1	UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
2	SENATE MEETING
3	
4	* * * * * *
5	
6	OCTOBER 30, 2017
7	
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
9	
10	KATHERINE MCCORMICK, CHAIR
11	SHEILA BROTHERS, ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR
12	BRENDA YANKEY, COURT REPORTER
13	
14	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CHAIR MCCORMICK: Welcome. We're ready to begin our meeting on October 30th. I'd like to ask you to sign in — in the normal way and when the slide appears and the questions is read, please vote. You're just voting that you are here today. Are you here today? Yes, no, maybe. It looks like sixty two of you are in here. I'm not quite sure. We're going to begin now with our opportunity to chat with our President, Eli Capilouto, and welcome.

1.3

2.1

2.2

PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: Thank you. I'd like to thank all of you for being here today. I want to share that the conversation that I wanted to have this afternoon, I didn't want to wait until next semester. Unfortunately the conflicts of my schedule precluded me from being here the next time you meet and I think the timing is important because this certainly changes underway on our campus but more importantly some of the things we'll discuss today are the acceleration in changes in our external environment.

I'm going to talk to you for a brief moment and then I'm going to have Provost Tracy and Vice

President Monday review with you a conversation that they began with the Deans and that will certainly continue and I want to finish today by listening to

your feedback, sharing in advance that I want to continue conversations across campus. And conversations across campus have -- you okay?

2.

1.3

2.2

So, we started conversations on our campus. As you know I distributed, I think I put it on your website, two readings that I shared broadly. We've shared these at our Presidents Council, had conversations about them. Lisa Cassis did it with our Research Advisory Council and the Provost's have conducted these at some other meetings as well. And then for our Board of Trustees Retreat; last year what they most enjoyed was being face to face with students when we talked about diversity and this year we decided to spend an afternoon having them, no administrators were involved in these conversations, speak directly with ten faculty and ten staff.

So there were twenty Trustees between faculty and staff and I appreciate the senate, staff senate and this senate for identifying people to participate. We're surveying that group to see if we have the right readings, if the format was correct and all that but it was a process designed to hear all voices and I'll return to that in a moment to tell you some of the conclusions.

So, I've had time to reflect on my arrival here six years ago and I listened for those first ninety days. I also charged a university wide committee to take a look at where we are and give suggestions on where we should head. At that moment in time, it was after that committee gave me that report, I felt comfortable enough to declare my investiture that we would do some important things.

2.1

2.2

First, that we would think anew and rebuild this campus. In five years approaches, we are on our way and we sit and we know of a 2.4 billion dollar transformation of this campus and we have done it in a way, I think, it was most economical. We committed to grow the clients so we could stop saying no to Kentucky's best and brightest students and opened wide our doors to those individuals who show great promise and wanted to succeed in higher education.

Then we admitted fifty students a year to an Honors Program. This year we admitted five hundred and fifty to an Honors College. We have some five hundred National Merit Scholars admitted over the last few years. The number I'm most proud of is that we've increased the number of undergraduate degrees we award every year by some eight hundred

compared to that column. And you can attribute forty percent of those degrees to low income individuals, many of whom are from underrepresented minority groups. We said that we were committed to comprehensively supporting our faculty and staff and because of your hard work we've been able to have salary plans in the last five consecutive years and two years ago we, leading our community, raised our minimum wage to \$10.00 an hour at the University of Kentucky.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

We said that we would make a commitment to be more diverse and inclusive and we have. The Provost is going to share with you data that looks at our team plan for 15-16 but again sorry for 15-16, but again, I want to put some of this in context. If you look across the state of Kentucky and look at the number of degrees that have been awarded to underrepresented minorities, nearly 30% of that growth can be attributed to the University of Kentucky.

So, I think all of these position us well for the future. But I want to say we live in a time of disruptions and well talk about some of those in moment and our time of acceleration of those disruptions. We certainly see them in the services

and the way we interact using social media, purchases we make, you know it's happening in every enterprise. We read this weekend that CVS is now going to buy F.A. Insurance. Why? They are responding to Amazon and it is paying licensing in twelve states for pharmaceuticals and is looking to integrate their entire healthcare system.

2.

2.1

2.2

It's that kind of change and the readings that I gave for those who considered before we had our discussion, one was on trends in higher education for that chronicle getting head. The other was a chapter out of Freedman's book Thank you for being Late, talking about converting artificial intelligence in to intelligent assistance. And you certainly saw examples of disruptions in education. So with that I want to turn it over to Provost Tracy and Vice President Monday.

PROVOST TRACY: Thank you President

Capilouto. Can you hear me in the back? Gail? All right. And to those of you watching my live stream as well. I'll try to stay in somewhat of a similar place but I'm pretty bad about moving around so for the camera man I apologize ahead of time. All right. I want to talk to you for a few minutes about the strategic plan and the success and the

progress that you have made because you the faculty, along with our staff, are the people who have made the successes and the progress over the last couple of years.

2.2

So, I walk through the matrix of all five areas of the strategic plan. I won't spend tons of time on them but I want to give you some key highlights and some areas in which we've done very well, some areas that we still have a ways to go and that were working on.

As the President and I discussed, if you accomplish your strategic plan in the first two years, you either weren't very strategic or you weren't very aspirational and so I want to present to you, again, some successes and some works in progress. A lot of numbers here but I want to walk you through a couple of those. You know we have a goal of 90% first to second year retention and 70% six year graduation rates.

So I want to give you some ideas of where were moving in that. So, a baseline was 82.2% and you see we were pretty stagnant for a couple of years but this fall we came back at 83.4, now adjusted to 83.3%. That 1.7 or 1.6% move is a significant move in one year. It means that there was a lot of good

hard work done to move that number. It's not at 90, but it's making that trajectory toward 90 so a significant change; however, the second year and third year retention rates have not started moving yet because, you know, those are a follow through, but that also means that we have to double our efforts in those second and third years to help them persist and help them go on to that six year graduation rate.

2.

2.1

2.2

Because if we don't increase those numbers, that six year graduation rate will not move. So we've got to have them coming through the educational process, not only the first year but the second year, third year, and then eventually the fourth year. The second thing I want to highlight are the graduation rates and I'm going to stick with the six year because that's the number that we talk about the most, but Ill give you some reference back to the four year and why I am excited about where these numbers are moving.

So, our baseline was 60.2 and this year we came back at 64.6. That's almost a five percentage point movement. That's a significant movement in six year graduation rate. You can predict that was coming because you see that here our baseline was 38.5 and

you can sort of move two years ahead from the four year to the six year and you've got a pretty good handle on where its going and you can see then that that 64.6 is based on a 40.4, four year graduation rate and so we expect some additional movement coming over the next year, but 44.2 and 44.8 means that we've got to make some improvements to move it beyond next year, to get to that special place, that 70% six year graduation of which there are only about 150-200 of the 3500 colleges and universities in the United States that have a 70% six year graduation rate.

1.3

2.2

So, we're getting there but not quite there yet. So both those numbers have moved positively and somewhat significantly, but we still have some challenges particularly related to underrepresented minorities, first gen and Pell recipients. You see the baseline was 16.7 and we came back at 15.2, that's a gap. So that number, we want it to go down and its only gone down about a percentage point, or percentage point and a half but in fact you see it went its 16.7, 23.5, 13 and then back up to 15. Our goal is 9.8. We've got work to do.

I'll also tell you that if we were to close that gap, if we were to close that gap of graduation

rates for underrepresented minorities, we would be at 70%. It is an imperative that we must achieve that closure of that gap for all of those reasons. For the moral reasons, the reasons for our students, the financial reasons for them but also for our success. First generation, the numbers, again, are bouncing. They're bouncing up just a little bit and the same for Pell recipients. So we have some work to do. We're trying to consolidate offices.

2.

2.2

We had a number of smaller shops working on these issues. Now we're bringing them together and scaling them. A shop that deals with fifty students is great and they have great success but what about the other 3-400 in those categories and how do we help them as well?

So we've got some work to do there, but were taking steps to bring that together and scale those great things that we do to a larger number of students. Any good university that has a research enterprise also has a very strong graduate education enterprise. The two go hand in hand. You know that as well or better than I do.

So, how do we measure ourselves with respect to graduate education? Well, the first thing that were looking at is doctoral program selectivity.

Our baseline is 25%. We want that number to go lower. That's one you want to go down but its creeping up just a little bit into the low 30s or around 30. So we've got some work to do. We've got some work to do in recruiting graduate students and we have some work to do in terms of how we bring in those great students and wed be more selective. An area that we've got to make some progress on and we haven't made the progress yet, but we need to.

Graduate degrees were awarded fundamentally the same.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

It's bouncing around somewhere in the 1500s.

Our goal is 1639. Again, that goes with selectivity and ways that we get those students through. We've got to think about the retention rates of graduate students. So we've got some work to do in that area to get a higher retention rate for graduate students. With respect to diversity of graduate enrollment for African Americans or blacks you can see were staying pretty much constant. So we've got to work on our recruitment of underrepresented minorities, in particularly black students because our goal is 7.7% and we haven't moved that number.

So we've got, again work to do in that area. We

In fact we have exceeded

are making some strides.

our goal in Hispanic/latino students from 2.6% to 2.9% in the most recent reporting year. That's great but that doesn't mean we're going to stop. We can continue to work on that and in fact if you look at students graduating from high school today, the number of high school graduates continues to decline. It will decline through 2025 and then have a slight uptake for a couple of years and then go back down, head back down again. You can tell that from the birth rates. It's set, right 18 years determines when — you're high school graduation rates.

1.3

2.2

The only segment of the U.S. population that is growing and growing significantly in terms of high school graduation numbers is Hispanic/latino.

African Americans are staying pretty constant, maybe just a little bounce and whites going down. So we've got to make sure that we have the support for these students to make them feel like they belong and they engage and they feel welcome at the University of Kentucky because we want them to succeed.

The next is diversity and inclusivity and some of the data are just coming in but I'm going to walk you through what we have. There's a success story

that the president hinted at just a little bit earlier. There's a tremendous success story. In Kentucky, the percentage of the population that is an underrepresented minority is about 14%. At the University of Kentucky this year it was 16.6%. So, we are exceeding the state average. The same is true of African Americans.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

The state average is about 7.5% and were at over 10%. In fact there are only two flagship universities, public universities in the southeast whose university representation of underrepresented minorities and blacks is above the state average, us and West Virginia. The rest of them in the south, they are at to ½ of their state population. I think that shows our commitment to diversity on this campus and we have doubled the number of underrepresented students on this campus in the last six to seven years.

For graduation rate in the bachelors, for underrepresented minorities, our goal is 60, were at 51.9. That's just the opposite number to get at. Its just it got flipped around but at the masters level were at 67.4. The goal is 78. We're not there and, in fact, it's kind of bouncing a little bit lower, but making some significant progress at the

doctoral level. At the doctoral level the baseline was 45.5%, were at 63.6%. So an area we're making some progress but we've got others areas where were not. And we need to redouble our efforts in those areas where we have more progress to be made. In terms of faculty, you see women, the data are still coming in but we are staying pretty constant. Our goal is 48.2.

2.1

2.2

For African American, black and latino again numbers are staying pretty constant so we've got some work to do there. In terms of the number of women in executive roles and point of executives, again close to the 50% which is our goal and you can see the numbers with respect to staff and African American, black and Hispanic/latino executives.

So, getting there, but we have to continue our efforts in terms of diversity inclusion on our campus both in terms of the students but also our faculty and staff. Research and scholarship, that's another one that has some success stories. Our NSF higher education research and development does a calculation of the total funding of the university. The baseline was at 328. Our goal was 364. We don't quite have the data yet because of how we choose the counting periods but you can see we've

moved up to 349 so were quickly approaching our goal in terms of Pell or research funding.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

So a success story and again the work of all of you in your success in getting grants and contracts. With respect to federal, a goal of 159 million. We started 142 and were almost there at 154.6. So, good progress on the research arena. Our ranking of doctoral programs, were still determining what that is. We found that the old rankings of doctoral programs, they don't do them anymore.

The standards we used to use, so we've had to find new ranking systems and were still working through that. So, I'll call that a work in progress. We also had a goal of increasing the productivity in terms of dollars of funding for square foot of research space. The goal being to get to \$300 per square foot and we've moved from 191 to 209. Again that matches with the increase in funding. Those two numbers are interrelated and lastly a case of licenses. They've stayed pretty constant. We haven't had a huge increase although I understand that the numbers are starting to go up and were having some good months in the past few quarters, our license income has bounced a bit but is running around 3 million dollars.

I will tell you that there was a pretty large settlement here in FY 15-16. I have some scars to prove it but we won't talk about that right now but there was a settlement in there for about 3 million dollars. There was a back license royalty income that was owed to us so that's why you see that spike in one year. It should've been more spread out, but that's — were having good success there in terms of research and scholarship and the last one is community engagement.

2.

2.1

2.2

This is one that is so important to us as a land grant institution but it's also hard to measure.

How do you measure engagement in a community and in particularly hard to measure is impact. What is the difference that you're making in communities and so we had a baseline 25+. We gave up after counting 25 different reporting structures in engagement. We're down to 3. So we're getting much more focused efforts. We're getting those together. We're also bringing together all those databases because I'm still not sure how many total databases were out there in spreadsheets, access databases, file maker pro, whatever it might be to actually measure what were doing.

So that's having some real progress there. As

far as faculty and staff to their outreach, baseline was 14, were steadily moving up with faculty up to 17.4 working toward that 25.8% goal. We want more engagement in the communities because again as a land grant institution our job is to transmit and translate our discoveries working with communities on its problems that are important to communities to develop solutions together. Staff teaching, we've got some work to do. It's staying pretty constant as well as opportunities for students although I would argue that we have a good number of opportunities for students to participate.

1.3

2.2

Katherine is shaking her head because she's part of that. There are a number of opportunities, but partnerships is an interesting one. We didn't know how many we had. We started counting. 7082 was the number that we've come up with so far. Now you can argue how big of a partnership, how small of a partnership, there are multiple ways to look at those but I can tell you that we are in the communities and we have partnerships with the communities whether it's setting from the College of Agriculture or to the work in the Next Generation Leadership Academy from the College of Education to the work that Engineering does and the Lean program.

There are all kinds of engagements with the community and a tremendous amount of work.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So we're working on a tool to capture that. I think, you know, part of this community engagement has been learning what we do and beginning to understand it in the scope and the breadth. So my message to you with this is that were making good progress on several parts of the strategic plan. We have some others where we have a little bit of work to go, but were getting there. So I'll take a pause for a minute before we come to the next section that Eric and I are going to do together and ask if you have any question and bare with me because I will repeat the question for those who are online and for the live streaming. Okay. In the back.

MS. O'BRYAN: Gail O'Bryan, College of Engineering. I was wondering in your first to second year retention rates, have you guys addressed the change in the census date that caused a different past?

PROVOST TRACY: So the question is we have changed our census date to actually be more consistent with other universities in terms of measuring first to second year retention and have we adjusted that, and we have. So we've gone back and

fixed all the others so that it all matches up and were comparing apples to apples, but were now more in line with tradi— with most universities going to that October 15th for a census date. Trustee Grossman?

1.3

2.2

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: I think I mentioned this to you at the board meeting but a lot of these numbers would be a lot more useful if we knew what the end was for each line. So for example, you know the number of African American faculty or the number of women faculty, the number of African American graduates or underrepresented graduate students. What kind of numbers are we talking about here?

PROVOST TRACY: So, I don't remember all of those off the top of my head. G.T. could probably help me with the number of faculty but for instance in terms of a freshman class roughly 500 of the 5000 or 4900 are African American students. If you take 14% of that were talking — or 16% you're talking about 1500, 1400 or, you know, somewhere — I'm sorry 8 or 900 total underrepresented minorities in a class. As far as faculty there are what? How many underrepresented minority faculty roughly?

MR. LINEBERRY: Roughly 100.

PROVOST TRACY: Roughly 100 out of 2700.

MR. LINEBERRY: 2800. 1 So we --2 PROVOST TRACY: 2800 faculty. 3 that is a fair point and we'll work to get those end 4 numbers in for you. For instance in the gap if it 5 bounces 1% point that's about 8 students. 6 TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Which gap? 7 PROVOST TRACY: The graduation gap or the 8 retention gaps that's about 8 students change. 9 a small number but every student is important. 10 TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Every student is 11 important but every student is an individual as well 12 and so its one year there might be -- you're going 1.3 to see large bounces from year to year --14 PROVOST TRACY: Because of small numbers. 15 TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: When that is that 16 small. 17 PROVOST TRACY: Correct. 18 TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: That's kind of what I 19 wanted to get at this because some of these numbers 20 where were seeing large bounces, I mean, you 21 categorized some of those in ways that I would not 2.2 have categorized those in terms of going up and 23 going down. 24 PROVOST TRACY: I would rather under --

over -- under-promise and over-deliver and I want to

25

be fair. I don't want to, you know, again I don't want to overstate something and say it's rosier than it is. We've got work to do. Jen?

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MS. BIRD-POLLAN: Jennifer Bird-Pollan,
College of Law. I was just wondering if you could
tell us where the baseline numbers comes from and
also how -- I know you probably told us a couple of
years ago but how we decided what the goals were for
kind of each thing.

PROVOST TRACY: So the baseline number —
the question is where did the baseline numbers come
from for many of these statistics? And so we had to
take a snapshot in time as to when the strategic
plan was starting. Now remembering that some of
those, because of what they are, may have fallen
slightly across different calendar years so one
might have started in 14 for instance and one in 15
because some are dependent on time but it was just
taken from our numbers, our success at that point in
time depending on which measure it was.

We didn't try to choose oh this makes us look
better or this makes us look worse but how they fall
in terms of how you receive that data and report it.
So that's how they came from. Now where do the
goals come from? We were aspirational and tried to

say -- we looked at the data and projected out given our current success rates.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

Now if you would've done that for retention you would've said retention would be 82%. We said were not happy with that so were going to be aspirational and try to be one of those 150-200 institutions, but for many of those they were based on what we thought our trajectory would be. Dr. Cassis, for instance, used 1.9% growth for the research dollars because that's what we had been growing at for several years and it looks like were going to exceed that.

So most of them were taken from calculations of extrapolation of trajectories but some of them we weren't happy with our trajectory and so we picked a more aspirational one. Two more questions. I'll go here and then back.

MS. BIRD-POLLAN: One other follow-up questions which was about the graduation education, whether you included -- I think you separated out what you called doctoral candidates but whether you included professional schools in there.

PROVOST TRACY: The question is whether the doctoral includes professional, it does not.

MS. BIRD-POLLAN: So did you have a slide on professional?

PROVOST TRACY: I didn't have a slide on professional in there. Yes?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. TAGAVI: Kaveh Tagavi, Engineering.

The question is will doctoral programs elected to be how is that defined and why the smaller number better than larger number.

So it's defined as the PROVOST TRACY: number of students that you give admission to as a percentage of the total number of applicants. think about undergraduate admissions, it's all the So, I'm sorry if I didn't repeat the question. It's how do you define selectivity and why is lower better. It's because you have more applicants and you take the same number with more applicants or you take fewer of the number of applicants you have. Okay. We're going to now switch gears for just a minute and Dr. Monday and I are going to tag team talk with you a little bit about our financial over the time and let you know a little bit of where we are and also show you some projections of what may be, we don't know, but of different scenarios.

So, I want to talk just a little bit about the financial challenge ahead. We're going to give you the operating budget history for the last six years,

I believe it is that were going to talk about, but also some projections for the future. What do we see happening for the next five years if nothing changes? And then a couple of scenarios if things did change what might they look like. So let's talk about a little bit about those disruptions the president alluded to those just a minute, just a few minutes ago but there is a great emphasis now on the focus of net price.

1.3

2.1

2.2

Net price is your sticker minus any scholarships that you get from institutional money or philanthropy money but its the net price and then how does that compare to an outcome of a job. So people are looking at what we call the RLR or Return on Investment and that is how much salary am I going to make based on the money that I invested. Not saying that's our measure but that's what the public is beginning to measure us by.

You see a lot of discussion around return on investment. How much did I put in and what am I getting out? Part of that is how much debt am I taking on. There's a lot of expectations of lifelong learning. I quote some numbers from the Bureau of Labor Statistics who says that the current graduates from college by age thirty-seven will have

on average fifteen different jobs. Think about that just a minute. Fifteen different jobs, and I have — I have two children but I have a twenty-eight year old who is on his 7th job and I mean 7th unique job and only one of those pairs has been at the same company/institution.

2.2

And it turns out he's pretty average, in many ways -- I think he's above average but I wont speak to -- so its happening. They are changing jobs constantly and they are going to be performing jobs in ten years that haven't even been invented yet. That's the reality. Student debt levels, I alluded to just a moment ago, what is acceptable debt? You know you hear the outrageous they had \$200,000.00 in debt, they had \$100,000.00.

You look at the variance or I see Dr. Woods standard deviation around that and its petty huge, but the average debt is running around \$30,000.00.

Is that the right amount of debt? Probably not.

It's probably a little high, but they're really focusing on the debt and particularly problematic is debt but no degree. That student who comes here and takes on five, ten, fifteen, twenty thousand dollars of debt and leaves without a degree. That is a very — very difficult situation and one that we

need to be prepared to address. I'll also say with respect to lifelong learning, there are five hundred eighty thousand people in the state of Kentucky who have some college but have not completed it. Think about that a minute, five hundred eighty thousand people who have some college but haven't completed it. Even if you could capture 10% of those people it would make a difference in Kentucky and I'm sure there are at least 10% who want to, but how do we provide them the access to do that?

1.3

2.1

2.2

Changing demographics, I've spoken about the decrease in number of high school graduates. It's a reality. We all have fewer kids, that's what happened. Shifting demographics among racial and ethnic groups. I've also talked about that and the non-completers, that five hundred and eighty thousand students that are out there.

Accountability, we have performance funding.

Ours is a little unique in that its performance

funding to get to keep your money. In many states

its to get more money, but in Kentucky it's to get

to keep our money. Vice President Monday will tell

you about the huge windfall we got from performance

based funding in a few minutes so I won't steal his

thunder but the state fiscal environment also won.

The state has some issues that they're going to have to deal with. They're serious issues and they matter; pensions, medicaid expansion, probably half a billion dollars in medicaid expansion alone, and the revenue shortfall. You saw that the state cut all state agencies by 17.4% with a midyear cut just about a month ago. So those are the kinds of situations that were living in right now.

2.

2.2

So, what can we control? What do we have control over? Well, we can control the optimal balance between investments and operations, faculty and staff both numbers and compensation and how we balance that with academic programs, student services, research, all of that with capital. How do we make that balance? What's the right debt load do we take on? What are the right investments? What is the right raise level? How many faculty? How many staff? How much do we invest in research of our own money? All those.

Look at our educational research and engagement service missions enrollment, two things you can control is class size and residency mix. Out of state students pay on average over double what instate students do or resident students. What's the sticker price and the net price? How much do we

give in scholarships? So, what's the net that we collect? Out of state student could have a sticker price of \$25,000 but might pay somewhat less than that like instate students, whats that right balance? And then productivity across all facets of the institution, are we optimally aligned and then lastly self generated revenues.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Philanthropy, the Deans participated this morning in four hours of professional development around philanthropy and we'll be making some major announcements in that regard but also investment income. Dr. Monday has been very successful in investing our overnight reserves and getting additional interest on overnight reserves. didn't have to make any more money but we got more interest off those overnight investments. Lastly is entrepreneurial activities, new degree programs, credentials, those completers, all those opportunities are there to help bring in more So I'll turn it over to Dr. Monday to revenues. talk about some of the financial history.

VICE PRESIDENT MONDAY: Thank you Tim.

Good afternoon. What I want to do is talk about our enterprise. When you think about that 3.7 billion dollar budget, let's break that down into some

smaller components. Then we're going to look at how we built the budget for this fiscal year, fiscal year 18, the year that we are in, compared to fiscal year 17. So that will be the second item. Then the third item is were going to look at some scenarios and well look at whats the challenge ahead if we continue to experience what we have experienced the last six years, what do the next five years look like? And then if theres some adjustments to what the experience has been as we think about the future, what may occur. So this is scenario planning. We start with the actual and then well look at some scenarios.

2.

2.1

2.2

The goal is to have a dialogue, to get some feedback as we think about our planning processes for our financial plan over the next few years. So when we think about the University of Kentucky and we think about this entire enterprise and I'm going to go from the largest component as it relates to dollar amount of the budget, and this is the fiscal year 18 budget. The first component, the largest is U of K Healthcare at 1.6 billion. then, let's just go all the way through it so we're going to go from left to right. 1.6 billion dollars is in U of K Healthcare. The next, the first one in blue is 466

million, that is what we expect to collect in this fiscal year in tuition. The next number 416 million dollars of what we call other designated. That's driven, the largest component of that, is 204 million dollars at are clinical enterprise. 386 million in our restricted, that's a gifts, grants, and contracts.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

Our grant revenue, our gifts that come into philanthropy, various contracts and then we have 277 million budgeted in fiscal year 18 in fund balances. Those are funds that we are carrying over from a previous year to use for one-time expenditures; faculty start up and so on. 267 million, so the second blue number, is 267 million dollars. That did increase, as Tim referenced, by a little more than \$60,000 this year based on the performance funding formula. That's how much we received in state funds, but of that amount, of the 267 million, 86 million of that 267 is in our mandated programs. The largest to which See, over 60, is driven to our land grant mission to College of Ag, Food and Environment.

211 million on the auxiliaries, that's being driven, the largest auxiliary we have is the athletics department. 97 million, and then you see

other undesignated of 48 million. Those are charges that we charge the auxiliaries for services. That's that investment income that were able to generate as an institution and so what we say here is what really can be managed aggressively? Of course the entire enterprise were managing, but many of these things are tubs on their own lot. The UK Healthcare generates their revenues. They pay for all their expenditures, all of their capital, so to the auxiliaries. So do the restricted funds. We bring the restricted funds in, you generate a restricted fund on a grant or contract, we have to use that, a portion of that grant or contract.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So what's centrally available for us to manage? It really is things in blue. When we look at that budget from one year to the next, and we're going to walk through how we built it for this fiscal year 18 compared to 17 in just a moment. Those are the three buckets of money that we have the ability to most manage aggressively. All right. So how do we do that? How do we go and look at how much more or how much less? What's that direct student impact we call it the DSI. How much for how much less do we have? So let me just walk you through these rows and columns here. So this is last fiscal year, fiscal

year 17, this fiscal year 18 and we see the change. The first line, first row State Appropriations, you see that went up. That's a .1, we're rounding up that \$60,000. There's at 60000 right there.

Tuition, moving up 18.4 million dollars.

2.

2.2

Those numbers right there I see people straining it might be hard to read, 447 to 466, and then we have that other category we just talked about 39 to 43 or an increase of 4.4. There's a little rounding in this. that gets you to 777 million on that previous slide was 781, same number. So we look at that and we say okay there's 22.7 million more available and that tuition number is really what generated, what grew and that was related to a price change.

Our class size is not materially larger it's actually a little bit smaller as it relates to the Freshman Class. Overall enrollment is stable. So that's being driven by price. So we have 22.7 million dollars available. What are the expenses necessary to generate that? Or what does it take to turn the lights on, if you will? So, student aid 8.9 million dollars. So about 5 years ago the University made the decision to put in a new student aid program, scholarship program for our students.

This will be the final year for that.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So you see end 8.9 million-dollar number, we would expect that number to be smaller next year. So the last year of that four year implementation. You make a decision to do student aid programs, obviously goes freshman, sophomore, junior, senior so it's a 4 year implementation cycle. Faculty and staff pay: We did a two and a half percent merit pool. We also had to annualize what we did in fiscal year 17. You may remember that was initiated on September 1 so we only had 10 months of funding in 17. We had to analyze that in 18 + a two and a half percent pool. Now it's 12.8 million.

We had a fixed cost of 6 million dollars. That number is around what it is almost every year.

That's what it takes just to turn the lights on, utility bills, other normal and regular expenditures. You think about a 3.7 billion dollar enterprise or if we just think about this 780, it's less than 1%. It's fairly reasonable. And then we get to strategic Investments. Strategic Investments were 3.3 million and those are funds that the Provost uses to in-cent and to reward the colleges for higher levels of — of retention and graduation rate and so on.

So the sum there was 31 million of need and we had about 22.7 million dollars of available Revenue. So there was a gap. The gap was 8.3 million dollars. So how did we balance, how did we close that Gap? So four strategies: Our miscellaneous fringe benefits right, workers' comp, all those fringe benefits that we have at a miscellaneous rate, we over collected. Which means in the next year you get to reduce your rate. So we had a savings of 2.6 million dollars. We had \$300,000 by moving the alumni association to self generating.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

Our energy conservation program, our utility program that Dr. or excuse me Mary Vossovich is running a saving another 1.1 million in fiscal year 18 and Tim referenced some enhanced investment strategies where we looked at some of our operating cash and moving those funds to a quasi endowment and allowing us to invest that in the endowment and get it larger return than the 10 or 20 or 30 basis points we were getting on overnight. That's substantial this year 4.3 million dollars.

These are all recurring numbers. These aren't one time. These are recurring expenditures. These are recurring revenues to solve it. So that is how we solved the budget going from 17 to 18. If we

think about this fiscal year, okay? A lot of numbers on here. So let me just walk you through and let's get to the real take away. It's in that red oval. So what this says is how have we solved the budget over the last 6 years? We've had these funding gaps. That's 22 million dollars in fiscal year 13. 17 million dollars in fiscal year 14. You see at 8, 2, 11, that 8.3 we just talked about.

2.

2.2

So what was the gap over the last 6 years from a cumulative standpoint? 70 million dollars. That was the gap that we were able to solve. And if what we talked about is down here, down below, how did we solve that gap? So in the first two years on this sheet 13 and 14 there was a base budget reduction across all institutional units.

The administrative units took a larger cut, the academic units also did take a cut as well. It averaged 3.74% in 13. It averaged 2.7% in 14 for the last four years, which I think we all know, there's not been any budget reductions, any cuts across the academic and administrative enterprise. We funded all of the needs, these gaps, through various strategies. We call most of those efficiencies and effectiveness; How we invest our money, How we pay our bills, How we've looked at debt Service, How we

allocated different cost; How we're funding large expenditures.

2.

2.1

2.2

We did have some vertical cuts to the College of Medicine and the College of Ag, food, and the Environment in the fiscal year 17 that were within that solution a couple million dollars. But the takeaway is over the last 6 years only two base budget adjustments or not, we did a pay raise by the way every single one of these years and that's in this sheet too, 2%, 5%, 2%, 3.5%, 2%, 2.5%. That averages out to be 2.8%.

We sent money to the colleges every single year in incentive funding. That sums and then averages to 4.3 million dollars a year and then you see some fairly, we'll say aggressive tuition and fee increases that averaged 4% per resident and 6.7% for non-resident. That's per year on average. So now let's look forward. So this is the previous, this is the minus six. What if everything remained the same for the next five? What does that mean for us? So we are looking at our past decisions, we're assuming stability. What does it mean?

So what we did was -- here are the assumptions:

a 4% tuition increase per year for the next five

years on resident, 6.7% on non-resident, 4900

freshman, that's what we've averaged in a freshman class the last six years. We also put in on the last six years we've averaged a budget reduction per year from our state of 2.1%. So we modeled that the next five. There's the 2.8% faculty and staff pay raise, 4.3 million incentive funds and a 4% increase in utility cost and maintenance and operations, keeping the lights on if you will. So we just had a gap of 70 million over the last six. If we look to the next five, it's an 80 million dollar gap. So we've got to do differently.

2.

2.1

2.2

We've used a lot of the low-hanging fruit. We've done a lot of those e and e. We've looked at what other institutions have done. So how do we look at those next five years? So there's an 80 million dollar gap. So now there's two scenarios that I want to share with you beyond this one. One is one of some of these things that are being talked about, some of these disruptions or challenges that Tim opened with, what if those are not possible? So one scenario Tim talked about debt, talked about price, talked about net price, talked about the word value in that equation. What if we only had modest tuition increases, a table class size and no change in state funding? So what's the meaning of moving

from that 4 and 6.7 to 2% for a resident on tuition and 4% on a non-resident? We kept the class size the same at 4900 and no change of a state Appropriations.

2.

2.1

2.2

So the state Appropriations remain the same for the next five years. The gap then grows to 95 million. 70 million the last six, 80 million and that first base line and now we're at 95. Let me show you one more. What if that cut of 17.4% that was pushed out to the agencies in the budget this year, to the state agencies, what if that comes to higher education and comes to us? So we're still at 2 and 4% on tuition increases, same freshman class, we get a 17.4% budget reduction in fiscal year 19.

If we don't give any faculty and staff raises in 19 because we just got this budget cut, but then we reset that 2.8% after, no investment in the colleges but we move to 4.3 and this success of years, well then the gap then, as you may expect, grows to 123 million.

So these are scenarios. They're planning scenarios just to understand what are those rails, what are we talking about here? What are the degrees of freedom? What are the choices and adjustments we may need to make? And then what we

do is of course we run various sensitivity analysis to look at what can we do. This is a break-even budget, all right? So we are breaking even. These are independent of one another so you can stack them, but what happens, what happens if we moved enrollment by 400? So we brought our freshman class in at let's say 5300 and then increased it 100 each year thereafter. Let's just look at 19.

2.

2.2

Well, the gap on the base line is right here let's call that -24 it goes to about -18. So it closes that gap. It's moving that gap in a positive direction. You look at things like enrollment, state funding, salary increases, tuition rates, so this is more illustrative for the discussion, but these are the kind of scenarios and the kind of strategies that we go through as we think about the budget and as we think about a multi-year, the next five year financial plan. Mr. president do you want us to take a few questions?

PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: Take a few questions. I want to leave time in the end. Any questions about the budget?

VICE PRESIDENT MONDAY: Any questions about the budget? The president is going to come back up to address some other matters in just a moment.

Yes, ma'am?

2.1

2.2

MS.O'BRYAN: Gail O'Bryan, College of Engineering. The money that Matt Bevin held out of our last budget, is that in your calculations at all? That money coming back, and where?

VICE PRESIDENT MONDAY: Yes, ma'am. That was part of the state appropriation and so those — you're relating to the — the question, I'm sorry, was about Matt Bevin, was the question what was held out of our last budget. The answer to that question is that case has been resolved and those funds have come back to the institution and are part of that budget. They are in the state appropriation component. Yes, ma'am.

MR. TAGAVI: I have a question -- SECRETARY BROTHERS: Name please?

MR. TAGAVI: Kaveh Tagavi, Engineering.

I have a question regarding net pricing. I think
that is a budget question. I may be concerned that
if people decide all the professional degrees that
have different tuition. So the rest of the degrees,
or majors have the same tuition, but clearly whats
needed is to get out of debt over their lifetime.
There are vast majors. There is sciences, there is
art, so I think we might be falling into two traps.

One if you give credits to such indicator then people are going to start requesting that perhaps two majors with less income afterwards the tuition should be different so engineering should charge more than — chemistry more than physics —whatever it is more than history or english.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So that's one which I don't think I would like that and two we might fall into the trap like the Governor who is going to say look, look at all these net pricing of these majors that are — to companies to sciences and engineering and so therefore why are we even teaching your majors —

VICE PRESIDENT MONDAY: Sure. question is about net tuition and the same tuition for degrees, undergraduate degrees that should it go up or down and would that be problematic if some degrees were priced differently. Your point is I would argue that were already there because fair. we have fees. So the fees on an english major are the mandatory fees. The fees for an engineering major in your college are per credit hour. So we're actually already at pricing by degree in terms of a tuition price ,a degree we're already there. CPE, our Council on Postsecondary Education sets the standard and says that undergraduates must be

charged the same all across undergraduate colleges but you can do fees but they also approve fees on top of that.

2.

2.1

2.2

So we're limited somewhat in what we can do because that professional and doctoral are not included in that and so are professional degrees are priced very differently than are the undergraduate degrees but your points well taken but we're actually already there with the business has a credit hour charge for every single credit hour and Engineering has a credit hour charge, it's just not tuition, it's fees.

MR. TAGAVI: So past mistakes and future mistakes.

VICE PRESIDENT MONDAY: I saw a bunch —

MR. CROSS: Al Cross, Communications,

this is for Eric. When the governor asked for a

17.4% reduction among agencies that was based on a

shortfall estimate that has since been lowered. So

the cut did not have to be as much, but given the

financial situation of the state it's plausible that

we could be looking at a reduction. Is it your

all's expectations that we have that much of a

separate battle to fight to maintain the level of

State funding in the next session?

VICE PRESIDENT MONDAY: We always have to tell our -- the question was about the 17.4% cut to the agencies was based on shortfall and shortfall has now been reduced and the question then went on to suggest and ask what do we think is the prognosis of the upcoming session. Is that fair?

MR. CROSS: Fair enough.

2.

2.1

2.2

PROVOST MONDAY: We are going to -- the state has very, very distinctive needs especially centered around pension and that number is not known yet. And so that will -- the short fall within this fiscal year, as your question stated, was around 200. What was expected at one time now is in the mid 1s so let's call it 150 for discussion.

The pension need could be another 0 and so how that then cascades with all the different needs that the Commonwealth has, and what it may impact the University's is a number we don't know yet, but we are going to work very hard to continue to tell our story and the value of the university and the value of the economic development in the state in graduates.

MS. BLONDER: Lee Blonder, Medicine. Do you anticipate increase in the universities contribution to employees benefits and if so is that

factored into the model or the scenarios you've just given?

VICE PRESIDENT MONDAY: The question is does the University anticipate a contribution or additional contribution in the University benefits.

MS. BLONDER: Increases.

2.2

VICE PRESIDENT MONDAY: In benefits increases. So when we Model A 2.8 percent salary increase, that is inclusive of benefits related to that increase. So if we grow, if our rate structure, if our needs structure, if our expense structure grows beyond that, then that would be a gap, but I think many of us, maybe all of us, I hope, are aware that over the last many years the university has funded all of the benefits increases for the vast majority of our employees, specifically were talking about healthcare. And so that would be our intention to continue that as best we can. Yes, ma'am.

MR. WOODS: Henry Woods, College of Law.

I had a question. I wanted to figure how much of
the model involved discloser of the overall market
and if its a significant chunk what kind of
assumptions are you making about the market and
finding a job?

1 2

VICE PRESIDENT MONDAY: The questions is whats the assumption related to the market. Let me ask you a follow up. Are you talking about the market as related to investment income or the market as it relates to student enrollment, what factors?

MR. WOODS: Investment income and I don't know, I don't know how much or if any of the endowment for example is used in the models and some of the cost saving measures for the better investment and I just wonder if time when you thought it may be at a high, what are we thinking about where it's going, how much risk is at the university?

VICE PRESIDENT MONDAY: Sure. A couple components of that. So our endowment at the University of Kentucky is 1.4 billion dollars market value give or take a few million today. And so we have a hybrid spending policy. So that hybrid spending policy accounts for trailing inflation as well as marketing conditions. So we have some opportunity to maneuver based on that. If we look at the amount of our budget, that 3.7 billion dollar enterprise that comes from the payout from that endowment on an average year is 1.4 or 1.5%. So in this conversation that's a good thing. As we think

about the long term vitality of the institution, we have to move that number up. Right? At a billion or more, we should be at 3,5,7,10 or more as a percent.

2.2

That's an opportunity for the university to move a 2, 2.5 billion dollar endowment that's providing more resources. As it relates specifically to the question about moving some from operating where we were getting 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, basis points to the endowment, it's a long-term strategy. So the ability to respond to that, were not overweighted or over concerned in some type of flexibility.

We're looking at the similar investment profile that you would have in endowment and how were diversified in weight. I'd be happy to talk to you more offline. Dr. Jones, Davy?

DR. JONES: Davy Jones, Toxicology. Some of the -- and remember way, way back when I don't think it was -- when there are faculty lines and a department needs to hire another person and the Chair goes to the Dean and argues another line out of the Dean and then the Dean looked in there and goes Yeah, I can completely fund this faculty line out of, I guess, looked at the blue in his line, and so theres an impression that the tenure to tenure

track, the salaries for the line is secure. I don't think its that way now-a-days. What report, do they -- do all the salaries for the tenure to tenure track now, what proportion of that salary is blue or is not blue?

2.

2.1

2.2

VICE PRESIDENT MONDAY: So your question is about faculty lines and there used to be a faculty line sort of a notation the Governor had it in his desk drawer a listing of all the lines and so forth. That wasn't the case but that was made on the assumption that the state funding was greater than the cost of the faculty and the staff for that matter as well.

Yeah, I don't know if I can tell you proportions off the top of my head because I don't know the salaries, but I can tell you that that 267 million minus the 80 million does not cover the salaries of the faculty or the staff and so you've gotta take the whole budget, the tuition plus the state funding and all of those and that's where its dollars available for salaries. Or I call them available salary dollars.

There really don't exist lines any more but theres available dollars that you can -- or any dollars could be used for salaries. It just depends

on what you want to do for operating expenses and how you want to link between those. So it's how we use the budget all together to hire hopefully the right number of people at the right salaries plus have the right amounts available for operating expenses.

2.1

2.2

PROVOST TRACY: We're done. We want to move on or take one more?

VICE PRESIDENT MONDAY: One more question and then were going to move on to the President.

The lady in the back.

MS. ROWSHOWSKI: Rowshowski, College of Nursing. Have targets been set on the percent of out of state that we were looking for us to expect and then university and then has that number been pushed down to the colleges?

VICE PRESIDENT MONDAY: So the question, if I heard you right — I didn't hear everything you said but I think it was related to the percentage of non resident students versus residents and do we have some theoretical number and has that been pushed down to the colleges? So we first want to make sure that were welcoming and open to all qualified Kentucky students. Now they are decreasing in numbers but all qualified Kentucky

students are admitted to the University of Kentucky.

We don't exclude qualified Kentucky students for

non-resident.

2.

1.3

2.2

So that's one of the bigger answers to your question. We've been running about 37% non resident for the past few years. We have not applied that to an individual college. We've not said College of, you know, Engineering, you need 39% and the College of Pharmacy you need 25%. We haven't done that. We've taken it in a broader picture but we have increased that from about 20% about ten years ago to roughly 37, 35, 36, 37% today but we've not pushed that down individually but our doors are open widest for all qualified Kentucky students.

PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: Thank you Dr's

Monday and Tracy. So we wanted to share this

information with you to illustrate where we are and

I want to say clearly that I don't think the sky is

falling, but I think you'd all agree with me it is

important to prepare. Now I will also say that this

university from its 150 year history has responded

to changes and that's why we have sustained our

ascent and it is why, I believe, in the last few

years because of your hard work, our donations and

individuals who still wants to invest in the

university increased because over time I think we are the greatest force for change in the Commonwealth.

2.

2.1

2.2

Through ideas we ask questions today in the context of those we serve and I always start with our students and their families. The Provost mentioned to you that there is increased interest on that return in investment and I think there was a follow up question asking about what that depends on as it relates to your particular career.

So, I talked with our legislators and the concerns that they express to me that we may be too expensive and our debt was too great for students and all, I can always come back with a powerful response as we've done an incredible job at the University of Kentucky.

When you look at growth in higher education in the Commonwealth over the last ten years, four year institutions, we've gone from 120 to 128,000. The University of Kentucky's growth is 40,000. When people ask us about student debt I can tell them 50% of the students who graduate from the University of Kentucky have no debt and I can go on and on and on. Sometimes I realize I haven't been listening that closely to what really concerns them and what really

concerns them is the entire Commonwealth, the people they serve and I think that you can look at the opinion survey like the recent few and regardless of your political persuasion, there is great concern and a lack of optimism and confidence even in the face of some positive economic things.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So this value of this education is a question of data. You look at the ones that come out of Stanford. A group of investigators there look at return on investments, they can break it down by university too and you're looking at data over time. In 1940 the likelihood that your child would make more than you was 91%. By the 1970s that had decreased to about 61%. The 1990s it's down to 51%. Now thankfully when you talk to elected officials and parents it's this hope that my child is going to be better off than me and it raises a higher expectation of us.

That job represents more than a paycheck and more than a return on investment. You know it, it's dignity and respect and the ability to provide to others and pay your civic rent.

We all know from our data that people who graduate from the University of Kentucky and have good jobs here and have been helped, have higher

salaries and greater job security, but we also know that those skills that people need in the future, they're changing more rapidly. We've got to educate people for their first job and their future job.

And we know theres a greater emphasis when you talk to employers that yes we want those technical skills, but we need some soft skills. The ability to communicate, collaborate, be creative, find solutions, understand code.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

When you ask people about that you're expecting computer code but its a generic code and a moral code and we have to ask ourselves are we doing those things as well as we could? And that is the responsibility I think we have to meet at even greater levels. When you also listen to our elected officials who represent individuals across the Commonwealth, they want us to be the driver when it comes to workforce development and economic development.

So this is a staggering number to make. If the state of Kentucky had the educational attainment of the average you find across the United States, it is estimated that we would have one billion dollars more in tax revenue. That would solve every one of these problems. We can't look at it and say that's

just too steep and we can't do anything about it. If we live in an economy that's got a decline of high school graduates, if we know that as the provost pointed out there are over five hundred thousand individuals with some college degree — with some college credit, then we have a responsibility.

2.

2.1

2.2

It's that part of being a 21st century, land grant university. And what is the responsibility to those students who graduate and need additional skills? In saying we want them to be lifelong learners then we have a responsibility and an opportunity to be lifelong teachers.

So, I think, those are questions and challenges that we could answer yet and I believe at this moment in time that we don't get to choose. We should do what those who came before us did and that is respond. It's time for a new compact with the state of Kentucky to be that 21st century land grant university. We have the knowledge. We must prepare that next generation in new ways and we've got to be a driving force when it comes to economic development.

So I do not know how to do all of these thing and that's why we started these conversations with

the groups that I talked to you about earlier. So our Board of Trustees retreat, so we posted these readings that you've seen and we asked them — this was an all voices exercise. I think most people who participated enjoyed it. It's not that you get in one group and somebody dominates the conversation.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

You are paired off frequently and then you're broken into small groups. We asked them first to identify what they thought the disruptions were that we would face and then we said in the face of these, how do you or what could you pose as paradoxical questions? The example we gave everybody is gee you want to lose weight but you want to continue to eat ice cream.

So those are the kinds of questions. So I'll share with you some of the ones that they came up with. We teach critical thinking and develop the whole person for a lifetime of learning while at the same time we provide specific skills a graduate needs to get started on a career or retrained for a new career. How can we grow student enrollment, improve quality and get a dramatic change in the students we serve? You tell me that that population is much different than we taught 10 years ago. How do you serve both residential students, in

traditional learning environments, and online students through distance learning with high-quality education? How do we effectively balance core basic fundamental learning while at the same time encouraging and promoting lifelong learning? How can we take risks while following all the rules and regulations? How can we make education affordable and that effective quality of educational infrastructure and experience?

2.

2.1

2.2

So I'm not sure if these are the right questions. There may be those of you in the audience through discussions with your colleagues that could come up with additional questions, but, my intent is to do as I did when I arrived here six years ago it's just set up a process that we could have a conversation about these many issues that we face in our time. I don't know the best way to do them.

I'll certainly be working with Katherine

McCormick to find the best ways so that we can have
a discussion that engages as many people as
possible. I want to close with a thank you. Some
of the numbers that have moved here may seem small
but their magnitude is much greater. You can go
from a 60% graduation rate to a 65 graduation rate
and that takes everybody and every unit because

these students it takes micro interventions to have a macro effect and you could say the same thing about the improvements in our research funding.

2.1

2.2

And every day my list of Heroes at this
University grows. The story that people share with
me, the parents and students about what people do
usually when nobody is looking, nobody is looking
for credit, to make sure we're successful both on
and off this campus are deeply rooted. So I thank
you and I want to open the floor for questions.
Yes.

MR. MILLER: Chris Miller, Arts and Sciences. About three years ago there was a significant movement towards renovating aging buildings in central campus. It seems to have stalled for reasons that seem obvious but I wondered what the prospects with that moving forward are at this point.

PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: Sure. First of all I want to say about the new construction, we are in a — if you pause and take a look at it we did it in a way that we thought we could finance it without taking on a lot of debt. If you look at our College of Business, 100% philanthropy. We knew we could raise that money, that's why we chose to do it

first. We did our Jacobs Academic Science building the same way. We had a lead donor there that was U of K Athletics. If you look at our research buildings coming out of the ground, the state is paying for half of that, our contributions will be spread out over time so that as we grow our research improvs we can use those terms and philanthropy can pay for that. If you look at our new student center going up, we did that on existing fees, student ad and others that would be a burden to students.

2.1

2.2

So then we wanted to focus on the story of core of campus. We asked -- Eric, what was it? \$250 million dollars?

VICE PRESIDENT MONDAY: Yes

PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: From the State of
Kentucky. We were going to put up 125 and they
would put up 125. The budget that came back shrunk
that to \$60 million dollars that only we would fund.
So the Provost and Vice President led an exercise.
We certainly had to narrow the priority list but
that still remains a focus and I think it's some of
the budget planning that Eric talked about. We
incorporated future debt service on tackling the
core of campus. It still remains a priority. Oh,
and I didn't repeat the question. Sorry Sheila. Go

ahead.

2.

2.2

MR. KAEYSER: Kaeyser, Pharmacology. So we talk about lots of debt and about the retention rate and the enrollment the majority of students and other students from underrepresented groups but we haven't touched about the national standards and more importantly the national standards in terms of U of K and also they contribute a lot of contributions and they did not make money, that much money because they are not qualified to apply for financial aid.

So you have a good strategy plan on keeping international students. We've made a lot of money from them. And also I'm interested in the faculty — the international faculty of students. Do we have international students and international faculties?

PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: So the question is about recruitment of international students and the proposition that these are students who are going to be more full pay than others. That represents an opportunity and it certainly enriches our faculty beyond relative income and the ways you've described and then your question you had about international faculty in terms of the numbers and all.

MR. KAEYSER: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: I would say that recruiting international students, as far as -- I think some of the events in the last year killed some of that in bringing students forward but were going to look at other ways to do that. I've been most committed to say that were going to invite more international students throughout campus, we need to make sure that we have the infrastructure to make them feel welcome and a sense of belonging and we have a tremendous -- our Office of International Affairs does great work and if you pull those numbers, there's several. Tim, do you have any numbers off hand of international faculty? G.T. can probably help me PROVOST TRACY:

again with it but it's a pretty big percentage.

MR. LINEBERRY: I can't recall a percentage but it exceeds the state average.

PROVOST TRACY: It certainly exceeds the At the international students were about 4%. We'd like to grow that number of international students. We have a lot of student success initiatives around international students. instance we meet them as they -- as we know when they are arriving, we try to find out and meet them and make sure that they get to campus and that they have the beginnings of a community even to

Thanksgiving where we have a holiday dinner and make sure that they feel welcome because many times they cant leave campus when other camp — when other students may be able to go home and so a lot of things around belonging and engagement which is a significant issue for them, but we do have a pretty good representation of international faculty. If you look around the room today you can see a lot of those.

2.1

2.2

MS. BIRD-POLLAN: Jennifer Bird-Pollan,
College of Law. So often I think about sometimes is
that we focus a lot on measuring income levels and
financial/economic well being of people and of our
graduates and that seems to me to miss a lot of
value that sometimes produces exactly the kind of
group you were talking about earlier to reasons why
the English, Literature, even over in the Law
School, some of our graduates are not the highest
paid of our graduates but have the most satisfaction
of their jobs, from their jobs because they choose
things that are meaningful to them.

So I was wondering if theres a way that we could see, just in terms of communicating back to the

legislators and the community state at large, what were contributing even beyond that — even in instances where people are making less income than their parents were or something like that, that we survey our graduates and ask them about their quality of life, job satisfaction and things like that that help us convey that its more than just an economic question about what were providing in terms of value.

2.1

2.2

PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: Yeah, I think
earlier, excuse me. The question is whether we can
convey more compelling to our legislators, that
looking simply at economic value, in terms of salary
is not as sufficient as you --. When I mentioned
the term paying your civic rent earlier, that's what
I meant, that you'd reach your community and goals
and I think certainly the degree that you talked
about can qualify someone for doing that and that's
why I think you need to have that well-rounded grid
in educational experience.

I think the reason many people start to focus on that data, as you can imagine, is its available and you can start to do studies now over decades. You can look at our university in terms of cohort that entered in 1980, what percentage came from the

bottom 20%, what percent came to the top 20% family income and you can look 35 years later at their income. So people can do those kinds of studies now. I think the reality is though people are going on, came to have a job that prepares me for a life of meaning and purpose. When we ask our students whats the most important reason that they select a college, it starts with that job and job preparations. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. BLACKMAN-VISONA: Monica, college of I wanted to say thank you very much for fine Arts. speaking about the common and speaking about the university as a source for community building in your public pronouncements recently. I'm really very concerned with this movement away from thinking of the university as part of the common good and thinking about what the University of Kentucky is doing for the state and the emphasis instead on each individual student getting as much as they can out of it so that they can get a great job. that's really important. I know that parents care about that but surely state legislatures should be looking at what we are doing for the state as a whole.

this movement away from what the University of
Kentucky does in producing students who are ready
for the workforce versus a focus on what we do as
part of the common good. Is that correct? I don't
want to misrepresent that people don't recognize
those things. They do. And as I've said before, I
can make a compelling case. Why? Because I have
data and you give me lots of stories to tell.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I'm telling you the reality of when All right. you're talking to parents today and others who are trying to say Look, you know, this is fascinating to 1982 cohort, do you know what percentage of students we admitted to the University of Kentucky came from the bottom 20% of incomes? know, we had our doors open wide. We feel like were the ticket to social mobility. Do you know how many students in our freshman class today have incomes, the bottom 25% have incomes of \$19000 a year. That's 25% of our students. And when they look at you and say Gee \$26,000 a year. Tell me my child --I was getting ready to mortgage my home, so you know, we have to respond with and say -- and we're trying to do something with our scholarships and so forth. But it is a frequent question we're getting.

And speaking of disruptions, the Western

Governors University, Provost correct me if I'm wrong, they now offer, you know, degrees online in four areas. There are 900 students in Kentucky who are registered. 750 in undergraduate programs, 150 in graduate programs. A fraction of our cost. Our community college, sister institutions, three years ago introduced a learn on demand, online, competency based Associates Degree.

1.3

2.2

I think it's about \$3,000. \$3,000 for your first two years of college. So when people look at those alternatives they start to ask which is valued more, and ours has to be quite valuable for somebody to pick us over others. And those are the kinds of questions you get. Thank you. I'm going to get to you, I'm sorry. You get a chance to ask lots of questions.

MS. MOHR-SCHROEDER: Margaret

Mohr-Schroeder, College of Education. So what do
you envision as the next steps?

PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: So, certainly from these groups that we engaged in discussions, we have ideas. I'm at a point where I want to listen more. And I would do that in an efficient way so we can act. We can't talk about this for a year. Some of these things are moving just too quickly. If you

have suggestions you want to share with Katherine on how we can officially do that, I'd welcome them.

Bob?

1.3

2.2

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Bob Grossman, Board of Trustees. So one paradoxical question that it seems to me that were missing, is having maintained our research mission in the face of some of these challenges. Because really, if we really want to save money, we should just lock off the research mission and just teach undergraduates.

That's not part of our mission of the university. And I think most of us would leave the university if it came to that because we want to work in a place where we're talking about teaching and reaching and — but you know, federal funding situation is difficult, getting more difficult and the demands of the state, as you said, in our research mission should directly address questions affecting the state rather than —

PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: Well, the states not -- that kind of research is a little imposing the question to us at any time.

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: And then of course the recent cost of start up and hiring faculty.

PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: Sure.

TRUSTEE GROSSMAN: Even hiring finger track faculty which was not intending track faculty so maybe that's another question that we should put into the mix.

2.1

2.2

PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: I think that's a great set of questions. And this trade off of continuing the research enterprise in the face of these challenges, Dr. Cassis when she took her research advisory counsel, through this exercise and came on board with a research perspective involved in ways with that question.

I would offer you a few things to think that eh, some of the reading that we gave the groups from Tom Freidman's book, you get companies like AT&T who have a shortage of computer scientists with their graduate degree. So they've turned to Georgia Tech and several other universities. They've taught me with edacity and they say Gee we need computer engineers quickly and they put together a fully online program, you get a Georgia Tech Degree.

You've seen this featured on the news, it's the first time these people step on campus, if they ever do, it's for graduation ceremony.

around the world. Companies provided some of these individuals. And they provided that degree for \$6,000 a year or you can go to Georgia Tech or Live Stream, and those other places and have a residential experience. They didn't capitalize the market we own. Those are two different markets. If you look at Western Governors University and you look at KCTCs online programs, they're working, the average age is 34-35, mostly female with family.

So, I think we have to start thinking differently about certain things.

2.2

When it comes to research, somebody asked the question earlier about faculty lines. I asked Angie Martin a few weeks ago, what is our total payroll at the University of Kentucky excluding U of K healthcare. It's 1.3 billion dollars. That's faculty and staff, all faculty and staff. So 180 million dollars we have left goes out to extension offices and all. It certainly doesn't cover all that. We've earned our through the rest. And units that lend themselves to external funding are going to have to compete, going to have to excel. And we've got to provide ways that support those units that can grow.

The other major chunk of money that we spend on

```
research is really what we earn through tuition.
 1
 2
     And that's sets up a paradoxical question.
 3
     other questions? I'll take one more because I think
 4
     we've extended your time.
 5
               MS. MAESER:
                            Jo Maeser, College of
 6
     Education. Could you elaborate a little bit on the
 7
     second to the last question here about how can we
     take risks while following the rules and
 8
 9
     regulations?
10
     (LAUGHTER)
               PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: Well, I want to tell
11
12
     you that --
13
               MS. MAESER: No, I mean, that seriously.
14
     Where were you going with that?
               PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO:
                                      So you would have
15
16
     to ask the groups that generated that. I didn't --
17
               MS. MAESER: Oh, okay.
               PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: -- I didn't
18
19
     participate in those discussions and I wouldn't
20
     allow any of our administrators to.
                                          So I could
21
     speculate on a lot of things but I'm not going to do
2.2
     that if you don't mind.
23
               MS. MAESER: Are these busy going after
24
     things like in education we have Teach for America
25
     that doesn't have to follow any of the rules.
```

```
1
    you know, we get three students that we have to
    generate a trunk load of documentation for just to
 2
 3
    prove we, the student taught.
               PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: I think that would
 4
 5
    be a good example.
 6
               MS. MAESER: Okay.
 7
               PRESIDENT CAPILOUTO: All right.
                                                  Thank
 8
    you all very much.
      (WHEREUPON, the Board of Trustees Meeting concludes
 9
     at 4:50 p.m.)
10
11
12
1.3
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF KENTUCKY)
4	COUNTY OF OLDHAM)
5	
6	I, BRENDA YANKEY, the undersigned Court Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of Kentucky At
8	Large, certify that the facts stated in the caption
9	hereto are true, that at the time and place stated
10	in said caption, that said proceedings were taken
11	down in stenotype by me and later reduced to type
12	writing, and the foregoing is a true record of the
13	proceedings given by said parties hereto and that I
14	have no interest in the outcome of the captioned
15	matter.
16	My commission expires: January 31, 2020.
17	IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and
18	seal of office on this day November 20, 2017.
19	Crestwood, Oldham County, Kentucky.
20	
21	
22	
23	BRENDA YANKEY, NOTARY PUBLIC STATE AT LARGE, KENTUCKY
24	NOTARY ID #546481
2.5	