| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY |
| 3 | SENATE MEETING |
| 4 | |
| 5 | * |
| 6 | |
| 7 | SEPTEMBER 11, 2017 |
| 8 | |
| 9 | * * * * * * |
| 10 | |
| 11 | KATHERINE MCCORMICK, CHAIR |
| 12 | ERNIE BAILEY, VICE-CHAIR |
| 13 | AL CROSS, PARLIAMENTARIAN |
| 14 | SHEILA BROTHERS, ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR |
| 15 | BRENDA YANKEY, COURT REPORTER |
| 16 | |
| 17 | * * * * * * |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

MS. MCCORMICK: Beginning of our academic year, the first meeting of the Senate. Thank you so much for being here. We are really excited to begin the year. We just welcomed our new Senators and we are ready for the year to begin. It's going to be a very busy year and we have lots of interesting and exciting initiatives coming from the Senate in partnership with the Provost for many of those and one of those you'll hear about today is a large part of our meeting.

2.2

And so, hopefully you signed in and you picked up your clickers. I'm sure that there is a really sophisticated word for that digital something response monitors. I don't know.

MR. CROSS: Digital Input Device.

MS. MCCORMICK: There you go, digital input device, but well just call it a clicker. We also — Sheila has done a great job over the summer preparing a folder that actually has, and we shared these with the new Senators and at the back of that folder, if you'll pick one up on your way out, it gives you really kind of a thumbnail sketch, a graphic organizer if you will, of what we expect from you and so many of you are old-hand at this but it just gives you a refresher and permission to just

go back to your college and say this is really what I'm supposed to do.

2.2

Now look it says it right here and so again welcome and we look forward to working with you this year. So remember that these are our conducts, our rules of order as -- as such are very formal. We do follow Roberts Rules of Order. We have a great opportunity with a -- to do this very well with a scholar in Roberts Rules of Order which, who is our new Parliamentarian, Al Cross.

We are very -- most of you have not -- don't have a problem with being civil in terms of your academic discourse and we really appreciate that.

Often we do have a fairly lively debate and people have -- are free and this is obviously the forum to share your thoughts and opinions and hopefully to bring the opinions and thoughts of your constituents, the faculty that are in your colleges to the floor so that we can discuss these issues and come to some consensus or at least a vote.

So, be a good citizen, listen to others, certainly in a civil way. Participate, that's the other thing. Don't sit back and let someone else carry the water for you. This is -- you know, you're supposed to be a representative of your

college so if you don't speak up, then your college isn't represented. So you have to make sure that you do that in a thoughtful, deliberative and informed way. So we just talked about the fact that there are -- were going to send you lots of things. Many of you who are returning Senators know that and sometimes it does take a little time to wade through those. You can comment so that the time on the floor, the time for discussion is really informed discussion, not naive. And then please return those digital input devices to the table as you leave. So, we have an attendance slide. We do most of this work with a slide. You'll see a slide that says, You must vote now and I'm trying to learn this more effectively. I'm not very good at it, but when 17 the slide appears and the question is read, please vote. So, the question is: Are you excited about 19 the fall semester? You will say yes, no, or I 20 refuse to answer this. So, please vote on yes, no,

21 or I refuse to answer and I'll try to give you -- I

2.2 was told I don't give enough time for Senators to

23 respond.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

24

25

So, I'll try to be a little bit more thoughtful and so, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6. And so here we go and 66

percent of them said yes you are here and you're 1 2 ready to go and only 9 of you refused to answer the 3 question. So it looks like we have a reasonable 4 quorum. So, we, the Senate rule requires that we 5 give you all the information related to the meeting, 6 six days prior to the meeting. We had some 7 information that came later than that and so 8 therefore I need for it to -- we need -- I need 9 someone to move to waive that rule Senate 1.2.3 to 10 allow the Senate to consider the agenda and move 11 forward to the day and so I need a motion and a 12 second. 13 MS. BRION: Gail Brion, College of 14 Engineering so moved. MS. MCCORMICK: Thank you, Gail. 15 Second? 16 MS. MCCORMICK: Wonderful, thank you Greg. 17 So, the recommendation is that the Senate waive 18 Senate rule 1.2.3 to allow consideration of the 19 agenda for September 11, 2017. As that is a 20 recommendation, I'd ask that you vote. It looks 21 like you are in favor. All right. We received no 2.2 changes for the minutes for May 1st so unless 23 objections are heard on the floor, the minutes from 24 May the 1st will stand approved as amended with 25 unanimous consent.

So, again we want to welcome our new Senators. Would you please stand and let us give you our recognition and thank you for your service.

(APPLAUSE)

1.3

2.2

So you'll also be welcomed back by the President. His welcome back reception is September the 18th. Please respond to that invitation. It will come to you from the President's Office. If you have any questions, Sheila is happy to answer those or Megan Kidd in the President's Office. So again, I encourage you to take that opportunity to chat with the President. He's always most welcoming and if you haven't been to the Maxwell Place, it's a beautiful home.

We gave you -- the new Senators were provided a folder. The rest of you get a folder on the back of the table at the back of the room and again pick up a folder. Curriculum, one of the things that we are really and one of our major charge. It's our significant, most significant charge is moving curriculum forward. We spent a good bit of time this summer talking a little bit about the timing of the curriculum movement forward and actually we need to move quickly on that.

If you have a new program, we'd love to see it

and be ready to work on it by January. 1 The Provost 2 is willing to help us move past that date but it 3 really is a pretty significant lift. And so if you 4 and any of your colleges need to share this 5 information that the better time to move is by 6 January. And I know Margaret is back there nodding 7 her head. Margaret Schroeder is the Chair of our 8 Program Committee and so just try to keep that in 9 mind. 10 Then April 15th for those smaller changes. 11 we're going to finalize our Committee Compositions 12 by September the 18th, and so be on the lookout for 13 that list soon. And Sheila has already made a 14 number of committee assignments and that information 15 will come out to you. Joe McGillis is in the 16 audience and Joe is, was a former Senate Council 17 Member and now he is our new Ombud. We'll, welcome 18 Joe, there he is. And we appreciate Joes 19 willingness to do that. 20 He was appointed by the Provost and were excited 21 for him to work in that capacity. Unfortunately 2.2 that left us one Senate Council member short and 23 Kaveh Tegavi was willing to help us with that and so 24 Kaveh will complete the remainder of Joes term. 25 Lorch was kind enough to serve as our Senate

Representative on the Transportation Committee. you may be aware there was a lot of discussion around parking and the use of the parking deck and how transportation is going to be managed in the future and so Bob is the strong voice and willing to join our other faculty members on the Transportation Committee and so if you have issues around that please contact Bob, he is the Chair in Psychology. UK has closed one of its residential facilities, the Commonwealth Village. Sheila will send you a little bit more information about that. most of the students have already left that facility but just to keep, you know, you abreast of anything that might have happened since you were here in May so she'll send you some information about that by email. Jennifer Bird-Pollan, one of our Senate Council members and Senator from Law, there she is woo-hoo, is recipient of our Law (APPLAUSE) award and were really proud of Jennifer for that recognition. So,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

members and Senator from Law, there she is woo-hoo, is recipient of our Law (APPLAUSE) award and were really proud of Jennifer for that recognition. So, updates to the Senate website: Sheila worked really hard this summer so now we have all of our Senate records back through 1993, 94 and we have the built ability to access minutes and the transcripts to be searchable in the future.

We still don't have that. It's a little bit unwieldy to try to grab specific information but certainly you can see where that information might be and then work with Sheila in accessing that. The web transmittal, remember web transmittals are the way in which we move curriculum forward that don't require a full vote.

2.2

That's typically courses and other small changes and those now go back to 2006, '07. The University Work-Life Survey, I hope that you are participating in that. Decisions are really made on the basis of this survey. And so we want strong faculty voice so please give a thoughtful, honest response to that survey. I think it moves through most of September. Encourage your colleagues to respond.

The responses are confidential done off-site.

And I will get the results in early November and then HR will do some really good work, and they've done this in the past, of disseminating and sharing the results of that survey with your colleges.

The Staff Senate is currently interested in a

Mule Award -- Award of a staff member who is

nominated by a faculty employee so then we really

would like for you to participate in that effort and

award or reward a staff member that you think gives

exemplary service and is a good citizen and the nomination form is in the back and Brittany Begley is the staff assistant and she'll help you as well.

2.2

We were also asked -- we are working harder to be more responsive and be more collaborative with our colleagues and student affairs and so Residents Life asked if you guys would -- if we would share this information with you, so I'm doing it now and Sheila can also email this information.

So in an effort to get a closer, more congenial, collaborative connected relationship between faculty and students, Residents Life is engaged in these after-office hours and so we'd love for you to participate in the idea is to just meet with students. Let them know who you are as a person outside your office hour, outside the classroom, outside your role as an advisor.

So they're going to occur at specific times:

October 9th and October the 11th. They'll pay for

dinner for -- buy dinner for you and again just a

way for faculty to become more connected with

students in ways that really do demonstrate that

were a community, and were connected and that we are

in partnership with them.

So Trisha Clement Montgomery can give you more

information. And Sheila is also going to send more information about that. So Michael Kennedy is here, who is, I think a long-standing member of COSFL, which is the Coalition of Senate and Faculty Leadership. I believe this group has had some reinvigoration.

2.2

We had a number of members from U K who attended the last meeting. Al was one of those, Joe Mazur, Joe McGillis, Michael, myself and so the next meeting unfortunately is tomorrow in Frankfort, but if you're interested in participating in this, this is a group that's really collaborative with CPE, really does work to try to provide a faculty voice in terms of matters of our academies.

So, it's a statewide organization. So maybe
Michael if you wouldn't mind to hold up your name if
people have an interest and Al as well then please
let them know. My first item of business to open up
this academic year is to invite Provost Tracy to
make a few remarks. I was concerned that some of
you might want to know a little bit about DACA and
our perspective on this and so he's going to share
that information as well as welcome some other
campus members. Welcome!

you hear me okay in the back? I'm going to try to go without a microphone. It's a better form than standing behind a podium. First the comment about the after hours, the after office hours meetings, if you get a chance to participate please do. It really is a fun time. I've done it before. Several of you have probably done it before. It's a great opportunity to interact with students in a much more informal nature and they're not your students.

1.3

2.2

They're coming from the residents hall in which they're living. So it's a great opportunity to do that. So I encourage that. Second, I'd like to thank Katherine for her great partnership over the past year and now a year and fifteen months or so in terms of Senate leadership. We meet very regularly, every couple of weeks or so and she's been a great partner. So, I have to thank her for that as well.

A little bit about DACA; you know it's a

Deferred Action Program and, you know, that about a
week and a half ago President Trump signed an order
rescinding DACA and giving the congress six months
to come up with a more permanent solution.

What the University has done -- hopefully you saw President Capilouto's email of September 6th, which he said that we support DACA students. We

want to create a welcoming community. And they bring important things to the university and to the country. And so we're working with our legislators, and in fact, he and I both were in Washington last December, and we spoke to every one of our representatives about this issue.

1.3

2.2

So during our legislative advocacy that we do every December, we do it throughout the year but in particular in that one, we spoke about this issue and spoke passionately about it. So, I want you to know that piece as well. We won't know what's happening, but I will say that were not the only group advocating that.

The APLU or the Association of Public and Land Grant Universities has taken a very strong stand on this issue, and are really leading the force for higher ed and particularly Public Land Grant — Public and Land Grant Universities. And so we appreciate their advocacy as well. A couple of points just to remind you of a few things: Many people say, Well what are you going to do if ICE comes on campus? That's a comment I hear quite frequently and the first answer is one;

We don't know who those DACA students are unless they have disclosed to us. Okay? So we don't know.

We don't require them to disclose to us and if they do not, we don't know. The second point I'll make is that the government does know. So, they already know who those students are because they are registered.

2.2

So, you kind of have to remember that point, is that the government actually knows things that we don't know about those students because the students have to register. The second point is if they come on campus with a subpoena, we must follow the law. That's pretty simple, pretty straight forward, and so we must follow what is part of their subpoena that they bring forward if they should do so.

But we certainly do not know who those students are. We don't ask them. They are not required to disclose. So, I have met a few of them who have disclosed to me, but I don't know how many are on campus. If you were to say pick a number, I'd just be picking a number because I don't know, but we do support their actions.

We want to be supportive of them. And we are doing our advocacy in Washington on their behalf. So I wanted to say that to you. Since I have the floor, a couple more things I'd like to bring up; Hopefully you saw in front of the main building

today a number of flags that you might have also seen represented from our ROTC. This is a pretty special day or not a special day but a day of remembrance and a somber day in U.S. History, September 11th. So they were out there reading the names of individuals who had died during September 11th.

2.2

So you might have seen that, that's why that was going on today. The third thing I'm going to do is introduce someone to you. That's Dr. Greg Heilman. Greg is here in the corner. Could you stand up a minute Greg? Greg is our new Associate Provost for Student and Academic Life. Don't hold it against him but he's an engineer, a computer scientist engineer, and has received a number of awards in engineering. But he led most of the student success efforts at the University of New Mexico, came to us on August 1st and has certainly hit the ground running. And I appreciate Greg's leadership in that area.

So now in the area of what you might have called student affairs and undergraduate education that's going to combine together as I spoke with you last year into Student and Academic Life. And we have a very seasoned and very experienced but also very

I'd like to make before we open up for questions is to talk a little bit about the hurricanes that have happened. You say well, we're not directly affected but you would might — if you think about a minute realize that we have a number of students who are affected. So when hurricane Harvey came through the Houston and Texas areas, Galveston in that area we immediately kicked into place a process where we identified those students from the area.

1.3

2.2

There were about seventy in our initial search.

I think it's broadened to be about a hundred from the general area. Reached out to those students for support, provided them an opportunity for counseling, other support and obviously we have a number of students affected now through hurricane

Irma and so were doing exactly the same thing. But I'd like to tell you about a new program that I just signed off on last week where now we have created an emergency-assistance fund for students in these kinds of situations but other situations where it's individual.

Maybe that students family has had a tragedy where there's some reason they need to get back home, whatever it might be. We've now created an

emergency-assistance fund and you can also donate to that fund, tax deductible. You can do that through payroll deduction or direct donations to that but were also putting money in it as well. But it gives you an opportunity to contribute back to the students and support them.

2.2

So were really having a very extensive outreach effort to the students who are affected by that, those two particular catastrophes and maybe even hurricane Jose which seems to be coming right behind it, hopefully will miss the U.S. but it's been a very busy season, but it's not just those kinds of natural disasters. It's others that happen more on an individual basis.

a busy start this semester as I know you all can attest. But a good start to the semester so far and hopefully it will continue on that way for the rest of the semester and the rest of the school year. So with that, ask if you have any pressing questions you might like to ask of me. I'll do my best to answer them. And if I don't know the answer I promise to say I don't know the answer.

MR. WOODS: Andrew Woods. Law one of the strongest responses to DACA that I've seen is

Microsoft saying that they'll pay legal fees of any employees or legal proceedings. Has there been any talk at UK or any other universities of doing something similar?

2.2

PROVOST TRACY: We have already made -- the first time this came around we created some pro-bono legal support for the students. If you go to the International Center website there's a set of FAQs and one of them is access to legal support and we provide some. We've got lawyers who provide some pro-bono legal support for that. But we also have links to our counseling center and other support services. So the last time this came around, back in the January-ish timeframe we kicked in a whole set of support services for them but that's all on our website. Yes.

MS. BOULDER: If a student is interrupted during a semester by ICE, what are the policies that are being put in place to see if they can stay?

PROVOST TRACY: Yeah, we would treat that like we would any other kind of say a death of a family member, something like that, we would do the same type of processes because we have to help them bridge across, work with the professors and work to try to minimize the disruption to their progress

```
1
     along the way. So, it would kick in just like
 2
     everything else did. Yeah, those that are not DACA,
 3
     those kinds of things happen all the time.
 4
     are cases where a student becomes sick for two or
 5
     three weeks and may be in the hospital and so we
 6
    have -- the Dean of Students has a full set of
 7
     protocols for when students have to miss class for
 8
     an extended period of time.
 9
             MR. WHITAKER: I'm Mark Whitaker, A&S.
10
     was wondering if you have any further information
11
     about the fate of the of the Robinson's Scholar
12
    Program.
               It was kind of shut down last year and it
13
     had been a highly successful program and I'm
14
     wondering if there was any further information about
15
     that was going to be restarted or whats being done.
16
           PROVOST TRACY: So first, it's never been shut
17
     down. Was not shut down.
18
            MR. WHITAKER: It's no longer supporting the
19
     students.
20
            PROVOST TRACY: No. Yes, it is.
2.1
            MR. WHITAKER:
                           It is?
2.2
            PROVOST TRACY: Yes, still running just like
23
     it was.
24
            MR. WHITAKER: I thought at the high school
25
     it --
```

PROVOST TRACY: So what we did was we -- we discontinued temporarily our support of high school students before they get here. We had had some pretty serious budget overruns and so we had to make adjustments in the program. But the scholars who are here are fully supported and continue to be supported as they always have been.

We've adjusted the program to the high schools to support them at a different level. So we are

to support them at a different level. So we are still out there in communities but working with them in a different way and providing them the kinds of programs we had before. We're providing the programming related to directly to preparing for going to college and academic advising for getting here.

MR. WHITAKER: Well, that's very different from what the most of Robinson's Scholars think.

So, that's interesting.

PROVOST TRACY: We've been very careful with that program to make sure that were meeting the requirements of the spirit of the Robinson's Scholars Program. Anything else? Okay. Thank you and have a great academic year.

MS. MCCORMICK: Thank you.

25 (APPLAUSE)

1.3

2.2

MS. MCCORMICK: One nice thing that Provost
Tracy does is he does typically try to carve out
this time. He listens and I know there are a number
of issues that he's acted on based on the
conversation that occurs in this Senate. We
appreciate that. I do have some things to report to
you that was heard over the summer.

2.2

We were still working and there are lots of small things that happened although to the students they weren't quite so small and so these are the actions that were taken on your behalf over the summer: We did make a calendar change for the College of Medicine. It was inadvertently not including the holidays. And so those students were curious about making sure they were able to enjoy that. So we also made that change for Dentistry as well. The change was actually a combination of the Hooding and Commencement Ceremonies. And so that appeared to be a student-initiated action as well.

We did make some late additions to the degree list and you'll see some of those also as part of our action in our work today. So, we changed, and this is oddly worded, but this is the way that we have traditionally worded this. So we have approved something the Senate previously adopted for a

student by confirming upon them a B.S. in Digital Media and Design and rescinding the B.A. in Arts Studio previously confirmed.

2.2

We've also done that for a student in Law School by adding a Juris Doctorate for this student and recommending through the President through the Board of Trustees that the Degree be awarded effective May 27th. We did this for a student in Public Health, recommending through the President through the Board of Trustees that the Degree be awarded effective May 2017.

And just as a point of clarification, you will see today and you'll see it unfortunately we do this probably at least two to three, would you say

Sheila? For every Board of Trustees meeting? But we graduate a lot of students and a lot of students move through our systems effortlessly without error. How many do you process Ruth, about four or five thousand a year?

MS. BEATTIE: Maybe not quite that many.

MS. MCCORMICK: A lot. And so when errors are made we work very hard to correct them but they require that we share that with you or ask that you vote on them. So I don't want you to leave with the impression, oh golly these people don't know what

they are doing. They do know what they are doing, 1 2. but sometimes these errors are made, and again if 3 it's our -- if it's an administrative error then we 4 work quickly to fix it so that the student -- to 5 correct it so that the student isn't penalized. 6 Public Health, and then we also waived Senate 7 Rule 5.3.1.1, the Dean of Students came to us with a 8 situation where a student really was unable to move 9 to another university and continue their education 10 without their waiver of this. And so we waived the 11 requirement that a student be enrolled in order to 12 utilize the repeat option. The student was not 1.3 enrolled and in fact had, was unable to attend class 14 because of a behavioral issue, but wanted the 15 opportunity to continue his education at another 16 institution. 17 We approved a provisional approval for Math 109 18 to be included in U of K Core and so some updates as 19 well. Those were the actions that we took on your 20 behalf. Jennifer Bird-Pollan, she's our 21 centricimist today I quess, chaired a committee of 2.2 really -- a fabulous committee that came to us by 23 nomination from you as well as some Senate Council Members, a very thoughtful committee that had a 24 25 diverse set of perspective scholarship regarding the issues of sexual assault, stalking, dating violence and domestic violence.

2.

2.2

You know, that this issue has been -- U of K has been dealing with this issue both on campus as well as in the media and so we, on the Senate Council, asked this committee to look at this issue. How might we make our policies and procedures better and this committee gave a report to the Senate Council on August 28th.

That committee is going to take the comments from the Senate Council members, look at their report again to see if they might change it, revise it or leave it as is and then they'll take that to the Staff Senate as well as the Student Government so that we have the voice of all three of our governing bodies and it will come back to Senate Council for a vote and then well go to the President and Provost for their review.

So if you remember AR is an Administrative

Regulation, not a Senate -- so it's really not our,
in our power to bring that to you as a body but

would be brought to you, if they so choose, by the

President and the Provost in terms of how might we
make AR 6.2 better. We're also looking at Title

Series. We have Sharon Lot. If you remember, if

you were part of this body in the last year she came to you, she's the Chair of our Senate, SACPT which is the Senate Committee on Privilege and Tenure and she chaired a committee of that committee plus all of the area Chairs. That's another Senate Committee.

1.3

2.2

So those two groups got together and really gave a very close detailed review of the Title Series.

If you remember we had seven different ways in which you can be employed at the University as a Faculty Member and sometimes those are a little confusing for both the administration — for the Chair, for the Faculty as well as sometimes the faculty member.

And so the charge to this committee was how might we make this better so that it's more transparent, it's easier to understand, the statements of evidences are more closely tied to the roles in these lines. And so in addition to those senate members, we also asked G.T. Lineberry to be a part of that work, Marcy Deaton represents legal and then Dave Watt represented the Senate as a whole. We had that report and they're interested in bringing that forward. And so there's some discussion about how that might happen and well give you some updates on that very soon. So Ernie Bailey

He forgave a dentist appointment, I think. 1 2 So what was your joke? Pulling teeth? 3 MR. BAILEY: I had a double whammy. I aot 4 a tooth pulled and I come to a Senate meeting. 5 MS. MCCORMICK: There you go. All right. 6 Al is going to share with you a little bit about how 7 we hope these meeting progress on a week -- month to 8 month. 9 MR. CROSS: Hopefully this will be the only 10 Parliamentarians Report of the year. So what does a 11 Parliamentarian do? Well, I'm Katharine's advisor 12 on matters of procedure. It's essentially my job to 13 help the meeting run smoothly, keep track of 14 business, answer questions about the rules of order. 15 These are Roberts Rules of Order, newly revised. Ι 16 forgot my copy today but we don't have a very 17 complicated agenda. 18 There is a table, a very useful table, in the 19 packet that gives the types of motions and the rules 20 that apply to them and I suggest that you 21 familiarize yourself with those. Any faculty member 2.2 can be Parliamentarian and including meritie and the 23 appointment is made by the council. I was so 24 willing to take on this as a member of the council. There's no term of office. And I hope I'll last at 25

1 least a year. Some guiding principles for debate.

2 Let's talk about only one thing at a time. This

3 really helps to keep the discussion focused and

4 efficient.

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We've only got two hours for these senate meetings and all too often we run out of time.

second time. That's a fundamental rule. Now, there

Everybody gets to talk before anybody gets to talk a

9 are a few exceptions to this rule. You can

10 | interject, but again as with any speaking, you have

11 | to identify yourself by name and college and you can

12 | raise a point of order, and please raise this point

13 as soon as you have a concern, and we will try to

14 resolve it as soon as possible.

You can't interrupt a speaker except for a point of order or a point of information and this should be strictly limited and not used for debating purposes. We'll blow the whistle on that if you try that. One of the most misunderstood questions about debate is the limiting of debate. You can limit debate to a certain length of time. This is through a motion approved by a majority of the body, but more often we hear a call for the question which is for an immediate vote and that requires a two thirds motion. And I'd love it if someone would say I move

the previous question because that's the formal way 1 2 to do it. These do require seconds and they are not 3 debatable. To make the debatable would sort of 4 defeat the point of the motions. (LAUGHTER) Here's 5 more information. There's a little packet which 6 Sheila has prepared. Any questions? Okay. Here's 7 to a smooth academic year Parliamentarian-wise. 8 (APPLAUSE) 9 MS. MCCORMICK: All right. Bob thanks. 10 MS. BLONDER: Hi, I'm Lee Blonder. 11 Trustee from the College of Medicine. Go ahead. 12 MR. GROSSMAN: I'm Bob Grossman, I'm from 13 the College of Arts and Sciences and I'm the other 14 Trustee, Faculty Trustee. 15 MS. BLONDER: So, we don't have much to 16 report. We have a Board Meeting coming up at the 17 end of this week in northern Kentucky. That's the 18 sight of our new Medical School Branch so they're 19 excited that we are coming. The agenda is posted on 20 the internet if you're interested you can look and 21 click on it and see what's being discussed. 2.2 We had two board members whose terms ended in 23 June; C.B. Akins and Bill Britton and the Governor 24 has replaced those two Board Members with Derrick

Ramsey, who's a former NFL player and he's the

25

Secretary of the Labor Cabinet in Kentucky and the second person is Sandra Shuffett. She's a radiologist at Baptist Health and she was also appointed by the Governor to the Kentucky Commission on Women. The other person who has rotated off very recently was Kelly Craft. She was named Ambassador to Canada, confirmed by the Senate and were awaiting a replacement for her from the Governors office.

2.1

2.2

MR. GROSSMAN: If there are any issues that arise that you think we could help resolve, you can -- we come to all these Senate meetings. We come to all the Senate Council meetings and we send out emails maybe once a semester. I try not to do it too often because I don't want to make you hostile to us, but at the bottom of those emails is our contact information including non-UK email addresses in case you want to talk to us about something that may be sensitive. Phone numbers are there or you can just look us up in the University Directory and say, Hey I'd like to talk to you about something.

A lot of what I do, and I'm sure Lee does also, is just connect you to the right people who could help you fix a problem. So, we've both been around a while. We kind of know as much as anyone could

know how much this university works and we've often had your questions answered pretty quickly. Also, being Trustee means you get your emails answered much more quickly. Any questions?

2.2

MS. BLONDER: Now one thing we do want to mention is if you do write to us and you want to keep the email private, any email that goes through the U of K server is a part of the open record and can be --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So is your private account though too because you're Trustees.

MS. BLONDER: Okay.

MR. GROSSMAN: If you really want it to be handled confidentially, send us an email saying I'd like to meet you for a coffee or I'd like to speak to you on the telephone on your private telephone number. I guess sometimes there are things like that that people want to talk to us about.

I remember recently one faculty member was concerned that certain decisions that were being made in her area were motivated by bias and so she wanted to talk to me about it and get my perspective on whether there was anything, whether there really was anything there for her to be concerned about. So we met at Starbucks over on Broadway. So, those

kinds of things, like I said, don't use the email. 1 2 You can certainly use the email just to say Hey Id 3 like to meet with you. 4 MS. MCCORMICK: Thank you. 5 (APPLAUSE) 6 MS. MCCORMICK: All right. So here's the 7 business that were prepared to do today. Remember 8 that only Faculty Senators, those of you who were 9 just in the new faculty meeting heard Davy mention 10 this as well, one of our major responsibilities is 11 to vote on the Degree list. And so the motion from 12 your Senate Council is that you, the elected Faculty 1.3 Senators pre-approve U of K Second August 27 list of candidates for credentials with submission to the 14 15 President to the Board of Trustees. Here's the 16 slide. Motion again. 17 MS. VISONA: Is there any discussion on this 18 possible? 19 MS. MCCORMICK: Ugh. 20 MR. CROSS: Sure. 21 VISONA: Yes, I'm new at this. My name MS. 2.2 is Monica Visona from the College of Fine Arts. I 23 checked with our associates for whatever about --24 the person who's in charge of our Degree list and he 25 said that the Degree list that was sent up to the

Faculty Senators was highly outdated and that they 1 2 had been scrambling to come up with good degree 3 lists. And he gave me one that he said was fresh 4 off the press and he had, I think he had completed 5 like last Tuesday. And it was quite different from 6 the degree list that you had sent out. 7 He said there will be no problem because all of 8 these people will graduate I think according to, I 9 think. To what degree should I be concerned about 10 this as a Faculty Senator? Is the SAP not going to 11 -- is that list of what the University tells 12 students is going to happen, not going to happen 13 because of the decision of the Board of Trustees? 14 How do we reconcile these problems essentially? 15 MS. MCCORMICK: So what goes actually to the 16 Board of Trustees is the most current list from the 17 Registrar. 18 MS. VISONA: So we don't need to worry as 19 long as I check that list that's going to go to the 20 Board of Trustees? 2.1 MS. MCCORMICK: Correct. Bob? 2.2 MR. GROSSMAN: Yeah, a point of information. 23 Bob Grossman. What we vote on is what goes to the 24 Board of Trustees. 25 MS. MCCORMICK: Oh, that's right.

Whether there is a 1 MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. 2 problem depends on whether someone is omitted from 3 the list who shouldn't be on the list. That is a 4 problem. 5 MS. MCCORMICK: Thank you, Bob. 6 MR. GROSSMAN: There is not a problem if 7 someone is on the list who shouldn't be on it 8 because the list is people who have applied for 9 graduation but anyone, any student can basically 10 apply for graduation if they expect to finish but if 11 they don't pass a course or drop a course or 12 whatever, the list doesn't get changed. It's just 13 they don't get the degree until after the Registrar 14 certifies that they have met all of the 15 requirements. So the certification comes 16 MS. MCCORMICK: 17 from the Registrar not what goes to the Board of 18 Trustees? 19 Yeah, the certification comes MR. GROSSMAN: 20 from the Registrar. 2.1 MS. MCCORMICK: Thank you. I appreciate that. 2.2 MR. GROSSMAN: So if there are names that 23 should be on the list and they're expecting to 24 graduate this fall and they're not on the list, 25 that's what desperately has to happen, but the other

way around is not an issue. 1 2 MR. MCCORMICK: Thanks Monica, good 3 question. Cleo, did you have a comment? 4 MR. PRICE: Well, we're talking about summer 5 lists, correct? From last fall? 6 MS. MCCORMICK: Yes, obvious. 7 That's correct, they are -- this MR. PRICE: 8 is the list that the Board of Trustees and the 9 colleges should have already clarified by assigning 10 the status of the student? 11 MS. MCCORMICK: Okay. 12 MR. PRICE: They are. MS. MCCORMICK: Which is where I think 13 14 Monica's contact told her. Okay. Good. Thanks for 15 the point in clarification. All right, so were 16 ready to vote now on August 27 list of candidates for credentials submission to the President to the 17 18 Board of Trustees. 19 MR. CROSS: We already voted. 20 MS. MCCORMICK: We did already vote. 21 you. 2.2 MR. CROSS: Yes, we would've committed a 23 technical violation by -- with debating during 24 voting but I think all of you would agree that we

25

did the right thing.

MS. MCCORMICK: Okay. Love it. All right. 1 2 So elected Faculty Senators are requested from the 3 Senate Council to amend the May 27 Degree list, 4 adopted at the May 1st, 2017 Senate meeting by 5 adding a Doctorate of Juris -- or Juris Doctorate of 6 Law for students CM - 10 and recommend through the 7 President to the Board of Trustees that the degree 8 be awarded effective May 27, and again this was an 9 administrative error. Yes, Davy. 10 MR. JONES: Can we back up? 11 MS. MCCORMICK: Sure. 12 MR. JONES: The wording here is actually 13 better Recommend through the President to the Board 14 of Trustees that the degree be awarded. The past, 15 last slide that we voted on didn't have that 16 wording. It was a little awkward. In the future, 17 this is the wording to use. 18 MS. MCCORMICK: All right. Thank you. So 19 lets see if it appears in the next slide. 20 (LAUGHTER). Right. Okay. We'll make that change. 21 MR. JONES: Can you restart the voting 2.2 please? 23 MS. MCCORMICK: Yes. All right. This is 24 for student CM - 10 in the College of Law. We'll 25 start now, and that's approved. All right. This is

```
also from the College of Law; student MA - 33 to
 1
 2
     recommend through the President to the Board of
 3
     Trustees that the degree be awarded for the student
 4
     effective May 2017. If you can vote now. Okay.
 5
     that's approved. This is also from the College of
 6
     Law.
 7
          It's student Ms 39. We recommend through the
 8
    President to the Board of Trustees that the degree
 9
     be awarded effective May 2017. You can vote now.
10
            MR. JONES: Has voting started on this?
11
            MS. MCCORMICK: Yes, you can vote now.
12
            MR. JONES:
                         I'll comment after.
13
            MS.
                 MCCORMICK: Thank you. Seventy-seven
14
     approved. Davy, you made a -- had a comment?
15
            MR. JONES: Yeah, could somebody in law kind
16
     of give us a general idea of what happened here?
17
     We've got three.
18
            MS. MCCORMICK: We actually have four.
                                                     So,
19
     we were told -- or you want -- please.
20
             MR. MICHAELS: Yeah, Doug Michael,
21
     Associate Dean, College of Law. We actually have
2.2
     four. There's one more coming. In the college we
23
     used to degree applications by hand. I'm not making
24
     this up. Then last year we moved to an online
25
     system. We developed or our IT Department did --
```

```
1
     either didn't catch these four students at the hand
 2
     off between that program and the universities
 3
     program but these students timely applied and met
 4
     the requirements just like everybody else. For some
 5
     reason four degree applications got misplaced.
 6
          We are now moving to the universities system
 7
     even though we are different degrees.
 8
     application deadline so that wont happen again.
 9
     They'll be on the same system that everybody else is
10
     from now on.
11
            MS. MCCORMICK: And you also had a change in
12
     personnel didn't you?
1.3
            MR. MICHAELS: Yes.
14
            MS. BIRD-POLLAN: We had no Registrar for a
15
     period of time.
16
            SECRETARY BROTHERS:
                                 Name please?
17
            MS. BIRD-POLLAN: Jennifer Bird-Pollan,
18
     College of Law. We had no registrar for a period of
19
     time and our Associate Dean of Law changed so it
20
     was,...
21
            MS. MCCORMICK: All right. So I think this
2.2
     is the 4th. So adding the degree for student SS-65
23
     and recommending through the President to the Board
24
     of Trustees that the degree be awarded effective May
25
     2017. You may vote now.
```

1 MR. CROSS: Comments in the back.

2 MS. MCCORMICK: Comments?

2.2

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, I was just making sure my vote got counted.

MS. MCCORMICK: Thanks Paul. So we have a similar situation in biology for a student HA-81 and we recommend through the President to the Board of Trustees that the degree be awarded effective May 2017 for this student. Ruth Beattie from that college is here to answer any questions, should there be any. So you may vote now. That passes.

Then, I think this is our final from mathematics, student KA-79. We recommend through the President to the Board of Trustees that the degree be awarded effective May 2017. You may vote now.

All right. So we have the pleasure of welcoming Carl Mattacola from the College of Health Science and Brett Spears from Medicine, to talk with us a little bit about the work that they've been doing with a group of your colleagues over the past six months, eight months, it seems like forever doesn't it Brett? So, we're going to welcome them and what we'd like is if they could move through these slides and then we want to have a significant amount of

time for you to chat with them a little bit. So welcome.

2.

2.2

MR. SPEARS: Okay. So what Carl and I would like to do in the next few minutes is a couple of things. We want to give you an overview of our committees, refresh your memories of the people and many of the committee members are here today. I'm going to give you a little time line.

Some of the things that we've been doing over the last several months and also give you a little idea of the events that are planned as we go forward. And then Carl is going to give you a brief overview of some of the information that we received from the survey that hopefully you guys participated in last spring.

So, this just gives you an overview. We have about, I think we're up to twenty-six members of the committee now. We actually started with twenty-three. Fifteen of the sixteen Colleges at U of K are represented on this committee. We have four Dean's, sixteen faculty and we have five graduate students also. So, we have good representation at many different levels across many colleges.

As you might imagine getting all of these

people together in one room at a time is not going 1 2 to be easy. So, what Carl and I have done is we 3 have divided the group into four different 4 committees or subcommittees. So, we have a 5 subcommittee that's dealing with evaluation of 6 programs or evaluation of graduate school, another 7 committee that is looking at the graduate student experience including funding, a third committee 8 that's looking at infrastructure and then the fourth 9 10 group is looking at growth and innovation. 11 So these four groups are meeting pretty 12 regularly and then Carl and I are also meeting 1.3 bi-weekly with the Chairs and Co-Chairs of this 14 committee so that we are aware of what the different 15 committees are doing. So we started in the early 16 last spring. We've had a couple of open forums. 17 Back in April -- April beginning of may, we had two 18 open forums. The survey itself was completed on May 19 1st and about a month or so after that we received 20 information from that service and we have all that 2.1 information. 2.2

Like I said, Carl will talk a little bit more about that in a couple of minutes. We presented information to The Graduate Council back on May 11th, the last meeting of graduate Council and over

23

24

the summer we've had a couple of me With DGSs. So we met with the DGSs from the healthcare Colleges in July and then about a week later we met with the DGSs for the Agriculture, Food, and Environment as well as the Engineering Programs.

2.

2.1

2.2

We are going to continue to meet with DGSs.

Actually we have three meetings this week so we're going to be meeting with the DGSs from Education tomorrow, Arts and Sciences are on Wednesday and then some of the smaller colleges are going to be meeting with a DGSs on Thursday. So we've got a lot of important and useful feedback from the DGSs and well continue to do so.

We'll also be meeting with a Graduate Council again on the 21st of September to keep that group informed as well. And then on the week of October 9th we're going to be holding some more open forums for faculty to come and for us to share information and also for us to gain information from faculty and students as well. So you'll be hearing more about the exact times and dates for those open forums.

Now, our goal for our committee is on September 25th.

We'll have the committee's first draft and well be able to share some of that information so that

when we have these open forums you'll get an idea of where we are. At the end of September then we'll get some feedback and then at the end of October well have the second draft of the Committee Reports and then just before Thanksgiving we'll come together and Carl and I will put together the Cumulative report.

2.

2.2

And over the course of the holiday season the committee will be working on that first report and coming up with additional modifications. January, final comments from the committee will be January 15th. We'll get the draft back of the report to share with faculty on January 22nd and will continue to have open forums with faculty so we can continue to get input on what their recommendations are and our final goal is on February 14th to have the final report to present. In a nutshell that's sort of what we've been doing and where we hope to go. And I guess I'll turn it over to Carl a while and then after, if we have time, we can answer some questions.

MR. MATTACOLA: Thanks Brett. The other piece — the other comment I would make relative to the forums and those providing feedback is we are open to feedback. For example, the DGS meetings

were really the result of several conversations we had through some of the open forums it was suggested in several cases that that might be a group that we could have a more concentrated conversation with.

2.2

So, if you have areas or if there are groups that would be more beneficial particularly as we start to put out the preliminary recommendations. There was a survey that 549 faculty, graduate faculty responded to so it was about a 32per cent response rate and 649 students, graduate students responded for about a 15per cent response rate that went out in the spring.

So thank you for those of you that participated. I just wanted to highlight a few slides that sort of fit well with the themes that we've identified. So, those subcommittees, the identity of those themes and their titles were really the result of conversations that the committee had and from some of our preliminary work.

We didn't just pick those out of the sky. They really were the themes that were most relevant as we talked about the graduate student experience. Many of you recognize, or you may not be aware that sort of the charge was to re-envision and evaluate and examine the graduate student experience and The

Graduate education at the University. It was not specifically to identify or single out The Graduate School, although that obviously is part of The Graduate student experience.

2.2

So, one of the areas that's been important for us and helped to lead some of our conversation is related to innovation and graduate education. And as you can see the graduate faculty responses in the first two vertical columns, or it would really be the second and third I guess, but vertical column and then graduate students, but both felt strongly that undergraduate and graduate experiences combined or combining the undergraduate and graduate student experience was important.

Similarly they both felt very strongly that interdisciplinary study and research was important with graduate students feeling a little more strongly than faculty in that area or stating that was more important to them. The other piece that was interesting is the developing of transferable skills. Both graduate students and faculty felt that that was important. Almost identical response rates relative to the importance. And several of these slides I think are interesting because anecdotally when we've gone into one anecdotally

with faculty or students have provided us feedback, you might get, you know, the spectrum that faculty don't get the feel that these skills are important but yet we obviously have identified that, which I think is very positive. The other piece related to some of our work was looking at the interdisciplinary piece and thinking about graduate education into the future. So one of the questions in the survey asks each of the respondents to identify areas that they thought would be new programs that they would like 12 to develop and as you can see some of those 1.3 responses range from just have interdisciplinary, 14 multidisciplinary programs would be important to you 15 know, specific areas; Marriage counseling, aerospace engineering, industrial design, and some 17 of them are just generic like an online Master's program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

In several cases online delivery of education was one of the responses when the specific rate broke it down by college. Similarly the remainder of the colleges, again you have some generic responses. Everything from we'd like to develop a Ph.D program to specifically we'd like to have a program in forensic social work. So it provides a good depth,

or excuse me, a good breadth of different types of programs that the faculty identified as being mentioned to grow. Related to developing new programs, so one of the areas were obviously interested in examining and, you know, that often comes up particularly in our DGS meetings are what are the barriers?

1.3

2.2

What are the barriers for growing, for developing and improving the graduate student experience and often those have led us down the path of interdisciplinary program collaboration. So, areas that you would or might not expect to be an issue obviously is can we have less bureaucracy than, not you included, a more nimble and efficient process. Some of these areas I think are already being worked on. I know the university — have you just had the new program development or is that confused?

Just had one so there was just a presentation specifically to faculty that are interested in the further development sort of fostering us through the process, but as you can see the areas that we, the faculty, would like to see most improved is being more nimble, a quicker time table, potentially more financial support and then help navigating the

process. So those are the top four. 1 Areas that we 2 thought worked well: Was overall there was 3 university support and then some of the specific 4 processes that we had in place and someone who is 5 active to dive into curricula over the last few 6 years, we actually found that to be very efficient 7 for some of the work that we have done at the 8 program or excuse me, at the community level. 9 Another area that was an important part of the 10 survey was identification of transferable skills. 11 So, from employers and from some of the larger 12 graduate education survey this has been an area that 1.3 has been a high priority for institutions as well as 14 an area to develop. In areas that were most -- the area that was 15 16 highest of faculty was creative thinking, attention 17 to detail, and presentation skills to ranges of 18 audiences and then between the grad students and 19 faculty: time management sort of fell in there as a 20 number four. What we found interesting, or what I 21 found interesting is if you look at some of the 2.2 other transferable skills that the graduate students 23 found to be more important or stated as being more 24 important, were very important than faculty is 25 building effective relationships, project

management, communication of research to novice or public audiences, leadership skills and then mentoring and motivation process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So those are all very important or useful information that we can use as we continue to work on our recommendations. The other piece that I found interesting, because again depending on the meetings were in, well get mixed comments at the smaller level but at a larger level both faculty and students feel that graduate students should be prepared for both academic and non-academic careers. And then lastly, I think one of the important pieces that -- if we think theres a graduate student experience and similarly as we compared ourselves to some of the benchmarks, benchmark universities relative to graduate education and that comes down to funding for both faculty and students stated that they thought stipends and funding for students was most important.

Faculty-felt-research assistance positions would be one of the greatest funding needs and teaching assistant positions would be one of the higher needs, greatest funding needs where students felt a little more strongly that graduate assistant positions, a little more than faculty. But

basically that funding piece of trying to compensate students and the ability to recruit big students and provide funding for that recruitment was important. We have a website sort of. We have a link off of the Provost website which documents the timeline, provides access to the survey and some of the documents that we've captured so far.

2.2

Likewise there is a place there for additional comments and so if you would -- we welcome any comments, certainly today and as we move forward our goal really is to have each of those -- each of the subcommittees are developing a series of recommendations.

Those preliminary recommendations were going to hone down, bring to the faculty for the forum and say these — this is what we preliminary have that were working on and ask for feedback for areas where we might expand or areas where we might need to develop those and well continue to revise that and get a report out in the new year. Once that's out, provide that, another opportunity for faculty to give us comments and for us to develop those as necessary.

MS. MCCORMICK: Any questions?

MR. BAILEY: In your timeline of

```
consultation, there were two omissions I thought.
 1
 2
     You didn't include the Dean or the faculty councils
 3
     from the colleges and when the Deans are obviously
 4
     from the administrative standpoint and the Faculty
 5
     Council are the elected representatives to the
 6
     faculty and we speak for them.
 7
        The only input that you're getting from faculty
 8
     at this point are at the forums and the survey but
 9
     I'm Chair of the Senate Academic Organization and
10
     Structure Committee and its really useful for us to
11
     get comments or letters from these particular
12
     bodies. It's helpful to the Senate to have that
1.3
     advice as well. I'd encourage you to, as soon as
14
     you've got a report, to contact them and get letters
15
     of --
16
             MR. MATTACOLA: Well, the question would be
17
     would that be most beneficial the preliminary stage?
18
             MR. BAILEY: I would want them to have a
19
     report. I mean, when you're saying this is what we
20
     want to do.
2.1
             MR. MATTACOLA: Gotcha.
2.2
             MR. BAILEY: And send it out and then you
23
     can make either adjustments or explanation as to why
24
     you disagree with those.
```

MR. MATTACOLA: So basically when we have a

report, give that in January.

2.2

MR. BAILEY: I would give six weeks if not two months to respond because depending on their schedule you may catch them or not.

MR. POOL: Chris Pool, Arts and Sciences.

In the new programs under Arts and Sciences from translation studies and Masters was listed as the top mentioned number two. I'm just wondering in this context, does that refer to the translation of research to the public or does it refer to the language translation.

MR. MATTACOLA: That's a good question. I don't know the answer to that. We could go back and look to see what the specific comments were around that.

MS. MCCORMICK: Connie?

MS. WOOD: Connie Wood, A&S. Going along with Ernie's comments, I'm a DGS and I will be meeting with your subcommittees on Wednesday, but yet the first draft of the recommendations are going to be coming out on the 29th which is two weeks later. What I'm concerned about, and would like a real opportunity, is to give you feedback on your preliminary recommendations. To me that would be the point at which I really feel like I could give

```
you my best input is when I see what it is that you
 1
 2
     are recommending and I don't really -- I see open
 3
     forums with faculty but that's the only place I'm
     seeing opportunity for feed -- or input once your
 4
 5
     proposed recommendations are out there. Perhaps you
 6
     might want to give the faculty more opportunity to
 7
     respond at that point.
                             That first set of
 8
             MR. MATTACOLA:
     recommendations is internal for our committee.
 9
10
     the first two sets of recommendations will really
11
     sort of push our subcommittees to provide initial
12
     recommendations that we could refine and work from
1.3
     and then once we have sort of a working setup, our
14
     plans to have two sets, two drafts of
15
     recommendations from our subcommittees, we could
16
     refine those as a group, at least agree upon what we
17
     would submit as preliminary and then that open forum
18
     would be from that preliminary.
19
              MS. WOOD: Okay. Let me rephrase my --
20
              MR. MATTACOLA: Sure.
21
               MS. WOOD: -- because I've misinterpreted
         Are you going to give anybody an opportunity to
2.2
23
     give you input on your proposed recommendations
     before you submit the report?
24
25
              MR. MATTACOLA: Yes, ma'am twice.
                                                 So the
```

first one would be this fall. They would be 1 2 preliminary. We would say here's what was laid out, 3 we want to get feedback on it and then once the 4 report is cleaned up then were going to have 5 additional forums. As Ernie suggested we would need 6 to meet with faculty council in order to get some 7 feedback. It will be early spring for the MR. SPEAR: 9 second round of open forums. 10 MS. WOOD: Right. 11 MR. SPEAR: Or not open but more focused on 12 the recommendations. MR. MATTACOLA: For the clarification of the 13 14 DGS piece, when we had the forms in the spring 15 several people said these are to large. You're 16 going to get a more intimate environment if you can 17 get all the DGSs together. We started this with 18 DGSs. We tried to identify those colleges with more 19 appointments and we met with those in the summer and 20 now we've cranked up the DGSs. 2.1 MS. WOOD: Well, thank you. We appreciate 2.2 the opportunity. 23 MR. MATTACOLA: Sure. 24 MS. MCCORMICK: Was your question clarified?

So let me just understand what I'm hearing. So, at

```
the DGS meetings you're interested in gaining
 1
 2
     information from the DGSs and then you may also
 3
     share some preliminary ideas, recommendations so it
 4
     will be kind of reciprocal exchange of information.
 5
              MR. MATTACOLA: It will.
                                        The first couple
 6
     of meetings we really received a lot of information.
 7
     Actually we had some really good ideas from the DGSs
     and some ideas that we went with so.
 8
 9
             MS. MCCORMICK: You may need more input.
10
             MR. MATTACOLA:
                             Yeah.
11
             MS. MCCORMICK:
                             Yes.
12
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                   What are the
1.3
     benchmarks --
14
            SECRETARY BROTHERS: Name please?
15
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                      Pharmacology.
                                                      What
16
     are the benchmark universities you compared with
17
     this under the benchmark universities?
18
             MR. MATTACOLA: Really good question.
19
     used the university benchmark. There's a list of
20
     benchmark universities that the university compares
21
     itself to and then we've also identified other areas
2.2
     and programs depending on the question. So for
23
     example I can tell you the interdisciplinary piece,
24
     you know, identifying ways that we might be able to
25
     increase or improve or look at interdisciplinary
```

1 collaborations, I'm actually going to Duke this week 2 to talk to one of their Associate Provost and even 3 though Duke isn't necessarily our list of benchmark 4 universities, typically if you look at the college 5 comparisons they do have a pretty vibrant 6 interdisciplinary program. 7 So, we've used the universities and then 8 we've identified other programs that fit either the 9 theme so whether its program innovation, whether its 10 quality assessment or infrastructure. 11 MS. MCCORMICK: Others? Yes. 12 MS. KELLUM: Becky Kellum, A&S. You said 13 15per cent of the graduate students that responded 14 to this survey, 15 or 50? 15 MR. MATTACOLA: One five. 16 MR. SPEAR: Fifteen. 17 MR. MATTACOLA: So 649. 18 MS. KELLUM: Do you know anything about -- do 19 you get a good cross representation of disciplines 20 and such in the results? 2.1 MR. MATTACOLA: I don't know the numbers 2.2 exactly. 23 MS. Kellum: 15 percent of them? 24 MR. MATTACOLA: Yeah, there were when we first 25 -- I don't remember the exact number that we did

have a good cross. 1 2 MS. KELLUM: I mean, but you do, did you get 3 to gather any data on it? 4 MR. MATTACOLA: We have it. 5 MR. SPEAR: I looked briefly at the Health 6 Science Colleges because I was sort of curious there 7 and actually the distribution was somewhat comparable to the overall graduate student 8 9 population, but overall I can look beyond that. 10 MS. MCCORMICK: Is that information that 11 you'd like? I just, you know, this is an 12 MS. KELLUM: 13 important report and I just want to make sure that you get the opinion of cross sections. 14 15 MS. MCCORMICK: You have that data. 16 MR. SPEAR: Yeah, we can provide you with 17 that. I don't know if you recall but we did, we 18 sort of were much more aggressive at securing 19 student responses than we were faculty. 20 Faculty basically got a little smiley face at 21 the end that said thank you for submitting your 2.2 survey and the students were actually involved in 23 several raffles and then the Graduate Student 24 Congress congress was very helpful in making sure

that they sent out several reminders to the

```
students.
 1
 2.
           MS. KELLUM: But the faculty was over 40per
 3
     cent?
 4
           MR. SPEAR: Faculty was 34.7, 34.8 so 35per
 5
     cent.
 6
           MR. MATTACOLA:
                           Yeah.
 7
           MS. KELLUM: To 15.
           MS. MCCORMICK: Good for faculty.
 8
 9
           MS. WARSHAWSKY: Nora Warshawsky, College of
     Nursing. Do you have any professional students as
10
11
     well because in the College of Nursing students are
12
     part of the graduate school so it would only be like
1.3
     our Ph.D students?
           MR. MATTACOLA: So we just did not include the
14
15
     professional students, okay? Because it's some
16
     differences but we do have, we have representation.
17
     Terry Lenny is on our committee and David, in law,
18
     David Brennan, yes. So we had representation on the
19
     committee so were getting feedback about some of the
20
     issues from the other professional students.
21
           MS. WARSHAWSKY: Well, a professional student
2.2
     -- I would argue that the professional student is a
23
     graduated student -- graduate student, not
24
     officially recognized through the graduate school.
25
     Again, anyway, I don't want to make this an issue
```

1 but I think the matter,...

2.1

2.2

 $\label{eq:ms.mccormick:} \text{MS. MCCORMICK:} \quad \text{I think some of the issues}$ that our students --

MR. SPEAR: Yeah, we spent a lot of time on that and getting clarification and defining who and what our charge was for the committee. So the committee was specific to graduate education and what is the distinction between professional students and graduate students with a Masters and Doctorate level.

MS. MCCORMICK: In the back?

MS. FIREY: Yeah, Abigail Firey, Arts and Sciences. How does the report which you just presented correspond to the report that's listed on the agenda as for informational purposes complete report on graduate survey, because this does give specifics on the graduate respondents and the colleges that came and also note it gives a very detailed information about the responses and questions. So is your report an installation?

MR. SPEAR: Yes, we were just -- basically what we wanted to do was just highlight three or four, two or three slides per area, per our themes. What you have in front of -- what you have available is the full report.

1 MR. MATTACOLA: Right.

2.2

MR. SPEAR: Correct. I didn't want to get into a research presentation here. Katherine asked us if we would provide an update on where we are, where were going and then just to highlight some of the areas. So I just — we chose those slides as just — some of those slides, you're correct, I just built. So I clipped what was a larger table and just pulled it down to the top two or three.

MS. MCCORMICK: Thanks for that clarification. So they already responded to the question earlier with more definitive information. Any other questions. Thank you for your work. Thank you for being with us.

15 MR. SPEAR: Thank you.

(APPLAUSE)

MS. MCCORMICK: So, this is an opportunity for you to share any information or raise any issues, not on the agenda. As my Parliamentarian will share with you, we cannot vote on these but we could certainly bring issues to the floor and could address those at a later date. All right. So we need to vote for adjournment if it is our pleasure. We have a motion. Is there a second. Second from Mark Whitaker. All right. So, please vote on

```
whether you'd like to leave the building. It looks
 1
 2
     like you overwhelmingly do. Thank you.
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | CERTIFICATE |
| 3 | STATE OF KENTUCKY) |
| 4 | COUNTY OF OLDHAM) |
| 5 | |
| 6 | I, BRENDA YANKEY, the undersigned Court Reporter and |
| 7 | Notary Public in and for the State of Kentucky At |
| 8 | Large, certify that the facts stated in the caption |
| 9 | hereto are true, that at the time and place stated |
| 10 | in said caption, that said proceedings were taken |
| 11 | down in stenotype by me and later reduced to type |
| 12 | writing, and the foregoing is a true record of the |
| 13 | proceedings given by said parties hereto and that I |
| 14 | have no interest in the outcome of the captioned |
| 15 | matter. |
| 16 | My commission expires: January 31, 2020. |
| 17 | IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and |
| 18 | seal of office on this day October 9, 2017. |
| 19 | Crestwood, Oldham County, Kentucky. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | BRENDA YANKEY, NOTARY PUBLIC STATE AT LARGE, KENTUCKY |
| 24 | NOTARY ID #546481 |
| 25 | |