UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY SENATE MEETING

JANUARY 27, 2020

* * * * *

JENNIFER BIRD-POLLAN, CHAIR

FRANCISCO ANDRADE, VICE-CHAIR

SHEILA BROTHERS, ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR

STEPHANIE WOOLERY, STAFF ASSISTANT

TRISHA B. MORLEY, COURT REPORTER

Page 2

1	BIRD-POLLAN:	All right. Thanks, everybody, for being
2		here today. I'm glad you all made it. I
3		remembered we had a meeting, and I wouldn't
4		usually have a January meeting, and then the
5		websites were down, so that's why you got a
6		reminder. I mean, not just the websites.
7		The university websites were down, so that's
8		why you got a little reminder today from
9		Sheila. So thank you all for making time to
10		be here. Happy New Year.
11		My clicker isn't going to work. We
12		solved all the hard problems. We forgot the
13		clicker. We do have a new laptop you
14		guys, so fingers crossed that nobody keeps
15		anything, and, probably, it's going to work
16		awesome today. Once the batteries are in
17		there. I stepped on the cord.
18		Okay. So our rules of conduct is to
19		of course, we follow Robert's Rules of Order in
20		here. And when we say be a good citizen and
21		participate for us, that means as much as what
22		you do today and please ask questions and
23		engage and share your views, but also to take
24		what you've learned and what we do today and

ь	_	~	_	2
ч	а	ք	e	- 3

constituents back in your colleges and departments, and of course, to take the clicker back to the table in back at the end and to have picked it up now. If you don't have it yet, please go grab it so you can participate today. Fingers crossed that they're going to work.

So we'll start with the attendance slide. Here's the question: Will the devices work, whether the slides and projectors will work? But look at that, the responses are tallying up. They are working. Oh, my goodness. Last couple of -- well, we did have an IT helper here earlier and it seemed to be working just fine. Yes. People were a little skeptical. So thank you for your good humor about this.

So this is a special motion that we would like to have you vote on today.

Because you didn't get the minutes from the December meeting on Tuesday, we had a little confusion about that, so they went out later

23		than the senate requirement that they come to
24		you in advance. So in order to waive Senate
25		Rule 1.2.3, which requires that seven days
^		Page 4
1		advance notice. We're going to ask for a
2		motion that the Senate waive 1.2.3 to allow
3		consideration of the agenda, et cetera, for
4		today.
5	BRION:	Gail Brion, Engineering. I move that
6		the Senate waive Rule 1.2.3 to allow the
7		Senate to consider the agenda today.
8		
9	BIRD-POLLAN:	Thank you. Is there a second for that
10		motion?
11	BRADY:	Second.
12	BIRD-POLLAN:	I'm sorry
13	BRADY:	Brady.
14	BIRD-POLLAN:	Christian Brady, Honors College. Okay.
15		Any discussion of that motion? I think we
16		have that built in as a slide. All those in
17		favor, opposed, abstained, you should be able
18		to vote using your clickers now. Any final
19		votes? Motion passes. Thank you very much
20		for that.
21		So, then, moving forward, we have

22		you've circulated the minutes. You did	
23		receive the minutes last week. There were a	
24		few editorial changes, but nothing	
25		substantive. Usually, I say now that these	
^			Page 5
1		minutes are approved by unanimous consent,	
2		but we have a special request from our	
3		unofficial, perhaps we should make him	
4		official Senate Historian, who says there's	
5		photo of the Senate in action that's dated	
6		later than 1969. So he would like a photo of	
7		us actually voting on something, which	
8		ironically, of course, the last three	
9		meetings we were voting by hand. Today,	
10		hopefully, we will not be. So the question	
11		is let's have motion. Is there a motion	
12		to approve the December minutes?	
13	GROSSMAN:	So moved, Bob Grossman, Trustee.	
14	BIRD-POLLAN:	Is there a second?	
15	BRION:	Second, Gail Brion, Engineering.	
16	BIRD-POLLAN:	Okay. Any debate on the motion to	
17		approve the minutes? So we will vote by	
18		hand. All those in favor of approving the	
19		December 2019 look at that action. It's	

20		amazing.	
21	GROSSMAN:	Does it matter whether we raise or right	
22		or left?	
23	BIRD-POLLAN:	All set, Davy? Okay. Any opposed? Any	
24		abstained? Motion passes. Thank you all	
25		very much. You know, our Parliamentarian	
^			Page 6
1		asked if Davy would use that for nefarious	
2		means later, but I said there's no telling,	
3		so let's hope not.	
4		All right. So for reasons that you can	
5		see, we continue to research new polling	
6		systems. If you have any suggestions about	
7		ways to improve our technological scenario,	
8		please let us know. We will bring a	
9		different cord next month. We thought the	
10		computer was the problem. Now maybe the cord	
11		is the also the problem. We're not the only	
12		group that uses this auditorium, so it's hard	
13		to understand exactly what's happening. So	
14		please be in touch with Stephanie if you have	
15		suggestions.	
16		Many of you responded to the call for	
17		nominations for committees. Thank you so	
18		much for that. We've gotten great response.	

19	The date for submitting nominations has
20	passed, but the Senate Council will look at
21	them on Monday. If you have any late-
22	breaking additions to that, just send them to
23	me and we can find a way to add them. What
24	happens now is Senate Council deliberates on
25	the nominations we receive, and then we

▶ Page 7

forward on our selected nominees to the representative bodies that make the decisions. Of course, some committees are actually populated by the Senate Counsel. That will happen on Monday. Decisions about committee compositions by other committees, we'll have them on the time frame that they use. So we don't make the decisions, obviously, for all these committees, and so to the extent anybody asks you, you can always put them in touch with me. I'm happy to do it. But it may still be a little while until people hear about committees they've been elected to.

Today is the deadline for the Staff and Faculty DanceBlue. This was in the

newsletter that went out on Friday. This is
Staff Senate. It happens this coming Friday.
We don't have to stay up all night. This is
only a two-hour thing in the middle of the
day. But proceeds go to the Kentucky
Children's Hospital, and so to the extent
you're interested in participating in that,
there's the contact and email for the Staff
Senate, who have organized that event.

↑ Page 8

So earlier in a Senate meeting, and certainly, conversations across campus, we've been talking about the fact that CPE was having conversations around potentially softening the language about prohibitions on advertising for the programs that have not yet been approved by CPE. And we've been hopeful that there would be movement on that at the CPE meeting, which happened this past Friday. Unfortunately, it wasn't on the agenda, so they didn't discuss it. That means it's not likely to change in the short run. The next CPE meeting is April, and it doesn't look good for that either says our CPE expert. So, you know, that's to say.

The language about advertising programs that
haven't yet been approved remains the same as
it has. If you have views about that that
you'd like to share with your elected
representatives, you might choose to do that
at the state level and share views with the
provost and the Assistant Provost, Annie
Weber, about how that affects you and your
programs. But for now, we're still under the
same rules we had before and I'm sure Annie

↑ Page 9

is available to answer questions about that if you're concerned about what options you have available.

Okay. This is another point about, sort of, the approval process. Historically -- well, as has always been true, we send proposals that have been approved by the Senate to the Board of Trustees. Obviously, we have a Senate meeting today. We have another Senate meeting two weeks from today. That meeting two weeks from today is actually within -- it's shorter than the time frame that's usually required to put materials on

the Board agenda. But what we're going to
do, and sort of the presence on this from
the Board, schedulers have agreed to is to
let us put items that are scheduled to be
approved by the Senate at our February
meeting onto the Board agenda, sort of with
an expected or tentative caveat next to them.
If the Senate doesn't approve those items
before the Board meeting, then that'll be
removed from the Board agenda. If all goes
without problem, other than the computer,
then they will appear on the Board agenda.

↑ Page 10

So that's how we're dealing with that, which I think is good because it means that you didn't have to get on today's agenda in order to get to the Board in February programs that come to the Board -- come to the Senate, in two weeks can also go to the Board in February. So this is -- it's an accommodation that they're making, which I think helpful, and allows this process to move a little more quickly within the university.

A reminder, as you heard multiple times

in the fall, we've moved to Curriculog for
programs. So we had given until December 2nd
for proposals on those Word documents to the
leave the colleges. Anything that didn't
leave the college by December 2nd is now
supposed to come to us through the Curriculog
process. So we had another little story
about this in the newsletter we sent out last
week, but Sheila stands at the ready to
answer questions folks have, so please feel
free to reach out to her with questions about
using Curriculog.

Here are our deadlines. We show them to

▶ Page 11

you every month. We're trying to spread the word widely about this. Please share these deadlines with your colleagues. The closest one, of course, is a week from today, which is the deadline for new degree program proposals to get to the Senate from the Undergraduate, Graduate or Healthcare Colleges Councils. So that's the first deadline of the year with regard to new degree programs or certificates. And then

you'll see March 16th is for new certificates or departments' transfers of a degree, significant program changes, things like that. And then April 13th is our deadline for things that don't require committee review.

So those were our announcements. My
Chair's Report: The first thing to announce
is that Jennifer Osterhage, who has been the
Vice Chair of the Senate Council for -- this
her second year -- has decided to step down
from Senate Council and from that Vice Chair
position. She's remaining as a senator from
Arts and Sciences, and she's also agreed to
continue as chair of the Academic Planning

Page 12

and Priorities Committee. Those of you who know Jennifer and have seen her in action know she's been a terrific asset to the Senate. We're super grateful for all she's done. I don't think she's here today. Yes, she is. Well, please join me in a round of applause. So we're incredibly grateful for all she's done, and we will have an election for a new Vice Chair at our meeting this

10	coming Monday. And in the meantime, we've
11	also replaced Jennifer's seat on the Senate
12	Counsel with Francisco Andrade from the
13	College of Medicine. Is Francisco here?
14	Excellent. So thank you very much.
15	Hopefully, we can keep that rolling. So the
16	way the Senate Rules work is the person who
17	received the next most votes in the most
18	recent election, which is the election we
19	just had in the fall, fills the empty seat.
20	So he'll complete Jennifer's term, which is
21	through the end of 2020.

December commencement has occurred since we saw each other last. It was a wonderful event. I'd really encourage you to find the time to attend a commencement ceremony if you

↑ Page 13

haven't yet. It's just such a happy,
exciting time, fantastic spirits and it's
quite inspirational. So we had 1,800
students who graduated in December. Most of
them walked across the stage. I haven't seen
a number of how many in total, but we can get
that number to you.

We also awarded our honorary degree that the Senate voted on last year P.G. Peeples, and lots of his friends and family were there. We heard about his incredible contributions to our community as well. So it was really a fantastic event.

At our first Senate Council meeting of
the year, which was two weeks ago, we had a
presentation by Provost Blackwell and
Associate Provost Kern about intellectual
property rights and recording policies for
classrooms. This is a conversation that's
been happening in various parts of campus,
various committees across campus over the
last couple of months. I just wanted to give
you a brief description of what we talked
about, but I think there'll be more of this
coming soon. So the first question is: The

^

Page 14

IP rights and materials, the faculty-created materials, this comes up specifically with regard to our expansion of online courses.

So the question about if work is created relating to an online course if the faculty member either is on sabbatical, doesn't teach

the course or leaves the university or
retires or is ill, what are UK's rights to
use the materials that were connected to the
course. And this is a conversation,
actually, that Roger Brown has been thinking
about for a long time and has shared his
views with me and with others. And so there
is not a policy yet. The current you can
look at the I mean, there is an IP policy,
and the IP policy basically says that the
material belongs to the faculty member and
that the university can't really ask for any
rights to the material. The question is: Is
that a reasonable policy? Could the university
come to a licensing agreement with faculty members?
And so that's what we were talking about at the Senate
Council meeting two weeks ago. If you have views you'd
like to share about any of that, I'm sure Associate
Provost Kern and Provost Blackwell will be happy to

Page 15

hear from you and others. You know, Roger, I think, is interested in having conversations with people about this, and i'm happy to as well. So I think you can expect to hear more about this in the coming months.

The second issue we discussed was
recordings made in the classroom and then
shared outside of the classroom. This has
come up a couple of times across campus. In
particular, related to concerns about privacy
rights of the students and the faculty And,
particularly, classes where they might be
sharing perspectives that they wouldn't be
comfortable sharing broadly or on social
media or something like that. And so is
there a university-wide rule or should there
be a university-wide rule related to
recording in the classrooms? Right now
faculty members can decide what the recording
policies are in their own classes, but having
said that, I think there are ongoing
discussions about whether there should be
something broader than that, something that
would touch all the classrooms on campus.
So, again, to the extent you would be
interested in sharing your views or hearing

^

Page 16

more about those conversations, please reach out and let me know. I'd be happy to hear anything.

A reminder: We talked about this briefly last month as well. We conducted that Phase Retirement Survey, and thank you so much to those of you who took the time to talk to your colleauges and fill that out. A majority of those who responded supported allowing current senators who entered phased retirement while during their terms to complete those terms. So the Senate Council has tasked a small Ad Hoc Committee composed of Bob Grossman, DeShana Collett and Leslie Vincent to sort of think about the implementation of that rule. And so they've been working with Marcy Deaton in the General Counsel's office to think about how we would implement our change. So look for more information about that in the coming weeks. A related note: The Senate's Rules and

Elections Committee considered whether or not an emeritus faculty member would be entitled to continue as a voting member of that committee, and recommended that that would be

♠

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 17

consistent with the current Senate rules.

The Senate Council was pleased to hear that, but also asked them to think about creating an interpretation that says that that's true for all members of faculty and all Senate committees. So not to say, of course, emeritus faculty can't currently serve as senators, unless they are indeed elected emeritus faculty senator seat. But as you know, our Senate committees are comprised of more than just senators, and so it was the interpretation of the SREC, and I think I would say probably Senate Council as well, that emeritus faculty are welcomed as voting members of Senate committees.

This is an announcement that's also going out, or will be coming out, to a variety of folks around campus, and so a heads up for you as well. Senate Rule 3.3.4, which is the "Automatic Deletion of Courses from the Bulletin." So this is a regularly scheduled activity, which purges our online courses. As you know, all new courses are added to the bulletin. Often we forget to take them away. This is an automatic process

↑ Page 18

1 to remove courses that haven't been taught for a long time. So the goal is for the data 2 3 to be better and cleaner. When we think about transfer equivalencies, for example, 4 5 it's important to know what courses are actually, actively offered as opposed to just 6 7 things that have been offered in the past. So this is the current version of the rules. 8 9 If a course hasn't been taught for four 10 years, the Registrar is supposed to move that course description from the Bulletin. And if 11 12 it's not taught for another four years after that, then it's officially removed from the 13 inventory of courses. However, if it is 14 taught in that second four-year window, then 15 16 it's going to get re-added to the Bulletin. 17 So it's an eight-year period; if a course hasn't been taught for eight years, it's 18 going to be officially removed from the 19 inventory of courses. So what's going to 20 21 happen is Sheila will send a spreadsheet of those courses which are scheduled to be 22 23 purged, and it's going to be sent to all of you, but also to your associate deans, 24 department chairs and the curricular contacts 25

Page 19

1	we have in your colleges. Please, please,
2	please look at this sheet, or put it in the
3	hands of people who will have the information
4	themselves. If you are not sure which
5	courses belong on the list and which don't,
6	it's okay if a course hasn't been taught for
7	eight years, but your faculty wants to keep
8	the course. That's fine, but we need to hear
9	from you. Because if we don't hear that
10	there's a reason to keep that course at any
11	point in that eight-year window, it's going
12	to be purged after this process happens. So
13	please look out for that email. Raise flags
14	for folks. We will obviously be sharing this
15	information with all the people listed on
16	this slide, but if you could remind people as
17	well, that would be great. And Sheila's been
18	working on this with folks from the
19	Registrar's office, so we're very grateful
20	for their help.
21	Our Provost's Report: Unfortunatley,
22	our provost had to leave early and he's
23	not feeling well today. So we don't have

24		a Provost Report today, but we do meet	
25		again in two weeks. I suspect we'll hear	
^		Page	20
1		from him then. We don't have a Vice Chair,	
2		so we don't have a Vice Chair's Report.	
3		Parliamentarian's Report: Anything to	
4		say?	
5	MICHAEL:	No.	
6	BIRD-POLLAN:	Okay. Great.	
7	MICHAEL:	I'm here.	
8	BIRD-POLLAN:	Do our Trustees want to say anything?	
9		Nothing to say today? Okay.	
10		All right. So then we will move right	
11		into our Committee Reports for the day.	
12	CRAMER:	The first item is a new Undergraduate	
13		Certificate proposal. This is a	
14		recommendation that the University Senate	
15		approve the establishment of a new	
16		Undergraduate Certificate in Digital Media	
17		Design for Educators in the School of Art and	
18		Visual Studies within the College of Fine	
19		Arts. The proposed Undergraduate Certificate	
20		will permit Education students to earn formal	
21		recognition in Digital Media. The demand for	
22		technology at public schools at all levels	

23		will continue to grow, and a 15-hour		
24		curriculum in Digital Media and Design		
25		courses can make graduates more competitive.		
^			Page	21
1		The program is intended for Education		
2		students in the School of Art and Digital		
3		Studies and in the College of Education. An		
4		initial cohort of 4 students growing to 12		
5		students is anticipated.		
6	BIRD-POLLAN:	So any questions of fact related to this		
7		proposal? And Marty's here as well, our		
8		composer, is here to answer any factual		
9		questions you might have.		
10		So then we have a motion from the		
11		committee that the Senate approve the		
12		establishment of this new Undergraduate		
13		Certificate in Digital Media Design for		
14		Educators, which will be in the School of Art		
15		and Visual Studies within the College of Fine		
16		Arts. Any debate on that motion? Okay. So,		
17		then, we will open that for voting. Register		
18		your votes. And motion passes. Thank you.		
19	CRAMER:	The next item is our Graduate		
20		Certificate for programs. This is a		

21		recommendation that the University Senate	
22		approved the establishment of a new Graduate	
23		Certificate in Telehealth in the Department	
24		of Communication Sciences and Disorders	
25		within the College of Health Sciences.	
^			Page 22
1		Technological growth is changing the delivery	J
2		of healthcare services. There's growing	
3		preference for virtual healthcare interaction	
4		over in-person care, but there's a gap in	
5		healthcare provider expertise in this	
6		increasingly important deliver mode.	
7		Communication Sciences and Disorders	
8		currently provide similar training for	
9		pediatric speech language pathology, and the	
10		proposed certificate will provide a	
11		conference and training program focused on	
12		healthcare graduate students. The proposed	
13		9-hour program will be offered largely	
14		online, and an initial enrollment of 9	
15		students expanding to 15 students is	
16		expected.	
17	BIRD-POLLAN:	Do we have yes, we have composers	
18		here from the program as well to answer	
19		questions. So any factual questions related	

20		to this Graduate Certificate? So seeing	
21		none, we have a proposal a motion, then,	
22		on the floor that the University Senate	
23		approve the establishment of a new Graduate	
24		Certificate in Telehealth in the Department	
25		of Communication Sciences and Disorders	
			D 22
•			Page 23
1		within the College of Health Sciences. Any	
2		debate on that motion? Any debate? We'll	
3		open that one for voting, then. Any final	
4		votes? Motion passes. Thank you. And one	
5		more for Aaron two more from Aaron.	
6	CRAMER:	The next item's a MS proposal. This is	
7		a recommendation that the University Senate	
8		approved for submission to the Board of	
9		Trustees the establishment of a new MS degree	
10		in Supply Chain Engineering and the	
11		Department of Mechanical Engineering within	
12		the College of Engineering. There's a	
13		national skills gap and demand for	
14		professionals in supply chain-related	
15		careers. Demand in this area is reported to	
16		exceed supply by 6 to 1. In Kentucky alone	
17		there were more than 6,000 job postings for	

18		supply chain positions the previous year.		
19		There are very few similar programs, and the		
20		most comparable at Georgia Tech is not		
21		oriented toward working professionals. This		
22		two-year program to be in offered in an		
23		online format has been developed in		
24		cooperation with the Gatton College of		
25		Business and Economics. The program features		
^			Page	24
1		9 hours of common core courses shared with	ruge	
_				
2		the forthcoming proposed MS in Supply Chain		
3		Management program. 15 hours of engineering-		
4		specific core courses, 3 elective hours and 3		
5		hours of Capstone Industry Project. An		
6		initial cohort of 10 students followed by a		
7		steady-state enrollment of 15 students is		
8		anticipated.		
9	BIRD-POLLAN:	Okay. Great. So we have Fazleena here		
10		to answer questions for you about the		
11		content. Any factual questions about this		
12		proposal? Then we've got a motion from the		
13		committee that the Senate approve for		
14		submission to the Board of Trustees the		
15		establishment of a new MS in Supply Chain		
16		Engineering housed in the Department of		

17		Mechanical Engineering within the College of	
18		Engineering. Any debate on that motion? Any	
19		debate? I'll open that one for vote. Any	
20		remaining votes? Motion passes.	
21	CRAMER:	This is a BS proposal. This is a	
22		recommendation that the University Senate	
23		approved for submission to the Board of	
24		Trustees the establishment of a new BS degree	
25		in Biomedical Engineering in the F. Joseph	
			Daga 25
^			Page 25
1		Halcomb III, M.D., Department of Biomedical	
2		Engineering within the College of	
3		Engineering. Biomedical Engineering is a	
4		multidisciplinary field that applies	
5		engineering principles and design methods to	
6		improve the interaction and integration of	
7		engineering, medicine and biological sciences	
8		for improving human health and solving	
9		healthcare challenges. The proposed program	
10		addresses institutional needs for growth in	
11		the number and diversity of engineering	
12		students and societal needs for excellent,	
13		affordable and available healthcare	
14		technology. The program is unique with	

15		respect to existing Biomedical Engineering	
16		programs because of the close collaboration	
17		with the College of Design and for a base of	
18		emphasis on design thinking. The program	
19		uses shared first-year engineering	
20		curriculum, followed by foundational	
21		engineering courses and then upper-level	
22		biomedical engineering courses. The program	
23		culminates in a two-semester	
24		interdisciplinary Capstone Design Project.	
25		The College of Engineering is committed to	
^			Page 26
1		the necessary resources to establish this	
2		program. Accreditation will be sought from	
3		the Accreditation Board for Engineering and	
4		Technology. Classes of 30 to 40 students are	
5		anticipated.	
6	BIRD-POLLAN:	We've got some presenters oh, I'm	
7		sorry. The composers are here to answer	
8		questions as well. Any questions of fact	
9		related to this? Any questions of fact? So,	
10		then, we have a motion on the table that the	
11		University Senate approve the establishment	
12		of the new BS in Biomedical Engineering in	
13		the J. Joseph Halcomb III, M.D. Department of	

14		Biomedical Engineering within the College of	
15		Engineering. Any debate on that motion? Any	
16		debate? We'll open that for voting. Any	
17		last votes? Motion passes. All right.	
18		Great.	
19		So, thanks, Aaron and the Programs	
20		Committee. We're seeing the slow growth and	
21		uptake in proposals, so we will be hearing a	
22		lot more from Aaron in the coming months.	
23		So we have another Committee Report	
24		today. Susan Cantrell is the Chair of the	
25		Senate's Research and Graduate Education	
^			Page 27
^		Committee. She couldn't be here today, so	Page 27
		Committee. She couldn't be here today, so Doug Kalika, I think, is going to speak for	Page 27
1			Page 27
1		Doug Kalika, I think, is going to speak for	Page 27
1 2 3		Doug Kalika, I think, is going to speak for the committee. They've written a memo of	Page 27
1 2 3 4		Doug Kalika, I think, is going to speak for the committee. They've written a memo of support for the report we heard from on	Page 27
1 2 3 4 5		Doug Kalika, I think, is going to speak for the committee. They've written a memo of support for the report we heard from on Associate Provost Sue Roberts back in the	Page 27
1 2 3 4 5	KALIKA:	Doug Kalika, I think, is going to speak for the committee. They've written a memo of support for the report we heard from on Associate Provost Sue Roberts back in the fall. They have someone to describe the	Page 27
1 2 3 4 5 6	KALIKA:	Doug Kalika, I think, is going to speak for the committee. They've written a memo of support for the report we heard from on Associate Provost Sue Roberts back in the fall. They have someone to describe the committee's action here.	Page 27
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KALIKA:	Doug Kalika, I think, is going to speak for the committee. They've written a memo of support for the report we heard from on Associate Provost Sue Roberts back in the fall. They have someone to describe the committee's action here. Thank you and good afternoon. And so as	Page 27

r	recommendations of the Implementation
A	Advisory Team that had been charged by
F	Provost Blackwell back in the spring of 2019
j	in reviewing the recommendations of the Blue
F	Ribbon Panel Report on graduate education,
ā	and to put forward recommendations for
ŗ	priority as related to implementation of the
f	findings of the Blue Ribbon Panel Report. So
S	subsequent to Professor Roberts' presentation
ŀ	nere on October 14th, the Senate Committee on
F	Research and Graduate Education then met on
N	November 4th with the priority agenda item
Ŀ	peing to review and discuss the
r	recommendations of the Implementation

₱ Page 28

Advisory Team. And in the course of that discussion, there was a desire to express the agreement and the endorsement of the Senate Committee with respect to both the findings of the Blue Ribbon Panel Report, as well as the Implementation Advisory Team Report. And so the memo that you found provided in the documents for today's meeting was a memo that was crafted to do that. And so it, in particular, emphasizes again support for the

six priority recommendations that came forth
from the Implementation Advisory Team. And
so those included, in general, making
graduate education a priority for the
institution, looking at resources and other
infrastructure support to move graduate
education and graduate school forward, and
then also to be doing that while at the
same time, moving towards the initiation a
national search to recruit and hire a new
dean for graduate education. And so you can
see the actual narrative, and it is largely
consistent with both of the preceding
reports. Really, one distinction that came
forth in the memo was a sense that some of

↑ Page 29

the budgetary recommendations and the suggestions in terms of resource infusion for graduate education, while perhaps quite justified, really weren't that with comprehensive budgetary data or benchmarking.

And so there was a recommendation in the memo that also a benchmarking exercise be conducted to really get a sense as to what an

9		appropriate level of resource infusion might	
10		be, and then also what outcomes might be	
11		expected in that regard. And part of the	
12		concern with respect resources was also,	
13		really, paving the way to create a situation	
14		that would be conducive to attracting the	
15		best possible national talent for the hiring	
16		of a new graduate dean.	
17		So I bring this forward on behalf of the	
18		Senate Committee on Research and Graduate	
19		Education, as our Chair, Susan Cantrell,	
20		could not be with us. By coincidence, I also	
21		was a member of the Implementation Advisory	
22		Team, so I happen to have a particular	
23		familiarity with the content of those	
24		implementations. And so it's my	
25		understanding that this memo was brought	
^			Page 30
1		forward for consideration by Senate Counsel	Ü
2		on January the 13th, and at that time, Senate	
3		Counsel indicated their support, but also	
4		requested that we come forward and present it	
5		to the entire Senate.	
6	BIRD-POLLAN:	So any questions, factual questions,	

about the report or the Implementation Team's

7

8	Report or anything like that at this stage?	
9	Any questions? Well, then, we have a	
10	motion from the Senate Council that the	
11	Senate should endorse the Senate Research and	
12	Graduate Education Report, and then charge me	
13	with sharing that report with Provost	
14	Blackwell. Is there any debate on that	
15	motion? Any debate? Well, then, I will open	
16	that for voting. Any last votes? Any final	
17	votes? Great. That motion passes.	
18	I'll just share with you that Provost	
19	Blackwell couldn't be here today, but of	
20	course, he saw this on the agenda and just	
21	wanted me to convey that he appreciates the	
22	sentiment of the report. And I hope that	
23	when he's back at a future Senate meeting	
24	held, he'll share with you his response, but	
25	I think he is pleased to receive that report.	
•	D	age 31
1	r	79C JI
2	Next committee report: We've	
2	·	
5	got the Senate Advisory Committee on	

5 Beth Guiton has been the Chair of

4

Diversity and Inclusion.

6		that committee since its formation, the last	
7		two years. And so I asked her to come and	
8		give a summary of the committee's activities,	
9		sort of what the next couple of months will	
10		look like as well.	
11	GUITON:	So Jennifer asked me to come just to	
12		introduce our committee and that we're a	
13		relatively new committee, and then we're	
14		starting to produce things that will	
15		hopefully start to filter through the	
16		bureaucratic channels and get to you guys in	
17		the coming semester and looking into the	
18		fall.	
19		So, yeah, this is a relatively new	
20		committee. We just started. We're probably	
21		through our second year. And the charge is	
22		really very broad. We're only we've been	
23		charged to try and increase the diversity and	
24		the inclusive nature of the UK community.	
25		And I've highlighted the last part of the	
			D 21
^			Page 32
1		charge just to kind of highlight how broad	
2		our charge was, and also to encourage anyone	
3		within this community, or if anybody that	

you're talking to has an issue that they

4

think that we should be addressing, then,
obviously, these meetings are open. Our
meetings are public meetings, and you're
welcome to attend the meetings or email any
member of the committee if there are issues
of diversity or inclusion or anything you
think we should be trying to address. This
is the makeup of the committee. It's pretty
diverse, which is appropriate for a number of
different colleges. All of this information
is also in our report. We've discussed a
number of different issues. I think we've
met nine or ten times at this point. The
main items that we've discussed kind of in
most detail was, firstly, the issue of
religious holidays and the culture of
religious inclusivity at UK. This is
something that was raised on the Senate
floor. Approach has been trying to create
diversity through the committees,
specifically search committees at UK, and

↑ Page 33

then you'll be seeing a bit more about that.

2 And then a couple of different programs

designed to try and increase the inclusivity
of the culture at UK, both using kind of
"bottom-up" approach, which is this Advocates
and Allies program, an idea for Advocates and
Allies program, which is intended to really
enable or empower any member. And,
specifically, we're looking at methods of
majority. So white male members of our
community and pairing them to be allies in
diversity and inclusion at the university.
And then, also, this program on white men is
full diversity partners, which is a series of
labs that are run by a private company that
have been running these labs for about 20
years. And these are really aimed at trying
to help to empower and enable members who are
in managerial positions or other admin
positions, and developing tools for
increasing diversity within the university.
And then other matters that we discussed as
they have arisen.
Looking at, kind of, actions that have
happened, observance of religious holidays,

↑ Page 34

we've created a task force on religious

2	holidays, which developed a set of
3	recommendations for the observance of
4	religious holidays at UK, the memo that
5	resulted from that task force is going to be
6	discussed next week at the Senate Council
7	meeting. And we've got a drafted proposal to
8	implement a diversity and inclusion advocate
9	on University Search Committees. And, again,
10	this is something that hopefully you guys
11	will be seeing in the future. This is
12	something that's going to be discussed at the
13	Senate Council meeting next week. And Dean
14	Buchheit from the College of Engineering has
15	held a pilot. This was back in June, a pilot
16	program for to try and help us develop an
17	Advocates and Allies program at UK. This is
18	attended by some of the members of our
19	committee, SACDI Committee, and some of the
20	Diversity and Inclusion officers. And the
21	discussions leading from that facilitation
22	are ongoing. We discussed that in our
23	January meeting and will continue discussing
24	that in our February meeting with the aim of
25	trying to kind of roll out a pilot Advocates

Page 35

1		and Allies program for 2020.
2		In terms of what's on the agenda for the
3		future, we've been I've been making a list
4		as items come and as issues have arisen.
5		These are some of the things that we have
6		planned to get to as we work through these
7		lists of issues. And just to highlight the
8		bottom, if there are other topics that this
9		community or people you're talking to that
10		you think are important or you think that we
11		should be addressing, go ahead and talk to a
12		member of the committee, talk to me, email
13		me, and if you think it's something that we
14		need to address urgently, I will be glad to.
15	BIRD-POLLAN:	Any questions for Beth? Questions about
16		the activities of the committee?
17	GROSSMAN:	I don't have a question. Can I say
18		something?
19	BIRD-POLLAN:	Yeah.
20	GROSSMAN:	Bob Grossman, Trustee. I'd just like to
21		commend you and your committee for taking on
22		all this. It probably took a lot longer for
23		us to establish this committee than it should

have, but I'm glad you guys are working on

24

^			Page	36
1		recommendations.		
2	BIRD-POLLAN:	I think that's right. I think, you		
3		know, starting a new committee from scratch		
4		means having to decide for yourselves what		
5		the tasks will be. Great. Thanks very much,		
6		Beth.		
7		So we had a motion slide in there if we		
8		needed it, but we do not need one today. So		
9		our final presentation is from Associate Vice		
10		President for Campaigns and Major Gifts,		
11		Brent Pieper.		
12	PIEPER:	Thank you, Jennifer. So I'm between you		
13		all getting out here. I will be quick. I		
14		just want to thank you for the opportunity to		
15		spend a few minutes with you to share an		
16		update on the campaign.		
17		As you all know, we are in a very		
18		ambitious campaign, "Kentucky Can." It's a		
19		\$2.1 billion goal. It's the third largest		
20		campaign in the SEC Conference. And when the		
21		consultants came and made a recommendation,		
22		their number for the goal was 1.5 billion and		

it went up to 1.7, and here we are 2.1. I'm

24	happy to report today we're at \$1.36 billion
25	toward that goal. So we're very pleased with
^	Page 37
1	the progress. We have a committee of 232
2	volunteers. This is the first volunteer-led
3	campaign for the University of Kentucky. We
4	have 23 committees. Most of those are
5	colleges, and also, some of our units. And
6	since 2017 we've had 151 meetings throughout
7	that time around campus and around the
8	country. I know we've got a lot of deans
9	here today. There's a lot of expectation.
10	They're working very hard. They're out on
11	the road. They're doing great, great work.
12	And it seems like every week now we're
13	closing large gifts for the university, and
14	these are very much a part of that. As I
15	mentioned, we have \$3.6 billion raised to
16	date. Of that, just to give you a general
17	idea I'm sorry, \$1.3 billion. About
18	\$300,000 of that is going to student support,
19	primarily scholarships, and so we're very
20	happy. Programmatic support is about \$370

million, and that includes professorships,

21

22	department chairs and programs, things of
23	that nature. Capital, over \$300 million.
24	We've named two colleges during this
25	campaign. We want to name two more. And

Page 38

then the endowment, \$1.5 billion is where we are now, and we want to be at 2.1 billion by the end of the campaign, so that's another big goal for that. Now, if you were running the numbers here, I did 3, 3 and 3. The other number we call miscellaneous, and that's over \$300 million as well. That's a lot of healthcare initiatives with someone. So we're very happy. I wanted to, again, thank the deans who are in the room and done great work for us.

Next up is our One Day for UK. We did
the first one last year, and this is just a
time for everybody to really focus on the
university, for us to reach out to our alumni
and raise a lot of money. Mainly, annual
giving in 24 hours. And so what we tried to
do is build that donor base, because a lot of
our donors, if you look now, are making these
large gifts. They started out making \$100

gifts 20, 30, 40 years ago. So this is very important to us, and it's an opportunity for the colleges and the units also to reach out in a very direct way. Last year when we had this inaugural giving day, we cleared \$1

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

↑ Page 39

million. \$1,068,000 to be exact. 3,262 of those gifts were -- we have 3,262 donors, and of that, over 600 represented faculty and staff on this campus over \$108,000. So this year we're now at a point where we want to have the Family Campaign -- we call it a Family Campaign -- and a Faculty and Staff Campaign where we wanted to challenge everyone to consider a gift leading up to Giving Day. Later in the month we're going to have payroll deduction available on online for the first time and we've been working very hard at that. We think that's going to be a big change for us. And what we need help with is officers in the colleges where you all are representing. They're going to want to come around and maybe spend some time with you and the folks at your meetings and

19	just talk about this, talk about the
20	importance of the campaign. And,
21	specifically, as it relates to what's going
22	on in your college. And I would be remiss
23	not to thank everyone in this room for what
24	you do to help this campaign be so
25	successful. Not a week goes by where we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Page 40

don't learn about why someone is giving, and it's usually pointed back to a faculty member. So I'll give you an example. Beth Barnes has a relationship with a lot of her former students in communications and information. And Larry Patrick came on campus for the first time a while a couple years ago. And since that time, he's made a two-and-a-half-million dollar gift to the campaign, and he's Co-Chair for Communication and Information. So I don't think that happens without the help of them. We also had, last week, a big gift we will be sharing with you down the road, and the donor said that it was because three or four faculty members made a difference. So we videotaped them. The president went down and accepted

the gift, and that was very exciting for them
to hear from the faculty. Our Co-Chair for
Fine Arts, Todd Lacy, he points back to a
faculty member. And he's in Australia now
doing Broadway work. Just incredible. He's
all over the place. Done a lot of work for
Disney. But he's coming back to campus.
He's nart of this campaign, because it was

Page 41

such an important part of his life. So this is really a big part.

And then, lastly, Mr. Rosenberg, who we named our College of Law in November, he, took, talked a lot about the importance of faculty and what that meant. And a lot of his gift is going to help us to recruit more faculty for the College of Law. So thank you for all your support, and we'll just ask you: In the coming months, you're going to hear a lot about Giving Day. And if you will, help us out to spread the word. And if you haven't made a gift to the campaign, we ask you to seriously consider it. We don't want anyone to feel uncomfortable or coerced or

16		anything like that. It's just all about	
17		participation, because we want to get to that	
18		2,100 number. Any questions?	
19	BIRD-POLLAN:	Any questions for Brent? You guys are	
20		very quiet today. Thank you very much.	
21		Any items from the floor? So hearing	
22		none, we will move to adjourn. Oh, I guess I	
23		was supposed to ask permission first, wasn't	
24		I?	
25	BROTHERS:	I think it's assumed.	
•		D	age 42
^			age 42
1	BIRD-POLLAN:	It's assumed. All right. Another short	
2		meeting. I am banking these minutes for May,	
3		you guys, so just remember how early you got	
4		out in January.	
5		Thank you all very much. And remember,	
6		we're meeting in two weeks, two weeks from	
7		today. I know it seems very soon. Our	
8		February meeting is in two weeks. Thank you	
9		all very much.	
10		* * * * * * * * *	
11			
12			
13			

```
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                                Page 43
1 STATE OF KENTUCKY
                         )
2
                         )
3 COUNTY OF KENTON
                         )
                 I, TRISHA B. MORLEY, the undersigned Notary
4
   Public in and for the State of Kentucky at Large, certify
   that at the time and place stated, I personally appeared
7 at said proceeding to take the record in stenotype, later
8 reduced to computer-aided transcription under my
   direction, and that the foregoing is a true and accurate
10 record of said proceeding.
            My commission expires: April 23, 2022.
11
12
             IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunder set my
```

13 hand and seal of office on the 27th day of January, 2020. Trisha B. Morley, Court Reporter Notary Public My commission expires: 4/23/22

•