1	UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
2	SENATE MEETING
3	
4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
5	
6	SEPTEMBER 10, 2018
7	
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
9	
10	JENNIFER BIRD-POLLEN, CHAIR
11	DOUG BLACKWELL, PARLIAMENTARIAN
12	SHEILA BROTHERS, ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR
13	BRENDA YANKEY, COURT REPORTER
14	
15	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: I'm going to call our very first meeting of the year to order. It sounds very official. Welcome Back. Welcome to the University Senate Meeting. On the first day of class you're all in the right place that's the way to start the year — soon it will be. Okay. So don't forget to pick up your clicker. Those of you who are new, this is the first time you're doing this, theres a sign in sheet at the back.

2.2

We ask you to sign in and pick up your clicker and that's how we will be voting for this meeting so make sure you have that, if you don't yet. Okay. So heres our Rules for conduct in meetings. We ask you to be kind and civil to each other. Well, actually kind isn't on the list but I'll ask you to be kind, and civil. We're going to follow Robert's Rules and don't forget to leave your clicker behind. We will find you if your clicker is missing at the end of the meeting.

So, okay, so before we do introductions we are going to have an attendance slide. So, when the slide appears and the question is read you can vote and so this is our way of both, making sure your clicker is working. They've also been on vacation all summer and to make sure that you're here. So the

question that we have is what you did this summer. So you can go ahead and vote. We'll see how many votes we have. Okay. Oh, research is the very industrious fun, it's excellent.

2.

2.1

2.2

All right. Well thank you. Thank you and welcome back. Okay. So before again before we do introductions we have minutes. We have minutes from our May 7th meeting which were circulated. We did not receive any changes. Hearing no additional changes now, those minutes will stand approved as distributed by unanimous consent. Thank you for that.

Introductions: I am Jennifer Bird-Pollan. I am the new Senate Council Chair as of June of this year. I come from the College of Law. In the College of Law I teach classes in Tax Law and Tax Policy and do research in Tax Policy. I also have a Phd in Philosophy. I'm interested in Tax Justice questions and theories of tax policy. That's who I am. And I wanted to say I am excited for my new role. I'm eager for the senate to be a collaborate place that is productive and efficient.

So, I hope these meetings will be a time for us to do the good work of the senate. Both the things that are assigned to us through the statute of

regulations but also a chance for people to share their view about the state of the university and the state of the faculty in the university.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So, I'm always open to hear from you. I'm happy to hear from you be email or by phone. I'm happy to have cup of coffee with you or if any of your colleagues would like to do that you can always reach me. I thought maybe my email address was up there but it's not. You can find me. I'm findable. There's only one other person on campus with that last name.

So, please think of me as a resource for you regarding things related to the senate and I know a couple other people in the room who are resources for you related to the senate. So, I just wanted to introduce briefly the Senate Parliamentarian; Doug Michael, also a Faculty member in the College of Law, or staff, and he's going to say a few words in a couple of minutes as part of his Parliamentarians report.

Next to him is Mrs. Sheila Brothers who works in the Senate Council office and is an invaluable resource for all things related to the senate. So, I hope that you will feel free to reach out to her if you have questions about things related to the

senate meetings, new program approvals, things like that. She is extremely knowledgeable and mostly pretty friendly. So, in the back of the room — there she is.

1.3

2.2

Loura Anschel who -- who works in the Academic Ombud's office and helps us run the meeting, and she is the one who's going to help us find you. If you take the clicker away with you. And then we also have here our Court Reporter Brenda Yankey, and so she comes to every meeting and just to remind you we do transcribe the meeting so it's being recorded and transcribed so that we have a record of what went on in this meeting.

We're starting a few minutes late partly
because there was a new Senator orientation. So I'd
just like to ask our new Senators to stand, students
and faculty. So, thank you all very much.

(APPLAUSE)

We're very glad to have you here. Thank you for your time and commitment. Those of you who were here before, we also thank you for your time and commitments, but we also butter up the new Senators. Also we have many Deans and Administrators in the audience. I'd like to ask you to stand if your an Assistant Dean, a Representative Dean or a Dean of a

College please stand and be recognized. Thank you all very much for making the time to join us.

(APPLAUSE)

2.

2.2

Thank you. We appreciate your time. Part of the reason my goal is to have us be an efficient and effective meeting is that I recognize that we have, you know, somewhere between 120 and 150 valuable people here for two hours on a Monday afternoon.

So, I want to use your time in a way that respects it. So, the President would like to welcome you back so he is hosting a reception for us on the 24th of September at his home at Maxwell Place.

Pretty soon you'll get an invitation to that and I hope you'll be able to join us for a reception there. This is an announcement. I'm very sorry that we have to have our first Senate meeting of the year on Rosh Hashanah. So, it wasn't feasible to change the Senate Meeting date. Thee is a Board of Trustees meeting this Friday.

We are sending Degree lists to that board meeting, but it's my apologies to those of you in the room who are celebrating Rosh Hashanah during this interim period of celebration and for people who are not here because of this — this is my apology via the minutes to those people. And to

those of you who have friends who weren't able to be here, please share with them my apologies. It was — we thought about this months ago, and we just realized it wasn't feasible to change that.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

One of the consequences of that is that often as the case, the President comes to this first meeting and he was not able to do that today. So, I just wanted to make sure that we said that. Another announcement, were working on membership for the U of K Core Committee. This is an incredibly important committee made up of faculty from all across campus each representing an area of the U of K Core. We currently have three remaining area expert vacancies.

We've received nominations for those, but I just wanted to again put this out there. These are the three where we have vacancies right now, but if you have any interest in serving on the U of K Core or you know someone who would be good for this, please share their name with me or with Sheila.

We have vacancies on this committee that arise.

This committee is incredibly important and does really hard work and we just want to make sure that we have a sort of roster of people available to serve on this committee. So if there's anybody out

there who would like to get involved in the U of K Core, please send them our way. We're very happy to have them. So, you can email nominations directly to Sheila.

2.1

2.2

I also wanted to share in the announcements, this came to us from Roger Brown and Beth Cramer who are leading a program called Curiosity Matters. It's going to be over in the student center on November 6th and it's thinking broadly about what curiosity is.

And so we thought it was kind of like an interesting opportunity for both faculty and students to get involved in these questions. So we encourage you to check out the link down there at the bottom and participate as you can in the Curiosity Matters event on the 6th.

We handed out to new Senators a folder with some useful information including things about Roberts Rules, things about the Senate, contact information for people. We have a few extra of those that are in the back so if you are not a new Senator but are nonetheless or are you are one who missed the orientation, you can grab a folder in the back. If they run out and you still want one, just send us email, me or Sheila, and we will find one for you.

Okay. We -- I have learned how difficult this is as a staff committee. This has been the most informative part of my last two months and so we are closing in on filling all of our committees,

Committee Chairs and the staffing of committees.

The last two that are not yet finalized are the two that are listed up there although at this point I think we actually have emails out to people looking to -- asking them to serve on these committees. So everyone who is a member of the Senate, we ask you to serve on a committee as part of your role as a Senator.

2.2

So, if you are not serving on a committee and I know that some of you in the new Senator orientation, we already talked about this. If by the end of next week you haven't received an email indicating your committee, kind of please reach out to Sheila directly. We have work for you to do.

Don't worry. So please just let us know if you are not yet assigned a committee.

Again, in announcements, sort of thinking ahead. So, we're going to tell you this information early and often. We want to help you get your programs approved for next fall. So if you are looking, if you or someone you know in your college is looking

to enact a new program or -- well, we'll talk about each of them. If you are trying to do something new in your curriculum by Fall of 2019, these are the dates by which we need to receive your materials. So this is the date by which you have to have your materials to the Senate Council Office.

2.2

If you are trying to start a brand new Degree

Program in the Fall of 2019, we need to hear about

it in the Senate Council office by February 5th. It

seems far away now but it will come upon us quickly.

March 15th is the deadline for the Senate Council office to receive other proposals that require committee review. That includes certificates, etc things like that and then finally for Minors or other program changes that aren't in the first two categories we ask you to get those to Senate Council office by April 15th.

So, again were going to keep mentioning these deadlines to try to make sure the information is getting to all the appropriate places but if you could share this. If you know there are people in your college or departments that are thinking about some new program or certificate or anything like that, we just ask you to have them get in touch with us frankly. The best thing that they can do and

make sure that they have these deadlines in mind.

2.

2.1

2.2

Again, just a little bit more information about why these deadlines aren't arbitrary, they are early because this is a long process. There are a lots of bodies involved in reviewing this, Council level review, Graduate Council, Undergrad Council or HCCC and the Senate Academic Programs Committee needs a chance to look at it. It comes to the Senate Council, finally comes to the Senate and then as in many instances it has to go all the way to the Board of Trustees and the Council Office of Secondary Education.

So there are many steps at which the process can slow it down which is why we try to ask you to share this information with us as early as possible.

Those are my announcements. This is my Chairs report. I, as the Chair of the Senate Council and the Senate Council body have the authority to waive some Senate rules as long as — with the condition under the Senate Rules as long as the waivers are reported.

So, I'm telling you that Katherine McCormick, who was the Chair until June, added one student to the May 2018 degree list in her capacity as Senate Council Chair. We also approved -- Katherine

approved on behalf of the Senate Council the inclusion of six medical students on the May 2018 degree list who were left off by administrative error.

2.

2.1

2.2

Both of these were administrative error and

Katherine, in her authority as Chair, approved them.

We also, for the first time in recent memory

utilized the Extraordinary Hardship Clause. In the

Senate Rules theres two ways that the degree list

can be amended. One in is the case of

administrative error. The other is if there is an

extraordinary hardship to the student and we had a

mechanical engineering student who I felt fell into

that extraordinary hardship category.

And so I approved him to be added to the early
August degree list. I also approved, on behalf of
the Senate Council and the Senate, some changes to
the College of Pharmacy's calendar which mainly had
to do with them having left off their final exams.

Just a few of them. So, another part of my report
is to announce to you that you might have left in
May believing that Margaret Mohr-Schroeder was our
Vice Chair and you would've been correct in May. In
fact, would've been correct until about a week ago
but Margaret has accepted a position as an Associate

Dean in the College of Education. And we congratulate her for her new role.

(APPLAUSE)

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

We are a little sad to lose her but our rules say that somebody with an administrative position like that has to step down from the Senate which also means stepping down from the Senate Council and stepping down in her role as Senate Council Chair.

I'm sorry Vice Chair. So, we had an election at our Senate Council meeting just last week. Was that just last week?

SECRETARY BROTHERS: No, two weeks.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Two weeks ago. It was two weeks ago because of Labor Day and we elected Jennifer Osteophage from Biology, from the College of Arts and Science as our New Vice Chair. So, your new Vice Chair is Jennifer Osteophage. (Applause) And, we also used the Senate rule about the next person to serve on the Senate Council and so using those rules, the person who is appointed to the Senate Council to complete Margaret's term is Abigail Firey. There she is in the back.

So Abigail Firey is the new member of the Senate
Council. (Applause) And then Margaret's other
important role was as Chair of the Academic Programs

Committee and her colleague Aaron Cramer who had been on the committee for a while agreed to step in and share that committee. So thank you Aaron.

(Applause)

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

I just want to say a little bit for a moment about the operation of the meeting. So, I spent some time this summer talking to Doug as

Parliamentarian and thinking through comments I had received both from Senate Council members and Senate members about how to operate the meeting and I am going to try, as I mentioned already, to preserve lots of time for substantive, meaningful discussion and my view of Robert's Rules, which we operate under, is that those rules help us do that.

So, I'm going to try to operate the meetings consistent with Roberts Rules and I have some thoughts about how I'm going to do that and I'm going to show you that on the next slide, but I would love your feedback about this. So, and also your patience and tolerance.

So, were going to try to make this work as smoothly as possible and if you have views about it, I would love to hear about them. It's most useful to me if you can hear about them after the meeting. So my email address and phone number are up there.

Please get in touch with me anytime with any comments. This is what I propose to do.

2.

1.3

2.2

So when we have motions, when we have items which were going to discuss, I will ask the presenter to come to the podium to introduce those items and explain what the proposal is. The person will do that, explain the proposal and then I will solicit questions from the body that are questions of fact.

So if there are things that are unclear, we have of course asked you to read the proposals in advance so you should have an understanding of what's at stake, but if you have questions about the particulars of a proposal, again not questions about the appropriateness or legitimacy, if there's certain matters of debate I'll ask you to hold those questions.

But for questions of fact, we'll ask you to do
it while the presenter is up here since that person
will often be the person who can best answer that.
Once we have sort of worked through all factual
questions, I'll ask the presenter to return to his
or her seat and then I will put the motion on the
floor. We will have debate. We will try to speak
sort of in favor or against to the extent there are
people on either side and have a debate about the

motion.

2.

2.2

When we've either exhausted the discussion or run out of time, I will ask you to vote. We will use our clickers to do that. If, while we are having a debate, there is a question that I determine to be a question of fact, I'll turn it back over to the presenter and ask the presenter to answer that questions. We'll have a debate that is primarily between and among Senators. Okay? Again, I really would love your feedback on this and I also would love your tolerance as we try this for the first time today.

One more item I wanted to tell you. My plan and goal is to try to meet with the Executive Councils or Faculty Councils of each of the Colleges this year. I'm doing this primarily for two reasons. One is I'd love to hear input from each of the colleges about whats going on in your college. What input you might have for Senate business, Senate Council, our activities and then secondly to make sure that the faculty understands that we are willing and able to help you with anything you have to do related to curriculum that has to come through the Senate.

So academic matters related to things like

proposing the new degree programs. I hope to make just sort of again spread the word about our availability to do that. So if you're on your Faculty Council or if you know who is, please encourage them to reply to our email asking for meetings. My goal again is just to try to reach out to as many faculty across campus as possible.

So, now I'm going to turn it over to Doug Michael to provide the Parliamentarians Report.

1.3

2.2

PARLIAMENTARIAN MICHAEL: Thank you, Jennifer.

Can you hear me? Is this thing working? Now you

can hear me, I hope. You could probably hear me

before. I think I have a good classroom voice. My

name is Doug Michael. I am a Professor at the

College of Law. Unlike my colleague who has a

vibrant teaching and research agenda, I am also

Associate Dean for Academic Affairs so I have no

other life.

She persuades me to serve in this role as

Parliamentarian and I look forward to doing it. I

hope this is the last time you hear from me. My job

is to be advisory to the Chair. That's what Roberts

Rules says. That doesn't mean I'm not willing to

talk to you but my job is really to advise her

during the meeting. If there's any Parliamentary

questions you put them to the Chair.

2.

2.1

2.2

If she can't answer them, she, on the wild chance that I might have additional information, might consult with me but most of all were sitting down that ahead of time to look at the agenda to plan things out. Like she said before to make sure that we have things ready for you to go. The bottom part there that the Chair already said that. That's my guiding role.

I could ask you all to stand and repeat it in unison but we've -- let's hope that everybody is here for that same purpose because that is after all what the rules of meetings and debates are for. You already have reviewed the general rules. You are familiar perhaps with how these work. I'll spend thirty seconds going over them. An item comes up for business because it's on the agenda printed before you or if we get to the I have time for items from the floor or there are any a member arises and suggests a motion.

It has a -- we simply wait for a second and theres none required for committee or council motions and then there might be some general discussion but this item number 3 is very important. When the Chair states the question, then it's before

the assembly. Then it can't be taken back or amended without the assembly's and then a unanimous consent.

2.

2.1

2.2

And then as the Chair stated before, she is in control of who speaks and when. She may choose to recognize the committee or the Senate Council member or someone else who's largely responsible for the motion on the theory that you may have questions of fact and we will be attentive to those questions of fact. Be sure you don't say something like I want to make sure I know that this has been fully funded because if it hasn't there's no way that I can vote for this.

You know so don't combine your opinions on the merits. It's a question of fact. There will be plenty of time for that later and then we will move to recognizing people. I don't know if you require members to rise or you just raise your hand but wait until you're called on just like you ask of your students, we do the same thing here. Generally we follow the rule that you can speak again only if everybody else that wants to speak has spoken or if it appears that the Chair needs to recognize people on different sides the Chair can do that. When the time assigned for debate has expired, or when

silence falls upon the assembly or when the question is called, then you vote and were done. It should be pretty straight forward.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

Again, the purpose of the rules is to facilitate debate and consideration and progress and I hope we can all do that. I look forward to a wonderful year with you. I'll take questions but you're not supposed to have any of me. Thank you.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Our next item is our Trustees Report. Lee Blonder is here but Bob is not here today.

MS. BLONDER: Hi, I'm Lee Blonder. I'm one of your two Trustees. Bob Grossman, excuse me, is observing Rosh Hashanah so he's not here today.

Over the summer you might have heard or read that the Board Executive Committee acted on behalf of the Board to purchase 51% interest in the Lexington Surgery Center, which is an outpatient surgery center, that's near St. Joes.

The surgery center will be breaking ground on a new facility at Turfland Mall between Staples and the U of K outpatient clinics. This cost around 1.2 Million Dollars and the administration feels or the Board members that voted on this feel that this will give our patients the opportunity to have outpatient

surgeries. Conveniently, it will also free up operating space in the hospital.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So, we did that over the summer, the Executive

Committee did. The other things that are happening,
we have a Board meeting Thursday and Friday.

Thursday is the Investment Committee Retreat about
discussing the investments of the endowment. Friday
is the main Board meeting and the other committee
meetings are occurring then. We're going to be
voting for officers. So there's an election; Board
Chair, Vice Chair and some of the Executive

Committee Members.

We had two Board members roll off in June. Mark
Bryant was one of them and Kelly Holland was the
other so Governor Bevin appointed two replacement
Trustees. One is Kim McCann who is an attorney at
Ashland and she is Executive Board member shaping
our Appalachian Region and the other is Rachel Watts
Webb who is the alumni Trustee. There are three
alumni Trustees so she's one of the three. She had
been a U of K Student President for a couple of
years when she was a student here so she had been on
the Board in that capacity.

And she's also -- she runs with her a husband a real estate, commercial real estate company in town.

1 I think that's all for my announcement.

2.

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Oh. yeah. Sorry.

MR. JONES: Davey Jones, College of Medicine.

Theres a voluntary group Surgery on Sunday that
program, a clinic that U of K, including a member of
this body can contribute to voluntarily to treat
members of the community or supervise MD students
and residents. They currently use the facility that
you say U of K is going to buy.

Is that going to negatively impact the Surgery on Sunday program?

MS. BLONDER: It is my understanding that it will not. That Surgery on Sunday will continue.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Any other questions for our Trustee?

MS. BLONDER: Thank you.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Our first item of business is an in Memoriam degree. I think Dr. Urschel is here to present this on behalf of the College of Ag.

DR. URSCHEL: So the student in question was one of my advisees at the Animal Science Program.

At the time of his death he was a rising senior who was an Animal Science student as well as Pre-vet major. He had, I think, 102 credits with a GPA of a little over 3. 9. So, a very -- very good student.

```
He would have graduated on time for May 2018 with
 1
 2.
     all of his natural science requirements as well as
 3
     all the required of a student to get into Auburns
 4
    Veterinary School.
 5
        So we are asking that he be awarded an in
 6
    Memoriam degree backdated to May 2018 when his
 7
     graduation date would have been. May 2018, this past
 8
    May.
 9
           CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: May 2018?
10
            DR. URSCHEL:
                          Yeah.
11
            SECRETARY BROTHER: I'm sorry. Do you want
12
    me to add it to the slide?
13
            CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: I think it's okay.
14
     long as were all clear. He died --
15
             DR. URSCHEL: He died in July 2017.
16
             CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. But we're looking
17
     for May 2018 in Memoriam degree?
18
             DR. URSCHEL:
                            May 2018, yes.
19
             CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. So, questions of
20
     fact? Yes.
2.1
              UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE:
                                    Just in terms --
2.2
              CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Your name and --
23
              MS. WOOD: Oh, sorry. Connie Wood, Arts
24
     and Sciences. An in Memoriam degree is an in
25
    Memoriam degree.
                       It does not have a attached to it
```

a Bachelor of Sciences or Animal Sciences. 1 2 honorary degree. 3 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Oh, okay. So, we could 4 -- it would not be an honorary BS, it would be an 5 honorary --6 MS. WOOD: It would be a recipient of an in 7 Memoriam. 8 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Thank you for that 9 clarification. Any questions of fact? Okay. 10 will put on the floor that this motion that the 11 elected Faculty Senators and again a reminder that 12 only Faculty Senators can vote on this honorary 1.3 That student WH86 from the College of Ag degree. 14 will be the recipient of an in Memoriam honorary 15 degree which we will submit through the President to 16 the Board of Trustees. 17 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: This --18 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Your name? 19 MR. TAGAVI: Kaveh Tagavi, Engineering. 20 the motion is modified. It's not formally amended? 2.1 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: The motion is modified 2.2 that we will say an in Memoriam honorary degree. 23 you want us to change it on the slide or is it okay? 24 MR. TAGAVI: No, it's okay. I just want to

make sure that we modified the motion.

25

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Modified motion, yes.

Thank you. Any debate? Any in favor, opposed?

Okay. Then we will vote. So, you can vote now on the amended degree -- I'm sorry the amended motion.

Everyone has voted and the motion passes. Thank

1.3

2.1

2.2

you.

I also just wanted to take a moment to remind you that we do have in Memoriam degrees. When we heard from Dr. Urschel that it was something that she discovered at a Senate Meeting last year, was since aware of and so some colleges, I think, aren't aware that the University of Kentucky has in Memoriam degrees so to the extent this comes up in your college, please make sure that the administration knows about that.

The second item on our agenda for business is the 2nd August Degree List which was circulated to you last week. Now, again only the Senators which are elected by the College Faculty members may vote on these degrees list. Are there any questions or comments as a matter of fact on those degree lists which you received? Okay. Then the motion from the Senate Council is that the elected Faculty Senators approve U of Ks 2nd 2018 August Degree List of candidates for credentials for submission through

the President to the Board of Trustees. Any comments in favor or opposed?

2.

2.1

2.2

Okay. We can now vote on that motion. Again only Faculty Senators please on this. Okay.

excellent. The motion passes. All right. One more item of business related to Degree Lists. I will call Ruth Beattie to come up.

MS. BETTIE: This degree petition involves a student who applied for an August 2017 degree. The student had applied using a paper application because it was after the online application deadline. The degree application was entered into SAP but as a result of an administrative error the students name did not end up on the second official August 2017 Degree List that was approved by the Senate in September of 2017 and so the student did not — the degree was not certified.

As a result of this administrative error we are asking that a Bachelor of Arts in Geography with a minor in mapping NGIS be awarded with a graduation date of August 2017.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. Questions? All right. Thanks very much. So we have a motion on the floor from the Senate Council again that the elected Faculty Senators amend that August 2017

Degree List by adding student MB56 for a BA in Geography with a minor in mapping NGIS.

2.

2.1

2.2

Argumentative favor -- comments in favor or opposed to this motion? Okay. No comments, then we can vote on this. Again just the Faculty Senators please. Okay. And the motion passes. Thank you very much. Okay.

So the next item on our agenda is our Registrar Kim Taylor is going to give us an update on Title IV. You've been hearing about this, I think, in various ways and she's going to tell us a little bit about what's been required and how its been working.

MS. TAYLOR: Good afternoon everybody.

Listen no rotten tomatoes or anything in this
direction. But thank you for this time this
afternoon. Jennifer asked that I just provide an
update on the Title IV reporting that started last
Thursday. So just a bit of background and where we
started with this. I was tasked with this, I guess,
about a year ago, right after I started working
here.

As this is not a new requirement of the Federal Government for disbursement of Title IV A, but a new process at U of K to monitor that. So, we were trying to be very careful about saying this is a new

requirement because it is not a new requirement.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So, over the past year I've worked very closely with Nimmi Wiggins. She's the Director of Student Financial Aid and Scholarships. The Senate council, the Senate Committee on Distance Learning and E-learning, the Associate Deans, the Deans, the student Tuition Beats Work Group, our current Faculty Senate Chair Burt Carline and past Senate Chair McCormick as well as Provost Blackwell, VP Monday and then of course we utilized the ENGSCCM team for the development of the actual process.

So again, not a new process. I'm sorry, not a new regulation, but a process to the University of Kentucky. This is a pilot year for this process, but still reporting was mandatory and will be for the upcoming year. I worked with the Senate Committee on distance learning and E-learning.

They reviewed my initial proposal and they had a number of recommendations, a number of which we adopted in the incorporation of and were getting this — the calendar, the process for faculty to notify us if a student was dropped by mistake and lastly the adds for students. I'm sorry. The calendar that we configured for this system was based on the recommendations from that committee.

We did not want it to be during the add period so in the past the add period, as you remember, you seen all the communication from me you're probably just overloaded with it at this point.

2.1

2.2

It was the second five-class days of the semester was the monitored period and the third five class days of the semester is the reporting period and that ends tomorrow for full-semester classes and for term classes that started on first class day.

So, I worked with all of the colleges over the summer with their implementation plans. Provost Blackwell asked the colleges to develop their own implementation plans taking into consideration the variation throughout the university, colleges, departments, disciplines, course types because it's not a one size fits all when you're determining if a student is attending the class, but that's the way the regulation reads.

So, a class where you might have 30 students maybe you do take attendance but a class where theres 600 students probably you have canvas assignment or a dissertation section, you may have one or two students. You're not taking attendance in that class. So that's why we were using the words attendance, engagement and participation

interchangeably and to also let you know that in some cases, and I've had a lot of emails over the past few days, but in some cases it really does become a judgment call by the instructor as to the students attendance, participation or engagement.

2.1

2.2

So, an email went to students to inform them to attend class. Isn't that something they didn't already know, but I did send an email to all students and that went on August 28th and that was to all enrolled students. And then last week on September 5th I sent an email to every instructor for which a section was assigned. I've had again, a lot of emails from faculty where I'm not teaching this semester.

There are sections that have zero students in them. There are a lot of sections that have zero students in them by the way. So, another issue for me to address. So, we don't have all those sections with zero enrollments on the books. So, every course section that had an instructor assigned to it received an email about this process.

So, if you didn't get one -- so we got to TAs,

GAs, every person who is actually in the classroom

this semester. So, I'll talk to you afterwards. So

what we found last week on the first day was we had

one class where all students were dropped mistakenly. Only one course -- I'm sorry, let me back up. Three courses where all students were dropped mistakenly. Only three courses!

2.2

So we updated the messaging in the system where it was a little more clear what a faculty member is supposed to do and you have to -- you see the list of students if you are actually dropping students in your section you see the list of students to drop before you say confirm drop these students, confirm to Registrar.

One other section of course where the students received a notification that they were dropped. They had not been dropped. We figured that one out.

That has not happened again.

So, all in all I did have a lot of emails and a lot of phone calls and I'm still getting those but it's really gone pretty well and I just want to say thank you to each of you for your patience, your participation and actually just helping to make sure that everyone across the university is aware of this requirement.

So, I'll take any questions if you have them.

That's where I stand right now.

MR. TAGAVI: Kaveh Tagavi, Engineering. When

you went underneath that and said verify, it said I attest or swear or whatever that I am seeing students. That's not technically correct. It should say I have interacted.

MS. TAYLOR: Yes, and that will be changed.

MR. TAGAVI: Thank you.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MS. TAYLOR: You're welcome. And over the next year really the intent is to work with the Senate over the next year to refine this system, maybe come up with a common implementation plan or a common plan for each college to incorporate what each of the colleges came up with to implement this process this year.

And to, I think there was a suggestion that maybe -- that this was included, that this was a required element of the syllabi; attendance, engagement, participation -- of course that will be up to the Senate whether that takes place or not.

And then some additional integration with canvas so that those systems next year, you can drop a student in canvas or if your requirements not in canvas, a student didn't complete the assignment, then the student could be dropped based on that. So, we still have some work to do but again I really do appreciate your help with this.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Any more questions for our Registrar? Yes?

2.2

MS. FIREY: Abigail Firey, Arts and Sciences. I have two questions. First of all is there any discussion of possibly implementing this process through the network of advisors rather than faculty and secondly was there any discussion of an apparent discrepancy between the language in the Senate rules about absences which say that a student may be dropped after two absences and the language in this policy that says students receiving federal aid must be dropped?

MS. TAYLOR: So, on the Senate Rule, I'll address that one first. There's no change to the Senate rule. In fact, that was communicated that a faculty member still had the ability to drop a student for missing two classes. So, nothing changed about that. Then additionally on the attendance part, the way the regulation reads the institution must confirm that the student has begun attendance at the beginning of the semester in each class.

So, it would be difficult for an advisor -- we'd probably in most situations to do that. So that's why this is being done through each class that a

student is enrolled in.

2.

2.1

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Davey?

MR. JONES: Davey Jones, College of Medicine.

So is the federal requirement that the non-attending student just have the money cut off or that does the fed require the student be mandatory dropped from the class?

MS. TAYLOR: Well, their aid would be reduced. If the student is not attending a class that they are enrolled for then the aid is reduced for that class. So for a student who remains — if they are dropped from a class but they remain full—time there wouldn't be any reduction in their disbursement. For a student who drops below full—time there would be a reduction in the disbursement. Did I answer your question?

MR. JONES: I don't know that you did. I had the impression from the discussion that if a person under this new process -- if a person doesn't attend then the faculty member has lost the discretion as to whether the student will be dropped from the class.

MS. TAYLOR: You are required to report but it is still at your discretion and in the regulations called academically related activities and there are

seven of them; various things like participation in discussion board, actual attendance, students taking a quiz, but those are the academically related activities and so the student is dropped.

If the student isn't dropped then their hours that they're enrolled for is not reduced in order to reduce the disbursement. In other words, if I'm still in 15 hours or I'm still in 12 hours but I dropped 3, I should be at 9 but I'm still enrolled in 12, theres no way to make the adjustment to the disbursement. Does that make sense?

MR. JONES: I guess.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MR. TAGAVI: I think -- Kaveh Tagavi,
Engineering. I think my fellow Senators, I think
the nuance is this. Generally speaking you should
ask us to report to you nonattendance.

MS. TAYLOR: Yes.

MR. TAGAVI: You shouldn't force us to drop anybody if for administrative or financial reason, if the Registrar wants to drop that's their business but the Senate doesn't compare need to drop anybody because they miss even two. It says May. Therefore I think if you change our language, the faculty should report nonattendance, not that the faculty is literally dropping these people would be more

appropriate. I think this is the nuance that my colleagues over there is --

2.

2.1

2.2

MS. TAYLOR: And technically that's what's happened. You have reported a student for nonattendance. Technically, there's a process that drops the student. You didn't drop the student. The University, through this process, dropped the student. You reported them.

MS. DEBSKI: Liz Debski, A&S. Is there another PR process because I mean basically its a one week reported period and for some classes they'll meet three or four times. For other classes they'll just meet once and it seems to me that —well, many of my students had no idea about this at all until I put it in the syllabus.

And I'm just wondering, you know, yeah if a student has something had come up and, you know, could not come to that one class that met during that one week reporting period, is there any appeal?

MS. TAYLOR: Yes, this is where you, your discretion can be exercised. So over a three week period the first five days which a student has had, the second five days that we identified as the monitoring period and the third five days we reported as the reporting period. So over that

1 three week period did the student attend your class? 2. If you weren't able to monitor the second week you 3 could monitor and record in the third week during 4 the reporting period but the regulation does state 5 that the student has begun attendance at the 6 beginning of the semester. 7 So it's not six weeks into the semester or 8 mid-semester or end of the semester, it says at the 9 beginning of the semester. 10 So, I'm actually confused. MS. DEBSKI: 11 yeah, so this reporting period the student doesn't have to show up during that period, but could've 12 1.3 show up before in the class and its still okay with 14 you? According to the regulation if 15 MS. TAYLOR: 16 the student has begun attendance. 17 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: But as I read it the 18 emphasis is on engagement with the class. 19 MS. TAYLOR: Yes. CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Well, I thought the 20 21 engagement had to happen during this one week 2.2 period. 23 MS. TAYLOR: No, no, it does not. 24 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: It does not. 25 MS. TAYLOR: And you can -- you might have

students with excused absences so while all of your other students may be attending you may have a student that you have worked out excused absences for, and you can take that into consideration.

2.

2.1

2.2

But you still consider that student engaged, and participating in your class then you would not report that student for nonattendance. Like I had an email from a faculty member last week, and they had three students that had participated at varying levels. And he said this is going to impact this students ability to succeed in my class and so I told him that while yes, that may be true but if the student has been engaged at some level in your class, you should not drop them for non-attendance.

MS. DEBSKI: Report them?

MS. TAYLOR: Report them, sorry.

MS. DEBSKI: But to go back to my original question, there is no appeals process or there is?

MS. TAYLOR: If a student comes to you and you want to reinstate that student in your class, all you have to do is send me an email and we will re-enroll that student in your class. Again this is where some discretion within your class comes in.

Where it may not be necessarily clear, if you have any questions feel free to send those to me and for

ones that I feel like are more technical I've even brought Nimmi Wiggins, who's our Director of Student Financial Aid, into the conversation.

MR. TROLAND: Tom Troland with Arts and Sciences. Just to be sure I understand this, is it the case that if we do report a particular student for non-attendance, using our discretion and so forth, that that student will be dropped?

MS. TAYLOR: They will be dropped.

MR. TROLAND: Okay.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MS. TAYLOR: They'll be dropped from your class and they'll be dropped in canvas. And the canvas integration is almost real time and the drop is happening almost real time as well. So if someone was reported this morning and class roll will state that student was dropped from the class and from canvas and theres an email notification that goes to the student, the instructor, the advisor and financial aid.

MR. JONES: Davey Jones, College of Medicine. What email address does the Registrar use for the student for those purposes?

MS. TAYLOR: The email address that they have on their student record and it may be where they've redirected that to another email address but it's

the one that they're responsible for maintaining in their student record.

MR. JONES: So when I use the system to get the class roll or the Excel spreadsheet, it's that email address?

MS. TAYLOR: Yes.

2.

2.1

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Any other questions for our Registrar? Okay. Thank you very much Kim.

MS. TAYLOR: Thanks again, everybody.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: I just wanted to say this has been something that we've been invited to continue participate in conversations about. I think both by Kim and by the Provost and so those of you have shared views already and who continue to have views, you are welcome to share them with me.

I know Kim welcomes your views to her directly and were going to think, we as the Senate Council and with your feedback will think about how to sort of bring our advice together and make a coherent sort about our views back to all around people.

Oh, one more thing about this. Part of the reason you didn't hear about this beforehand was that this was not really into the fully Senates radar until May of last year. So part of the problem this year was the timing and this is why

we're taking this year to sort of have a coherent and maybe unified response as this goes forward. So thanks for your tolerance there.

1.3

2.2

Okay. I'd like to invite Kim Woodrum to come up and join us. Kim is going to give us a report on the Admissions Advisory Committee which she chairs, a report of their activities from last year.

MS. WOODRUM: Hello everyone. So, most of our work this past year really involved the on-boarding of Scott McDonald which is our new Dean of Undergraduate Admissions and with his position he really went through our Senate rules and was looking at everything that pertained to undergraduate admissions.

He found two places that theres a discrepancy between wording so were going to be working to try to amend those spots. It looks like this was changed but not changed here sort of thing and some position names that are not, say position names that aren't there any more and clear up a little bit of who's voting and non-voting members on our committee.

So it was a lot of the nuts and bolts along that line. I just thought though that you'd be interested in enrollment numbers for this fall.

Goal was 5,100 students and that was up over what we had last year by quite a bit. The goal was 5,100 and the made it.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

The last number recorded was 5,102 so they made it by 2. The average ACT is slightly up. The average high school GPA is slightly up.

Under-represented minorities, unfortunately this year was slightly down. They have looked at the reason why that might be and will be doing some modification of when the Parker Diversity

Scholarship application process occurs so that students can get engaged with that scholarship earlier on in the process.

We're also looking to expand some recruiting efforts into diverging markets and if you're interested in where those markets are you just let me know. Retention rates for returning sophomores is slightly up. That's not really an admissions issue but it sort of is, I guess and the biggest news to me is that the plan is underfoot to increase the admissions to 6,000.

So 5,100 up to 6,000 over the next three to four years. That is not going to happen easily and without a lot of work and a lot of study. There are four implementation teams that are getting ready to

start their work. One is focusing on Freshman. One is focusing on retention.

2.

2.1

2.2

One is focusing on online and summer courses and one is called Project Graduation. The reason for this increase is to try to find ways to overcome financial shortfalls without cutting programs, without cutting faculty lines, staff lines and that sort of thing. So, that is big news I think, and that's all I have.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Questions for Kim? Yes, sir.

MR. BROWN: Alan Brown, Arts and Sciences.

What -- can you share with us the figures on the

ACT, average ACT?

MS. WOODRUM: Sure. The figures are as—— let me find it right down there. I got it here. Hang on one second. Farther down on that piece of paper. I thought I had it right here if you'll bear with me I've gotta hit the right button. There it is. On the next right button which is that one right there. Okay.

So the ACT went from a 25.5 to a 25.9 and the GPA from the previous year was from a 3.70 to a 3.77.

MR. BROWN: Thank you.

MS. WOOD: Connie Wood, Arts and Sciences. I

take it that's median or is that the mean and really 1 2. what's relevant is the lower, right? 3 MS. TAYLOR: Well, I do not know the answer to 4 that but if you'd like to find that out I will do 5 The Arts and Science Department of Statistics. 6 (Laughter) 7 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: We can find that 8 information out for you. 9 MS. WOOD: And also if you're increasing the size of the first year class up to 6,000, what is 10 11 the projected effect that will have on those. 12 MS. WOODRUM: That is a good ques -- that is 13 question, to my understanding the things that will 14 be really studied by these groups who are looking into that. I mean, it's not something that can 15 16 certainly be done easily. 17 MS. WOOD: Can your committee be involved in 18 these discussions? 19 MS. WOODRUM: We will be involved in these 20 discussions, certainly. How much say we have into the suggestions, I don't know but we can certainly 21 be involved with the discussions. 2.2 23 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Any other questions for 24 Kim or comments on the report? Okay. Thank you

Kim was both Chair of the Committee last year

25

Kim.

and has agreed to do it again for this year.

(APPLAUSE)

2.

2.1

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. Our next committee report is the Senate Rules and Elections Committee.

Davey is the Chair but as I understand it Senator

Tagavi is going to the lectern.

MR. TAGAVI: I am. So, my name is Kaveh
Tagavi. Over the years the way we have done
pass/not pass the instructor is not the informed
whether the student in your class is taking your
class pass/not pass. They just don't know. They
have a letter grade and the system would
automatically change it to a formula to pass or not
pass. Now its question has arrived that if the
advisor, advising staff for the student need to know
this to properly advise students and what happens if
the advisor happens to be also the instructor.

So this question was posed to Senate Council to
Senate Rules Committee and the Rules Committee
proposed that we modify as you have seen in your add
on that said the computer program database could
identify student for taking pass/not pass and if the
advisor is also the instructor then the advisor
could use that information only for the purpose of
advising, not as an instructor. Here is the

proposal in front of you.

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. So questions of fact about this proposal? Any questions? Liz?

MS. DEBSKI: Liz Debski, A&S. Tavey, can you share the rationale for it being this way in the first place for the instructor not knowing whether or not the student is taking a class pass or not?

MR. TAGAVI: I can only speculate because this rule has been here probably longer than I have been here. I think the rationale, that's what you asked?

What's the rationale for not telling the faculty because some faculty would say Well, this is just not as and let me just pass the student and basically the fact that the pass/not pass would affect their grading of the faculty.

So it was always my understanding the reason for this was so that if professor doesn't know and the professor is going to treat the pass/not pass student as generously as he or she is treating grade student, but for some reason if somebody pass/not pass said well they don't need this.

This is not very serious for them, let me just pass them. This is my personal understanding. It's not the official university position.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Any more questions? Yeah?

MR. BROWN: Brown, Arts and Sciences. So, I guess my questions is you said theres a formula? Is it not just cut and dried or what is this pass/not pass threshold? Is it not a D?

2.2

MR. TAGAVI: No, it is a D, yes. It's possible that, and I'm speaking — I'm speculating that I don't remember graduate students under any circumstance would take pass/not pass, but if that's the case then for graduate students it would be a C rather than a D. So that might be the —

MR. BROWN: Sure. I just think that faculty may just need to know what that cut off is even if they don't know who the student is but they might something they don't know which student they need to know that, you know, you get a D that is a pass.

MR. TAGAVI: For undergrads it is.

MR. BROWN: Roger Brown, College of Ag. Kaveh, do you know, where will the advisors have access to this — I assume this is new information that the advisors will get about the pass/not pass/fail or has that always been available? Where do advisors get that information?

MS. BEATTIE: Ruth Beattie, College of Arts and Sciences. That information is freely available in the advisors column so if you pull up a students

schedule it will show if they are in the class pass/fail or not.

2.

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Any other questions about this? Okay. Thank you very much. All right. So we have a motion coming down from the Senate Council which approved the SRECs motion to change the Senate Rule 5.1.4 specifically by adding the following sentence immediately after the first sentence of the fourth paragraph. I want to make sure you all know where that is.

However if an instructor of record is also the students designated academic advisor then the instructor of record shall have access to a students pass/fail status in a course for the purpose of advising the student. So that is or motion on the floor. Anyone want to speak in favor or against that motion? No comments. Okay. Then we'll vote on this.

All Senators are eligible to vote on this change for the Senate rules. All voting Senators I should say. Okay. Finished voting? And the motion passes. Okay. Thank you very much. Thank you Kaveh and the Senate Rules Committee for your work on that.

Okay. Our next report is from our new Chair of

the Senate Academic Programs Committee, Aaron Cramer.

2.

2.1

2.2

MR. CRAMER: We have a proposal here for a new undergraduate certificate in Environmental Engineering from the College of Engineering. It is curriculum integrated principles of engineering, biology and chemistry with the development of sustainable solutions to environmental problems.

A few goals formalizing the environmental engineering training, a rising the visibility of the discipline, increasing cross-departmental interaction. The program will admit 5-10 students per year with a running enrollment of 20-30 students in the certificate program.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. So you received the application or the proposal. Are there questions about this proposal? I think we also had in the room Kelly Pennell who is the proposer of this new undergraduate certificate so she might be able to address and help as well if anybody asks any questions. Yes?

MR. JONES: Davey Jones, College of Medicine.

Just confirming this is intended that this is tagged at the college level not in a department, Is that right?

MR. CRAMER: Yes.

2.

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Are there questions about this proposal? Okay. Thank you. Okay. So we have a motion forwarded to you from the Senate Council as the Academic Programs Committee that the Senate approve the establishment of a new undergraduate certificate in Environmental Engineering to be housed in the College of Engineering.

Does anyone want to speak in favor or against that motion? No comments. Okay. All right. We can vote on that. Again, voting by all voting members of the Senate. The motion passes. Okay. Thank you very much. Okay.

We have another report and this is our committee report from the Senate Academic Programs Committee and Margaret Schroeder as the past Chair of this Committee will make a report on the activity as of last year.

MS. MOHR-SCHROEDER: Okay. We had a busy year last year as you recall. We had a record number of degree proposals go through. We had five degree proposals that went through; one Doctoral Degree, 3 Masters Degrees, and then one Bachelor's Degree and those did go on to TPE for this summer or this falls approval. We had 4 Graduate Certificates that were

approved and 3 Undergraduate Certificates and then we had a record 21 other proposals.

If you recall our University Scholars Programs got cleaned up and so that was a lot of what we did with that and then we did some rules, interpretations and clarifications and got things cleaned up there. We only had one deferred proposal and you just heard that so our slate is clean for SAPC.

So get your proposals in. Aaron is ready for them. He is very excited, and I'm very confident in him leading the committee. And I appreciate all your alls efforts for the Program Committee these last few years it's been a pleasure working with you. I encourage you to check your email regularly.

2.2

If you have a program under review that email communication and phone communication responding to the committee remains the number one thing that will hold up your proposal. So, Aaron is ready and waiting to talk to you and to answer all of your program questions and needs. Thank you.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Are there any questions for Dr. Schroeder? Dean Schroeder?

(LAUGHTER) Okay. Well, I'll ask you to join me in

thanking Margaret.

(APPLAUSE)

2.

2.1

2.2

We're losing her as an official member of the Senate. But she has assured me she will still take my phone calls so we will benefit from her expertise and knowledge going forward. So, thank you so much Margaret for all you've done for us.

Okay. So the next item on our agenda is —

I've got it a little screwed up. Sorry about that.

The Provost Faculty Sustainability Council gives a report to the Senate Council in May and they're here to — Krista Jacobson is a member of the council and also Shane Teddler are here to discuss a little bit about their recommendations from the council. So, I'm going to pass it over to you guys.

MS. JACOBSON: We are happy to be here today to report on the progress of our committee which was created by the Provost in spring 2017 out of support of the Senate. So, our duty today was under the encouragement of the Senate Councils, to update you on the work we're going to talk about next steps and also solicit your feedback.

So this was a -- this committee was broadly represented across the university and just by the way of background Shane is going to give you a

little bit of how we got here before we dive into who we are and what we were doing. There's a back-story that wasn't in the concise report.

1.3

2.2

We were told that the Provost likes things under seven pages and so we were trying to keep it there minus appendices. So those of you who are new or lacking content Shane is just going to give you a little bit of that briefly.

MR. TEDDLER: Yeah, so that direction was born out of some work when President Capilouto first arrived. The President Sustainability Advisory Committee had asked him to sign on to a national commitment relative to greenhouse gas emissions.

And included in a part of that was a statement that emissions reductions and sustainability be part of the educational experience of all students and he really got hung up on that. And said that's not my job.

We've got faculty governments here. That really belongs in the hands of our faculty and so that split the effort relative to emissions reductions into two parts. One that was very operational and has since become an university commitment. The second which was more broadly to find out what is the current state of sustainability relative to

instruction and research at U of K.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

Is it where it needs to be? What are the challenges? What are the opportunities? And so, that's the back-story on it and the basic charge that the Provost gave us.

MS. JACOBSON: That's right. And then it morphed a little bit from just the greenhouse gas emissions reductions to broader sustainability efforts on campus and we were charged to kind of take the temperature on academics endeavors in the sustainability area.

We ended up focusing more on instructional efforts just because that's where the traction of the committee was and there was somewhat of a feeling that although there are certainly barriers to interdisciplinary research, where theres money theres a way.

So if you can get a grant for it you can make it happen whereas we are perhaps a bit more siloed from the instructional side of things. So that's where the bulk of the committees work was and you can see committee representation as a Co-Chair. That along with Dean Meyer is not able to join us today from the College of Ag Environment but broad representation across the university. So we thought

we would focus -- you all have the PDF of that attached to the agenda. We tried to keep it as concise for you as possible.

2.2

I just want to give you a bit of overview to the process and so through the course the committee met for 18 months, monthly from Spring 2017 until the end of Spring 2018 semester. That's right? Yeah.

So 3 semesters with an internal/external kind of scope and process. So internally we leaned our colleagues who are both teaching interdisciplinary programs or perhaps have served instructional programs and those kinds of things both within colleges and between colleges. And from those gleaned a number of case studies of some successes and some failures there or some opportunities for improvement and looked at organizational changes and those kinds of things.

Then from an external scope and perspective we looked at both our traditional benchmarks as well as aspirational institutions. So what's up here is from an external perspective, isn't necessarily what we looked for, it's what we ended up looking at after doing a fairly broad look at what our benchmarks were doing aspirational institutions with regard to sustainability.

And what we really coalesce around was looking at some of the novel organizational efforts that people have — or that our benchmarks have ventured into and try to breakdown some of these disciplinary issues.

2.2

The other thing that came up as we were looking both internally and externally is that it became clear to us as a committee that although they're talking about sustainability in general, this was really demonstrative of the challenges to interdisciplinary work across the board.

So, we came to look at sustainability as a bit of a proxy for broader issues and interdisciplinary instructional efforts and many of the grand challenges that we are facing in the academy as well as sometimes society at large. So, great job, Shane.

MR. TEDDLER: Thanks, I got this. (LAUGHTER)

MS. JACOBSON: So, the goals that we present here are broadly paired with three main challenges that you'll see in the reports. So I kind of wanted to make those match, but we thought for discussion and feedback purposes today we would present these to you in a fairly concise format and

happy to field questions on them as well.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

But our three main goals that captured the broad challenges that we felt would be faced internally and with other institutions were also echoing as well, we needed efforts to facilitate interdisciplinary research and instruction in particular.

So, the Provost charged us a short, medium and long term goals. You can see that laid out here. So some of those short-term goals being rewarding faculty for interdisciplinary research and instructional efforts so the DOB question among other things; how do we do this particularly when its across a college line.

And then in the medium term, how does that manifest when you start talking about processes? So, you've got the day to day and then in the long term how are those things valued as well, or in the medium term.

And then you'll see that across the board the long term we identified institutions that have invested in kind of top-down efforts to address the issues of institutional silos with having schools of sustainability or the sustainability environment and these kinds of things as basically re-creating

structures within the academy of any institution to try to deal with some of these issues that we find administratively.

1.3

2.2

So you see those long term goals are kind of the same. And then second goal, having all students experience sustainability in their academic career. So we tossed this around a variety of different ways. This is a good example of the Provost charged us with this and we met with -- this was under the previous Provost -- Provost Tracy and Provost Blackwell, assured us that he was actually interested in continuing the work of the previous Provost.

But when we asked about how to package this he suggested not to be too prescriptive and so we had discussions among ourselves about implement — integration of sustainability within the U of K core and those kinds of things, but we didn't want to lay that out. That is a broader issue.

We are creating recommendations here so you see that here; sustainability efforts that build instructional capacity into coursework, also facilitating some co-branding for programs that are already doing sustainability work.

So, the student is broadly interested in

sustainability when it comes to the university
trying to help them find their path. Relatively low
hanging fruit but were just trying to help.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

In the medium term, something that got a lot of traction with the committee was creating a graduate level sustainability certificate and it was the committees purview that that ought not move into a college. That ought to live to graduate school level.

So, that was the committees purview although it doesn't -- it's danced around a little bit in the recommendation and the discussion. So you all have some contacts for that and then look at issues on the floor. And then becoming a recognized leading institution in sustainability.

So, celebrating our ongoing successes and continuing to build this academic community and then having somebody ongoing to assess the progress. So whether it is the creation of a permanent Faculty Sustainability Council — that's what we settled on with encouragement from meeting with Senate Council and other bodies, that's what we settled on, but just somebody so that we don't come back years later and say we already did this assessment. And it sat on a shelf.

How do we keep this conversation alive with a 1 2 fair amount of energy? So you all can read the rest 3 of that there. I don't want to take up too much of 4 your time especially since you all have redesigned 5 the way that you work as a body to facilitate 6 discussion. We want to keep this open for your 7 faculty because that's really what were here for. 8 CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: So, comments for Kristin? 9 And Shane? 10 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I have a questions. 11 SECRETARY BROTHERS: I'm sorry, your name 12 please? 13 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Oh, I'm sorry did I --14 SECRETARY BROTHERS: No, the transcript, when 15 the -- I prepare minutes for the meeting which is 16 what you all approve but my court reporter colleague 17 prepares a verbatim transcript so when you don't say 18 who you are, she doesn't know how to identify you. 19 Shell know who you are by March but --20 MR. CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. Yeah, so we 21 ask every time you speak in a meeting at least here 2.2 in the beginning as were learning names it's so 23 effective. 24 MR. TROLAND: Tom Troland, Arts and

I am not actually all that knowledgeable

25

Sciences.

about sustainability. What does that term mean?

Does it mean carbon reduction? Or, it doesn't mean that, what else does it mean in just a few words.

MR. TEDDLER: So the Faculty Sustainability

Council knew that that was is going to be a part of
the challenge of this work so they started by

creating kind of an umbrella operational definition
that would provide some context and so its basically
--

MS. JACOBSON: I have it here.

2.1

2.2

MR. TEDDLER: Oh, you have it? If you can read it that would be way better. Just roll with it.

MS. JACOBSON: Okay. So this says sustainability implies that the activities of the University of Kentucky are ecologically sound, socially just and economically viable and that they will continue to be so for future generations. The sustainability focus encourages the integration of these principles in curricula, research and outreach.

This principled approach to operational prefaces and intellectual pursuits prepares students and empowers the campus community to support sustainable development in the Commonwealth and beyond. So and

that definition was modified from the existing definitions out there and then adopted by — endorsed by the Presidents Sustainability Advisory Council, the Tracy Farmer Institute for Sustainability and the Environment and the Student Sustainability Council.

2.

2.1

2.2

MR. JONES: Davey Jones, College of
Medicine. Establish a school of sustainability, the

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Yeah, Mr. Jones?

word school has a very particular meaning in terms of kind of educational unit.

Prior to 1970 there used to be a number of pan college schools. Grad School is the last one left like that, but that used to be school of Biological Sciences, Politics, there were several others. In 1970 school became defined as something that's inside a college. What did you actually have in mind here?

MS. JACOBSON: Yeah, the intention is that it doesn't live at the college but we don't know where it would live. So the idea is there would be — that's partly why were here for feedback is from an administrative, structural perspective is where would this live? Would it be a college? So there are at our peer institutions or aspirational

institution there are schools of sustainability that essentially function as a college. So that may be —— like we talked about an analogue potentially like the Honors College.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So that may be an analogous type of structure for us but its pretty open at this point. You know, if there were a hundred million dollars lined up to fund it I think wed figure it out but this is kind of more on the outward looking how do we plan for a broad institutional structure that can address some of the issues that we've identified and facilitate those goals.

MS. WOOD: Connie Wood, Arts and
Sciences. I'm glad to hear you're last comment and
it certainly in the spirit of being supportive to
helping you actually meet these goals. In your
report you say theres no administrative unit that
can house an Interdisciplinary Educational Program.
And I agree with you because no education — no
administrative unit can house any educational
program.

It has to be an educational unit. So, I guess,
I would urge you to work with the Senate committee
on Academic Organization and Structure and also with
the -- huh?

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Thanks a lot.

2.

1.3

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: He's the Chair.

MS. WOOD: Oh, I'm getting two birds with one stone. All right. And you know, seriously at the graduate level everything is facilitated by the fact that interdisciplinary programs can answer to the contending house of the graduate school.

I agree with you regarding the challenge at the undergraduate level and that's something that the Senate and the Senate Council and Administration are going to have to tackle.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Any other questions or comments?

MR. TAGAVI: Kaveh Tagavi, Engineering. Why is the word Faculty in the name of this thing? It confused me at the Senate Council. I thought this was effort being making sure the number of faculty's are sustained and we -- obviously that's not the case.

I have to still admit I'm still confused what ever your effort is like literally recycling and reducing emissions such as suggesting the President give a bottle, a metal bottle to every single professor and say we don't want to see any more plastic bottles or do you want to do research on

emission and reduction of emission?

1.3

2.1

2.2

Again, I want to come back to why is the word

Faculty and sustain written next to each other which

make people think you are thinking of the faculty

sustain, a group of faculty. In what sense is this

a faculty concept?

MS. JACOBSON: That's a good question and so theres kind of two parts here. One is administrative so why is it a faculty council and that is contrast to the Presidents Sustainability Advisory Council and Shane and I both sit on and that is largely represented by staff members and it primarily focuses on the facility and operational aspects of the sustainability of our campus so largely the environmental footprint kind of component.

So we have staff emissions, Shane's sustainability strategic plan which is largely facilities and operations oriented and so it does not explicitly deal with the essential pursuits of the faculty, research and instruction.

So the Faculty Sustainability Council may have better been called the Provost Sustainability

Council but then we would have the Provost

Sustainability Council and the President

Sustainability Council and so which would be fine but it contrasts that. So does that kind of answer the administrative --

MR. TEDDLER: Naming semantics.

MS. JACOBSON: Yeah.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MR. TEDDLER: But I can see how you'd get it confused.

MR. TAGAVI: But the committee -
CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN:Oh, oh, oh, oh, oh, sorry,

Provost Brion.

PROVOST BRION: Gail Brion, College of
Engineering. I've examined the U of K Core for
opportunities to integrate sustainability learning
objectives for all undergraduates. So is this going
to be a faculty led initiative like writing across
the curriculum or, I mean, I'm trying to understand
how this will happen and how the faculty and the
university Senate will be involved in looking at our
curriculum.

MR. TEDDLER: There was some effort the last time the 4 was revised that had sustainability learning objectives included in the Liveable Dynamics Requirement and just through the revisions they weren't explicit in the final template was proofed. So I think that's the closest fit. I am

not faculty, so process wise I can't speak to
exactly how it would be reviewed but I think it
would probably come as a recommendation if the floor
is open for that, to maybe look for explicit
opportunities to have a sustainability learning
objective in one of those requirements.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MS. KRISTIN: There may be a relevant bit to add to that. Many of this was drafted in May and has kind of been chopped around over the work this summer and some of these recommendations have morphed a little bit, just as they — implementations to recommendations have been morphed over a little bit.

So we've been encouraged to rather than go over core as a medium term in the short term develop a sustainability module for U of K 101 as part of the optional curriculum and that's something that could be developed for Fall 19. So, there's some kind of smaller in roads.

We may not necessarily go for core. The intention is really the how do all students at the U of K undergraduate level experience sustainability one mechanism to that may be the core but it may be that theres traction in other areas as well. I wouldn't mind to revisit the second part of your

question.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Well, hold on, we did have other hands. Sir, is it back to you?

MR. TREMOND: No, it's regarding what branding issues are.

MS. KRISTIN: Also so there's this broader issue of our regis plan on recycling and handing faculty members water bottles and those kinds of things. So with the sustainability framework implies is a broad and grow up for interdisciplinary thinking that incorporates general and economic environmental and social aspects.

How that manifests is dependent upon individual faculty member fields for example in terms of research. I work up in the College of Agriculture and the Environment.

I think Colleges, that works on food issues, so for me the way that played out is how do you balance environmental sustainability with creating a socially-equitable-food system, that is accessible to all people and so there is an implicit biophysical aspect of that but then theres also a social justice and equity piece.

So that's how it kind of plays out so if the language is vague its somewhat deliberate because

its confectual -- not until the rubber hits the road on your grant or in a way the disciplinary in which that sustainability course would be offered that those variables.

Other comments?

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN:

2.1

2.2

MS. DRUICKS: Hi, Sadie Druicks, public health. So are you trying to make this more part of like students and faculty like education part of like the degree they're getting or part of like their everyday lives kind of thing? Like which one are you aiming for or is it just like overall?

MR. TEDDLER: This particular set of recommendations is more aimed at the educational students. Sustainability as a component of operations and campus culture is, it's a little bit more firmly and explicitly established than some other guided documents already.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Comments or questions?

Okay. Great thank you very much. You have one more slide?

MR. TEDDLER: We do. And this is just a pitch. There's some money available. The 5th year of this Sustainability Challenge Grant Program so we've got \$200,000.00 available again this year.

Maximum award is \$50,000.00 per project and the only

requirements to be eligible for the funding is that the proposal must address the Sustainability

Challenge and be submitted by an interdisciplinary team.

2.1

2.2

These are internally competitive funds and over the last four years we've distributed \$700,000.00 to twenty-six projects. All of the information on the previous projects is available at this website. We have October 15th as the deadline for submitting applications this year. So, if you could, spread the word about this opportunity with your colleagues.

CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. Thank you very much. All right. Back to — this reminds me of a thing I meant to mention earlier. If I already did, I'll just say it again. Part of what we ask you to do as a Senator is not just to come here and participate actively in conversations here but to share what you learn here with your colleagues back at your colleges and departments.

So this would be the kind of thing you could share with your colleagues in addition to the information about what we passed today, changes to Senate Rules, things like that.

So we ask you take on that responsibility also as

1	your college Senator. Okay. Items from the floor?
2	We can move on through if we haven't talked about it
3	already. We'll, look how efficient we've been.
4	It's time to move for adjournment status. I'll take
5	a motion. Senator Wood motions, anyone second?
6	MS. CORUM: Corum from the College of
7	Medicine.
8	CHAIR BIRD-POLLAN: Okay. All in favor?
9	Let's vote on this slide. Remember to drop your
10	clickers at the back and come back next month for
11	more of the same. Thank you all very much, I
12	appreciate it.
13	(WHEREUPON, the Senate meeting concludes at 4:30
14	p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF KENTUCKY)
4	COUNTY OF OLDHAM)
5	
6	I, BRENDA YANKEY, the undersigned Court Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of Kentucky At
8	Large, certify that the facts stated in the caption
9	hereto are true, that at the time and place stated
10	in said caption, that said proceedings were taken
11	down in stenotype by me and later reduced to type
12	writing, and the foregoing is a true record of the
13	proceedings given by said parties hereto and that I
14	have no interest in the outcome of the captioned
15	matter.
16	My commission expires: January 31, 2020.
17	IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and
18	seal of office on this day September 20, 2018.
19	Crestwood, Oldham County, Kentucky.
20	
21	
22	
23	BRENDA YANKEY, NOTARY PUBLIC STATE AT LARGE, KENTUCKY
24	NOTARY ID #546481
25	