own Face book page. In fact theres an open Face book page and

theres a private parents Face book page. They're talking back and forth and they're expecting responses from us directly. They don't want to just see on WHAS news in Louisville, they want to hear it from us directly what's happened on campus, how we're protecting their son or daughter. So they need it from us directly.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

There was some confusion in some of what we communicated. I used the word unsubstantiated threat. Well, I can't say it was unsubstantiated, but we have to be careful about the language we use and situations like this be even clearer with it. We don't want to use a lot of extra words and verbiage so were learning about short concise, get to the point, make your message and tell them what they need to know.

So, were learning about language. The U K Alert which is my favorite topic, the one I have a lot of conversations with the Colonel about. When and how that Alert is used is a misunderstood thing. It's not a problem that we go back and talk a lot about it. A U K Alert really happens in only two occasions. One there's an imminent crisis, there's a threat to the safety of the campus in some way and two were asking people to do something. Get out of

the way of a tornado, there's an active shooter, do something, take some action.

2.

2.1

2.2

In this case with the bomb threat we didn't issue a U K alert. A: We never considered it a threat to be credible or imminent and B: We're not asking people to do anything. We didn't close down classes or anything. We weren't changing class schedules or anything like that.

Now that's all well and good and in theory it sounds good. I mean, but as a practical matter I know there's areas of confusion around it. For instance, we will send out an alert when there is a gas leak on Columbia. There still will be this week I'm sure because there's always a gas leak on Columbia. And we'll send out an alert because were telling people to avoid the area. We're asking that they take some action. Don't go near this road because you wont be able to go down it.

Well, that -- someone I think many of you will say that's not the exact same thing as a bomb threat. So there's -- we realize that there's I think legitimate reasons for everything we do and we look at colleges and universities across the country, I think differentiating your work from just kind of general information is a good practice about

best practice.

2.2

I think we have a good logic behind what we do but we know we need to do a better job quite frankly about communicating about whats the context for an alert? When do we send one? When do you not send one? What's the difference between an alert or a broadcast. When do you notify everybody and when do you use it.

We've got to do a better job there. Even though people, were all working for the same division. I really didn't know if the Colonel was going to be here. But the Colonel has kind of a different role in that they are media, they are students and while the media are following them to find out whats going on — on campus and sort of you know form their questions and stuff so Rich Childer's up here is the News Editor. I'm not picking on you.

He may be tweeting about something and during this case we found that the Herald Leader and other media were following what they were saying because they had access. Real-time access to communications we were sending out. So they're following them which means everything is just moving more quickly. It's not a bad thing. It's just a reality of how communications works so quickly in news.

So, when we, when one of these happens we do whats called an After Action Report, that's that AAR symbol over there. So we get together as a communications team. We talk about what did we learn, what can we do better, and what did we like. We have an After Action Review process that goes on (coughing) with Dr. Monday and we talk about what we can do better and how we need to learn.

2.

2.1

2.2

In the communications area we think we think we need to kind of watch the mission a moment ago and be more proactive about it. We've got to assume from the get go, even if we don't think something is credible or imminent, even if we don't see real evidence of it being out there, we need to communicate it.

I think we need to make an assumption that it's being communicated about in many ways we don't have visibility but communicated out. That's a good thing to learn. We realized we've got to include their parents and be more proactive in a substantive way in our conversations because things are talked about.

You know when I came here in 1985 my parents dropped me off and I saw them at the end of May. That's not the case any more. The parents are

sensitive. There's a lot of communication going back and forth. We've got to make sure we've got to make sure we consider them in our path forward.

With the news conference we had Chief Monroe that was there. We had a couple of TV stations that were there we were carrying live but because of that media and design our staple with parents that they get information directly from us, we've got the capacity to directly live stream that as well.

2.

2.1

2.2

We ought to have a direct communications channel so we can communicate with the folks out there. I mentioned we need to use more straight forward language just to remind people — use it as an opportunity to continue to educate and communicate about what we do and why we do it, things like the alert system and then we've got to do a better job about things like this today for an example of that getting around talking to Deans, talking to faculty and talking to staff and other students about what a U K alert is, and what are these other forms and channels do we have to communicate and how were using them around here.

This is just a bit of a timeline that sort of went over before that shows you -- it will show you what happened on November 8th from 3:30 on, the

meetings that took place, how we detected the online chatter, how we started communicating, and I think I covered that over the course of about twenty-four hours a lot happened over that period. Relative though not credible but it certainly came with some anxiety from — so that's it. Any questions that you might have. Thank you.

2.1

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: So we do have two more presentations this afternoon but the Senate Council specifically wanted Jay and Joe to come and speak to you today immediately after the scare in November. We can probably attend for a few questions if anybody has anything they want to add.

MR. BROWN: Allen Brown, Arts and Sciences.

Can you share with us, of course briefly, since you know we say its not a credible threat and that's easy to say. What are, you know, the criteria that you use with students you know do we go to class, do we not? I mean, that's not your purview but how do we justify the assessment that it's not credible?

CHIEF MALONE: So basically we have a threat assessment team and a matrix we go through. We have two detectives that are assigned full time to the FBI Task Force. So we run everything like this through the FBI Task Force. So we use a lot of the

resources that we have available within, you know, a short time period we were able to say this is not a credible threat. I can't go into a lot of details about what that process is because we don't want that getting out.

1.3

2.2

MR. BROWN: But so you can publish though and say this is not a credible threat and we can tell the students this is coming from U K Police.

They've done -- we don't know what they do but they do something. And they've said that it's not credible. I mean, I'm just trying to help students from questioning well am I going to blow up. Well they said it's not credible so were all safe, I mean, you know?

CHIEF MALONE: I mean, a lot of it is a threat matrix that we go through. We have officers that have trained everywhere from the Secret Service to the FBI to Diplomatic Security. So, we know what were looking at. We weigh out the evidence, so to speak, that's available to us at that time and we look at it and we say okay does it meet this? Does it meet this?

MR. BROWN: So we can refer to you've done your due diligence and it's not credible?

CHIEF MALONE: Right.

MS. DEBSKI: Liz Debski, A&S. For the sites that have video surveillance, is there real time monitoring of that video?

2.1

2.2

CHIEF MALONE: So, it's almost impossible to do real-time monitoring with that many cameras and that many frames per second plus studies show that you know an average human being can sit there and look at this video wall. But they're going to start missing things after a certain period of time.

So, we rely a lot on video analytics. That new software that we've gone to which was well in the works before this incident, will allow us to do a lot more analytics. So we use it more for event entry but they do monitor in our operations center certain cameras that are hot, called hot cameras.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Yes.

MS. VISONA: Monica Visona, Arts and Sciences.
So, how is our safety compared to other large
university campuses?

CHIEF MALONE: Very well.
(LAUGHTER)

MR. JOE: In the last seven years we've probably spent more than ten million dollars on safety whether that's technology, sworn officers, mental health counselors, you know, all the

different aspects of safety. That's been a real, I 1 2 think, targeted and intentional investment at U K. 3 We've always got work to do. It's ongoing. I know 4 it's a never ending process. But I think there's 5 been a significant investment, and the board has 6 been very volatile in that process as well, pretty 7 intentional efforts, particularly I think with the respect to technology and the numbers of sworn 8 9 officers to safety. 10 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. One more question 11 over here. Gail Brion, College of 12 MS. BRION: 1.3 Engineering. If this had been a credible threat, 14 how would you have put that out to the staff? I think we'd utilize a lot of 15 CHIEF MALONE: 16 the same channels that we already have. I just 17 think we would be more pressing about it. We've got 18 the right channels to communicate with people. I 19 think the question is how progressive do we 20 communicate to the people in the right way on the 2.1 right channel. 2.2 MS. BRION: Would you have used the Student 23 News Organization? 24 CHIEF MALONE: Sure. Oh, yeah. Yes. 25 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. I know there are

other questions probably both of our speakers, they 1 2 will accept your emails or pick up your snap chat or 3 twitter. 4 (APPLAUSE) Okay. So we do have two more 5 presentations today and they're both really 6 important so I hope you'll be able to stick around. 7 The next one we have Ray Clere and Virginia They're going to speak to us today about 8 Lacefield. 9 the First-Destination Survey. 10 MR. CLERE: Okay. Note this is working okay. 11 Everybody hear me all right? It's good to be with 12 you all. We know we are on a short time frame this 1.3 afternoon so the preface to this is that we were 14 trying to better unify our data collection of data, 15 job placement data for our graduates and other 16 survey information we are collecting. 17 So we want to walk through what our current scope 18 of the problems we've encountered with this and what 19 were trying to do to better achieve good outcomes 20 for our students. 21 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Oh sorry, here's your 2.2 clicker. 23 MR. CLERE: Thank you. Currently, so

generally U K suffers from a deficit of information

when it comes to knowing what are graduates are

24

25

doing. And we're speaking specifically of our Bachelors graduates in this effort. We've not yet targeted advanced degree seekers, but we really need a better idea of where our graduates are going and what they're doing. There's lots of reasons for that. Currently what we've done at this point is we have kind of have a mixed set of surveys that go out to students really from all corners of campus.

2.

1.3

2.2

You have the Career Center, which I represent, sending out to the majority of graduates a request for preop notes. Then you have from Virginias area its usual research analytics you have the survey that tries to get at more of student experience, satisfaction with advising, campus climate type questions.

And then you have lots of academic units sending out their own surveys. So you've got lots of information coming in that's not very coordinated.

MS. LACEFIELD: So, as Ray has said we are not well coordinated and this leads to students getting multiple surveys sent to them with very similar looking questions from a variety of different sources so sometimes the student gets confused about well I already did that survey when in fact they took one but not the other and it depresses response

rates for everyone. Now some colleges, individual colleges have been very successful in highly targeted invitations to their own students, having students take surveys in classes or having them pick them up in the graduation line, but this does not play across the entire enterprise and so we also have a problem with the style of data sets.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

The questions are not identical. Groups do not share data within — between each other and so it's very difficult to do enterprise wide analytics for the benchmark for comparing colleges know how they compare to other colleges. These are things were trying to fix.

MR. CLERE: The net result is that we term our knowledge rate so when you look at a student response rate and the student response is 6 of 10 items so you know only about 60 percent of the knowledge were seeking has been acquired. So we're trying to boost overall knowledge rates through better survey methodology and more unified, I guess, a coordinated action. For a lot of reasons we do this.

I'd say from a transactional standpoint we get more and more downward pressure from our state.

You've heard references to postsecondary Ed. You

have the federal level, the white house Department of Higher Ed that's been around since the Obama administration. So it's lots of different groups that are asking for this information. It's more and more every year. So, we really need to improve this for a lot of reasons. I'd say too that the revised survey is going to help us when it comes to program review.

2.

2.1

2.2

We have lots of questions every year when it comes to reviewing our academic programs and we need better response rates. So, what we're trying to do here in our proposal is that we have changes in the University Rules when it comes to the application for graduation that allow us earlier, I should say a later time frame to collect graduation applications.

We're trying to condense these surveys along side an application so that students are more incentivized to provide a response and we get a better data set. We're seeking collaboration across the colleges with Institutional Research overseeing coordination of this effort and we point to like a large university inspirational model. Probably the best we know of is University of Florida where they have achieved 97.3 knowledge rates when it comes to undergraduates because they've done this. They've

unified these same type surveys and they've done this in a purposeful way.

2.2

MS. LACEFIELD: So in terms of the deployment, if you think of the survey that is similar to the Teacher Course Evaluations, we would have standardized core questions on each survey and then colleges that have specific additional questions or a special data that they need for their own areas could work with us to have those questions added.

So if you are in a college that currently has a customized survey that is different than what were proposing for the core, we have representatives from every college coordinating with us and collaborating to make sure that those specific questions could be added as branch logic.

What we would do to distribute the survey is to add this as part of the application for degree process. Now it's very important to understand that this would not be a mandatory addition. Students could still apply to graduate by simply filling out the application for degree form; however, we would make it a part of the process.

So, when students go to the graduation page -- so right now you apply through the My U of K Portal.

Students go and they see something like this.

Instead of having three steps it simply says complete the appropriate degree form for your graduation area, undergraduate, graduate, etc. What we would do is add in two additional links. We would tell the students the first step is to complete the program review part. The second step is to complete your future post graduation plans form and then third step is to apply for the degree. If they want to skip right to step three they have the option to do that.

2.1

2.2

We don't want to create a mandatory barrier or put any kind of hold on the record or prohibit them from graduating if they do not take these surveys which we are also going to call forms so they sound less optional, but the student can go directly to step three.

So this would be the process: Students can apply for graduation several months in advance and so they can being completing those. For students who do not complete steps one and two through this process, we would have additional follow ups.

So, we would collaborate with the colleges to let each college know which of their students have already completed the forms and which students have not and then The colleges can continue doing

whatever deployment methods they currently have.

2.

2.1

2.2

If that's having the students take it in a particular class near the end of the semester and so on, they would have a list of which students had not completed this process and be able to contact those students on their own to get the response rate for their unit up.

So we both streamline the process but also continue to take advantage of great processes that are already in place. There are some of these colleges that are reaching a very high response rate.

MR. CLERE: This is implementation and how we launch this. We have on the screen some of the principles we'd like to see in the execution of this and this is still being reviewed and sort of a template has gone out to a lot of these principles to review and get feedback on. As Virginia said the goal here, we don't want to disrupt good work that is being done.

If you have colleges that you know have strong acknowledge rates of their graduates, we don't want to set them back. We're using Voltric as our survey collector which is pretty universal across the campus as far as how students enter surveys so we

see a real opportunity here. Then schedule, this is subject to change as we get the committees launched, but the goal being that right now the application for degree has been active since near the end of November so try to get these attached as soon as possible to the application for degree. So that the students are submitting that are also submitting this survey responses and then that will continue to the 15th of March when that application for degree comes down.

2.1

2.2

As Virginia said there are other opportunities that are kind of organic where we can collect data. The Alumni Association administers a Grad Salute Event which is really where students pick up their cap and gown and do other things in advance of graduation. It's a natural place to table and to kiosk for survey response.

MS. LACEFIELD: We can also send additional reminders. Right now the way that IRAA recruits for the Graduate Senior Survey is through email and through text messages. We can also put pop-ups on the My U of K Portal to let students know that they have a survey they've not completed or a form that they've not yet filled out. But it's really a survey for graduation, and those can be set up to

trigger or be hidden based on the students response status.

2.

2.1

2.2

So if they complete it in step one, two, three order, they never get a subsequent reminder. If they skip right to step three and we haven't heard from them then we can go back to communicating with them and inviting them through these other methods up through and possible past graduation as needed.

MR. CLERE: Final slide, and then I'll answer any brief questions. We really rely on our faculty leadership to kind of champion this effort. We basically do think that a great university should know beyond the accreditation type questions, we should know where our graduates are going and the success of our programs because it's a great story to tell here. I think and we want to make sure were finding the message.

We also think that we'll need follow up, survey follow up with those graduates who in May do not have jobs or post graduate destination lined up and were trying to communicate and get a response from them without making them feel additional pressure as a new graduate.

MS. LACEFIELD: Regarding the data sharing, what we have moved to in the last year or two is

collecting the data from our surveys, matching it up and then sharing it out with our colleagues in each college, but that happens on a batch format. So we wait a couple of months until we have enough responses and then we send that out to colleges for their analysis. What we are hoping to move towards is a more real time availability of the data.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So, we have a team in advanced analytics that's going to be working on a data model that will be in our updated data link and will be accessible via Tableau because that's how data analysis is done in your area and with the ultimate goal of responses being available to colleges in real time.

So as soon as your student completes these forms the data would be available to you for immediate analysis.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. So we have time for maybe one or two questions here for this group.

Yes?

MS. FIREY: Abigail Firey, Arts and Sciences. What data and policies are being applied to the data?

MS. LACEFIELD: So this data is considered internal administrative only. So right now we only share the data with the various administrators in

the colleges who are, who have already signed privacy forms and so on to have access to student data records. These are people who already have access to student records and data about the students in our colleges. For any publications that we would put out lets say the IRAA website, it would be aggregate data with minimum bucket sizes so that it would be very difficult to reverse identify students based on their responses.

So we might have men versus women or students in this college versus that college but these would be bucket, more than ten students at a time to protect student privacy.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. Again, let me just say I think our presenters will accept questions by email if you have them. Because we do have one more important presentation today, but thank you both very much.

## (APPLAUSE)

2.

1.3

2.2

So our final presentation is our Provost and Associate Provost speaking about U K online. I know we are at the very end here but I do ask that you stay. They have offered to move their presentation to next month but it would be great to have them stay if you are all here. So, let's get started and

if we have lots of questions maybe we can ask them to come back again in February and talk some more.

Okay?

2.1

2.2

PROVOST BLACKWELL: I'm just going to give a brief introduction of Kathi Kern, Associate Provost for Teaching, Learning, and Academic Innovation. Her biggest role right now is to facilitate the new development of online programs. You will recall U K Online is part of Our Path Forward.

We had made this presentation to the Board of
Trustees recently and kind of another version of
this we presented to the open forum near the end of
Spring -- I'm sorry, near the end of Fall semester.
This is going to be a very important effort for us.
Lots of great ideas for new programs have come out
of this process and we appreciate your support for
the process and especially your questions as we move
ahead today. So I'm going to turn it over to Kathi.
Thanks for being here.

Associate Provost Kern: Yeah, thank you. And I'm mindful of the time. So it may not be as elongated as it was to the Board of Trustees. I want to save time for some questions and I'm happy to come back. So, we wanted to share with you the slides that we used and I'm not going to use my

script very much but we started with the Board of Trustees talking about our Land Grant Mission and this is something that is particularly important to me as a history professor to think about the origins of the land grant universities and that moment of democratization and American higher ed and to connect to what were trying to do, all of us, day to day in our lives to this larger mission.

2.

2.1

2.2

That language from 1862 talked about bringing the latest in technology and knowledge but not to neglect scientific studies of the classics, to the people of the Commonwealth and the actual language in the legislation said, To the children of the invest — so, it was intended for institutions like ours to be serviced to this day and outreach.

So I love to think about what were doing with the online program as reinvigorating that idea, retooling that idea for the 21st Century. We have a number of goals and we had some really strong programs already. We want to build some new and innovative programs. What I would point out there is the fourth point particularly, expand enrollment with new student populations.

So we are really targeting our adult learners mostly in the graduate certificate and professional

degrees, Masters Degrees but also students who we can help to finish a Bachelor's Degree who hadn't finished but were really not looking to turn our undergraduates that we currently have into online students so much as we are seeking new students and to take the resources of the university to new audiences of students.

1.3

2.1

2.2

This is just a slide to, you know, help the Board of Trustees think about the ways higher education is changing. The one thing I would change about the slide is the number 990 was from a snapshot earlier in the Fall semester. Our actual number for numbers of online students for the Fall was 1454 and if you look at the data for last year that was about the number that we had last year.

Just to clarify, only 1 percent of U K undergrads, there were 225 were taking classes exclusively by distance education. 22 percent of our undergrads, which was 4900 were taking some classes by distance education. At the graduate level its a little different; 17 percent around 1200 students were taking classes exclusively by distance education and 9 percent, were taking some classes by distance education.

What we're trying to build into this is the

groundwork for people at the university who are working on what to graduate. This is a statewide program to try to enhance the number of our students who complete degrees and you could tell from the last presentation that people have to apply for degrees. Well, a lot of our students apparently don' know that and so we have found in the College of Arts and Sciences alone with 120 credit hours who never applied for a degree.

2.2

So part of Project Graduate is an outreach program to them to get them to apply or to make, you know the exceptions that need to be made so that their credits will apply and then for the others to create a pathway for them, t help them find, based on completed degrees a pathway, an articulated plan, to get them that degree.

So that' a part of this program as well. We had, many of you are involved in and are aware of the call for proposals. We are on a very fast track. This is a very ambitious thing that we are trying to do. It' a little crazy thinking but in a good way. We had, we hoped for ten proposals. We had forty-eight and they were all really good and so we decided on, you know, twenty-six for round one.

We're talking about subsequent rounds, but this

was really something Senate money but the colleges so there would be a reason to kind of stop what we were doing and create something new for the university. So you can see the breakdowns of the Masters Degrees, the Graduate Certificates, some of which will become Masters Degrees, some of which will stack into Masters Degrees but you'll see from the pallets there that our objective is the adult student learner and the graduate education as well as a few, you will see there were some really tantalizing undergraduate programs that we just couldn't say no to.

2.

2.1

2.2

So, we have a few of those in there as well. We wanted to show the Board of Trustees some examples, some particular examples that had good work force buy in because that's so important for the university and our political climate. So if you're like me in Dennison, it's one of the older buildings on campus and M.L King Library you will know how important it is to have professional strength in the world of cockroaches and bedbugs.

And so I, you know, this is one of our Masters

Degrees programs. We also wanted to show you the

LPN to Bachelors of Science and Nursing Program.

This would be the first one of the state and there's

a ready made audience for this of LPNs who really need the opportunity, seek the opportunity to get a Bachelors Degree in Nursing. Finally the one no one can resist with the tie in to the robust bourbon industry Brewing Wines, this is an undergraduate certificate already very popular with the strong industry tie in.

2.

2.1

2.2

Lots of working professionals who are unable to stop what they're doing, come to campus and get this certificate but will be able to participate online. So, those are just a few of the examples from the twenty-six. I'm glad Annie Weber is here because she helps me. She designed the slide for me because we thought the Board of Trustees would be interested in seeing how we were using market research to make some of the decisions.

So this is a slide that shows if you were trying to determine what the workforce future might be for someone with a degree, a Bachelors Degree in Nursing, we use a cutting edge tool called Burning Glass which gives real time labor market data. We have an institutional membership and Annies office, although they are very overworked, but they will help you and your program.

You can have a complimentary license for a little

bit of time. It's really fun to play around on especially if you're a history professor and don't really know what you're doing. But what's important here is the numbers up on the right, those were the total number of jobs that Burning Glass scraped for data in our state. And so that's everything, everything they looked at. This is how they operate. They look at resumes. They look at job They gather all of this information. postings. 82,000 of those required a Bachelors Degree and with this question of a nursing degree requiring a Bachelor's Degree, we had 10,000 in the last ten months.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The other piece of this below the dotted line is from the Department of Labor Statistics. So, in Kentucky you can see that the selected occupation is expected to grow by 34 percent,, so even higher than national expectations. So, this was one of the decisions that made this program seem like a good one. We're excited about building these new programs but in many of your colleges there are really strong online programs that have been the pioneering programs for our university. I couldn't list all thirty-six of them on the slide so we just picked some to show the Trustees some of the

diversity of programs. There are lots of other really good ones out there. These are some really strong ones.

2.

2.1

2.2

The last one on the last is one of those completer degrees. I don't know as faculty, but I had never run into this idea of the completer degree but its defined by SACS and what it means is somebody who has, its usually — it can be determined by the program, but at least eighty credits and they've been gone from the university for at least a year.

The idea there is so were not make a more attractive option for our fact-to-face students to just jump into an online program. That's the idea behind here, but there is information IT Degree in Communications is one of our completer degree. We have a BLS in Arts and Sciences and we have some new ones that will be coming on in the fall. Every time I have the opportunity I emphasize that this project is faculty driven.

And I think that is an important message to take back to your colleges because this isn't the only option, this option that were doing, right? We're working, incentivizing the colleges and asking you all to build these, right? There are lots of other

options out there including corporate partnerships that will do the building for you. I sit in these conferences where people say the faculty wont do it, they're resistant, they move to slowly and they advise universities to hide behind your brand.

You've got a good brand, higher adjunct labor to build these online courses and teach them as well and we have made the decision that said no, we're not going to do that.

2.1

2.2

We're going to build on the vitality and the creativity and the strength of our faculty and what we've put out there as our online presence is going to be every bit a part of who we are here on campus. So that's important. And I think sometimes that gets lost just in the hoopla of building new programs. We have organized ourselves. Folks who were working in ITS are working with folks in CELT so we have a new, slightly expanded unit that we are calling something like Academic Teaching Innovation and well something like that. What is it?

Teaching, Learning, and Academic Innovation but that's a new office that pulled together folks from a couple parts of campus and one piece of this also as faculty members its so important for us to remember is online policy and processes. There's a

whole world of compliance that's out there that as a faculty member I had no idea about. Right? That is that we are legally bound for every online student to let them know based on their state of origin whether or not the degree they will earn at U K online will lead to certification and licensure in their state of residence.

2.

2.1

2.2

We participate in a national-reciprocity program but there's still a lot of research to keep us compliant and abreast of all of those changes. So, just to give an example in Nursing to be licensed to be a nurse in Tennessee, you have to have gone to a school where every nurse on the faculty is licensed in Tennessee.

I mean, it was very clever of Tennessee to have done that but what that means is that if we bring in students from Tennessee, we have to make them aware of that and what the work around is which is they can sit for a national exam, a national accreditation exam at the end and then apply for licensure in Tennessee but for most of our degrees, you know, you get the degree and you can be licensed, but for — there are these exceptions.

We have to know what they are and were legally obligated to, even for our students from Kentucky,

leads to licensure or if there are any legal issues around that. We also want this program to be student centered and that's one of the reasons why we are moving aggressively with graduate education and Graduate Certificate and carefully with Undergraduate Degrees because we know from our colleagues at the our other SECC schools and from the research that we've done that the level of scaffolding and support that our undergraduates need to be successful is more than we have in place right now in the online environment.

2.

2.1

2.2

So, what were trying to do is go with a couple of programs and see how much support we can give them before we just make a completely online undergraduate presence. But with the graduate students and the adult learners we feel like were better able to take that first step. Marketing is a big part of this and we have a new Director of Marketing for the University, Julie Balog. She's coming up to speed on this as quickly as possible. We worked really hard in the fall with your colleges to update the information on your programs to create a new website, a new landing page for online. There were too many, you had to click

through too many generations to get any information.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

We are working toward an integration with our CRN Sales Force. We very much want to be able to use Sales Force to capture these folks and theres a little information thing on the website that you fill out and then it goes into Sales Force and we have at this point, one person who is capturing those and getting that information to you.

So, how that's going to affect you as faculty means that there will be probably a different level of expectation of how quickly we respond to people who show interest because in this world if you don't hear back in twenty-four hours you seek another online program.

Most online students choose a program within a hundred miles of where they live and so its an extraordinary high percent, like 57 percent within fifty miles. But there are options and the regionals are in some ways ahead of us on this. We have to kind of rethink how we do this, how we recruit, how we retain students.

Finally, I know were about to write the new strategic plan but in our old strategic plan which is still a good plan it calls for innovation in teaching and learning and I really like to think

about that as we are helping the faculty create new ways of thinking about delivering teaching, learning in their fields. There's a lot of creativity being infused into this process. So if the faculty who have proposed the twenty-six new programs, we're going to start a faculty cohort to take people through the development of their online programs and were really going to try to do that with some of the cutting edge ideas of new ways of presenting, new ways of engaging students online.

2.2

So, it's 5:26 ,I'm sorry. I feel for you. It's been long. I used to be a Senator so I realize now I wasn't a very good Senator because I never ascended to the level of Committee of Committees. That is aspirational.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: I really appreciate all of you who stayed. I want to give people who want to ask a question a chance to, but well do the alternate and ask if Provost Kern and Provost Blackwell we'll come back in February and take questions, but if there are questions now.

MS. VISONA: Monica Visona, what tools are you using --

ASSOCIATE PROVOST KERN: Well, we are going to of course, rely on campus and Zoom and the other

enterprise—wide tools that we have but frankly we are trying to support the vision of the colleges, right? So we start with the question, How do you like to teach? What's effective with your students? We're not going with a here's one size fits all, this is what the online program is going to look like. So for example, in the College of Business they really want to do and they have a really cool setup where there's going to be live streaming of classes and the students are going to have microphones in their remote locations, microphones in the class.

1.3

2.2

We're going to start with what you all think will work best and theres going to be programs that are (coughing). There's going to be programs that say theres a residential component like so in Engineering theres a blasting certificate. I was so excited by this, a blasting certificate, but there is going to be, you know, some face—to—face component of that. I think some of the other programs have been supportive as well.

So there's no one size fits all. It's what you think and we'll have to study it and, you know, make improvements as we go. Right?

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Any other questions? Yes?

MS. DEBSKI: Liz Debski, A&S. You said in the beginning you were interested in targeting adult learners as opposed to the traditional students. How, where is that targeting occurring? What's telling you the target for that?

1.3

2.2

ASSOCIATE PROVOST KERN: Well, I mean, we're starting with the Project Graduate, the folks who have a lot of credits already, but then we're going to, you know, were looking at different kinds of tools that will help us find an audience. We'll use databases, the advertisements, there are a lot of folks out there who contact us and say I need a Masters Degree, it could be in almost anything, but I need Masters Degree to just advance in my career.

So we are going to work with each program to both find an audience for their program but also to build an awareness of UK as an online option for them.

MS. DEBSKI: But it's really self selection as far as the students?

ASSOCIATE PROVOST KERN: Well, I mean I'll probably know more in another month. We're talking with one of our advisors at the EAB who has a program where they build an affinity map of the students you currently have and then they match that up with databases. So, we may go in that direction

depending if that, how people feel about that, but yeah I think we have to be resourceful in trying to — because we can build all these beautiful programs and if we don't reach the students then none of us are any further along.

2.

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Any other questions about fact?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, I'm curious about -SECRETARY BROTHERS: I'm sorry, name please?

MR. OBRIAN: Jeff OBrian, College of
Education. The formula? Whatever percent comes
back data will be used for the college, does that
mean the college who is responsible for funding the
technology or whatever is associate with that online
degree?

the resident share is one that really gives favor to the college. 60 percent of the revenue generated for online goes back to the college. Something has to be that we support, the central administration supports the technology, right? So every college is going to be a little different. Every college has different amounts of resources so some colleges were saying we can't do this without the other colleges are saying we need a little finance for you.

We really have this, but the essential pools in terms of canvas, in terms of Zoom, we really want a server for the video so that were not looking at You-tube videos of cheerleaders when were asking the students to watch — that infrastructure we have to build together. We want to build here.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MR. OBRIAN: I think it's important that we have a sense of copyright information will incorporate allows students online to get information. But is that 60 percent for an academic unit.

ASSOCIATE PROVOST KERN: Yeah, I think, yeah I need to think about the copyright issue. We certainly wont have all of this, the research asks that but I don't know what, I don't know what the cost is on that, but it's an interesting point to raise.

MR. OBRIAN: One more question about on the cost of admission for out of state students even though they are going to be involved in this online programming. Will tuition be set for out of state students or will they have some type of in state rate?

ASSOCIATE PROVOST KERN: On Our Path Forward the larger umbrella of which this is one part, has a

group of faculty and administrators working on that

-- there is going to be flexibility within programs

to talk about what they want their tuition to be

because we have to have this with some of the online

programs that they really want an out of state

tuition and then they only get students within

Kentucky.

2.2

So, we had one very important program say we now want everyone to get in state tuition. There might be a different tuition for online. It's sort of not mine — I'm not on that committee, but the Provost is here and will address it.

PROVOST BLACKWELL: I think the intent is that the online programs will have a market based tuition. So you're competing with programs all over the country, perhaps all over the world. So, the tuition has to be competitive and I would argue that, you know, we probably would not want to have much of a differential between in state and out of state students for online degrees. The group is still studying exactly how we structure these programs.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Questions for the Provost?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have two questions,

One is at the office to assist recommends colleges

1.3

2.2

ASSOCIATE PROVOST KERN: We want to -- do you want me to hear the other question too or do you want me to answer that question? Okay. So we have a marketing consultant who was the Director of Marketing at Mississippi State University who happens to be in Lexington who's helping us with that.

And our initial thing is to get the major brand U
K Online some visibility and then work with programs
to help support. But I feel like I don't know
enough about where the money will come from.

Everybody wants to do that, we just have to identify
the funds to do it. We want to help because the
faculty have been saying, you know, were not
marketers. We don't want to make these decisions.

We don't know if what were doing is the most effective so we want to bring resources together, but we have a new Director of Marketing for the university so we are having those conversations and we just have to -- I'll probably know more maybe in a month.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My second question is -- is there a plan for U K to given medicine or

the MOOKS?

2.

2.1

2.2

ASSOCIATE PROVOST KERN: Yeah, the MOOKS.

You know there hasn't been as much talk about the MOOKS. We thought that MOOKS were going to be the next big thing but it could happen if there's something that becomes, if there's something that grows organically here that is really big and people think like that makes sense as a MOOK.

I don't think theres any opposition to it, but the business plan for MOOKS is a little different for what we have envisioned for this because among other things MOOKS tend to be free. And I don't think Our Path Forward is free.

PROVOST BLACKWELL: No.

ASSOCIATE PROVOST KERN: I think free is probably not one of the words in Our Path Forward.

PROVOST BLACKWELL: What MOOKS are being used today, I mean, when it first started emerging nobody really understood what was the business model behind them. I think the way it's involved as you give them some free content and you get them close enough to come back and enroll in a degreed program or a certificate program. So, it's not a bad marketing strategy to create a course of some sort that's really outstanding, put it out there for anyone to

consume and then use that as a way to get them to 1 2 enroll into a degreed program. 3 ASSOCIATE PROVOST KERN: We have three, two 4 or three. We have the Chemistry one. We have the 5 How to Succeed in College one. I'm trying to think 6 if theres a third one, but those are two that I know 7 of that we have. CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: So one more hand back 9 Herman do you have a question? 10 MR. FARRELL: It was addressed. 11 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Oh, okay great. 12 Well, I appreciate you staying. We'll make sure all 1.3 of this is in the minutes and the transcript for 14 people who had to leave but we may ask you to come 15 back and well get follow up questions in the 16 February meeting because this is a very important 17 issue. Thank you both for coming. Thank you all. 18 Meeting Adjourned. 19 (WHEREUPON, the Senate Hearing concludes at 5:40 20 p.m.) 2.1 2.2 23 24

25

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF KENTUCKY )
4	COUNTY OF OLDHAM )
5	
6	I, BRENDA YANKEY, the undersigned Court Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of Kentucky At
8	Large, certify that the facts stated in the caption
9	hereto are true, that at the time and place stated
10	in said caption, that said proceedings were taken
11	down in stenotype by me and later reduced to type
12	writing, and the foregoing is a true record of the
13	proceedings given by said parties hereto and that I
14	have no interest in the outcome of the captioned
15	matter.
16	My commission expires: January 31, 2020.
17	IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and
18	seal of office on this day January 28, 2019
19	Crestwood, Oldham County, Kentucky.
20	
21	
22	
23	BRENDA YANKEY, NOTARY PUBLIC
24	STATE AT LARGE, KENTUCKY NOTARY ID #546481
25	